

Instructions:

- This final exam is designed to be finished **within 180 minutes**. The 24 hours period are designed to accommodate time zone difference. It includes time for scanning and uploading your submission and any potential technical difficulty. **No late submission is accepted.**
- Follow directions and answer questions with requested supporting work. Be careful not to jump steps.
- Clearly indicate your answer in the allotted space or by putting a box around it.
- The final exam will be posted on June 8th 3:00pm PST. You will have 24 hours to finish and upload your solution to CCLE by June 9th 2:59pm PST. You can use the textbook, any course material posted on CCLE, and your hand-written notes; you are not allowed to use calculators nor the Internet, and you cannot work with anyone else (classmate, family member, private tutor, etc.). You can scan or take high-resolution photos of your hand-written solutions, but the uploaded submission must be a single PDF file.

Problem 1

Given a data set $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(N)}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$, it contains $D \times N$ real number entries.

- (a) (10 points) Consider an integer $M < D$. PCA takes an M -dimensional subspace $\mathcal{P}_M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$ and decomposes each data point

$$\mathbf{x}^{(i)} \approx \pi_{\mathcal{P}_M}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} - \bar{\mathbf{x}}) + \bar{\mathbf{x}}.$$

Here $\bar{\mathbf{x}} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ denotes the sample mean, and $\pi_{\mathcal{P}_M}$ denotes the orthogonal projection onto the subspace \mathcal{P}_M . What is the choice of \mathcal{P}_M for performing PCA? What is the reason behind this choice? (Hint: This is partially discussed in TP#4 Problem 1 (c) except the reasoning part.)

- (b) (10 points) Explain how to use PCA to compress the data. Write the total count of real number entries in the compressed result in terms of D , N and M .

Problem 2

Let $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N \subset \mathbb{R}^D$ be a set of N data points drawn independently from a unknown probability distribution p .

- (a) (5 points) Write down the expressions for the sample mean $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$ and sample covariance matrix \mathbf{S} of the data set \mathcal{D} .
- (b) (10 points) Find a linear transformation

$$\mathbf{z}^{(i)} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \mathbf{b}$$

such that the resulting data set $\mathcal{D}' = \{\mathbf{z}^{(i)}\}_{i=1}^N$ has zero mean and identity covariance matrix. Write down the sample mean $\bar{\mathbf{z}}$ and sample covariance matrix \mathbf{S}' of the data set \mathcal{D}' in terms of $\bar{\mathbf{x}}$, \mathbf{S} , \mathbf{A} , and \mathbf{b} . Setting $\bar{\mathbf{z}}$ to zero and $\mathbf{S}' = \mathbf{I}$, find the condition on \mathbf{A} and \mathbf{b} for data whitening.

- (c) (5 points) Give an example of how data whitening can help a machine learning algorithm.

Problem 3

Consider the following two-layer feed-forward neural network

$$y(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \mathbf{W}^{(2)}, \mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \mathbf{v}^{(2)}) = \sigma \circ \psi^{(2)} \circ \sigma \circ \psi^{(1)}(\mathbf{x}),$$

where the logistic sigmoid function $\sigma(b) = (1 + \exp(-b))^{-1}$ is chosen as the activation, and the affine map for layer $l = 1, 2$ is given by

$$\psi^{(l)}(\mathbf{z}) = \mathbf{v}^{(l)} + \mathbf{W}^{(l)}\mathbf{z}.$$

Denote the hidden units

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{z}^{(0)} &= \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D \\ \mathbf{a}^{(1)} &= \mathbf{v}^{(1)} + \mathbf{W}^{(1)}\mathbf{z}^{(0)} \in \mathbb{R}^M \\ \mathbf{z}^{(1)} &= \sigma(\mathbf{a}^{(1)}) \in \mathbb{R}^M \\ \mathbf{a}^{(2)} &= \mathbf{v}^{(2)} + \mathbf{W}^{(2)}\mathbf{z}^{(1)} \in \mathbb{R}^1 \\ \mathbf{z}^{(2)} &= \sigma(\mathbf{a}^{(2)}) \in \mathbb{R}^1. \end{aligned}$$

Specifically, the input $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is a D -dimensional vector, and the output $y(\mathbf{x}) \in [0, 1]$ is a scalar. Note that the vector-valued sigmoid function $\sigma(\mathbf{a}) = \sigma(a_1, \dots, a_M) = (\sigma(a_1), \dots, \sigma(a_M))$ is defined entry-wise.

- (a) (10 points) Using chain rule, compute the following derivatives.

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathbf{v}^{(1)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \mathbf{W}^{(2)}, \mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \mathbf{v}^{(2)}), \\ \frac{\partial y}{\partial \mathbf{W}^{(1)}}(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \mathbf{W}^{(2)}, \mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \mathbf{v}^{(2)}). \end{aligned}$$

- (b) (10 points) Consider using this network for a binary classification problem on a data set $\mathcal{D} = \{(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, t^{(i)})\}_{i=1}^N$ where $t^{(i)} = 1$ denotes class $C_{[1]}$ and $t^{(i)} = 0$ denotes class $C_{[2]}$. The likelihood function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathcal{D} | \mathbf{W}^{(1)}, \mathbf{W}^{(2)}, \mathbf{v}^{(1)}, \mathbf{v}^{(2)}) &= \prod_{i \in C_{[1]}} y(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}) \prod_{i \in C_{[2]}} (1 - y(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^N y(\mathbf{x}^{(i)})^{t^{(i)}} (1 - y(\mathbf{x}^{(i)}))^{1-t^{(i)}}. \end{aligned}$$

Compute the derivative of the log-likelihood function with respect to $\mathbf{v}^{(1)}$ and $\mathbf{W}^{(1)}$. Is there a closed form for the optimal values that maximize the log-likelihood function?

