

Opus College of Business St.Thomas

Contracts: Extra slides Dale Thompson

ELEMENTS OF A CONTRACT

- MUTUAL ASSENT (Offer & Acceptance)
 - AGREEMENT, MEETING OF THE MINDS
- CONSIDERATION
 - PRICE BARGAINED FOR AND PAID FOR A PROMISE
- CAPACITY
 - COMPETENT PARTIES
- · LAWFUL PURPOSE
 - CONTRACT OBJECTIVE CANNOT VIOLATE THE LAW OR PUBLIC POLICY
- FORM OF CONTRACT
 - STATUTE OF FRAUDS WRITING IS REQUIRED FOR SOME CONTRACTS

THE OFFER – ELEMENTS

- INTENT: Intent to be Bound to Terms
 - -Statements Lacking Intent
 - Invitations to Negotiate
 - Advertisements
 - -Intent judged Objectively, Not Subjectively
 - -Ask what Reasonable Person would Expect, based on Manifestations
- DEFINITE TERMS
- COMMUNICATION

Key Concepts





- · Statute of Frauds
 - Application: Goods > \$500; real estate; longterm contracts (that cannot be performed within 1 year of formation)
 - If applies, in order to enforce the contract, need a writing signed by the party you want to enforce against

MIRROR IMAGE RULE

- ACCEPTANCE MUST BE ON THE SAME (MATERIAL) TERMS AS THE OFFER
- IF NOT, IT IS A COUNTEROFFER
- ACCEPTANCE CANNOT CHANGE TERMS OF OFFER OR ADD TERMS TO IT

DISPATCH / MAILBOX RULE

- ACCEPTANCE IS LEGALLY EFFECTIVE WHEN IT IS DISPATCHED (NOT RECEIVED)
- DISPATCH OCCURS WHEN LETTER IS MAILED (MAILBOX RULE) OR ACCEPTANCE OTHERWISE SENT
- REJECTION EFFECTIVE ON RECEIPT: What if Accept after Reject? Whichever gets there first counts

FRAUDULENT MISREPRESENTATION

- · Misrepresentation
- · of Material
- Fact not opinion/value / puffery
- Made with SCIENTER knowledge of falsity and intent
- Reasonably
- · Relied upon by the defrauded party
- · Causing damages to that party

PERFORMANCE

- CONDITIONS: No duty to perform if condition precedent not satisfied
- COMPLETE PERFORMANCE
- SUBSTANTIAL PERFORMANCE
- IN GOOD FAITH
- PERFORMANCE THAT DOES NOT VARY GREATLY FROM THAT PROMISED: "CAN THE PURPOSES OF THE CONTRACT BE ACHIEVED?"
- _ FEFECTS
 - SUBSTANTIALLY PERFORMING PARTY IS DISCHARGED FROM PERFORMANCE
 - BUT MUST PAY DAMAGES CAUSED BY THE BREACH
- If either requirement fails, MATERIAL BREACH

DISCHARGE BY LAW

- IMPOSSIBILITY
 - MUST BE OBJECTIVE IMPOSSIBILITY
 - SUBJECTIVE IMPOSSIBILITY IS NOT A DEFENSE
- ECONOMIC FRUSTRATION
 - UNFORSEEABLE EVENT BEYOND THE CONTROL OF EITHER PARTY
 - ELIMINATES ("FRUSTRATES") THE PURPOSE OF THE CONTRACT
- COMMERCIAL IMPRACTICABILITY
 - UNFORSEEABLE EVENT
 - UNREASONABLE COSTS TO PERFORM

SALES WARRANTIES

- Express Warranties
 - Statement of Fact or Promise or Description of Goods
- Warranty of Title
- Implied Warranties
 - Merchantability: "reasonably fit for the ordinary purposes" of goods
 - Fitness for a Particular Purpose
 - Seller's knowledge of buyer's particular purpose
 - Reliance on seller's judgment to select appropriate goods
 - Disclaimers of Implied Warranties
 - Both: "as is," or "with all faults"
 - · Merchantability: must mention "merchantability"
 - IWF4PP: must be in writing