## PERVERSE SHEAVES AND INTERSECTION COHOMOLOGY

#### EDWARD VARVAK

These are notes I wrote up for my own comprehension while following the text of Hotta–Takeuchi–Tanisaki [HTT08]. No part of this work is original, save for any errors I may have introduced throughout my reading.

#### 1. T-Structures

**Definition 1.1.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a triangulated category, and  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}$  its full subcategories. Set  $\mathcal{D}^{\leq n} = \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}[n]$ . The pair  $(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0})$  defines a t-structure on  $\mathcal{D}$  if

- $\mathcal{D}^{\leq -1} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}^{\geq 1} \subseteq \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}$
- For all  $X \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}$  and  $Y \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq 1}$  we have  $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(X,Y) = 0$ .
- For any  $X \in \mathcal{D}$  there exists an exact triangle

$$(1.1) X_0 \to X \to X_1 \stackrel{+1}{\to} \cdots$$

such that  $X_0 \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}$  and  $X_1 \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq 1}$ .

The full subcategory  $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}$  is called the heart of the t-structure  $(\mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0})$ .

The heart of a t-structure allows us to get a good grasp on the ambient derived category.

**Theorem 1.2.**  $C = \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}$  is an Abelian category, and any exact sequence

$$0 \to X \to Y \to Z \to 0$$

gives rise to a distinguished triangle

$$X \to Y \to Z \stackrel{+1}{\to} \cdots$$

in  $\mathcal{D}$ .

This allows us to define the functor  $H^0$ , which sends our derived category to its heart.

**Definition 1.3.** The functor

$$H^0: \mathcal{D} \to \mathcal{C} = \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0} \cap \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}, \qquad X \mapsto \tau^{\leq 0} \tau^{\geq 0} X.$$

Likewise, define  $H^n(X) = H^0(X[n])$ .

Now let  $F: \mathcal{D}_1 \to \mathcal{D}_2$  be a functor of triangulated categories. Denote by  $\mathcal{C}_1$ ,  $\mathcal{C}_2$  the hearts of the respective categories.

**Definition 1.4.** The additive functor

$${}^{p}F: \mathcal{C}_{1} \to \mathcal{C}_{2}, \qquad X \mapsto H^{0}(F(X)).$$

We say that  ${}^pF$  is left t-exact if  $F(\mathcal{D}_1^{\geq 0})\subseteq \mathcal{D}_2^{\geq 0}$ , and analogously for right t-exactness.

## 2. Perverse sheaves

Now we define a t-structure on the derived category of constructable sheaves. Recall that we have a Verdier duality functor  $\mathbf{D}_X : D^b_{con}(X)^{op} \to D^b_{con}(X)$ .

**Definition 2.1.** For  $F^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{D}^b_{con}(X)$ , we have  $F^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{D}^{\leq 0}_{con}(X)$  if and only if

$$\dim \operatorname{supp}(H^j(F^{\bullet})) \le -j$$

for all  $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Likewise, we have  $F^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{D}^{\geq 0}_{con}(X)$  if and only if

$$\dim \operatorname{supp}(H^j(\mathbf{D}_X F^{\bullet})) \le -j$$

for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

It is not obvious that this is indeed a t-structure, so it must be stated seperately.

**Theorem 2.2.** The pair  $(\mathcal{D}_{con}^{\leq 0}, \mathcal{D}_{con}^{\geq 0})$  forms a t-structure.

**Definition 2.3.** We call the heart of this t-structure  $\operatorname{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X)$  the perverse sheaves on X. The functor  $\mathbf{D}_X$  induces a duality of  $\operatorname{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ . Now, for any functor  $F: \mathcal{D}^b_{con}(X) \to \mathcal{D}^b_{con}(Y)$ , denote by  ${}^pF$  the composition  ${}^pH^0 \circ F$ , viewed as a functor  $\operatorname{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X) \to \operatorname{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_Y)$ . In particular, we get the associated functors

$${}^{p}f^{-1}, {}^{p}f^{!}: \operatorname{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Y}) \to \operatorname{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X}),$$

and

$${}^{p}Rf_{*}, {}^{p}Rf_{!} : \operatorname{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{X}) \to \operatorname{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_{Y}).$$

