

Foundations of Reinforcement Learning

From Markov Decision Processes to Optimal Value Functions

Matthia Sabatelli

October 19, 2021

Today's Agenda

- ① Course Information
- ② What is Reinforcement Learning
- ③ Mathematical Framework
- ④ Value Functions

Course Information

- Coordinator: Matthia Sabatelli
- Lecturers: Matthia Sabatelli (m.sabatelli@rug.nl) and Nicole Orzan (n.orzan@rug.nl)
- Classroom: TBD
- Theoretical Lectures: Monday morning from 9:00-11:00
- Computer Labs: Monday afternoon from 15:00-17:00

Course Information

- Lecture 1: Foundations of Reinforcement Learning (Matthia)
- Lecture 2: Exploration and Bandit Problems (Nicole)
- Lecture 3: Dynamic Programming (Nicole)
- Lecture 4: Model-Free Reinforcement Learning (Matthia)
- Lecture 5: Function Approximators (Matthia)
- Lecture 6: Beyond Model-Free Reinforcement Learning (Matthia)
- Lecture 7: *What does it mean to do research in RL?* (Matthia & Nicole)


Course Information

All [course material](#) will be made available

- Nestor
- Github: `https://github.com/paintception/reinforcement-learning-practical`

Course Information

Textbook: Reinforcement Learning: An Introduction by Sutton & Barto



Course Information

Final course [assessment](#):

- There is **no exam**
- Students should handle in three deliverables:
 1. Assignment 1: 25% of the grade (coding)
 2. Assignment 2: 25% of the grade (mathematics)
 3. Report: 50% of the grade (final project)
- Students can work alone or in groups of a maximum of **2** people

Reinforcement Learning

Machine Learning is typically divided into three branches:

1. Supervised Learning: learning from **labeled** data
2. Unsupervised Learning: learning from **unlabeled** data
3. Reinforcement Learning: learning from **experience**

Reinforcement Learning

A reminder of supervised learning:

- Input space: \mathcal{X}
- Output space: \mathcal{Y}
- Probability distribution $p(x, y)$

The goal

We want to build a function $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ that minimizes the expectation of a given loss ℓ

$$\mathbb{E}_{(x,y) \sim p(x,y)} \{\ell(y, f(x))\}$$

through learning samples $LS = \{(x_i, y_i) | i = 1, \dots, N\}$ of input-output pairs drawn from $p(x, y)$.

Reinforcement Learning

Supervised learning is therefore:


- A learning paradigm which is **static**
- **No interaction** happens between the learner and $p(x, y)$
- Assumes we have access to a **knowledgeable supervisor**

In Reinforcement Learning however ...

Reinforcement Learning

- We would like to learn how to **interact** with an environment
- We do not assume any sort of supervision but only a **reward** signal
- The component of **time** plays a crucial role
- The learning process is therefore **dynamic** and **uncertain**
- Agents are **goal oriented**

Reinforcement Learning



Reinforcement Learning

Some popular examples of successful Reinforcement Learning applications:

- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=eG1Ed8PTJ18>
- <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=n2gE7n11h1Y>
- https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=_qLs2K4UXXk

Reinforcement Learning

The mathematical framework of Reinforcement Learning:

- A set of possible **states** \mathcal{S} where $s_t \in \mathcal{S}$ is the current state
- A set of possible **actions** \mathcal{A} where $a_t \in \mathcal{A}$ is the current action
- A **transition function** $\mathcal{P} : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow [0, 1]$
- A **reward function** $\mathcal{R} : \mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which returns r_t

Markov Decision Processes (MDPs)

These components allows us to define a **Markov Decision Process**

$$\mathcal{M} = \langle \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, r \rangle.$$

Markov Decision Processes

What is so special about MDPs?

Markov Property

In a Markovian **environment** the conditional distribution of the next state of the process only depends from the current state of the process.

$$p(s_{t+1}|s_t, a_t, s_{t-1}, a_{t-1}, \dots) = p(s_{t+1}|s_t, a_t).$$

Interestingly, the same property also holds for the **reward** that the agent will get:

$$p(r_t|s_t, a_t, \dots, s_1, a_1) = p(r_t|s_t, a_t).$$

Markov Decision Processes

How does an agent interact with its environment?

Policy π

Through a probability distribution over $a \in \mathcal{A}(s)$ for each $s \in \mathcal{S}$:

$$\pi(a|s) = \Pr \{a_t = a | s_t = s\}, \text{ for all } s \in \mathcal{S} \text{ and } a \in \mathcal{A}.$$

which more simply can be seen as a mapping from states to actions that determines the behaviour of the agent:

$$\pi : \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$$

Episodes

Once we have policy π we can let the agent interact with the environment, which results in an **episode**:

$$\langle (s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1}) \rangle, t = 0, \dots, T - 1$$

where T is a random variable defining the **length** of the episode

One $\langle s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1} \rangle$ is called a **trajectory** τ

Goals and Returns

Why do we want an agent to interact with the environment?

- We would like it to **master** a certain task
- Ideally it could **discover** solutions that are unknown to us
- **Biologically plausible** form of learning

How do we formalize this mathematically?

