

Polynomial-time Computation of Exact Correlated Equilibrium in Compact Games

Albert Xin Jiang Kevin Leyton-Brown
Department of Computer Science
University of British Columbia

Outline

- 1 Computing Correlated Equilibrium
- 2 Papadimitriou and Roughgarden's algorithm
- 3 Numerical Precision Issues
- 4 Algorithm for Exact Correlated Equilibrium

Correlated Equilibrium

- correlated equilibrium (CE) [Aumann, 1974; Aumann, 1987]

Correlated Equilibrium

- correlated equilibrium (CE) [Aumann, 1974; Aumann, 1987]
 - n players
 - player p 's pure strategy $s_p \in S_p$
 - pure strategy profile $s \in S = \prod_{p=1}^n S_p$
 - utility for p under pure strategy profile s is integer u_s^p

Correlated Equilibrium

- correlated equilibrium (CE) [Aumann, 1974; Aumann, 1987]
 - n players
 - player p 's pure strategy $s_p \in S_p$
 - pure strategy profile $s \in S = \prod_{p=1}^n S_p$
 - utility for p under pure strategy profile s is integer u_s^p
- a CE is a distribution x over S :
 - a trusted intermediary draws a strategy profile s from this distribution
 - announce to each player p (privately) her own component s_p
 - p will have no incentive to choose another strategy, assuming others follow suggestions

LP formulation

- incentive constraints: for all players p and all $i, j \in S_p$:

$$\sum_{s \in S_{-p}} [u_{is}^p - u_{js}^p] x_{is} \geq 0$$

write as $Ux \geq 0$.

LP formulation

- incentive constraints: for all players p and all $i, j \in S_p$:

$$\sum_{s \in S_{-p}} [u_{is}^p - u_{js}^p] x_{is} \geq 0$$

write as $Ux \geq 0$.

- x is a distribution: $x \geq 0$, $\sum_s x_s = 1$

LP formulation

- incentive constraints: for all players p and all $i, j \in S_p$:

$$\sum_{s \in S_{-p}} [u_{is}^p - u_{js}^p] x_{is} \geq 0$$

write as $Ux \geq 0$.

- x is a distribution: $x \geq 0$, $\sum_s x_s = 1$
- m^n variables, nm^2 constraints
- polynomial in the size of normal form

Computing CE for Compact Game Representations

Representations for games with structured utility functions

- symmetric games / anonymous games
- graphical games [Kearns, Littman & Singh, 2001]
- congestion games [Rosenthal, 1973]
- action-graph games [Jiang, Leyton-Brown & Bhat, 2011]

Computing CE for Compact Game Representations

Representations for games with structured utility functions

- symmetric games / anonymous games
- graphical games [Kearns, Littman & Singh, 2001]
- congestion games [Rosenthal, 1973]
- action-graph games [Jiang, Leyton-Brown & Bhat, 2011]

Goal: computing a sample CE in time polynomial in the size of representation

- LP would have exponential number of variables (m^n)
- writing a solution (i.e. CE) explicitly requires m^n numbers

Outline

- 1 Computing Correlated Equilibrium
- 2 Papadimitriou and Roughgarden's algorithm
- 3 Numerical Precision Issues
- 4 Algorithm for Exact Correlated Equilibrium

Papadimitriou and Roughgarden [2008]

- Polynomial-time algorithm for computing a CE when the representation satisfies:
 - **polynomial type**: # of players and # of actions for each player are bounded by polynomials in the size of the representation.
 - **polynomial expectation property**: poly-time algorithm for computing expected utility under any product distribution
 - x is a product distribution when each player p is randomizing **independently** over her actions according to some distribution x^p , i.e. $x_s = \prod_p x_{s_p}^p$.

