# FYS4411 - Computational Physics II Project 2

Dorthea Gjestvang Even Marius Nordhagen

April 26, 2018

• Github repository containing programs and results: https://github.com/evenmn/FYS4411/tree/master/Project%202

#### Abstract

Abstract

## Contents

1	Introduction	3
2	Theory         2.1 Presentation of potential	3 3 4 4 5 5 5 5
3	Method  3.1 Variational Monte Carlo  3.2 Metropolis Algorithm  3.2.1 Brute force  3.2.2 Importance sampling  3.2.3 Gibbs sampling  3.3 Minimalization method  3.3.1 Gradient decent	<b>5</b> 5 5 5 5 5 5 5
4	Code           4.1 Structure            4.2 Implementation    Results	<b>5</b> 5 5 <b>5</b>
5	Results	Э
6	Discussion	5
7	Conclusion	5
8	Appendix A	6
9	References	7

## 1 Introduction

## 2 Theory

## 2.1 Presentation of potential

In this project, we simulate a system of P electrons trapped in a harmonic oscillator potential, with a Hamiltonian given by

$$\hat{H} = \sum_{i=1}^{P} \left(-\frac{1}{2}\nabla_i^2 + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 r_i^2\right) + \sum_{i < j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}}$$
(1)

where  $\omega$  is the harmonic oscillaor potential and  $r_i = \sqrt{x_i^2 + y_i^2}$  is the position of electron i. The term  $\frac{1}{r_{ij}}$  is the interacting term, where  $r_i j = |r_i - r_j|$  is the distance between a given pair of interacting electrons. Natural units have been used, such that  $\hbar = c = m_e = e = 1$ .

## 2.2 Solving this with machine learning

Usually, when solving a system of particles as the one described in the previous system, we would need an anzats for the wave function, where we use our physical intuition to create the form of a wave function with different variational parameters, and then let it be up to the computer to find the optimal parameters through a minimization method. However, this method is only as good as the physical intuition; if the form of the wave function is unrealistic, the results will be the same, and there is no guarantee that we have actually found a ground state energy.

This challenge can be solved by using machine learning. There are several different types of machine learning systems, and the one we will present and utilize in this project has the ability to learn a probability distribution. This is perfect for quantum mechanical problems, as we know from quantum mechanics the wave function /Psi is nothing more than a probability denisty, giving that  $/Psi^2$  is a probability distribution that says something about where a given particle most probably can be found. As we are solely interested in the energy of the two-fermion system, and not the exact wave function, the fact that the machine learning program does not explicitly give the wave function is therefore of no consequence.

#### 2.2.1 Machine Learning

With the goal of solving the quantum mechanical system presented in section 2.1 in mind, we should start by explaining what machine learning is. Machine learning is the idea that a computer can be trained to learn to yield certaint outputs, without directly being told exactly what to give. Examples on this is pattern recognizion, where the computer first is shown for example pictures of wolves and huskies. After training the computer on pictures where the computer sees huskies and wolves and is told the correct answer, it should after a sufficiently long training period, be able to recognize huskies and wolves by itself.

The example described above is what we call supervised learning, where the correct output answer is known during the training program. A machine learning program could also be unsupervised, where the correct answer is unknown, or based on reinforcement learning, where the program learns by conducting trial-and-error experiments.

This sound amazing, and sometimes maybe even a bit impossible. Therefore the question now is: how to program computers to learn, just like humans? The answer is, fittingly, that we should make the program run like the the human brain by implementing what is called a neural network. Inspired by neurons in the human brain, a neural network is a programmed network of variables, called nodes, that comminucate in a given manner. Each node preforms a simple process: based on the input it receives, it decides wheter or not to fire.

#### 2.2.2 Restricted Boltzmann Machines

In this project we

- 2.3 Energy calculation
- 2.4 Onebody density
- 2.5 Scaling
- 2.6 Error estimation
- 3 Method
- 3.1 Variational Monte Carlo
- 3.2 Metropolis Algorithm
- 3.2.1 Brute force
- 3.2.2 Importance sampling
- 3.2.3 Gibbs sampling
- 3.3 Minimalization method
- 3.3.1 Gradient decent
- 4 Code
- 4.1 Structure
- 4.2 Implementation
- 5 Results
- 6 Discussion
- 7 Conclusion

# 8 Appendix A

### 9 References

#### INCLUDE ONLY THOSE REFERENSES WE USE

- [1] Morten Hjorth-Jensen. Computational Physics 2: Variational Monte Carlo methods, Lecture Notes Spring 2018. Department of Physics, University of Oslo, (2018).
- [2] J. L. DuBois and H. R. Glyde, H. R., Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped bosons: A variational Monte Carlo analysis, Phys. Rev. A 63, 023602 (2001).
- [3] J. K. Nilsen, J. Mur-Petit, M. Guilleumas, M. Hjorth-Jensen, and A. Polls, *Vortices in atomic Bose-Einstein condensates in the large-gas-parameter region*, Phys. Rev. A **71**, 053610, (2005).
- [4] F. Dalfovo, S. Stringari, Bosons in anisotropic traps: ground state and vortices Phys. Rev. A 53, 2477, (1996).
- [5] J. Emspak, States of Matter: Bose-Einstein Condensate, LiveScience, (2016). https://www.livescience.com/54667-bose-einstein-condensate.html Downloaded March 15th 2018.
- [6] S. Perkowitz *Bose-Einstein condensate* Encyclopaedia Britannica https://www.britannica.com/science/Bose-Einstein-condensate Downloaded March 15th 2018.
- [7] M. H. Anderson, J. R. Ensher, M. R. Matthews, C. E. Wieman, E. A. Cornell, *Observation of Bose-Einstein Condensation in a Dilute Atomic Vapor*, Science **269**, (1995).
- [8] J. K. Nilsen Bose-Einstein condensation in trapped bosons: A quantum Monte Carlo analysis, Master thesis 2004, Department of Physics, University of Oslo, (2004).
- [9] E. P. Gross, Structure of a quantized vortex in boson systems, Il Nuovo Cimento, **20** (3): 454–457, (1961).
- [10] L. P. Pitaevskii, Vortex lines in an imperfect Bose gas, Sov. Phys. JETP. 13 (2): 451–454, (1961).
- [11] S. Marsland, Machine Learning: An algorithmic Perspective, Second edition (2015)

[12] E. M. Nordhagen and D.Gjestvang Computational Physics II: Project 1 (2018)