Mixing Liquids Model

Quang Truong

Abstract

This project examines the mixing dynamics of saltwater in two linked tanks, studying how external inflows, outflows, and transfers between tanks affect salt concentrations over time. A system of first-order differential equations was created and solved through numerical methods in MATLAB. The model’s validity was evaluated via different scenarios, sensitivity analyses, and transient behaviors monitor. Findings show that equilibrium levels are affected by flow dynamics and input concentrations, highlighting the model’s relevance to realistic and practical systems.


Table of Contents

$\pagebreak$

# List of Symbols

# Introduction

We propose a solution to a classic two-tank mixing liquids problem. The liquids in this case are saline and pure water. The system consists of two 100 L interconnected tanks which are referred to as Tank A and Tank B. $\autoref{fig:sys\_illus}$ illustrates the setup of the tanks and connections between them and an external system that feeds and drains saltwater from both tanks



An illustration of the two-tank system

Each tank has four pipes connected to it. For Tank A, the pipe labelled as delivers water with a salt concentration of at a rate of . The two pipes labelled and drain water from Tank A into Tank B at a rate of and into the external environment at respectively. Finally, the pipe delivers salt water from Tank B to Tank A at a rate of . The setup is the same for Tank B only that the symbols and are interchanged in the parameters of Tank A to get those of Tank B. $\autoref{tab:flow\_params}$ summarizes inflow and outflow parameters for both tanks.

Flow parameters and initial salt contents of both tanks.

|  | Inflow | Outflow | Initial salt mass |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Tank A | ( conc.), | , | 0 kg |
| Tank B | ( conc.), | , | 1 kg |

At time , the initial salt concentrations in each tank are known, and the system evolves dynamically according to the flow rates and concentrations. The objective is to determine the steady-state salt concentrations in each tank, as well as to analyze how the system evolves under various parameter choices.

# Model

We base our model chiefly on the principle of conservation of mass. That is, the rate of change of salt in each tank is equal to the difference between the rate at which salt enters the tank and the rate at which it leaves.

Let and represent the salt concentrations in Tanks A and B respectively at time . The total mass of salt in each tank at any time is given by the product of the concentration and the tank volume. This follows from the constraint that the volumes in the tanks remain constant, which can be ensured by choosing the right values for the flow rate parameters. Also, we know from dimensional analysis that the rate at which salt enters or leaves a tank is the product of the flow rate and the concentration.

## Tank A Equation

Each of the four pipes connected to Tank A contribute a term to its differential equation. We designate inflows as positive terms and outflows as negative. and are inflow pipes while and are outflow pipes. If we use the names of the pipes to represent their salt throughputs, the rate of change of salt in Tank A is

$\autoref{eq:a\_pipe}$ can be written in terms of the flow rates and concentrations of the liquids in the pipes as

This gives the first half of a system of differential equations whose solution can be used to predict the salt concentrations of both tanks over time.

## Tank B Equation

We perform the same analysis for Tank B as for Tank A, yielding the following differential equation in terms of the salt throughputs of the pipes connected to it:

Hence, the differential equation for Tank B is

$\autoref{eq:b\_diff}$ is the other half of the system of ODEs that model the given problem.

## Assumptions

The equations derived in the preceding sections rely on simplifying assumptions about the system which are outlined below.

1. The salt concentration in a tank at any moment in time is the same throughout its volume.
2. The volumes in each tank remain constant at 100 L. We achieve this by making the sum of inflow rates for each tank equal the sum of outflow rates, which is expressed as which reduce simply to

These assumptions simplify the system’s dynamics, removing the need to account for spatial variations or changing volumes.

# Solutions

Since our model is a system of two linear ordinary differential equations, an analytical solution is feasible. We begin by solving the system analytically for choice values of the parameters. Next, we provide a numerical solution for the same set of parameters.