Papadimitriou and Roughgarden [2008]

- Polynomial-time algorithm for computing a CE when the representation satisfies:
 - **polynomial type**: # of players and # of actions for each player are bounded by polynomials in the size of the representation.
 - **polynomial expectation property**: poly-time algorithm for computing expected utility under any product distribution
 - x is a product distribution when each player p is randomizing **independently** over her actions according to some distribution x^p , i.e. $x_s = \prod_p x_{s_p}^p$.
- computes CEs that are mixtures of polynomial number of product distributions

Existence Proof

The algorithm is based on proofs of the existence of CE via LP duality [Hart & Shmeidler 1989], [Nau & Mcardle 1990], [Myerson 1997]

- consider the linear program (P):

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_s x_s \\ & Ux \geq 0, \quad x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Existence Proof

The algorithm is based on proofs of the existence of CE via LP duality [Hart & Shmeidler 1989], [Nau & Mcardle 1990], [Myerson 1997]

- consider the linear program (P):

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_s x_s \\ & Ux \geq 0, \quad x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- (P) either has $x = 0$ as its optimal solution or is **unbounded**.
In the latter case the game has a correlated equilibrium.

Existence Proof

The algorithm is based on proofs of the existence of CE via LP duality [Hart & Shmeidler 1989], [Nau & Mcardle 1990], [Myerson 1997]

- consider the linear program (P):

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_s x_s \\ & Ux \geq 0, \quad x \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

- (P) either has $x = 0$ as its optimal solution or is **unbounded**. In the latter case the game has a correlated equilibrium.
- can prove the existence of CE by showing the **infeasibility** of its dual (D):

$$\begin{aligned} U^T y &\leq -1 \\ y &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Infeasibility of the Dual

$$\begin{aligned}U^T y &\leq -1 \\ y &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

Lemma ([Papadimitriou & Roughgarden, 2008])

For every dual vector $y \geq 0$, there is a product distribution x such that $xU^T y = 0$.

Infeasibility of the Dual

$$\begin{aligned}U^T y &\leq -1 \\ y &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

Lemma ([Papadimitriou & Roughgarden, 2008])

For every dual vector $y \geq 0$, there is a product distribution x such that $xU^T y = 0$.

- The lemma implies that the dual program (D) is **infeasible** (and therefore a CE must exist).
 - This is because $xU^T y$ is a convex combination of the left hand sides of the rows of (D), and for any feasible y the result must be less than or equal to -1.

Infeasibility of the Dual

$$\begin{aligned}U^T y &\leq -1 \\ y &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

Lemma ([Papadimitriou & Roughgarden, 2008])

For every dual vector $y \geq 0$, there is a product distribution x such that $xU^T y = 0$.

- The lemma implies that the dual program (D) is **infeasible** (and therefore a CE must exist).
 - This is because $xU^T y$ is a convex combination of the left hand sides of the rows of (D), and for any feasible y the result must be less than or equal to -1.
- there is a polynomial-time algorithm that computes such an x given y .

Ellipsoid Against Hope

Ellipsoid Against Hope

$$\begin{aligned}U^T y &\leq -1 \\ y &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

- (D) has nm^2 variables, about m^n constraints

Ellipsoid Against Hope

$$\begin{aligned}U^T y &\leq -1 \\ y &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

- (D) has nm^2 variables, about m^n constraints
- run the **ellipsoid** algorithm on (D), with the following Product Separation Oracle:
 - given a vector $y^{(i)}$, the corresponding product distribution $x^{(i)}$ is generated according to the Lemma, and $[x^{(i)}U^T]y \leq -1$ is given to the ellipsoid algorithm as a cutting plane.

Ellipsoid Against Hope

$$\begin{aligned}U^T y &\leq -1 \\ y &\geq 0\end{aligned}$$

- (D) has nm^2 variables, about m^n constraints
- run the **ellipsoid** algorithm on (D), with the following Product Separation Oracle:
 - given a vector $y^{(i)}$, the corresponding product distribution $x^{(i)}$ is generated according to the Lemma, and $[x^{(i)}U^T]y \leq -1$ is given to the ellipsoid algorithm as a cutting plane.
- The ellipsoid algorithm will stop after a polynomial number of steps and determine that the program is infeasible.

Ellipsoid Against Hope (cont'd)

- Let X be the matrix whose rows are the generated product distributions $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(L)}$. Consider the linear program (D'):

$$[XU^T]y \leq -1, \quad y \geq 0$$

If we apply the same ellipsoid method, with a separation oracle that returns the cut $x^{(i)}U^Ty \leq -1$ given query $y^{(i)}$, it would go through the same sequence of queries $y^{(i)}$ and return infeasible.

