

Topic 2: Cloudization of development processes and its impact on quality, time and schedule constraints

Ivo Willemsen

Email: ivo.willemsen@outlook.com

Abstract— This essay raises ideas relating to how cloud-based development solutions can improve development processes in general and quality, schedule and cost properties of project management in particular. The question is posed whether it is useful to extend the current ISO 25010 with additional quality characteristics that relate with agile development, and in addition it is envisioned how cloud-based source control platforms, which host open-source components and projects, can be used to generate measurements for the ISO 25010 quality characteristics. Answers to both questions could aid decision makers in the process of open-source component selection. Schedule and cost efficiency improvements are finally proposed by focusing on how cloud-based integrated development environments can fast-track the involvement of developers in developing countries in order to tackle the ever increasing shortage of IT engineers.

Keywords: Quality characteristics measurements, ISO 25010 quality model, cloud-based development, software processes, agile development

I. INTRODUCTION

Fuggetta and Di Nitto [1] give an overview of the evolution of software processes in the last 15 years. This essay focuses on how the cloudization of development processes can improve quality, cost and time aspects of project execution. Cloud-based source control platforms have become a common practice and many enterprises use and integrate components and projects that are hosted on those platforms with their own systems. Selecting the correct components based on enterprises' non-functional requirements is a difficult task. The ISO 25010 quality model [2] provides a framework for product quality evaluation that can be used by projects in order to determine which quality characteristics are important and which are of less importance. It would be beneficial for many enterprises to have detailed and measurable statistics pertaining to the separate categories of the ISO 25010 quality model at their disposal that could aid in determining whether certain open-source components serve their needs. Thus, the first research question of this essay is related with the quantification of quality characteristics of the ISO 25010 and how cloud-based source control platforms can aid in the generation of useful measurements. Related with this, the agile approach has changed the way software products are being developed and cloud-based source control platforms and CI/CD¹ tools do certainly have a beneficial effect on the effectiveness of development processes. The second research question focuses on the current ISO 25010 quality model and reviewing whether it still suits projects that use the agile

approach, or that it might be warranted to add additional quality characteristics to the existing quality model. The last research question is an attempt, at a very high macro level, to raise ideas about how untapping the potential of software developers in developing countries can help reduce cost and schedule related constraints of project management. Finally, the *Future work* section gives a follow up on possible interesting ideas for future investigation with regards to the research questions that are posed in this essay.

II. VIABLE REVISION OF THE ISO 25010 QUALITY MODEL

In my opinion, having read the ISO 25010 Quality Model[2] in detail, it seems that the Agile Manifesto (2001) did not have a lot of impact on the *evolution* of the quality model ISO 9126 to the latest model, ISO 25010, which is, to say the least, surprising. The quality model ISO 25010 was issued in 2011 and supersedes the ISO 9126 quality model [3] and it provides a hierarchical decomposition of quality characteristics which, for a given software system, can be mapped to stakeholders' goals and objectives for the system. One would expect that a time span of 10 years would be sufficient to shed a light on the impact of the agile approach on the (at that moment) current quality model ISO 9126, but not a lot of agile aspects can be found in the revised model ISO 25010.

Ford et al. [4] discuss the effect that software evolution can have on the architecture of a system and it defines *evolvability* as the *ability to support guided, incremental change across multiple dimensions*. When applying an agile approach to software development, business requirement changes, changes in the environment and changes in market conditions are enough ingredients to change the architecture recipe of a system and might result in a re-evaluation and change of the overall architecture of a system. Changes to the architecture should not impact the continuous integration and delivery processes and therefore, judging the *evolvability* of a system gives a good picture of the degree in which a software system is immune to those architectural changes. It would be beneficial for projects that follow the agile approach, to include another main quality characteristic *Agility* with its first sub-characteristic *Evolvability* and define how this sub-characteristic can be measured.

One of the goals of the agile approach is to iteratively deliver Minimal Viable Products, reducing deployment cycle times to a minimum while at the same time not obstructing business operations. A system's architecture contributes to

¹Continuous Integration/Continuous Deployment

promoting or restricting the *deployability* of a system. If sub-optimal design decisions are taken as the system is architected and later on evolves through the time, critical activities such as continuous integration and delivery and automated testing can become a problem. It is therefore important to introduce deployability as a quality characteristic. The idea of deployability as a quality attribute is not a novelty and Khohm et al. [5] researched the relationship between reduced cycle times and perceived quality. Actually, the first mention (not in an agile setting) of deployability as a quality attribute is made by Bass et al. [6] when discussing modifiability quality attributes of a system.

The ideas that have been exposed above lead to the following research question: (*RQ1*): *Do the success of the agile approach and cloudization of tools that implement software processes in the SLC, warrant a revision of the ISO 25010 quality model?*

The target group that would benefit from the result of this research would be clearly project managers and system architects, people with decision making capabilities that might be interested in how the definition of *deployability* and *evolvability* in an agile environment can be used in projects in order to make better decisions with regards to determining the correct mix of quality properties of the systems which are envisioned. A survey could be created that entails questions regarding the feasibility of the extension of the ISO 25010 quality model with the proposed attributes and results could be presented in a quantitative manner.