## Analytical solution

We start by considering the steady state situation. Let be the time at which the system reaches its equilibrium. Also, let

be the masses and concentrations of salt in Tank A and Tank B respectively when . At equilibrium, the rates of change of salt in both tanks are zero. That is, for Tank A,

The steady-state equation for Tank B can be derived in a similar manner as

$\autoref{eq:a\_equi}$ and $\autoref{eq:b\_equi}$ form a system of two linear equations which can be solved for and simultaneously. We employ the use of matrices to simplify the problem by setting

It follows that

where

We consider two possible cases at equilibrium:

1. The two tanks contain the same quantity of salt. That is
2. Tank A contains more salt than Tank B. From $\autoref{eq:relation\_eq}$, this implies

We find parameters that satisfy each relation defined above as well as $\autoref{eq:cons}$ by creating one comparator for each relation and calling the find\_params() function defined in $\ref{sec:search\_func}$. The code listing below shows how this is done.

gt\_comp = @(a,b) a > b;  
eq\_comp = @(a,b) a = b;  
  
gt\_params = find\_params(gt\_comp);  
eq\_params = find\_params(eq\_comp);

$\autoref{tab:src\_params}$ shows the result of running the above code block.

Parameter values that satisfy the two cases.

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| (L/min) | 2.00 | 2.00 |
| (L/min) | 2.10 | 2.05 |
| (L/min) | 2.20 | 2.05 |
| (L/min) | 2.10 | 2.00 |
| (L/min) | 2.80 | 2.00 |
| (L/min) | 2.10 | 2.25 |
| (kg/L) | 0.22 | 0.10 |
| (kg/L) | 0.10 | 0.12 |

Let and

Consequently,

which is solved by

and are constants that can be determined from the initial conditions of the system, while and are the eigenvalues of , with corresponding eigenvectors $\symbfit{v}\_1$ and $\symbfit{v}\_2$ respectively. Lastly, $\symbfit{x}$ is the vector of steady-state salt quantities from earlier.

### A solution with equal concentrations

From the choice of parameters in $\autoref{tab:src\_params}$, the coefficient matrix when is

having the following eigenvalues and eigenvectors.

The steady-state solution for these parameters is

Hence, by $\autoref{eq:trans}$,

$\autoref{eq:ana\_eq\_comp}$ gives the quantity of salt in both tanks for every time in minutes. Note also that as , the mass of salt in both tanks are equal and approach kg—the steady-state result.

### A solution with a higher concentration of salt in Tank A

Similar to the previous section, we define the coefficient matrix for when using the parameters in the column of $\autoref{tab:src\_params}$:

with eigenvalues and eigenvectors (rounded to five decimal places) and values of

At steady-state, the quantities of salt in both tanks are


$\autoref{eq:greater}$ shows that, as predicted, Tank A has a greater salt content than Tank B. We determine the transient solution, for all time , in a similar manner as in $\ref{sec:eq\_conc}$ to be

Unlike $\autoref{eq:ana\_eq\_comp}$, $\autoref{eq:ana\_gt\_comp}$ uses approximate values for the different quantities which could pose a problem during comparative analysis with the numerical method.


## Numerical solution

We solve the system numerically by employing the use of MATLAB’s ode45 solver. The function numerical\_solution(), which is defined in $\ref{sec:numerical\_func}$ takes a vector of the parameters of the system as an argument and returns the result of calling ode45 on a model defined with those parameters. In the code listing below, numerical\_solution() is called twice—once for the set of parameters of each case, then the results are plotted in two separate figures—$\autoref{fig:eq\_conc}$ and $\autoref{fig:gt\_conc}$.