Next, we define minimal extensions of perverse sheaves. Let  $X = Z \sqcup U$  with  $i: Z \to X$  proper and  $j: U \to X$  open. For  $F^{\bullet} \in \mathcal{D}^b_{con}(X)$ , we have natural maps

$$j_!F^{\bullet} \to Rf_*F^{\bullet}, \qquad s \mapsto s,$$

mapping a section to itself. If  $F^{\bullet}$  is a perverse sheaf on U, we also get maps

$$(2.1) ^p j_! F^{\bullet} \to {}^p f_* F^{\bullet}.$$

**Definition 2.4.** We denote by  ${}^pf_{!*}F^{\bullet}$  the image of (2.1), and call it the minimal extension of  $F^{\bullet} \in \text{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_U)$ .

In other words, we have maps

$$(2.2) p_{j!}F^{\bullet} \to p_{j!*}F^{\bullet} \hookrightarrow p_{j*}F^{\bullet}.$$

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $F^{\bullet} \in Perv(\mathbb{C}_U)$  as above. Then

- (i)  ${}^pf_*F^{\bullet}$  has no non-trivial sub-objects whose support is contained in Z.
- (ii)  ${}^pj_!F^{\bullet}$  has no non-trivial quotient object whose support is contained in Z.
- (iii)  ${}^pj_{!*}F^{\bullet}$  has neither sub- or quotient objects whose support is contained in Z.

Now suppose that  $F^{\bullet}$  is a simple object in  $\operatorname{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_U)$ . We claim that  ${}^p j_{!*} F^{\bullet}$  is also simple in  $\operatorname{Perv}(\mathbb{C}_X)$ . Indeed, for any subobject  $G^{\bullet} \subseteq {}^p j_{!*} F^{\bullet}$  we may consider the exact sequence

$$(2.3) 0 \to G^{\bullet} \to {}^{p}j_{!*}F^{\bullet} \to H^{\bullet} \to 0.$$

Since  $j! = j^{-1}$  is exact here, we may apply it to obtain

$$(2.4) 0 \to j^{-1}G^{\bullet} \to F^{\bullet} \to j^{-1}H^{\bullet} \to 0.$$

Since  $F^{\bullet}$  is simple,  $j^{-1}G^{\bullet}$  or  $j^{-1}H^{\bullet}$  is zero, or in other words, one of  $G^{\bullet}$  and  $H^{\bullet}$  is supported in Z. By the previous theorem, this makes it zero.

**Theorem 2.6.**  $G = {}^{p}j_{!*}F^{\bullet}$  is the unique perverse sheaf satisfying the conditions

- (i)  $G^{\bullet}|_{U} \simeq F^{\bullet}$ ,
- $\begin{array}{ccc} (ii) & i^{-1}G^{\bullet} \in {}^{p}\mathcal{D}^{\leq -1}_{con}(Z), \\ (iii) & i^{!}G^{\bullet} \in {}^{p}\mathcal{D}^{\geq 1}_{con}(Z). \end{array}$

# 3. Intersection cohomology

Let X be an irreducible complex projective variety (or an irreducible compact analytic space) of dimension d. We may start by defining the intersection cohomology complex in the following way.

**Definition 3.1.** Take  $U \subseteq X^{\text{reg}}$  to be a Zariski open subset of the smooth locus of X. For  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_U[d]$  the constant perverse sheaf on U, define  $IC_X^{\bullet}$  to be a minimal extension to all of X.

