**Minutes of Meeting**

**DIGIT – Working Group Meeting**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Project:** | Representation Powers and Mandates | **Meeting Date/Time:** | 21/02/2019 at 10:00 |
| **Meeting Type:** | 3nd Working Group Meeting | **Meeting Location:** | Everis |
| **Meeting Coordinator:** | Rui Venâncio | **Issue Date:** | 21/03/2019 |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Attendee Name** | **Initials** | **e-mail** |
| Frédéric Poels | FP | Frederic.POELS@ec.europa.eu |
| Miguel Álvarez Rodríguez | MAR | Miguel.ALVAREZ-RODRIGUEZ@ec.europa.eu |
| Carlos Gómez-Muñoz | CGM | Carlos.GOMEZ-MUNOZ@ec.europa.eu |
| Valentin Mesaros | VM | Valentin.MESAROS@ext.ec.europa.eu |
| Enric Staromiejski | ES | [virginia.gomariz.gonzalez@everis.com](mailto:virginia.gomariz.gonzalez@everis.com) |
| Rui Venâncio | RV | [rui.venancio@everis.com](mailto:rui.venancio@everis.com) |
| Laia Bota Porta | LB | [Laia.Bota.Porta@everis.com](mailto:Laia.Bota.Porta@everis.com) |
| Ana Rosa | AR | [anarosa.guzman@correo.gob.es](mailto:anarosa.guzman@correo.gob.es) |
| Pénzes Petra | PP | penzes.petra@nisz.hu |
| Ivar Vennekens | IV | [Ivar.Vennekens@rvo.nl](mailto:Ivar.Vennekens@rvo.nl) |
| Michal Ohrablo | MO | michal.ohrablo@vicepremier.gov.sk |
| Arne Tauber | AT | arne.tauber@egiz.gv.at |
| Cristina Ramos | CR | cristinapilar.ramos@correo.gob.es |
| Felix Hörandner | FH | felix.hoerandner@iaik.tugraz.at |
| Ondrej Medovic | OM | [ondrej.medovic@mvcr.cz](mailto:ondrej.medovic@mvcr.cz) |
| Tor Arild Sunnevag | TA |  |
| Jerry Dimitriou | JD | [jerouris@unipi.gr](mailto:jerouris@unipi.gr) |
| Tomas Sedivec | TS |  |
| VRK Helisnki nh Pariisi |  |  |
| Martin Galan Rodrigo | MG | Rodrigo.MARTIN-GALAN@ec.europa.eu |
| Finland |  |  |
| Hakon Olderbakk | HO |  |
| Horváth Imre | HI | horvath.imre2@nisz.hu |

|  |
| --- |
| **Summary of Meeting Objectives** |
| Power and Mandates Working Group (WG) meeting.  The aim of this meeting is to show to the WG members the progress of the different ongoing tasks and the next steps. |

|  |
| --- |
| **Summary of Meeting** |
| **Presentation**  The PowerPoint presentation slides have been used as support for this meeting. They are attached to the email.  **Agenda**   * Round Table * Meeting objectives * Recap * Ongoing activities * Requests to the WG members * Next WG sessions   **Meeting Minutes**   * RV starts the meeting by asking the attendees to present themselves, presenting the team and putting in context the webinar. The objective of the project is presented and all the previous webinars recapitulated. Also, RV explains that the next phase of the project will consist of a Proof-of-concept in three different member states and that the RPAM team counts on the Member states. * VG explains that questions have been prepared for the attendees and that they will be topics for discussion at the end of the webinar. * LB presents all the work done in the context of the project. * LB explains the work done between the previous webinar and the current one:   + Stabilisation of the Mandat and agent models.   + Enrichment of the EU Power Taxonomy.   + Modification of the eMandate Request and eMandate response models.   + Modification and addition of business rules. * JM explains the concept of co-representatives which has been included following Netherland´s request. * NL: NaturalPerson should be represented by the Core Person Vocabulary * CG: We should reuse the minimum dataset for the authentication using eiDAS. * ES insists on the fact that the conceptual model model matches the EC definition of eBusiness Document. * JM presents the testing materials and presents a screenshot of each material:   + RPaM Ontology   + EU Powers Taxonomy   + Dataset example   + Graph store   + SPARQL Queries * JM presents two queries consisting of two different user stories. The objective of the queries is to verify that the model handles properly the delegation of powers to several Mandatees. * LB recapitulates all the achievments of the project. * AR: In the request schema, do we need to know the mandate identification to ask for? * ES: Yes, you need to know the Mandate identification. * MA: In the CoS ISA2 project we are discussing and defining public sector taxonomies. I think RPAM should reuse these taxonomies. We invite you to participate to the webinar taking place Wed next week. * ES agrees that we should align the EU powers taxonomy with the CoS taxonomies. * JM starts presenting the considerations for the POC. He presents the delegation system implemented in the model. * FH: to everyone: Could you clarify, who would send the request? * ES: by the service that is being accessed. The service looks for the Mandate in the mandate registry (probably in other another country). * AR: Many of the proposals in the conceptual model are not Implementable in spain. The model is not compatible with the current system. e.g. several mandatees in a mandate. * ES: Remind about it's only for cross-border. * AR: We can have this discussion in another moment. |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Actions registered during the meeting:** | | | | | |
| **Action Nr** | **Action name** | **Action Description** | **Date of creation** | **Action Owner** | **Due date** |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Decisions taken during the meeting:** | | | | | |
| **Decision Nr** | **Related Work Package** | **Decision name** | **Decision Description** | **Date of decision taken** | **Decision Owner** |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Related Document** | **Location** |
| PowerPoint presentation |  |