Final Project Poster Rubric

Student Names:	
----------------	--

s Poster me solution. technical tible to Content i demic peer, indicated audiences good Poster is a good floors and sections.	although it may not ly clear or organized. ostly describes problem and solution. s understandable to ustry, and academic s. mostly readable with low of ideas within Sections may not be	elements are included on the poster. Poster describes some aspects of technical problem and solution. Content is understandable to only to members of class. Poster is somewhat readable. Idea flow is poor with incoherent ideas within	Several required elements were missing. Poster does not describe technical problem and/or solution. Content is understandable only to fellow project members. Poster is not readable. Sections have no organization
be entirel s Poster me solution. technical technical demic Content i peer, induaudiences good Poster is a good fle ons and sections.	ly clear or organized. postly describes problem and solution. s understandable to ustry, and academic s. mostly readable with ow of ideas within Sections may not be	Poster describes some aspects of technical problem and solution. Content is understandable to only to members of class. Poster is somewhat readable. Idea flow is poor with incoherent ideas within	Poster does not describe technical problem and/or solution. Content is understandable only to fellow project members. Poster is not readable.
s Poster mos solution. technical technical demic peer, induaudiences a good Poster is a good floors and sections.	ostly describes problem and solution. It is understandable to sustry, and academic strong mostly readable with pow of ideas within sections may not be in the solution of the sections of the sections in the sections in the section of the section o	Poster describes some aspects of technical problem and solution. Content is understandable to only to members of class. Poster is somewhat readable. Idea flow is poor with incoherent ideas within	technical problem and/or solution. Content is understandable only to fellow project members. Poster is not readable.
solution. technical Content i peer, indu audiences good Poster is a good floors and sections.	problem and solution. It is understandable to sustry, and academic s. mostly readable with bow of ideas within I Sections may not be i	of technical problem and solution. Content is understandable to only to members of class. Poster is somewhat readable. Idea flow is poor with incoherent ideas within	technical problem and/or solution. Content is understandable only to fellow project members. Poster is not readable.
solution. technical Content i peer, indu audiences good Poster is a good floors and sections.	problem and solution. It is understandable to sustry, and academic s. mostly readable with bow of ideas within I Sections may not be i	of technical problem and solution. Content is understandable to only to members of class. Poster is somewhat readable. Idea flow is poor with incoherent ideas within	technical problem and/or solution. Content is understandable only to fellow project members. Poster is not readable.
able to Content in peer, industries and good Poster is a good floors and sections.	is understandable to ustry, and academic s	solution. Content is understandable to only to members of class. Poster is somewhat readable. Idea flow is poor with incoherent ideas within	solution. Content is understandable only to fellow project members. Poster is not readable.
audiences agood Poster is a good floors and sections.	mostly readable with I wow of ideas within Sections may not be	members of class. Poster is somewhat readable. Idea flow is poor with incoherent ideas within	project members. Poster is not readable.
good Poster is ent. This a good floors and sections.	mostly readable with I bow of ideas within I Sections may not be	Poster is somewhat readable. Idea flow is poor with incoherent ideas within	Poster is not readable.
ent. This a good floors and sections.	ow of ideas within Sections may not be	Idea flow is poor with incoherent ideas within	
ons and sections.	Sections may not be	incoherent ideas within	Sections have no organization
ections. ordered in			to them. Ideas have no flow
thoughtfu		1	within sections.
thoughtit	ii way.	organization.	
			The poster is distractingly
			messy or very poorly designed
	e small items marring bill appearance	bit messy.	It is not attractive.
	ned items or		
	ent fonts).		
			Graphics do not relate to the
		Most borrowed graphics have a	*
	stand. All borrowed have a source citation.	source citation.	graphics do not have a source citation.
citation, graphics	have a source citation.		citation.
		Several items of importance on	
	,		OR no important items were
		with labels that can be read from at least 3 ft. away.	labeled.
leand from	rat least 5 ft. away.	from at least 3 ft. away.	
read fron	a few minor errors in		There are many errors in
ctuation There are		capitalization or nunctuation	capitalization or punctuation.
ctuation There are		capitalization of punctuation.	
ctuation There are		captainzation of punctuation.	
ctuation There are the capitalization.	ation or punctuation.	There are some grammatical	There are many grammatical mistakes on the poster.
	ctuation There are		