



# Ex 1 | Introduction to Speech Processing(67455)

Name: Alon Olesinski, Eviatar Ben-Eliyahu | Id: 308432368, 312245087

30 באפריל 2023

## Question :1

### Subsection a:

$$F^{-1} [X_1^F (\omega) * X_2^F (\omega)] \stackrel{\text{def of } F^{-1}}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X_1^F (u) X_2^F (s-u) du \right] e^{2\pi i s \omega} ds$$

Changing the order of integration:

$$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X_1^F (u) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X_2^F (s-u) e^{2\pi i s \omega} ds \right] du$$

By the Shift Theorem (which is given below) we can see that:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X_2^F (s-u) e^{2\pi i s \omega} ds = e^{2\pi i u \omega} x_2 (\omega)$$

Giving us:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X_1^F (u) \left[ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X_2^F (s-u) e^{2\pi i s \omega} ds \right] du \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X_1^F (u) e^{2\pi i u \omega} x_2 (\omega) du \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} x_2 (\omega) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X_1^F (u) e^{2\pi i u \omega} du \end{aligned}$$

Shift Theorem:

$$F (x (t - t_0)) (s) = e^{-i2\pi s t_0} X^F (s)$$

Proof:

$$F (x (t - t_0)) (s) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x (t - t_0) e^{-i2\pi s t} dt$$

Notice that:

$$e^{-i2\pi st} e^{i2\pi st} = 1$$

Thus we can multiply the right hand side by this term and get:

$$\begin{aligned} & F(x(t - t_0))(s) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(t - t_0) e^{-i2\pi st} e^{-i2\pi st} e^{i2\pi st} dt \end{aligned}$$

We can now substitute  $u = t - t_0$  and  $du = dt$  to finish the proof:

$$\begin{aligned} & F(x(t - t_0))(s) \\ &= e^{-i2\pi st_0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} x(u) e^{-i2\pi su} du \\ &= e^{-i2\pi st_0} X^F(s) \end{aligned}$$

## Question :2

### Subsection :1

From question 1, we have:

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{1}{2\pi} (X^F(\omega) * S_T^F(\omega)) = F[x(\omega) \cdot s_T(\omega)] \\ & \stackrel{\text{prolog property 2}}{=} F\left[x(\omega) \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \delta(\omega - nT)\right] \\ & \stackrel{\text{prolog property 1}}{=} F[x_d(\omega)] = X_d^F(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

### Subsection :2

In class we saw that for an integrable function  $s(t)$ , the following holds:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s(t') \delta(t - t') dt' = s(t)$$

We define  $z = \omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}$  and use the equation above to get:

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X^F(\tilde{\omega}) \delta\left(\tilde{\omega} - \left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right)\right) \\ &= \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X^F(\tilde{\omega}) \delta(\tilde{\omega} - z) \end{aligned}$$

Since by definition of  $\delta$ , we have  $\delta(\tilde{\omega} - z) = 0 \iff \tilde{\omega} = z$ , we can write:

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X^F(\tilde{\omega}) \delta(\tilde{\omega} - z) \\ &= \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X^F(\tilde{\omega}) \delta(z - \tilde{\omega}) \end{aligned}$$

From the equation in class (that was given above) we get:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X^F(\tilde{\omega}) \delta(z - \tilde{\omega}) &= \sum_n X^F(z) \\ &= \sum_n X^F\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right) \end{aligned}$$

### Subsection :3

We start from the left hand side of the equation:

$$\begin{aligned} X_d^F(\omega) &\stackrel{1.2.1}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} (X^F(\omega) * S_T^F(\omega)) \\ &\stackrel{\text{definition of } S_T^F}{=} \frac{1}{2\pi} \left( X^F(\omega) * \frac{2\pi}{T} \sum_n \delta\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right) \right) \\ &\stackrel{\text{linearity of conv}}{=} \frac{1}{T} \sum_n \left( X^F(\omega) * \delta\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right) \right) \end{aligned}$$

We now define  $\delta'(\omega) = \delta\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right)$  and substitute. We then use the definition of the convolution given in the prologue (4):

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{T} \sum_n \left( X^F(\omega) * \delta\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right) \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_n (X^F(\omega) * \delta'(\omega)) \\ &= \frac{1}{T} \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X^F(\tilde{\omega}) \delta'(\omega - \tilde{\omega}) d\tilde{\omega} \end{aligned}$$

Substituting  $\delta$  back:

$$= \frac{1}{T} \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X^F(\tilde{\omega}) \delta\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T} - \tilde{\omega}\right) d\tilde{\omega}$$

And since  $\delta\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T} - \tilde{\omega}\right) = 0 \iff \omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T} = \tilde{\omega}$ , we can write:

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X^F(\tilde{\omega}) \delta\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T} - \tilde{\omega}\right) d\tilde{\omega}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \frac{1}{T} \sum_n \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} X^F(\tilde{\omega}) \delta\left(\tilde{\omega} - \left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right)\right) d\tilde{\omega} \\
&\stackrel{1.2.2}{=} \frac{1}{T} \sum_n X^F\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right)
\end{aligned}$$

### Subsection :4

Assume towards contradiction that there exists  $f_s < 2 \cdot f_{max}$  s.t  $\forall x_d(t), \forall |\omega| < \omega_{max} : X_d^F(\omega) = \frac{1}{T} X^F(\omega)$ . We define  $\bar{\omega} = \omega_{max} + \frac{\pi}{T}$ . Since  $\bar{\omega} > \omega_{max}$  we have that  $X^F(\bar{\omega}) = 0$  (band limited). In addition, according to 1.2.3 we have:

$$X_d^F(\bar{\omega}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_n X^F\left(\bar{\omega} - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right)$$