We may explicitly construct  $IC_X^{\bullet}$ . Fix a Whitney stratification  $X = \bigsqcup_{\alpha} X_{\alpha}$ ,

(3.1) 
$$X_k = \coprod_{\dim X_{\alpha} < k} X_{\alpha}, \quad U_k := X \setminus X_{k-1},$$

with each  $X_k \setminus X_{k-1}$  a smooth k-dimensional complex manifold. We have a family of maps  $j_k: U_k \hookrightarrow U_{k-1}$ , for  $k=1,\ldots,d$ . Altogether, this fits into

$$(3.2) \emptyset \hookrightarrow U_d \hookrightarrow U_{d-1} \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow U_1 \hookrightarrow X.$$

**Theorem 3.2.**  $IC_X^{\bullet}$  is quasi-isomorphic to the complex

$$(3.3) p_{j_{!*}}(\mathbb{C}_U[d_X]) \simeq \left(\tau^{\leq -1}Rj_{1*}\right) \circ \left(\tau^{\leq -2}Rj_{2*}\right) \circ \cdots \circ \left(\tau^{\leq -d}Rj_{d*}\right) \left(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_U[d]\right).$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $F^{\bullet}$  is a perverse sheaf on  $U_k$  whose restriction to any strata  $X_{\alpha} \subseteq U_k$  has locally constant  $\mathscr{H}^i$ . We show that  $G^{\bullet} := \tau^{\leq -k} Rj_{k*}(F^{\bullet})$  satisfies the unique characterization of a minimal extension from Theorem 2.6. First, note that  $U_k$  consists of strata of dimension  $\geq k$ , so that  $\mathcal{H}^r(F^{\bullet}) = 0$  for r > -k. In particular,

$$\left[\tau^{\leq -k} R j_{k*} F^{\bullet}\right] |_{U_k} \simeq F^{\bullet},$$

so that what we have is indeed an extension of  $F^{\bullet}$ , satisfying (i). Next, set  $Z := U_{k-1} \setminus U_k = U_{k-1} \setminus U_k$  $\bigsqcup_{\dim X_{\alpha}=k-1} X_{\alpha}$ . Denote by  $i:Z\to U_k$  the associated closde embedding. Then  $i^{-1}G^{\bullet}$  has locally constant cohomology sheaves on each  $X_{\alpha} \subseteq Z$ , so that  $\mathscr{H}^r(i^{-1}G^{\bullet}) = 0$  for r > -k. This implies that  $i^{-1}G^{\bullet} \in {}^{p}\mathcal{D}_{con}^{\leq -1}$ , thus satisfying condition (ii). Finally, consider the triangle

$$(3.4) G \to Ri_{k*}F^{\bullet} \to \tau^{\geq -k+1}Ri_{k*}F^{\bullet} \stackrel{+1}{\to} \cdots$$

Applying  $i^!$ , we note that the middle vanishes. This gives us isomorphisms

$$i^!G^{\bullet} \simeq i^!(\tau^{\geq -k+1}Rj_{k*}F^{\bullet})[-1]$$

so that  $\mathscr{H}^r(i^!G^{\bullet})=0$  for r<-k. But since  $i^!G$  has locally constant cohomology on  $X_{\alpha}\subseteq Z$ we get  $i'G \in {}^p\mathcal{D}^{\geq 1}_{con}(Z)$ , proving (iii).

Importantly, the intersection cohomology complex is self-dual in the way we expect of perverse sheaves.

**Theorem 3.3.**  $IC_X^{\bullet} \simeq \mathbb{D}_X(IC_X^{\bullet})$ . Furthermore, there exist canonical morphisms

$$(3.5) \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X \to IC_X^{\bullet}[-d] \to \omega_X^{\bullet}[-2d].$$

*Proof.* We have an isomorphism in the derived category of constructible sheaves from above

(3.6) 
$$\tau^{\leq -d} p_{j_{!*}} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{X}[d] \simeq Rj_{1*} \circ \cdots \circ Rj_{d*} (\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{X}[d]) \simeq (j_{*}\mathbb{C})[d].$$

This is none other than the intersection complex of X, and we see that it admits a canonical map from  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$ . The second map in the composition comes from taking the Verdier dual,  $IC^{\bullet}_{X}[d] \to \omega^{\bullet}_{X}$ .

With the intersection complex in place, we are ready to define intersection cohomology.

**Definition 3.4.** For  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we define

(3.7) 
$$IH^{i}(X) = H^{i}\left(R\Gamma\left(X, IC_{X}^{\bullet}[-d]\right)\right),$$

(3.8) 
$$IH_c^i(X) = H^i\left(R\Gamma_c\left(X, IC_X^{\bullet}[-d]\right)\right).$$

Importantly, the intersection cohomology of X satisfies Poincare duality.