[t\_eq, c\_eq] = numerical\_solution(eq\_params);  
[t\_gt, c\_gt] = numerical\_solution(gt\_params);  
  
% Plot results  
figure;  
plot(t\_eq, c\_eq);  
legend('Concentration in Tank A', 'Concentration in Tank B');  
xlabel('Time (minutes)');  
ylabel('Salt Concentration (kg/L)');  
title('Salt Dynamics for Case 1: Equal Concentrations');  
grid on;  
  
figure;  
plot(t\_gt, c\_gt);  
legend('Concentration in Tank A', 'Concentration in Tank B');  
xlabel('Time (minutes)');  
ylabel('Salt Concentration (kg/L)');  
title('Salt Dynamics for Case 2: Tank A > Tank B');  
grid on;



Evolution of salt concentration in Tanks A and B for Case 1.



Evolution of salt concentration in Tanks A and B for Case 2.

A cursory glance at both figures would show that in both cases, the salt concentrations converge to about the same values that the analytical solution predicted. This, as well as the time evolution of both models, will be investigated in the next chapter.

# Methods

# Results

# Appendice

## Parameter search function

function params = find\_params(comparator)  
ks = 0.1:0.02:0.5;  
vs = 2:0.05:3;  
  
N = numel(vs) \* 4;  
i = 1;  
rates = zeros(N,4);  
stop = 0;  
for v\_ap = vs  
 for v\_bp = vs  
 for v\_am = vs  
 for v\_bm = vs  
 if (v\_ap + v\_bp) == (v\_am + v\_bm)  
 rates(i,1:4) = [v\_ap, v\_am, v\_bp, v\_bm];  
 i = i + 1;  
 if i > N  
 stop = 1;  
 break;  
 end  
 end  
 end  
 if stop == 1  
 break;  
 end  
 end  
 if stop == 1  
 break;  
 end  
 end  
 if stop == 1  
 break  
 end  
end  
  
for i = 1:N  
 v\_ap = rates(i, 1);  
 v\_am = rates(i, 2);  
 v\_bp = rates(i, 3);  
 v\_bm = rates(i, 4);  
  
 for ka = ks  
 for kb = ks  
 for v\_ab = vs  
 for v\_ba = vs  
 lhs = (ka\*v\_ap) / (kb\*v\_bp);  
 rhs = (v\_ab + v\_am - v\_ba) / (v\_ba + v\_bm - v\_ab);  
 is\_interesting = v\_ap ~= v\_am && v\_ab ~= v\_ba && ka ~= kb;  
   
 if comparator(lhs,rhs) && is\_interesting  
 params = [v\_ap, v\_am, v\_bp, v\_bm, ka, kb, v\_ab, v\_ba]';  
 return  
 end  
 end  
 end  
 end  
 end  
end  
end

## Numerical solution functions

function [t, c] = numerical\_solution(params)  
 V\_Ap = params(1); V\_Am = params(2); V\_Bp = params(3); V\_Bm = params(4);  
 K\_A = params(5); K\_B = params(6); V\_AB = params(7); V\_BA = params(8);   
  
 % Time span  
 tspan = [0, 400];  
   
 % Initial conditions  
 x0 = [0; 1];  
   
 % Solve ODE  
 [t, x] = ode45(@(t, x) salt\_dynamics(t, x, V\_Ap, V\_Am, V\_Bp, ...  
 V\_Bm, V\_AB, V\_BA, K\_A, K\_B), tspan, x0);  
  
 % Convert salt amounts to concentrations  
 c = S / 100;  
end  
  
function dxdt = salt\_dynamics(~, x, V\_Ap, V\_Am, V\_Bp, V\_Bm, V\_AB, V\_BA, K\_A, K\_B)  
 x\_A = x(1); % Salt in Tank A  
 x\_B = x(2); % Salt in Tank B  
   
 % Tank A  
 dx\_A = V\_Ap \* K\_A - V\_Am \* x\_A / 100 - V\_AB \* x\_A / 100 + V\_BA \* x\_B / 100;  
   
 % Tank B  
 dx\_B = V\_Bp \* K\_B - V\_Bm \* x\_B / 100 - V\_BA \* x\_B / 100 + V\_AB \* x\_A / 100;  
   
 dxdt = [dx\_A; dx\_B];  
end

# Summary