- Therefore (D') is **infeasible** (presuming that numerical problems do not arise).

Ellipsoid Against Hope (cont'd)

- Let X be the matrix whose rows are the generated product distributions $x^{(1)}, \dots, x^{(L)}$. Consider the linear program (D'):

$$[XU^T]y \leq -1, \quad y \geq 0$$

If we apply the same ellipsoid method, with a separation oracle that returns the cut $x^{(i)}U^Ty \leq -1$ given query $y^{(i)}$, it would go through the same sequence of queries $y^{(i)}$ and return infeasible.

- Therefore (D') is **infeasible** (presuming that numerical problems do not arise).
- This implies that its dual program (P'):

$$[UX^T]\alpha \geq 0, \quad \alpha \geq 0$$

is **unbounded** and has polynomial size. Given such a nonzero α vector, scaled to be a distribution, $X^T\alpha$ satisfies the incentive constraints and is therefore a correlated equilibrium.

Computational Issues

- Although the matrix XU^T is polynomial in size, computing it using matrix multiplication would involve an exponential number of operations.

Computational Issues

- Although the matrix XU^T is polynomial in size, computing it using matrix multiplication would involve an exponential number of operations.
- On the other hand, entries of XU^T are differences of **expected utilities** under product distributions, thus can be computed in polynomial time.

Outline

- 1 Computing Correlated Equilibrium
- 2 Papadimitriou and Roughgarden's algorithm
- 3 Numerical Precision Issues**
- 4 Algorithm for Exact Correlated Equilibrium

Numerical Precision Issues

- a run of the ellipsoid method requires as inputs
 - initial ball with radius R
 - volume lower bound v
- correct values of R and v depend on the **max encoding size** of a constraint of the LP

Numerical Precision Issues

- a run of the ellipsoid method requires as inputs
 - initial ball with radius R
 - volume lower bound v
- correct values of R and v depend on the **max encoding size** of a constraint of the LP
- a constraint of (D') , e.g. $x^{(i)}U^T y \leq -1$, may require more bits than any of the constraints of (D)
- running ellipsoid on (D') with the same R, v as the ellipsoid run on (D) would no longer be valid
- infeasibility of (D') is **not guaranteed**

Numerical Precision Issues

- a run of the ellipsoid method requires as inputs
 - initial ball with radius R
 - volume lower bound v
- correct values of R and v depend on the **max encoding size** of a constraint of the LP
- a constraint of (D') , e.g. $x^{(i)}U^T y \leq -1$, may require more bits than any of the constraints of (D)
- running ellipsoid on (D') with the same R, v as the ellipsoid run on (D) would no longer be valid
- infeasibility of (D') is **not guaranteed**
- Papadimitriou and Roughgarden [2008] proposed a method to overcome this issue
- Stein, Parrilo & Ozdaglar [2010] showed that it is insufficient to compute an exact CE.
 - a slightly modified version computes **approximate CE** in time polynomial in $\log \frac{1}{\epsilon}$ and representation size

What about exact CE?

- Stein *et al.* [2010] showed that if an algorithm
 - ① outputs a rational solution
 - ② outputs a convex combination of product distributions
 - ③ outputs a convex combination of symmetric product distributions when the game is symmetric

then there is a symmetric game such that the algorithm fails to find an exact CE.

What about exact CE?

- Stein *et al.* [2010] showed that if an algorithm
 - ① outputs a rational solution
 - ② outputs a convex combination of product distributions
 - ③ outputs a convex combination of symmetric product distributions when the game is symmetric

then there is a symmetric game such that the algorithm fails to find an exact CE.

- The Product Separation Oracle returns a symmetric product distribution given symmetric game and symmetric y .

What about exact CE?

