Notes 2023-08-24

Evelyn Mitchell

August 25, 2023

1 Bourbaki Theory of Sets

1.1 Introduction

Starting at the paragraph beginning "The axiomatic method" on page 8.

The goal of the axiomatic method is to write in a way which makes formalization straightforward.

...the systematic use of the axiomatic method as an instrument of discovery is one of the original features of contemporary mathematics. p8

The rules of syntax are the only consideration. This is the form referred to in the term Formal Methods.

The faculty of being able to give different meanings to the words or prime concepts of a theory is indeed an important source of enrichment of the mathematician's intuition, which is not necessarily spatial or sensory as is sometimes believed, but is far more a certain for the behaviour of mathematical objects, aided often by images from very varied sources, but founded above all on everyday experience. ... the axiomatic method allows us, when we are concerned with complex mathematical objects, to separate their properties and regroup them around a small number of concepts: that is to say, using a word which will receive a precise definition later, to classify them according to the *structures* to which they belong.

To translate all of mathematics into a common formal language, whether based in The Theory of Sets, as this volume of Bourbaki attempts to, or more recently, using Category Theory as a foundation, is still a major project of mathematical research.

In this book the rules of the formal language are described in ordinary language, and no special status is granted to any of the words or numbers used. The numbers used to label statements are merely for convenience, and could be replaced with any symbol which could distinguish, such as a type mark, or colour.

In the service of compactness, new words will be introduced in the book, known as abbreviating symbols and additional rules of syntax, called deductive criteria.

metamathematics as a concept is introduced at the bottom of page 10. This is a move which considers mathematical texts as "assemblies of previously given objects in which only the assigned order is of importance." That is, all of the meaning of a text is dropped as a topic of interest, and only the order of combination of symbol is of interest.

...metamathematial "arguments" usually assert that when a succession of operations has been performed on a text of a given type, then the final text will be of another given type.

The top of page 11 discusses the bootstrap problem of this endeavour, in that numbers will need to be used in numerical ways to work through the formal problems.

As this was written in the mid 1930s, it is a bit strange they don't just assert the use of the Peano axioms $https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Peano_axioms$ of 1889. There's a move which I'm missing, or a standard of truth. The discussion of not being able to write out the full argument every time, feels a bit handwavey, but I suppose one must make do with the technology available, and in the 1930s, they had people, and math, but not computers.

And with that, they drop formalized mathematics as too difficult for their project. Instead they will use the combination of natural language and mathematical language in which math is written, ordinarily.

TODO: discuss Coq, Isabelle/HOL, and LEAN as formal languages.

The language they will use will include "partial, particular and incomplete formalizations".

They hold to their goal of "perfect rigor", even while noting their loose use of language.

- Stopping at section break on page 12

2 Peano axioms

These axioms define the properties of the Natural Numbers.

The assumptions are two symbols, a constant 0 and a function S which takes one argument (unary function).

- 1. 0 is a natural number.
- 2. For every natural number x, x = x. That is, equality is reflexive.
- 3. For all natural numbers x and y, if x = y then y = x. That is, equality is symmetric.
- 4. For all natural numbers x, y and z, then x = z. That is, equality is transitive.

- 5. For all a and b, if b is a natural number and a = b then a is also a natural number. That is, the natural numbers are *closed* under equality.
- 6. For every natural number n, S(n) is a natural number. S(n) is the successor function. That is, the natural numbers are closed under S.
- 7. For all natural numbers m and n, if S(m) = S(n) then m = n. That is, S is an injection. An injection is a one-to-one mapping.
- 8. For every natural number n, S(n) = 0 is false. That is, there is no natural number who's successor is 0.
- 9. $axiom\ of\ induction\ If\ K$ is a set such that:
 - 0 is in K, and
 - for every natural number n, n being in K implies that S(n) is in K, then K contains every natural number.

3 Caching algorithm

https://github.com/audreyccheng/detox

4 index