

Session 23: Introduction to non-linear programming (NLP) Solutions

A generic non-linear program has the following form (x is a n -dimensional vector.)

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize : } & f(x) \\ & g_1(x) \leq 0 \\ & \dots \\ & g_m(x) \leq 0 \\ & x \in X \end{aligned}$$

In a linear program, all of the functions f, g_1, \dots, g_m are linear, and the constraint set X is n -dimensional real numbers. In a MIP, the constraint set X also requires certain variables to be integers.

Unlike with LP and MIPs, there are no generic algorithms that can solve arbitrary non-linear programs with large n or m . The strategy in practice is to find special structure in the functions f and g_j .

1. Sum of Squares

Let x, y and z be decision variables. Gurobi allows the following types of quadratic constraints:

- **Minimizing** a sum of squares plus a linear term, or an expression that can be expressed as a sum of squares plus a linear term.
- A sum of squares plus a linear term **less than** equal to a linear term.

Examples of what is allowed:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Minimize: } & x^2 + (x + 2y)^2 + 3(y + z)^2 - 5y \\ & x^2 + 2y^2 + 3z^2 \leq 5 \\ & (x + 2y)^2 \leq 5z - 2x \\ & x^2 + 4y^2 + 4xy \leq 5z - 2x \\ & 6x + y^2 + 2z \leq 10 \end{aligned}$$

However, in the code, you cannot use the power `**` operator or `^2`, but must multiply out using `*`:

```
[1]: from gurobipy import Model, GRB
mod=Model()
x=mod.addVar(lb=-GRB.INFINITY)
y=mod.addVar(lb=-GRB.INFINITY)
z=mod.addVar(lb=-GRB.INFINITY)

mod.setObjective(x*x+(x+2*y)*(x+2*y)+3*(y+z)*(y+z)-5*y)
mod.addConstr(x*x+2*y*y+3*z*z <= 5)
mod.addConstr((x+2*y)*(x+2*y)<=5*z-2*x)
mod.addConstr(x*x+4*y*y+4*x*y<=5*z-2*x)
lhs4=6*x+y*y+2*z
mod.addConstr(lhs4<=10)
mod.optimize()
```

```
Gurobi Optimizer version 9.0.1 build v9.0.1rc0 (win64)
Optimize a model with 0 rows, 3 columns and 0 nonzeros
Model fingerprint: 0xd99a98ef
Model has 5 quadratic objective terms
Model has 4 quadratic constraints
...
Barrier solved model in 8 iterations and 0.05 seconds
Optimal objective -2.04110515e+00
```

```
[2]: print(f'Optimal solution: obj={mod.objval}')
      print(f'\t x={x.x}')
      print(f'\t y={y.x}')
      print(f'\t z={z.x}')
      print(f'\t lhs4={lhs4.getValue()}')
```

```
Optimal solution: obj=-2.0411051519358554
      x=-1.1342962897247952
      y=0.79686555380835
      z=-0.41150244505172434
      lhs4=-6.993787917605932
```

The following are **NOT** allowed:

- Subtracting a square instead of adding:

$$\text{Minimize: } x^2 + (x + 2y)^2 - 3(y + z)^2 - 5y$$

- Maximizing a sum of squares:

$$\text{Maximize: } x^2 + (x + 2y)^2 + 3(y + z)^2 - 5y$$

- A sum of squares larger than a linear expression:

$$x^2 + y^2 - 2xy \geq 5$$

Q1 (DMD Example 8.1)

Solve the following non-linear optimization formulation problem using Gurobi. The formulation maximizes expected returns of a portfolio subject to not exceeding a certain level of risk.

Decision variables: Let A , G , D denote the fraction of total investment to put in the assets Advent, GSS, and Digital.

