

# Knowledge Representation & Propositional Logic

Sajad Darabi   Shirley. Chen

November 18, 2019

- A set of sentences that describe the world in some representational language (e.g. propositional logic)

- A set of sentences that describe the world in some representational language (e.g. propositional logic)
- An inference engine is a set of procedures that work upon the representation and can infer new facts or answer KB queries. (e.g. resolution, forward chaining).

Goals of logic is to

- Represent knowledge about the world
- Reason and do inference with that knowledge

Goals of logic is to

- Represent knowledge about the world
- Reason and do inference with that knowledge

Components of a logical system:

- Syntax: how to write sentences
- Semantics: how to interpret sentences
- Reasoning/Inference

# Propositional Logic

It's another name for boolean logic

- Syntax:
  - Propositional symbols (**atomic sentences**): A, B, C
  - Logical connectives  $\neg \wedge \vee \rightarrow \leftrightarrow$
- It is common to use standard lower-case roman letters to denote propositions

$p, q, r, \dots$

Computing truth value of any sentence is done recursively

- semantic:
  - if  $f$  and  $g$  are formulas
  - $\neg f$  - True iff  $f$  is false
  - $f \vee g$  - True iff atleast one of  $f$  or  $g$  is True
  - $f \wedge g$  - True iff both  $f$  and  $g$  are True
  - $f \rightarrow g$  - False iff  $f$  is true and  $g$  is false
  - $f \leftrightarrow g$  - True iff both  $f$  and  $g$  have the same value

Computing truth value of any sentence is done recursively

- semantic:
  - if  $f$  and  $g$  are formulas
  - $\neg f$  - True iff  $f$  is false
  - $f \vee g$  - True iff atleast one of  $f$  or  $g$  is True
  - $f \wedge g$  - True iff both  $f$  and  $g$  are True
  - $f \rightarrow g$  - False iff  $f$  is true and  $g$  is false
  - $f \leftrightarrow g$  - True iff both  $f$  and  $g$  have the same value



# Example

$$f = (\neg A \wedge B) \leftrightarrow C$$

$$w = \{A : 1, B : 1, C : 0\}$$

# Example

$$f = (\neg A \wedge B) \leftrightarrow C$$

$$w = \{A : 1, B : 1, C : 0\}$$

Draw the truth table for  $f$

# Some Very important Definitions

- If a sentence  $\alpha$  is true in model  $m$ , then we say model  $m$  **satisfies**  $\alpha$ .
- $M(\alpha) :=$  the set of all the models that satisfy  $\alpha$

# Some Very important Definitions

- If a sentence  $\alpha$  is true in model  $m$ , then we say model  $m$  **satisfies**  $\alpha$ .
- $M(\alpha) :=$  the set of all the models that satisfy  $\alpha$

## Entailment:

- $\alpha \models \beta :=$  if and only if every model in which  $\alpha$  is true  $\beta$  is also true.
- $\alpha \models \beta \leftrightarrow M(\alpha) \subseteq M(\beta)$

## Satisfiability:

- $\alpha$  is satisfiable if  $M(\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ , i.e. there is some assignment (model) that makes  $\alpha$  true. For example,  $(\alpha \wedge \neg\alpha)$  is unsatisfiable.

## Validity:

- $\alpha$  is valid if  $\alpha$  is always true in *all* models. For example,  $(\alpha \vee \neg\alpha)$  is valid.

# Example

A knowledge base consists of  $\delta = \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3\}$  what is  $M(\delta)$ ?

# Some more Defn's

- Knowledgebase  $\Delta$  is a set of sentences  $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$
- $M(\Delta)$  all possible models where all the facts hold. (That is, all these sentences are connected by conjunction.)