**Theorem 3.5.** Let X be irreducible of dimension d. Then

$$(3.9) IH^{i}(X) \simeq \left[IH_{c}^{2d-i}(X)\right]^{*}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $a_X: X \to \{p\}$  be the unique map to a point. We have

$$(3.10) R\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(Ra_{X!}IC^{\bullet},\mathbb{C}) \simeq Ra_{X*}R\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_{X}}(IC_{X}^{\bullet},\omega_{X}^{\bullet}).$$

by Verdier duality. But  $IC_X^{\bullet}$  is self-dual, so that

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}_X}(IC_X^{\bullet},\omega_X^{\bullet}) = \mathbf{D}_X(IC_X^{\bullet}) = IC_X^{\bullet}.$$

Thus we get an isomorphism

$$[R\Gamma_c(X, IC_X^{\bullet})]^* \simeq R\Gamma(X, IC_X^{\bullet}).$$

**Example 3.6.** Let X be an irreducible complex projective variety with isolated singular points  $p_1, \ldots, p_k$ . Then it suffices to consider the stratification

$$X = \{p_1, \dots, p_k\} \sqcup X^{\text{reg}}.$$

Then we have  $X_0 = \cdots = X_{d-1} = \{p_1, \ldots, p_k\}$ , and  $X_d = X$ . Thus, we get the inclusions of complex manifolds

$$\emptyset \to U_d = X^{\text{reg}} = U_1 \stackrel{j_1}{\to} X.$$

The only interesting map in this stratification is  $j_1$ , the inclusion of the smooth locus. In particular, by Theorem 3.2,

$$IC_X^{\bullet} \simeq \tau^{\leq -1} \left( Rj_{1*} \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{U_1} \right).$$

Now, associated to truncation is the exact couple

$$(3.12) IC_X^{\bullet}[-d] \to Rj_{1*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{U_1} \to \tau^{\geq d} \left( Rj_{1*}\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{U_1} \right) \stackrel{+1}{\to} \cdots$$

But now we can apply  $R\Gamma$  and take cohomology. For  $0 \leq i < d$ , we have  $IH^i(X) = H^i(X, Rj_{1*}\overline{\mathbb{C}}_{U_1}) = H^i(X^{\text{reg}}, \mathbb{C})$ . For i = d, we do not get a clear vanishing on the right, but the map  $IH^d(X) \to H^d(X^{\text{reg}}, \mathbb{C})$  is injective. To analyze this case more carefully, consider the canonical morphism  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X \to IC_X^{\bullet}[-d]$  guarenteed by Theorem 3.3.

We may associate to this natural map a new exact couple

$$(3.13) \underline{\mathbb{C}}_X \to IC_X^{\bullet}[-d] \to F^{\bullet} \stackrel{+1}{\to} \cdots$$

Here  $F^{\bullet}$  is a constructable sheaf supported only on the zero-dimensional closed subset  $\{p_1,\ldots,p_k\}=X_0$ . In particular,  $H^i(F^{\bullet})=0$  for all  $i\geq d$ . Thus, we get  $IH^i(X)=H^i(X,\mathbb{C})$  for  $d< i\leq 2d$ . After i=2d, the cohomology of  $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_X$  vanishes, and with it  $IH^i(X)$ . Summarizing,

$$(3.14) IH^{i}(X) = \begin{cases} H^{i}(X^{\text{reg}}, \mathbb{C}) & : 0 \leq i < d \\ \text{im}(H^{i}(X, \mathbb{C}) \to H^{i}(X^{\text{reg}}, \mathbb{C})) & : i = d \\ H^{i}(X, \mathbb{C}) & : d < i \leq 2d \\ 0 & : \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

## 4. Computations via Saito's mixed Hodge modules

To compute intersection complexes of standard perverse sheaves, we use Saito's mixed Hodge modules ([Sai88], [Sai90]). For a quick summary of this theory, refer to [dCRS21, §2.1]. Throughout these computations, we loosely follow [dCM09, §2].