We analyze the terms in the summation:

- For  $n \leq 0$  we have  $\bar{\omega} - \frac{2\pi n}{T} \geq \omega_{max}$  and so  $X^F\left(\bar{\omega} - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right) = 0$
- Hence  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_n X^F\left(\bar{\omega} - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1} X^F\left(\bar{\omega} - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right)$

As we've stated, we must have  $X^F(\bar{\omega}) = 0$  thus  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1} X^F\left(\bar{\omega} - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right)$

We now define  $\tilde{\omega} = \omega_{max} - \frac{\pi}{T}$ . Since we have assumed that  $f_s < 2 \cdot f_{max}$  we have that  $\omega_{max} > \frac{\pi}{T}$  which in turn means that  $\tilde{\omega} \in [0, \omega_{max}]$ . Hence  $X^F(\tilde{\omega}) \neq 0$ . Using the result of 1.2.3 again, we get:

$$X_d^F(\tilde{\omega}) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_n X^F\left(\tilde{\omega} - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right)$$

We analyze the terms in the summation:

- For  $n \leq -1$  we have  $\tilde{\omega} - \frac{2\pi n}{T} \geq \omega_{max}$  and so  $X^F\left(\tilde{\omega} - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right) = 0$
- Hence  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_n X^F\left(\tilde{\omega} - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=0} X^F\left(\tilde{\omega} - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right)$

But notice that  $\frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=0} X^F\left(\tilde{\omega} - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{n=1} X^F\left(\bar{\omega} - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right)$ . This is a contradiction since  $0 \neq X_d^F(\tilde{\omega}) = X_d^F(\bar{\omega}) = 0$ .

For the other direction, we assume that  $f_s > 2f_{max}$ . Let  $x_d(t)$  be a discrete signal such that  $F(x_d(t)) = X_d^F(\omega)$  and  $|\omega| \leq \omega_{max}$ . According to 1.2.3 we have:

$$X_d^F(\omega) = \frac{1}{T} \sum_n X^F\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right)$$

Notice that for the terms where  $n \neq 0$ , we have  $|\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}| > |\omega - 2n\omega_{max}| > \omega_{max}$  thus  $X^F\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right) = 0$ . This means that:

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_n X^F\left(\omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T}\right) = \frac{1}{T} X^F(\omega)$$

### Question :3

Using the result of 1.2.3, we can calculate  $X_d^F(\omega)$  for the different frequencies (considering also the negative frequencies as the hint suggests). The following shows the summation, and then explicitly shows the terms that we get for  $n = -1, 0, 1$ , as the other terms obviously results in irrelevant values:

- $\omega = 2\pi \cdot 5000$ ,

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_n X^F \left( \omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T} \right) =$$

$$\frac{1}{T} \left[ \dots + X^F \left( \underbrace{2\pi \cdot 5000 + 2\pi \cdot 8000}_{=2\pi \cdot 13000} \right) + X^F (2\pi \cdot 5000) + X^F \left( \underbrace{2\pi \cdot 5000 - 2\pi \cdot 8000}_{=2\pi \cdot (-3000)} \right) \dots \right]$$

Since we are ignoring negative values in our representation, the above summation has no terms which give non-zero frequency.

- $\omega = 2\pi \cdot 1000$ ,

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_n X^F \left( \omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T} \right) =$$

$$\frac{1}{T} \left[ \dots + X^F \left( \underbrace{2\pi \cdot 1000 + 2\pi \cdot 8000}_{=2\pi \cdot 9000} \right) + X^F (2\pi \cdot 1000) + X^F \left( \underbrace{2\pi \cdot 1000 - 2\pi \cdot 8000}_{=2\pi \cdot (-7000)} \right) \dots \right]$$

In the above summation, we get the original frequency  $2\pi \cdot 1000$

- $\omega = 2\pi \cdot (-1000)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_n X^F \left( \omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T} \right) =$$

$$\frac{1}{T} \left[ \dots + X^F \left( \underbrace{2\pi \cdot (-1000) + 2\pi \cdot 8000}_{=2\pi \cdot 7000} \right) + X^F (2\pi \cdot (-1000)) + X^F \left( \underbrace{2\pi \cdot (-1000) - 2\pi \cdot 8000}_{=2\pi \cdot (-9000)} \right) \dots \right]$$

This summation does not add any positive frequency either.

- $\omega = 2\pi \cdot (-5000)$ ,

$$\frac{1}{T} \sum_n X^F \left( \omega - \frac{2\pi n}{T} \right) =$$

$$\frac{1}{T} \left[ \dots + X^F \left( \underbrace{2\pi \cdot (-5000) + 2\pi \cdot 8000}_{=2\pi \cdot 3000} \right) + X^F (2\pi \cdot (-5000)) + X^F \left( \underbrace{2\pi \cdot (-5000) - 2\pi \cdot 8000}_{=2\pi \cdot (-13000)} \right) \dots \right]$$

We can see that we have the positive frequency  $2\pi \cdot 3000$ .

We conclude that the frequencies that will appear in the Fourier transform of the discrete measured signal are  $2\pi \cdot 1000$  and  $2\pi \cdot 3000$ .