Problem 4

Given a data set $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(N)}\} \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$ sampled from a Gaussian mixture

$$p(\mathbf{x} | \{\pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k\}_{k=1}^K) = \sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k),$$

where $\{\pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k, k = 1, \dots, K\}$ are parameters to be determined. Let $\mathbf{z}^{(i)} \in \{\mathbf{e}_1, \dots, \mathbf{e}_K\}$ denote the latent variable such that $\mathbf{z}^{(i)} = \mathbf{e}_k$ if $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ is sampled from the k -th Gaussian. The EM (*expectation-maximization*) algorithm consists of two steps, E step and M step.

- (a) (5 points) The E step treats the variables $\{\pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k, k = 1, \dots, K\}$ as constant and updates the posterior probability for cluster membership

$$\gamma_k^{(i)} \approx p(\mathbf{z}^{(i)} = \mathbf{e}_k | \mathbf{x}^{(i)}).$$

Using the Gaussian mixture model and Bayes theorem, give the formula for updating $\gamma_k^{(i)}$ in terms of $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ and $\pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k, k = 1, \dots, K$.

The M step uses the $\gamma_k^{(i)}$ values computed in part (a) and updates the parameters $\{\pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k, k = 1, \dots, K\}$. The following problems will take you through M step for updating $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$.

- (b) (5 points) The likelihood function is given by

$$\begin{aligned} p(\mathcal{D} | \{\pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k\}_{k=1}^K) &= \prod_{i=1}^N p(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} | \{\pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k\}_{k=1}^K) \\ &= \prod_{i=1}^N \left(\sum_{k=1}^K \pi_k \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{x}^{(i)} | \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k) \right). \end{aligned}$$

Compute the derivative of the log-likelihood function $\log p(\mathcal{D} | \{\pi_k, \boldsymbol{\mu}_k, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_k\}_{k=1}^K)$ with respect to $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ and express the result in terms of $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}, i = 1, \dots, N, \pi_j, \boldsymbol{\mu}_j, \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_j, j = 1, \dots, K$.

- (c) (5 points) Indicate the appropriate term in the result in part (b) that can be approximated by $\gamma_k^{(i)}$ computed in part (a).
- (d) (5 points) Set the approximated derivative of the log-likelihood function to zero and find the approximated optimal $\boldsymbol{\mu}_k$ for maximizing the log-likelihood function.

Problem 5

Let $V \subseteq \mathbb{R}^D$ be an M -dimensional subspace with $M < D$. Let p be the probability distribution of a random variable $\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ such that

$$p(\mathbf{x}) = \begin{cases} (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{M}{2}} \exp\left(-\frac{\|\mathbf{x}\|^2}{2\sigma^2}\right) & \text{if } \mathbf{x} \in V \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

- (a) (5 points) Let $\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M \in \mathbb{R}^D$ be an orthonormal basis of V . Show that the random variable \mathbf{x} defined above satisfies that $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{z}$ where

$$\mathbf{Q} = [\mathbf{q}_1, \dots, \mathbf{q}_M] \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times M}, \\ \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^M, \quad \mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_M).$$

You can show this by considering a vector valued random variable $\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{Q}\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ with \mathbf{Q} and \mathbf{z} defined above. Write down the probability distribution of \mathbf{y} (in terms of the p.d.f. of \mathbf{z} and \mathbf{Q}) and verify that it's indeed the same as $p(\mathbf{x})$.

- (b) (5 points) Let $\mathcal{D} = \{\mathbf{x}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{x}^{(N)}\}$ be a set of N data points drawn independently from the probability distribution p . Suppose that you do not know the value of M . Explain how you could use PCA on \mathcal{D} to estimate M .

- (c) (10 points) Now consider a noisy data set $\mathcal{D}_{\text{noisy}} = \{\mathbf{y}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{y}^{(N)}\}$. Each $\mathbf{y}^{(i)}$ is given by

$$\mathbf{y}^{(i)} = \mathbf{x}^{(i)} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(i)} \in \mathbb{R}^D,$$

where $\mathbf{x}^{(i)}$ is an independent sample from p , and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon}^{(i)}$ is an independent sample from $\mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \eta^2 \mathbf{I}_D)$. Can you still estimate the value of M using PCA on $\mathcal{D}_{\text{noisy}}$? (HINT: your answer may depend on σ and η .)

- (d) **(Bonus, up to 10 points)** Suppose the random variable $\mathbf{g} \in \mathbb{R}^D$ is given by

$$\mathbf{g} = \mathbf{A}\mathbf{z} + \boldsymbol{\epsilon},$$

where $\mathbf{A} \in \mathbb{R}^{D \times M}$ is a full rank matrix, $\mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{R}^M$, $\mathbf{z} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \sigma^2 \mathbf{I}_M)$, and $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \in \mathbb{R}^D$, $\boldsymbol{\epsilon} \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \eta^2 \mathbf{I}_D)$. Let $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{noisy}} = \{\mathbf{g}^{(1)}, \dots, \mathbf{g}^{(N)}\}$ be a data set individually drawn from the probability distribution of \mathbf{g} . What is the necessary condition so we can use PCA on $\mathcal{D}'_{\text{noisy}}$ to estimate M ?