Objective and constraints:

$$\text{Maximize: } 11A + 14G + 7D$$

subject to:

$$\text{(Fractions)} \quad A + G + D = 1$$

$$\text{(Target risk)} \quad \sqrt{16A^2 + 22G^2 + 10D^2 + 6AG + 2GD - 10AD} \leq 3.1$$

$$\text{(Nonnegativity)} \quad A, G, D \geq 0$$

```
[3]: from gurobipy import Model, GRB
mod=Model()
A=mod.addVar()
G=mod.addVar()
D=mod.addVar()
mod.setObjective(11*A+14*G+7*D, sense=GRB.MAXIMIZE)
mod.addConstr(A+G+D == 1)
riskSquared=16*A*A+22*G*G+10*D*D+6*A*G+2*G*D-10*A*D
mod.addConstr(riskSquared <= 3.1**2)
mod.setParam('outputflag',False)
mod.optimize()

[4]: import numpy as np
print('Optimal annual return:', mod.objval)
print('\t A:',A.x)
print('\t G:',G.x)
print('\t D:',D.x)
print('\t risk:',np.sqrt(riskSquared.getValue()))
```

```
Optimal annual return: 12.250136110681215
A: 0.37801476764694214
G: 0.534011005727641
D: 0.08797422662541113
risk: 3.0999991921462304
```

2. Linearizing using Auxiliary Decision Variables

2.1 Max and Min

The non-linear objective

$$\text{Minimize } \max(x, y)$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & z \\ \text{subject to} & \max(x, y) \leq z \end{array}$$

which is equivalent to the linear formulation:

$$\begin{array}{ll} \text{Minimize} & z \\ \text{subject to} & x \leq z \\ & y \leq z \end{array}$$

Similarly,

$$\text{Minimize } \max(x, y) - \min(x, y)$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Minimize} && U - L \\
&\text{subject to:} && \\
&&& L \leq x \leq U \\
&&& L \leq y \leq U
\end{aligned}$$

2.2 Absolute Values

Similarly, the non-linear objective

$$\text{Minimize } |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|$$

is equivalent

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Minimize} && z_1 + z_2 \\
&\text{subject to} && \\
&\text{(New constraint 1)} && |x_1 - y_1| \leq z_1 \\
&\text{(New constraint 2)} && |x_2 - y_2| \leq z_2
\end{aligned}$$

Now, because $|x| = \max(x, -x)$, the above is equivalent to the linear formulation:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\text{Minimize} && z_1 + z_2 \\
&\text{subject to} && \\
&&& x_1 - y_1 \leq z_1 \\
&&& y_1 - x_1 \leq z_1 \\
&&& x_2 - y_2 \leq z_2 \\
&&& y_2 - x_2 \leq z_2
\end{aligned}$$

2.3 Big-M Method

Suppose we want to either turn a continuous variable X on or off, we can do

$$0 \leq X \leq MZ,$$

where Z is a binary decision variable and M is a sufficiently large number that is guaranteed to be larger than the maximum possible value of X in any optimal solution.

2.4 Either/Or Constraint

Similar to the above, suppose that we want to force a continuous variable X to be either between A_1 and A_2 or between B_1 and B_2 , we can do

$$ZA_1 + (1 - Z)B_1 \leq X \leq ZA_2 + (1 - Z)B_2.$$

Q2 (Portfolio Optimization with Complex Constraints)

Consider the following formulation of a portfolio optimization problem, **use auxiliary decision variables to linearize the last four constraints.**

Data:

- S : the set of stocks.

- w_i : the old weight of stock $i \in S$ before optimization. (The “weight” of a stock is % of total funds invested in the stock; weights of all stocks should add to one.)
- R_i : the expected annual return of stock $i \in S$.
- C_{ij} : the estimated covariance between stocks $i, j \in S$.
- σ_{target} : the maximum volatility of the final portfolio.
- Δ : the total movement allowed between the old weights and the new weights.
- k : the maximum # of stocks allowed in the portfolio.
- ϵ : the minimum non-zero weight allowed.
- λ : penalty for different weights for stocks 1, 2 and 3.

Decision variables:

- x_i : the new weight of stock i . (Continuous)
- y : variation of weights among stocks 1, 2 and 3. (Continuous)

Formulation: All summations are over the set S of stocks.

