

under Graduate Homework In Mathematics

FunctionalAnalysis 10

王胤雅

201911010205

201911010205@mail.bnu.edu.cn

2023 年 12 月 19 日



General fire extinguisher

PROBLEM I Let $f \in \mathcal{X}^*$, $f \neq 0$, let $d := \inf\{\|x\| : f(x) = 1, x \in \mathcal{X}\}$, prove: $\|f\| = \frac{1}{d}$.

SOLUTION. First of all $d > 0$, that is because f is continue, $\exists \delta > 0$, $\forall \|x\| < \delta$, $|f(x)| \leq 1$. So $d \geq \delta$. Besides, $\exists x \neq 0$, such that $f(x) \neq 0$, then $\{x \in \mathcal{X} : f(x) = 1\}$ is not empty.

1. $\forall \|x\| = 1$, $|f(x)| \leq \frac{1}{d}$: if not, $\exists \|x\| = 1$, $|f(x)| > \frac{1}{d}$, let $x = \frac{x}{f(x)}$, so $f(\frac{x}{f(x)}) = 1$, $\left\|\frac{x}{f(x)}\right\| = \frac{\|x\|}{|f(x)|} = \frac{1}{|f(x)|} < d$. So $\inf\{\|x\| : f(x) = 1\} < d$.
2. $\|f\| \geq \frac{1}{d}$: Since $\exists \{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$, such that $f(x_n) = 1$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = d$. Then, $y_n := \frac{x_n}{\|x_n\|}$, so $\|y_n\| = 1$, $|f(y_n)| = \frac{|f(x_n)|}{\|x_n\|} = \frac{1}{\|x_n\|} \rightarrow \frac{1}{d}$.

□

PROBLEM II Let $f \in \mathcal{X}^*$, prove: $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $\exists x_0 \in \mathcal{X}$, such that $f(x_0) = \|f\|$, and $\|x_0\| < 1 + \varepsilon$.

SOLUTION. $\forall \varepsilon > 0$, $n = \left\lceil \frac{\|f\|}{1+\varepsilon} \right\rceil + 1$, so $\exists \|x\| = 1$, $|f(x)| \geq \|f\| - \frac{\varepsilon}{n} > \frac{1}{n}$, Let $y := xe^{-i\theta}$, where $\theta := \arg f(x)$, then $f(y) = e^{-i\theta} f(x) \geq 0$, $f(y) = |f(x)|$. So $z = y + \frac{\varepsilon}{nf(y)}y$, $f(z) = f(y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{nf(y)}f(y) = f(y) + \frac{\varepsilon}{n} \geq \|f\|$, $\|z\| \leq |(k+1)|\|x\| = \frac{\varepsilon}{nf(y)} + 1 < 1 + \varepsilon$. Therefore, $f(z) = \|f\|$ and $\|z\| < 1 + \varepsilon$. □

PROBLEM III Let $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ is linear, let $N(T) := \{x \in \mathcal{X} : Tx = 0\}$.

1. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, prove: $N(T)$ is closed subspace of \mathcal{X} .
2. Can we infer $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$ through that $N(T)$ is closed subspace in \mathcal{X} .
3. If f is a linear functional, prove: $f \in \mathcal{X}^* \iff N(f)$ is closed subspace in \mathcal{X} .

SOLUTION. 1. $\forall x, y \in \mathcal{X}, a, b \in \mathbb{K}$, $f(ax + by) = af(x) + bf(y) = 0$. So $ax + by \in N(T)$. $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset N(T)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$. Since $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{Y})$, then $f(x) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(x_n) = 0$. Therefore, $N(T)$ is closed.

2. No. Consider $\mathcal{X} := l^1$, where the norm on \mathcal{X} is $\|x\| := \sup_{n \geq 1} |x(n)|$, $x(n)$ is the n -th number of x . a such that $a(k) = 1, k = 1, a(k) = -1, k = 2, a(k) = 0, k > 2$. $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$, $f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^\infty x(n)$. Let $T : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{X}$, $T(x) = x - af(x)$. Since $x \in l^1$, then $|f(x)| = |\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+} x(n)| \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+} |x(n)| < \infty$ So $\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+} |x(n) - f(x)a(n)| \leq \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+} |x(n)| + |f(x)| < \infty$. Therefore, T is well-defined. Besides, T is linear obviously. And $\forall x \in N(T)$, $x = af(x) \iff x(n) = f(x)a(n), n \in \mathbb{N}_+$, and $f(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}_+} x(n) = 0$. Therefore, $N(T) = \{\theta\}$. Besides, \mathcal{X} can be a distance space, then $N(T)$ is closed. However, $\|f\| = \infty$, that is because $f(x_n) = n$, where $x_n(k) = \mathbb{1}_{k \leq n}$. So $\|x_n\| = 1$, $\|f(x_n)\| = n \rightarrow \infty, n \rightarrow \infty$. And $\forall x : \|x\| = 1, \|af(x)\| = \|x - T(x)\| \leq \|x\| + \|T(x)\| = 1 + \|T(x)\|$, thus, $\|T\| = \infty$.

3. By Item 1, we only need to prove $N(T)$ is closed $\implies T \in \mathcal{X}^*$.

(a) If $N(T) = \mathcal{X}$, then $\|T\| = 0$, so $T \in \mathcal{X}^*$.

(b) f $N(T) \subsetneq \mathcal{X}$, $\exists x \in \mathcal{X} \setminus N(T)$, such that $T(x) \neq 0$. So $x_0 := \frac{x}{T(x)} \in \mathcal{A} := \{x : T(x) = 1\}$. Obviously, $x_0 + N(T) \subset \mathcal{A}$, $\forall y \in \mathcal{A}$, $T(y - x_0) = T(y) - T(x_0) = 1 - 1 = 0$. Therefore, $\mathcal{A} \subset x_0 + N(T)$. Let $d := \inf\{\|x\| : x \in \mathcal{A}\}$. So $d \geq 0$. If $d = 0$, then $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset \mathcal{A}$, $\|x_n\| \rightarrow 0, n \rightarrow \infty$. Consider $y_n = x_n - x_0 \in N(T)$, then $\|y_n\| = \|x_n - x_0\| \leq \|x_n\| + \|x_0\| \rightarrow \|x_0\|, n \rightarrow \infty$. Then $\{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset N(T)$ is bounded. Besides, $N(T)$ is closed, then $\exists \{y_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty \subset \{y_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $\exists y_0 \in N(T), y_{n_k} \rightarrow y_0, k \rightarrow \infty$. For convenience's sake, assume $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = y_0$. So $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_0 + y_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_0 + y_0\| = 0$. Therefore, $x_0 + y_0 = 0$, then $x_0 \in N(T)$, i.e. $T(x_0) = 0$. Contradiction! Thus $d > 0$. Same as $\mathbb{R}^{\text{OBL}} \text{ I}$, then $\|T\| = \frac{1}{d} < \infty$. Therefore, $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{X}, \mathbb{K})$.

□