Minutes of Meeting

DIGIT – Working Group Meeting

Project: Representation Powers Mandates		Meeting Date/Time:	10/01/2019 at 10:00
Meeting Type:	1 st Working Group Meeting	Meeting Location:	Everis
Meeting Coordinator:	Meeting Coordinator: Rui Venâncio		17/01/2019

Attendee Name	Initials	e-mail
Frédéric Poels	FP	Frederic.POELS@ec.europa.eu
Miguel Álvarez Rodríguez	MAR	Miguel.ALVAREZ-RODRIGUEZ@ec.europa.eu
Carlos Gómez-Muñoz	CGM	Carlos.GOMEZ-MUNOZ@ec.europa.eu
Valentin Mesaros	VM	<u>Valentin.MESAROS@ext.ec.europa.eu</u>
Enric Staromiejski	ES	virginia.gomariz.gonzalez@everis.com
Rui Venâncio	RV	rui.venancio@everis.com
Laia Bota Porta	LB	<u>Laia.Bota.Porta@everis.com</u>
Miso Vukadinovic	MV	
Gérard Soisson	GS	Gerard.Soisson@ctie.etat.lu
Lionel Antunes	LA	lionel.antunes@ctie.etat.lu
Herbert Leitold	HL	herbert.leitold@a-sit.at
Ana Rosa	AR	anarosa.guzman@correo.gob.es
Pénzes Petra	PP	penzes.petra@nisz.hu
Dennis Reumer	DR	Dennis.Reumer@rvo.nl
Ivar Vennekens	IV	<u>Ivar.Vennekens@rvo.nl</u>
Risto Ollikainen	RO	ext.risto.ollikainen@vrk.fi
Michal Ohrablo	MO	michal.ohrablo@vicepremier.gov.sk
Arne Tauber	AT	arne.tauber@egiz.gv.at
Cecilia Lundgren	CL	
Cristina Ramos	CR	<u>cristinapilar.ramos@correo.gob.es</u>
Felix Hörandner	FH	felix.hoerandner@iaik.tugraz.at
Ondrej Medovic	OM	ondrej.medovic@mvcr.cz
Tor Arild Sunnevag	TA	
Alenka Zuzek Nemec	AZ	Alenka.Zuzek@gov.si
Jerry Dimitriou	JD	jerouris@unipi.gr
Ondrej Kerka	OK	ondrej.kerka@mvcr.cz

Summary of Meeting Objectives

Power and Mandates Working Group (WG) meeting.

The aim of this meeting is to show to the WG members the job done so far and the PaM GitHub repository with all the documentation generated.

Summary of Meeting

Presentation

The PowerPoint presentation slides have been used as support for this meeting. They are attached to the email.

Agenda

- 1. Round-table RV
- 2. The project (introduction) RV
- 3. Meeting objectives RV
- 4. Glossary ES

- 5. Use Cases and Scenarios ES
- 6. The RPaM Ontology ES
- 7. How to cooperate LB
- 8. Next WG sessions RV
- 9. Questions and suggestions (WG members)

Meeting Minutes

- RV introduced the participants, the project, and the job done so far.
- RV explained the objectives about this first Working Group meeting.

Glossary:

- ES started explaining the Glossary and the importance of having a common agreement on the terms as they are the basis of the project.
- HR remarked that eMandate is similar to Power. HR suggested to reflect and make sure that the definitions
 are really stable also from the MS that already use systems for the Representation of Powers and
 Mandates. ES agreed saying that the aim of these meetings are to rise discussions and elaborate the good
 definitions for all MS.

Use cases:

- ES explained the different Use Cases (UC). HL said that in some UC it is not clear where the eMandate creation takes place. ES answered that in a federated system it shouldn't matter as it should be a solution able to identify all information necessary of the eMandates. HL also remarked that the data modelling and the implementation should be distinguished.
- LA said that it is important to differentiate the business rules from the processes.
- About the UC-0, IV said that the person should have a representation of himself in any case, and its identification process should be hold by eIDAS.
- DR asked if the mandatee and the mandator are from different countries, what happens with the eMandate. ES asked that it really doesn't matter where the eMandate is located If there is an only system for all MS that can identify where it is located or created, etc... However, there is no difference when modelling this data.
- IV asked about electronic identity and said that the eMandate needs to be registered with the electronic identity to be found. ES said that it should be defined what a person is, so further discussions about this will be necessary.

Ontology:

- ES explained the different RPaM ontologies and remarked that the constraints on the powers should be defined by the service provider or the ones that provide the public services.
- IV commented that it was a great start, but the terminology needs to be agreed with all MS. ES agreed.

Github:

LB explained to the WG members how to cooperate with the project through Github.

Actions registered during the meeting:						
Action Nr	Action name	Action Description	Date of creation	Action Owner	Due date	
1						
2						

Decisions taken during the meeting:					
Decision Nr	Related Work Package	Decision name	Decision Description	Date of decision taken	Decision Owner
1					
2					

Related Document	Location
PowerPoint presentation	Attached