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{Maximize:} & \sum_i R_i x_i - \lambda y \quad (\text{Average Return}) \\
\text{subject to:} & \\
\text{(Valid weights)} & \sum_i x_i = 1 \\
\text{(Risk tolerance)} & \sum_{i,j} C_{ij} x_i x_j \leq \sigma_{target}^2 \\
\text{(Change in weights)} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_i |x_i - w_i| \leq \Delta \\
\text{(Non-negligible weights)} & \text{If } x_i > 0 \text{ then } x_i \geq \epsilon \quad \text{for each stock } i. \\
\text{(Simplicity)} & (\# \text{ of stock } i \text{ with } x_i > 0) \leq k \\
\text{(Similar weights for stocks 1-3)} & \max(x_1, x_2, x_3) - \min(x_1, x_2, x_3) \leq y \\
& x_i \geq 0
\end{array}$$

Solution to Q2.

Auxiliary decision variables:

- δ_i : the absolute difference between x_i and w_i . (Continuous)
- z_i : whether to have a non-zero weight on stock i . (Binary)
- u : upper bound of x_1, x_2 and x_3 .
- l : lower bound of x_1, x_2 and x_3 .

Linearized constraints:

$$\begin{array}{ll}
\text{(Change in weights 1)} & x_i - w_i \leq \delta_i \quad \text{for each stock } i. \\
\text{(Change in weights 2)} & -(x_i - w_i) \leq \delta_i \quad \text{for each stock } i. \\
\text{(Change in weights 3)} & \frac{1}{2} \sum_i \delta_i \leq \Delta \\
\text{(Non-negligible weights)} & \epsilon z_i \leq x_i \leq z_i \quad \text{for each stock } i. \\
\text{(Simplicity)} & \sum_i z_i \leq k \\
\text{(Similar weights 1)} & l \leq x_i \leq u \quad \text{for } i \in \{1, 2, 3\}. \\
\text{(Similar weights 2)} & u - l \leq y
\end{array}$$

Note that the simplicity constraints can be expressed simply because we already have $x_i \leq z_i$ from the previous constraint, which is equivalent to the Big M method linking the continuous variable x_i with the binary variable z_i .

3. (Optional) Special Non-Linear Constraints Supported in Gurobi

The following information will not be tested in any exam or quiz, but may be helpful for the final project.

In the following table, x , y , z , and w are decision variables. Moreover, the code assume that you have imported all of the functions.

```
from gurobipy import Model, GRB, max_, min_, abs_, and_, or_
mod=Model()
```

Non-linear relationship	Sample Constraint as Math Expression	Gurobi Command
Maximum of arbitrary variables	$x = \max(y, z, 5)$	<code>mod.addConstr(x==max_(y,z,5))</code>
Minimum of arbitrary variables	$x = \min(y, z, 5)$	<code>mod.addConstr(x==min_(y,z,5))</code>
Absolute value of arbitrary variables	$x = \max(y, -y)$	<code>mod.addConstr(x==abs_(y))</code>
AND of binary variables	$x = \min(y, z, w)$	<code>mod.addConstr(x==and_(y,z,w))</code>
OR of binary variables	$x = \max(y, z, w)$	<code>mod.addConstr(x==or_(y,z,w))</code>
At most one (arbitrary variable) non-zero	$\mathbb{1}(x \neq 0) + \mathbb{1}(y \neq 0) + \mathbb{1}(z \neq 0) \leq 1$	<code>mod.addSOS(GRB.SOS_TYPE1, [x,y,z])</code>

Example:

```
[5]: from gurobipy import Model, GRB, max_, min_, and_, abs_
mod=Model()
x=mod.addVar(lb=-GRB.INFINITY)
y=mod.addVar(lb=-GRB.INFINITY)
z=mod.addVar()
l=mod.addVar(lb=-GRB.INFINITY)
a=mod.addVar()
mod.addConstr(z==max_(x,5,y))
mod.addConstr(l==min_(x,y))
mod.addConstr(z<=l+5)
mod.addConstr(a==abs_(y))
mod.addSOS(GRB.SOS_TYPE1, [x,y])
mod.setObjective(z-l-a,sense=GRB.MAXIMIZE)
mod.setParam('OutputFlag',False)
mod.optimize()
print('\nObjective',mod.objval)
print(f'x={x.x} y={y.x} z={z.x} l={l.x} a={a.x}')
```

Objective 5.0

x=5.0 y=0.0 z=5.0 l=0.0 a=0.0