

Minutes of Meeting

DIGIT – Working Group Meeting

Project:	Representation Powers and Mandates	Meeting Date/Time:	31/01/2019 (10:00 to 11:45 a.m.)
Meeting Type:	2 nd Working Group Meeting	Meeting Location:	Everis
Meeting Coordinator:	Rui Venâncio	Issue Date:	31/01/2019

Attendee Name	Initials	e-mail
Frédéric Poels	FP	Frederic.POELS@ec.europa.eu
Miguel Álvarez Rodríguez	MAR	Miguel.ALVAREZ-RODRIGUEZ@ec.europa.eu
Carlos Gómez-Muñoz	CGM	Carlos.GOMEZ-MUNOZ@ec.europa.eu
Valentin Mesaros	VM	Valentin.MESAROS@ext.ec.europa.eu
Enric Staromiejski	ES	virginia.gomariz.gonzalez@everis.com
Rui Venâncio	RV	rui.venancio@everis.com
Laia Bota Porta	LB	Laia.Bota.Porta@everis.com
Ana Rosa	AR	anarosa.guzman@correo.gob.es
Pénzes Petra	PP	penzes.petra@nisz.hu
Ivar Vennekens	IV	Ivar.Vennekens@rvo.nl
Michal Ohrablo	MO	michal.ohrablo@vicepremier.gov.sk
Arne Tauber	AT	arne.tauber@egiz.gv.at
Cristina Ramos	CR	cristinapilar.ramos@correo.gob.es
Felix Hörandner	FH	felix.hoerandner@iaik.tugraz.at
Ondrej Medovic	OM	ondrej.medovic@mvcrcz
Tor Arild Sunnevag	TA	
Jerry Dimitriou	JD	jerouris@unipi.gr
Tomas Sedivec	TS	
VRK Helisnki nh Pariisi		
Martin Galan Rodrigo	MG	Rodrigo.MARTIN-GALAN@ec.europa.eu
Finland		
Hakon Olderbakk	HO	
Horváth Imre	HI	horvath.imre2@nisz.hu

Summary of Meeting Objectives

Power and Mandates Working Group (WG) meeting.

The aim of this meeting is to show to the WG members the progress of the different ongoing tasks and the next steps.

Summary of Meeting

Presentation

The PowerPoint presentation slides have been used as support for this meeting. They are attached to the email.

Agenda

1. Round Table
2. Meeting objectives
3. Recap
4. Ongoing activities
5. Requests to the WG members
6. Next WG sessions

Meeting Minutes

- ES introduced the everis team, the objectives of the meeting, and the job done so far.
- ES remarked that from now on the WG members should access to the Branch 1.1 in GitHub (GH), instead of the “master”.

Mapping:

- ES explained the Excel file with the mapping of the information requirements from four Member States previously selected and check the different models with the RPaM model.
- The objective is to go towards a new conceptual model.
- The WG members can help improving the mapping by creating issues in GH. everis will be in charge of updating the excel file.

Conceptual Model (CM):

- ES said that the objective is to develop a domain ontology (represent entities, relationships, business rules, etc. about RPaM). He also said that so far everis is not very interested in representing the processes but we do take into account them when identifying all the concept elements of the ontology (entities, relationships, constraints, axioms and rules).
- ES showed the new version of the CM and explained it (just Mandate)
- ES explained the new entity Mandate and pointed at the SPARQL unitary test queries

Information Requirements and Constraints:

- ES explained how the CPSV AP fits in RPaM and that the Excel file is to define, in a flexible way, the Information Requirements and constraints that any SP could need.
- ES gave an example of the possible criterion/constraint that a Service Provider may request/impose.
- ES said that the harmonised services shouldn't need this because the system should be common.
- ES showed the expression of the CCEV in turtle syntax and explained how the Excel file of the criterion (that is machine-readable) corresponds to the “.ttl” (Turtle) file.
- ES remained that all this information is available in the Wiki, as well as the Information Requirements Excel file.

Business Rules:

- ES commented that this is an ongoing activity and that it is based on the work done in previous developments so everis could extract few rules.

Ongoing activities:

- ES informed about the ongoing tasks:
 - Mapping about the response document;
 - CM of the Request Model;
 - CM of the Response Model;
 - Business Rules.

Request to the WG members:

- ES said that there is an urgent need to solve about the Identifiers' Policy:
 - This is mainly for legal ant natural persons;
 - It is also important to show the authority (agency that provided/issued the identifier). Otherwise it could be useless.
 - The scheme of the identifier on which it has been based is also needed.
 - It is also important to identify which authorities and schemes identifiers are we allowing and which are not. That's why it is important a policy about identifiers, otherwise it won't work.
 - Any other solutions or suggestion in the GH are welcome. An issue will be created to do so.
 - Examples were provided in the presentation-

Next WGM:

- ES made a kind reminder about the next WGM: 21st February 2019.

Discussion:

- TC commented that the Mandate can be restricted to a certain action, not only a period of time. ES answered that we didn't have this specific requirement in mind and asked TC to create an issue about that as it is an important one;

- JD asked about which syntax binding will be used for the exchange of the information between systems, e.g. based on *xsd* schemas. ES said that the focus now was the conceptual data model and added that this model could be map into different syntax bindings, e.g. OWL-DL XML, JASON-LD, XML (e.g. UBL-base).
- JD said that the current Service Providers are not knowledgeable at RDF-based syntaxes. It would be easier to map into an XSD Schema. ES answered that we will think about that. It is not the scope of the phase but it is taken into account;
- JD: SPARQL is not an issue.

Actions registered during the meeting:

Action Nr	Action name	Action Description	Date of creation	Action Owner	Due date
1	Mapping	Activate a link of the mapping in the GH Wiki.	31/01/2019	everis	01/02/2019
2	WGM presentation	Upload the new version by the end of the day.	31/01/2019	everis	01/02/2019
3	Criterion .ttl	Correct the typo and upload it in GH.	31/01/2019	everis	01/02/2019
4	Business rules	To add a link in the wiki.	31/01/2019	everis	01/02/2019
5	Identifiers' Policy	Create an issue about Policy of Identifiers.	31/01/2019	everis	01/02/2019

Decisions taken during the meeting:

Decision Nr	Related Work Package	Decision name	Decision Description	Date of decision taken	Decision Owner
1					
2					

Related Document	Location
PowerPoint presentation	Attached