# Example

Determine models for the following (variables  $R, S, C$  (rainy, sunny, cloudy))

$$KB = R \vee S \vee C;$$

$$R \rightarrow C \wedge \neg S;$$

$$C \leftrightarrow \neg S$$

# Example

Determine models for the following (variables  $R, S, C$  (rainy, sunny, cloudy))

$$KB = R \vee S \vee C;$$

$$R \rightarrow C \wedge \neg S;$$

$$C \leftrightarrow \neg S$$

$$KB = \{(R = 1, S = 0, C = 1), (R = 0, C = 1, S = 0), (R = 0, C = 0, S = 1)\}$$



- We say two sentences are  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  equivalent iff  $M(\alpha) = M(\beta)$
- $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are inconsistent  $M(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \emptyset$
- $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are consistent  $M(\alpha \wedge \beta) \neq \emptyset$
- $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are mutually exclusive
  - $M(\alpha) \cap M(\beta) = \emptyset$
  - $M(\alpha \wedge \beta) = \emptyset$

- **Conjunction Normal Form (CNF):**  $(A \vee B) \wedge (B \vee \neg C \vee \neg D)$
- **Disjunction Normal Form (DNF):**  $(A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge \neg C) \vee (A \wedge \neg D)$
- Horn clause: subset of CNF where each clause has at most one positive literal
  - $A \vee B \vee \neg C$  X
  - $\neg A \vee B \vee \neg C$  ✓
  - $\neg A \vee \neg B \vee \neg C$  ✓

- **Conjunction Normal Form (CNF):**  $(A \vee B) \wedge (B \vee \neg C \vee \neg D)$
- **Disjunction Normal Form (DNF):**  $(A \wedge B) \vee (A \wedge \neg C) \vee (A \wedge \neg D)$
- Horn clause: subset of CNF where each clause has at most one positive literal
  - $A \vee B \vee \neg C$  X
  - $\neg A \vee B \vee \neg C$  ✓
  - $\neg A \vee \neg B \vee \neg C$  ✓

Complete:

- any logic can be represented using CNF, DNF
- Horn is not complete

## Some More Defn's

- KB entails a sentence  $\alpha$  denoted as  $\Delta \models \alpha$  if  $M(\Delta \wedge \alpha) = M(\Delta)$

## Some More Defn's

- KB entails a sentence  $\alpha$  denoted as  $\Delta \models \alpha$  if  $M(\Delta \wedge \alpha) = M(\Delta)$
- KB is consistent with sentence  $\alpha$  if  $M(\Delta \wedge \alpha)$  is non-empty.

## Some More Defn's

- KB entails a sentence  $\alpha$  denoted as  $\Delta \models \alpha$  if  $M(\Delta \wedge \alpha) = M(\Delta)$
- KB is consistent with sentence  $\alpha$  if  $M(\Delta \wedge \alpha)$  is non-empty.
- KB contradicts sentence  $\alpha$  if  $\Delta \wedge \alpha$  is not satisfiable.

## Some More Defn's

- KB entails a sentence  $\alpha$  denoted as  $\Delta \models \alpha$  if  $M(\Delta \wedge \alpha) = M(\Delta)$
- KB is consistent with sentence  $\alpha$  if  $M(\Delta \wedge \alpha)$  is non-empty.
- KB contradicts sentence  $\alpha$  if  $\Delta \wedge \alpha$  is not satisfiable.

**sanity check:** KB entails  $\alpha$  iff it contradicts  $\neg\alpha$

Tables:

$$\Delta : \{A, A \vee B \rightarrow C\}$$

$$\alpha : c$$

Determine if  $\Delta \models \alpha$



$(\alpha \wedge \beta) \equiv (\beta \wedge \alpha)$	commutativity of $\wedge$
$(\alpha \vee \beta) \equiv (\beta \vee \alpha)$	commutativity of $\vee$
$((\alpha \wedge \beta) \wedge \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \wedge (\beta \wedge \gamma))$	associativity of $\wedge$
$((\alpha \vee \beta) \vee \gamma) \equiv (\alpha \vee (\beta \vee \gamma))$	associativity of $\vee$
$\neg(\neg\alpha) \equiv \alpha$	double-negation elimination
$(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \equiv (\neg\beta \Rightarrow \neg\alpha)$	contraposition
$(\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \equiv (\neg\alpha \vee \beta)$	implication elimination
$(\alpha \Leftrightarrow \beta) \equiv ((\alpha \Rightarrow \beta) \wedge (\beta \Rightarrow \alpha))$	biconditional elimination
$\neg(\alpha \wedge \beta) \equiv (\neg\alpha \vee \neg\beta)$	De Morgan
$\neg(\alpha \vee \beta) \equiv (\neg\alpha \wedge \neg\beta)$	De Morgan
$(\alpha \wedge (\beta \vee \gamma)) \equiv ((\alpha \wedge \beta) \vee (\alpha \wedge \gamma))$	distributivity of $\wedge$ over $\vee$
$(\alpha \vee (\beta \wedge \gamma)) \equiv ((\alpha \vee \beta) \wedge (\alpha \vee \gamma))$	distributivity of $\vee$ over $\wedge$

**Figure 7.11** Standard logical equivalences. The symbols  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$  stand for arbitrary sentences of propositional logic.