- Stein *et al.* [2010] showed that if an algorithm
 - ① outputs a rational solution
 - ② outputs a convex combination of product distributions
 - ③ outputs a convex combination of symmetric product distributions when the game is symmetric
 then there is a symmetric game such that the algorithm fails to find an exact CE.
- The Product Separation Oracle returns a symmetric product distribution given symmetric game and symmetric y .
- On the other hand, there always exists an exact rational CE
 - each vertex of the polytope of the set of CE is rational (correspond to basic feasible solutions)
 - such a CE has $O(nm^2)$ non-zero entries, i.e. polynomial-sized support

Outline

- 1 Computing Correlated Equilibrium
- 2 Papadimitriou and Roughgarden's algorithm
- 3 Numerical Precision Issues
- 4 Algorithm for Exact Correlated Equilibrium**

Our Results

A variant of the Ellipsoid Against Hope algorithm of [Papadimitriou & Roughgarden, 2008] that

- computes an exact, rational CE in polynomial time given a representation satisfying polynomial type and polynomial expectation property;
- outputs a CE that is a vertex of the set of CE, which has polynomial-sized support.

Overview of Our Approach

- We replace the Product Separation Oracle with a modified version (Purified Separation Oracle) that generates cuts corresponding to **pure strategy profiles**: given $y \geq 0$, output $(U_s)^T y \leq -1$ that is violated at y .

Overview of Our Approach

- We replace the Product Separation Oracle with a modified version (Purified Separation Oracle) that generates cuts corresponding to **pure strategy profiles**: given $y \geq 0$, output $(U_s)^T y \leq -1$ that is violated at y .
- Now each constraint of (D') is one of the original constraints of (D) .
 - any run of ellipsoid method that is valid for (D) is also valid for (D')
 - no longer requiring special mechanism to deal with numerical issues

Overview of Our Approach

- We replace the Product Separation Oracle with a modified version (Purified Separation Oracle) that generates cuts corresponding to **pure strategy profiles**: given $y \geq 0$, output $(U_s)^T y \leq -1$ that is violated at y .
- Now each constraint of (D') is one of the original constraints of (D) .
 - any run of ellipsoid method that is valid for (D) is also valid for (D')
 - no longer requiring special mechanism to deal with numerical issues
- A solution of (P') is a mixture of polynomial number of pure-strategy profiles.
- Get vertex by applying a standard algorithm for finding basic feasible solutions given a feasible solution.

Purified Separation Oracle: Existence

Lemma

Given any dual vector $y \geq 0$, there exists a pure strategy profile s such that $(U_s)^T y \geq 0$.

Purified Separation Oracle: Existence

Lemma

Given any dual vector $y \geq 0$, there exists a pure strategy profile s such that $(U_s)^T y \geq 0$.

Proof.

- we know there exists a product distribution x such that $xU^T y = 0$.
- $x[U^T y]$ is the expected value of $(U_s)^T y$ under distribution x , which we denote $E_{s \sim x}[(U_s)^T y]$
- there must exist s such that $(U_s)^T y \geq xU^T y = 0$.

Purified Separation Oracle: Existence

Lemma

Given any dual vector $y \geq 0$, there exists a pure strategy profile s such that $(U_s)^T y \geq 0$.

Proof.

- we know there exists a product distribution x such that $xU^T y = 0$.
 - $x[U^T y]$ is the expected value of $(U_s)^T y$ under distribution x , which we denote $E_{s \sim x}[(U_s)^T y]$
 - there must exist s such that $(U_s)^T y \geq xU^T y = 0$.
-
- not efficiently constructive

Purified Separation Oracle: Existence

Lemma

Given any dual vector $y \geq 0$, there exists a pure strategy profile s such that $(U_s)^T y \geq 0$.

Proof.

- we know there exists a product distribution x such that $xU^T y = 0$.
 - $x[U^T y]$ is the expected value of $(U_s)^T y$ under distribution x , which we denote $E_{s \sim x}[(U_s)^T y]$
 - there must exist s such that $(U_s)^T y \geq xU^T y = 0$.
-
- not efficiently constructive
 - sampling from x yields approximate cutting planes

Purified Separation Oracle: Derandomization

Derandomize using the method of conditional probabilities

- 1 Given $y \geq 0$, compute product distribution x satisfying $xU^Ty = 0$, i.e. $E_{s \sim x}[(U_s)^Ty] = 0$.