Let X be a variety over  $\mathbb{C}$ . Saito [Sai90] defines a category  $\mathcal{D}^b$  MHM(X) of complexes of mixed Hodge modules, equipped with Hodge-theoretic weight formalism and a natural morphism rat :  $\mathcal{D}^b$  MHM(X)  $\to \mathcal{D}^b_{con}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ . This category has two t-structures: the one corresponding to the standard t-structure on  $\mathcal{D}^b_{con}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ , and the perverse t-structure. This sets a heart: if  $K \in \text{MHM}(X)$ , then  $\text{rat}(K) \in \text{Perv}(X,\mathbb{Q})$ . When restricted to a suitable dense open set, the objects in MHM(X) become admissable variations of polarizable mixed Hodge structures.

More importantly, for  $f: X \to B$  a proper morphism of varieties and  $K \in MHM(X)$ , if the derived pushforwards (with respect to the standard t-structures)  $R^i f_* K$ ,  $R^i f_! K$  are perverse sheaves, they may be endowed with the structure of an object in  $\mathcal{D}^b \operatorname{MHM}(X)$ . More concretely, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 4.1** (Decomposition Theorem). Let  $K \in MHM(X)$  be of pure weight w. Then there is a splitting of  $Rf_*K$  with respect to the perverse t-structure

(4.1) 
$$Rf_*K = \bigoplus_k {}^p \mathcal{H}^k(Rf_*K)[-k],$$

where each summand  ${}^p\mathcal{H}^k(Rf_*K)[-k]$  is pure and semisimple in  $\mathcal{D}^b\operatorname{MHM}(X)$  of weight w-k. (Should there be no [-k] shift?) Moreover, if  $\eta$  is an ample class on X, there is a relative Hard Lefschetz isomorphism

(4.2) 
$$\eta^i: {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-i}(Rf_*K) \simeq {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(Rf_*K).$$

4.1. Fibrations of smooth algebraic varieties. In this section, we focus on the case of  $f: X \to B$ , where f is proper with positive-dimensional fibers, and X and B are smooth.

**Example 4.2.** Let X be a projective surface and B a curve. Denote by  $B^{\circ}$  the locus of regular values of f. Then  $R^1 f_* \mathbb{Q}_X|_{B^{\circ}}$  is a weight one variation of Hodge structures.

As a Hodge module,  $\mathbb{Q}_X(0)$  is pure of weight 0. Over  $B^{\circ}$ , its pushforward decomposes as

$$\mathbb{Q}_{B^{\circ}}(0) \oplus R^{1} f_{*} \mathbb{Q}_{X|_{B^{\circ}}}[-1] \oplus \mathbb{Q}_{B^{\circ}}(-1)[-2].$$

Now let  $\Delta = B \setminus B^{\circ}$ . Suppose further that the singular fibers of f are irreducible. Over  $p \in \Delta$ , we get

(4.4) 
$$Rf_*\mathbb{Q}_X|_p = H^0(X_p, \mathbb{Q})[2] \oplus H^1(X_p, \mathbb{Q})[1] \oplus H^2(X_p, \mathbb{Q})[0].$$

## References

- [dCM09] Mark de Cataldo and Luca Migliorini. The decomposition theorem, perverse sheaves and the topology of algebraic maps. Bulletin of the American Mathematical Society, 46(4):535–633, 2009.
- [dCRS21] Mark Andrea A de Cataldo, Antonio Rapagnetta, and Giulia Saccà. The Hodge numbers of O'Grady 10 via Ngô strings. *Journal de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées*, 156:125–178, 2021.
- [HTT08] Ryoshi Hotta, Kiyoshi Takeuchi, and Toshiyuki Tanisaki. Perverse sheaves. In *D-Modules, Perverse Sheaves, and Representation Theory*, pages 181–228. Springer, 2008.
- [Sai88] Morihiko Saito. Modules de Hodge polarisables. Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 24(6):849–995, 1988.
- [Sai90] Morihiko Saito. Mixed Hodge modules. Publications of the Research Institute for Mathematical Sciences, 26(2):221–333, 1990.