# Inference Rules

- Modus Ponens: 
$$\frac{\alpha, \alpha \rightarrow \beta}{\therefore \beta}$$
  - Example:  $\Delta = \{A, B, B \vee C, B \rightarrow D\}$

# Inference Rules

- Modus Ponens: 
$$\frac{\alpha, \alpha \rightarrow \beta}{\therefore \beta}$$
  - Example:  $\Delta = \{A, B, B \vee C, B \rightarrow D\}$
- And-Elimination 
$$\frac{\alpha \wedge \beta}{\therefore \alpha}$$

# Inference Rules

- Modus Ponens: 
$$\frac{\alpha, \alpha \rightarrow \beta}{\therefore \beta}$$
  - Example:  $\Delta = \{A, B, B \vee C, B \rightarrow D\}$
- And-Elimination 
$$\frac{\alpha \wedge \beta}{\therefore \alpha}$$
- Resolution 
$$\frac{\alpha \vee \beta, \neg \beta \vee \delta}{\therefore \alpha \vee \delta}$$

# Inference Rules

- Modus Ponens: 
$$\frac{\alpha, \alpha \rightarrow \beta}{\therefore \beta}$$
  - Example:  $\Delta = \{A, B, B \vee C, B \rightarrow D\}$
- And-Elimination 
$$\frac{\alpha \wedge \beta}{\therefore \alpha}$$
- Resolution 
$$\frac{\alpha \vee \beta, \neg \beta \vee \delta}{\therefore \alpha \vee \delta}$$

**Sound:** is this inference rule/algorithm correct in all cases. For example

$$\frac{\alpha, \beta \rightarrow \alpha}{\therefore \beta}$$
 is not sound.

**Complete:** can it determine entailment for any  $\Delta \models \alpha$ .

*Proof by resolution is complete.*

# Proof by Resolution

How do we determine whether  $\Delta \models \alpha$ ?

**Proof by refutation:**  $\Delta \models \alpha$  if and only if the sentence  $(\Delta \wedge \neg\alpha)$  is unsatisfiable.

How do we determine whether  $(\Delta \wedge \neg\alpha)$  is unsatisfiable?

**Proof by Resolution** (a.k.a. a resolution-based algorithm): Use the resolution inference rule. This algorithm is sound and complete. It applies to any kind of  $\Delta$  and  $\alpha$ .

# Example

$$\Delta : A \vee \neg B \rightarrow C$$

$$C \rightarrow D \vee \neg E$$

$$E \vee D$$

$$\alpha : A \rightarrow D$$

Determine if  $\Delta \models \alpha$

# Example

$$\Delta : A \wedge B \rightarrow C, A, C \rightarrow D$$

$$\alpha : C$$

Determine if  $\Delta \models \alpha$



# Example

$$\Delta : P \vee Q, P \rightarrow R, Q \rightarrow R$$

$$\alpha : R$$

Determine if  $\Delta \models \alpha$

# Example

$$B \leftrightarrow (P \vee Q)$$

Convert the above to CNF

# Example

$$\Delta : \{(P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow Q, (P \rightarrow Q) \rightarrow R, (R \rightarrow S) \rightarrow \neg(S \rightarrow Q)\}$$

$$\alpha : R$$

Determine if (first convert to CNF)  $\Delta \models \alpha$

# Example

- ① John is going to the store
- ② That guy is going to the store
- ③ John, go to the store
- ④ Did John go to the store?

# Example

Either I'll pay for the meal and you'll pay for drinks, or, if John shows up  
he'll pay for both

- Symbolize the above sentence into a proposition

# Example

$$A = \{p \rightarrow q, q \rightarrow p, p|q, p \rightarrow \neg q\}$$

$$C = \neg p$$

Determine if the above is satisfiable

Thank You!