Goal

In its easiest form we can define such goal as **maximizing** the sequence of r_t returned by \mathcal{R}

$$G_t = r_t + r_{t+1} + r_{t+2}, \dots, r_T.$$

Goals and Returns

However the definition of G_t has some limitations:

- Assumes that episodes are finite
- Many real world applications are instead continuous, therefore $T = \infty$
- As a result G_t can be infinite as well

We need a slightly more complex definition of G_t based on the concept of **discounting** modeled by γ

Goals and Returns

Discounted Return

γ allows us to define the notion of **discounted cumulative reward**

$$\begin{aligned} G_t &= r_t + \gamma r_{t+1} + \gamma^2 r_{t+2} + \dots \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k r_{t+k+1}. \end{aligned}$$

- γ determines the value of future rewards as $0 \leq \gamma \leq 1$
- Makes G_t finite as long as $\gamma < 1$ and r_t is constant

$$G_t = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \gamma^k = \frac{1}{1 - \gamma}$$

Value Functions

One of the most **concepts** of Reinforcement Learning: **value**

- Directly connected to the notion of G_t
- We can define the value of a state s , of an action a , and even of a policy π
- Allows the introduction of **value functions**
 - state-value function $V(s)$
 - state-action value function $Q(s, a)$

Value Functions

The state-value function $V^\pi(s)$

$$\begin{aligned} V^\pi(s) &= \mathbb{E} \left[G_t \mid s_t = s, \pi \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_k \gamma^k r_{t+k+1} \mid s_t = s, \pi \right] \end{aligned}$$

- The **easiest** value function to learn
- Intuitively it tells us “*how good/bad*” every state s visited by policy π is
- This “*goodness*” is expressed with respect to G_t

Value Functions

The state-value function is only conditioned on the states that are being visited

The state-action value function $Q^\pi(s, a)$

$$\begin{aligned} Q^\pi(s, a) &= \mathbb{E} \left[G_t \mid s_t = s, a_t = a, \pi \right] \\ &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_k \gamma^k r_{t+k+1} \mid s_t = s, a_t = a, \pi \right] \end{aligned}$$

- Is much more **informative** compared to $V^\pi(s)$
- Intuitively tells us *how good/bad* taking action a in state s is
- Plays a crucial role in the **development** of Reinforcement Learning algorithms

Value Functions

An interesting property of these value functions is that they satisfy a [recursive equality](#)

$$\begin{aligned} V^\pi(s) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_k^\infty \gamma^k r_{t+k+1} \mid s_t = s, \pi \right] \\ &= \sum_a \pi(s, a) \sum_{s+1} p(s_{t+1}|s, a) [\mathcal{R}(s_t, a, s_{t+1}) + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Value Functions

An interesting property of these value functions is that they satisfy a [recursive equality](#)

$$\begin{aligned} V^\pi(s) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_k^\infty \gamma^k r_{t+k+1} \mid s_t = s, \pi \right] \\ &= \sum_a \pi(s, a) \sum_{s+1} p(s_{t+1}|s, a) [\mathcal{R}(s_t, a, s_{t+1}) + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1})] \end{aligned}$$

Value Functions

An interesting property of these value functions is that they satisfy a **recursive equality**

$$\begin{aligned} V^\pi(s) &= \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_k \gamma^k r_{t+k+1} \mid s_t = s, \pi \right] \\ &= \sum_a \pi(s, a) \sum_{s+1} p(s_{t+1} | s, a) [\mathcal{R}(s_t, a, s_{t+1}) + \gamma V^\pi(s_{t+1})] \end{aligned}$$

which is **key** for the creation of Reinforcement Learning algorithms (Lectures 3 and 4)!

Optimal Value Functions

Solving a Reinforcement Learning problem intuitively means finding a policy π that achieves a lot of reward:

- What makes a certain policy π better than another policy π' ?
- How can we rank different policies?

We can answer these questions thanks to the $V(s)$ and the $Q(s, a)$ functions:

Optimal Policy π^*

There is always one policy that is better or equal than all other policies

$$\pi \geq \pi' \text{ iff } V^\pi(s) \geq V^{\pi'}(s) \text{ for all } s \in \mathcal{S}$$

The best possible policy is the optimal policy π^*

Optimal Value Functions

- How do we find the optimal policy π^* ?
- By maximizing the state-value and state-action value functions results in the optimal value functions

$$V^*(s) = \max_{\pi} V^{\pi}(s)$$

$$Q^*(s, a) = \max_{\pi} Q^{\pi}(s, a)$$

which, if expressed in a recursive form, result in the Bellman optimality equations (for the coming lectures!)

Final Slide!

We aim to teach an agent how to **interact** with its environment:

Lecture Takeaway

1. The environment is modelled as a Markov Decision Process $\mathcal{M}\langle\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{P}, r\rangle$
2. The interaction is governed by the agent's policy π
3. The goal of the agent is measured wrt discounted cumulative reward G_t
4. G_t allows us to quantify how good a certain state s is, and how good a certain action a in a certain state is: $V^\pi(s)$ and $Q^\pi(s, a)$