Purified Separation Oracle: Derandomization

Derandomize using the method of conditional probabilities

- ① Given $y \geq 0$, compute product distribution x satisfying $xU^T y = 0$, i.e. $E_{s \sim x}[(U_s)^T y] = 0$.
- ② For each player p ,
 - pick $s_p \in S_p$ such that the **conditional expectation**

$$E_{s \sim x}[(U_s)^T y | s_1, \dots, s_p] \geq 0.$$

- such s_p must exist because $E_{s \sim x}[(U_s)^T y | s_1, \dots, s_{p-1}] \geq 0$
- each conditional expectation can be efficiently computed using the expected utility algorithm

Purified Separation Oracle: Derandomization

Derandomize using the method of conditional probabilities

- ① Given $y \geq 0$, compute product distribution x satisfying $xU^T y = 0$, i.e. $E_{s \sim x}[(U_s)^T y] = 0$.
- ② For each player p ,
 - pick $s_p \in S_p$ such that the **conditional expectation**

$$E_{s \sim x}[(U_s)^T y | s_1, \dots, s_p] \geq 0.$$

- such s_p must exist because $E_{s \sim x}[(U_s)^T y | s_1, \dots, s_{p-1}] \geq 0$
 - each conditional expectation can be efficiently computed using the expected utility algorithm
- ③ Output $s = (s_1, \dots, s_p)$, and cutting plane $(U_s)^T y \leq -1$.

Purified Separation Oracle: Derandomization

Derandomize using the method of conditional probabilities

- 1 Given $y \geq 0$, compute product distribution x satisfying $xU^T y = 0$, i.e. $E_{s \sim x}[(U_s)^T y] = 0$.
- 2 For each player p ,
 - pick $s_p \in S_p$ such that the **conditional expectation**

$$E_{s \sim x}[(U_s)^T y | s_1, \dots, s_p] \geq 0.$$

- such s_p must exist because $E_{s \sim x}[(U_s)^T y | s_1, \dots, s_{p-1}] \geq 0$
 - each conditional expectation can be efficiently computed using the expected utility algorithm
- 3 Output $s = (s_1, \dots, s_p)$, and cutting plane $(U_s)^T y \leq -1$.

Can return **asymmetric** cuts even for symmetric games and symmetric y .

Conclusion

- A variant of Ellipsoid Against Hope algorithm that computes an exact CE in polynomial time
 - derandomization of the Product Separation Oracle
 - as a result the algorithm is simplified
 - polynomial-sized support

Conclusion

- A variant of Ellipsoid Against Hope algorithm that computes an exact CE in polynomial time
 - derandomization of the Product Separation Oracle
 - as a result the algorithm is simplified
 - polynomial-sized support
- Our derandomization approach can be applied to other related algorithms, e.g. Huang and Von Stengel [2008]
polynomial-time algorithm for extensive-form correlated equilibria.

Conclusion

- A variant of Ellipsoid Against Hope algorithm that computes an exact CE in polynomial time
 - derandomization of the Product Separation Oracle
 - as a result the algorithm is simplified
 - polynomial-sized support
- Our derandomization approach can be applied to other related algorithms, e.g. Huang and Von Stengel [2008]
polynomial-time algorithm for extensive-form correlated equilibria.
- Practical computation of CE by replacing the ellipsoid method with a cutting-plane method

- Aumann, R. (1974). Subjectivity and correlation in randomized strategies. *Journal of Mathematical Economics*, 1(1), 67–96.
- Aumann, R. (1987). Correlated equilibrium as an expression of Bayesian rationality. *Econometrica: Journal of the Econometric Society*, 1–18.
- Huang, W., & Von Stengel, B. (2008). Computing an extensive-form correlated equilibrium in polynomial time. *WINE: Proceedings of the Workshop on Internet and Network Economics* (pp. 506–513).
- Papadimitriou, C., & Roughgarden, T. (2008). Computing correlated equilibria in multi-player games. *Journal of the ACM*, 55(3), 14.
- Rosenthal, R. (1973). A class of games possessing pure-strategy Nash equilibria. *International Journal of Game Theory*, 2, 65–67.
- Stein, N. D., Parrilo, P. A., & Ozdaglar, A. (2010). Exchangeable equilibria contradict exactness of the Papadimitriou-Roughgarden algorithm. <http://arxiv.org/abs/1010.2871v1>.