

Exercises Week 3

Econometrics

1. In the lecture we argued that in case of heteroskedasticity/autocorrelation, GLS is more efficient than OLS by looking at their covariance matrices. You are going to show that this is indeed the case. That is, show that

$$Var^{-1}(\tilde{\beta}_{GLS}) - Var^{-1}(\hat{\beta}_{OLS}) = X^T \Omega^{-1} X - X^T X (X^T \Omega X)^{-1} X^T X,$$

is a positive semidefinite matrix.

Hint: Write $\Omega = PP^T$ and show that the difference can be written as $Z^T M_Q Z$, where M_Q is the matrix that projects off the space generated by Q , for appropriate Z and Q matrices.

2. Generate a sample of size 30 from the model

$$y_t = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_t + u_t,$$

with $\beta_0 = 1$ and $\beta_1 = 1$. For simplicity, assume that x_t are $NID(2, 2)$. Moreover, make $u_t = N(0, \exp(0.6x_t))$; that is, the errors are heteroskedastic.

For this exercise you can only use the `lm()` function and the HCCME function from your favorite package (`vcovHC()` from *sandwich* or `hccm()` from *car*).

- (a) Estimate the model by OLS; that is, without correcting for heteroskedasticity. Compute the t -statistic associated to the test $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ and determine if you reject the null using a critical value of 2.0423. Why use this value?
- (b) Compute the t -statistic associated to the test $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$ using a HCC matrix and determine if you reject the null using the same critical value as before.
- (c) Estimate the model by GLS using the true weights and compute the t -statistic associated to the test $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$. Determine if you reject the null using the same critical value as before.
- (d) Estimate the model by FGLS using weights obtained from the auxiliary regression

$$\log(\hat{u}_t^2) = x_t \gamma + \nu_t.$$

Compute the t -statistic associated to the test $H_0 : \beta_1 = 0$. Determine if you reject the null using the same critical value as before.

- (e) Repeat the exercise at least 100 times and compute the average number of rejections of the null for all methods consider. What do you observe? Explain
3. **Ex. 7.14 in ETM:** The dataset *Money* from the *Ecdat* package contains seasonally adjusted quarterly data for the logarithm of the real money supply, m_t , real GDP, y_t , and the 3-month Treasury Bill rate, r_t , for Canada for the period 1967:1 to 1998:4. A conventional demand for money function is

$$m_t = \beta_1 + \beta_2 r_t + \beta_3 y_t + \beta_4 m_{t-1} + u_t.$$

Estimate this model over the period 1968:1 to 1998:4, and then test it for AR(1) errors using a Durbin-Watson test.

4. **Exercise 4.1 in AGME:** The dataset *Airq* from the *Ecdat* package contains observations for 30 standard metropolitan statistical areas (SMSAs) in California for 1972 on the following variables:

airq: indicator for air quality (the lower the better);

vala: value added of companies (in 1000 US\$);

rain: amount of rain (in inches);

coas: dummy variable, 1 for SMSAs at the coast; 0 for others;

dens: population density (per square mile);

medi: average income per head (in US\$).

- (a) Estimate a linear regression model that explains *airq* from the other variables using ordinary least squares. Interpret the coefficient estimates.
- (b) Test the null hypothesis that average income does not effect the air quality. Test the joint hypothesis that none of the variables has an effect upon air quality.
- (c) Perform a Breusch–Pagan test for heteroskedasticity related to all five explanatory variables.
- (d) Perform a White test for heteroskedasticity.
- (e) Assuming that we have heteroskedasticity related to *coas* and *medi*, estimate the coefficients by running a regression of $\log u_i^2$ upon these two variables. Test the null hypothesis of homoskedasticity on the basis of this auxiliary regression.
- (f) Using the results from (e), compute a FGLS estimator for the linear model. Compare your results with those obtained under (a). Redo the tests from (b).