III. ISO 25010 QUALITY MEASUREMENTS ON SOURCE-CONTROL PLATFORMS

The usage of open-source components at the enterprise level is becoming a widespread phenomenon and cloud-based solutions like Github² and BitBucket³ not only provide functionalities to manage software sources, but also allow projects to design CI/CD pipelines which help projects to successfully implement agile aspects of software development by setting up automated tests and deployments to improve related software processes. Speaking from personal experience, it is a daunting task to make a good selection of open-source components to be used in projects because proper quality characteristics measurements of those open-source components are missing. As an alternative method of selection, a lot of time is wasted in investigating support forums like stackoverflow⁴ and subreddits⁵ in order to extract useful information that might help projects make sound selection decisions. In the absence of proper selection information, projects might be tempted into making precocious decisions and select open-source components that might not fit their set of non-functional requirements, only to find out later that the decision must be revoked because of a mismatch and losing time and resources and jeopardizing the success of the project.

²<https://github.com/>

³<https://bitbucket.org/>

⁴<https://stackoverflow.com/>

⁵<https://reddit.com>

In order to circumvent these situations, it would be beneficial to enterprises to have these quality measurements of open-source components at their disposal, which would allow them to decide on components that best match the project's quality requirements. The ISO 25010 quality model can be consulted to extract these quality characteristics.

Page 10 of the quality model [2] lists the main quality characteristic categories. Of those main categories, *Functional suitability*, *Performance efficiency* and *Usability* are not affected by the application of open-source code repositories and CI/CD tools, as they are primarily related with the business requirements and usage of the system, which are quality characteristics that cannot be directly deduced from the source code itself or from statistics that are extracted from CI/CD tools. The other main categories do at least inhibit one quality characteristic that is affected by the usage of cloud-based source control and CI/CD platforms. *Let's rationalize about how quality characteristics of open-source components can be measured and quantified.*

Interoperability (Compatibility) is the extent to which a certain component is compatible with other components and this characteristic can be deduced by checking the dependencies of components. Dependency management is performed by tools like NPM⁶ (Node) and Maven⁷ (Java) and statistics with regards to validity and deprecation of dependent components could be collected during the build-phase performed by CI/CD tools.

The *maturity* (Reliability) of a component cannot be directly deduced, because it is based on the usage of the system. Notwithstanding, the age of the component, the activeness (number of commits) and results of unit and integration tests can be used in order to calculate a statistic that features the maturity of a component. The *Fault Tolerance* (Reliability) characteristic is directly deduced from the usage of the system, but a reliable statistic can be deduced by checking the results of unit and integration tests which can be collected by CI/CD tools, and keeping a history of the results in order to check tendency changes

Confidentiality (Security), the degree to which a component ensures that data are accessible only to those authorized to have access is a characteristics for which collecting measurements is quiet difficult, but not impossible. An open-source component that is used by an enterprise should use data only according to its intended usage. Research by Denning [7] and Poll [8] show that dynamic and static code analysis tools can be developed that would possess the functionality to discover whether confidentiality would be jeopardized by using open-source components. These tools or libraries would have to be integrated with cloud-based source control platforms and CI/CD tools.

Modularity, *Reusability*, *Analyzability* and *Modifiability* (Maintainability) are all quality characteristics that are derivations of design properties like cohesion and coupling, and adhering to SOLID design principles is the basis of a

⁶<https://www.npmjs.com/>

⁷<https://maven.apache.org/>

good design [9]. Lanza et al. [10] have published an article regarding the generation of visualizations based on reverse-engineered source code. These visualizations give insight into the quality characteristics which are under discussion here. Likewise, instead of generating visualizations, statistics could be generated that concretize these quality characteristics in a numerical manner. A reliable statistic can be deduced for *Testability* (Maintainability) by checking the results of unit and integration tests which can be collected by CI/CD tools.

Package managers like NPM and Maven include steps that take care of packaging and installation of components and these steps can be configured in the build-phase of a component. Cloud-based source control platforms and CI/CD tools keep track of the statistics pertaining to the outcome of the installation and packaging in the build-phase and these statistics thus can be used to qualify the *Installability* (Portability) of a component.

As suggested in the previous research question (RQ1), adding *Deployability* to the ISO 25010 quality model would give agile projects an extra quality characteristic that could aid them in making better decisions with regards to the selection of open-source components. Calculating the deployability of a component is straightforward as it just requires keeping track of the success rate of deployments of components by CI/CD tools

An interesting research was performed by Trockman et al. [11] which elaborates on the usage of quality related repository badges, which are offered by platforms like Github and Bitbucket. One of the research questions they pose is what the effect is of those badges on people who are *shopping* for open-source packages. These badges can either be generated by the platform itself (assessment signals) or can be added by the repository maintainers (conventional signals) in order to convey certain quality characteristics. The outcome of the research was that a large amount of users of open-source packages find those badges useful and admit they are influenced especially by the presence of badges that express quality characteristics related with continuous integration (deployability, testability), static analysis (maintainability) and dependency management (Interoperability). Trockman et al. provide a different categorization of quality characteristics than the ISO 25010 model, and the usage of badges implies in general a more binary representation of the state of the quality characteristic (build passed or build failed). The results of this research could be used to incorporate the proposed measured quality characteristics of the ISO 25010 with badges that can be integrated with those source-control platforms. For example, the *maintainability* of component X would be 75% which could be depicted by a badge with that percentage.

The feasibility of generating ISO 25010 quality measurements based on source-code on platforms like GitHub and BitBucket and visualizing those measurements for each project with badges as proposed by Trockman et al. [11], could be elaborated upon by performing a research based on the following research question: (RQ2): *How could*

cloudized source code repositories and continuous integration tools, generate and visualize measurements of the characteristics of the ISO 25010 quality model in order to make sound judgments pertaining to the selection of useful open-source components?

The target group of this research would be project managers and architects who might be interested in having a dashboard that exposes the measurements with regards to the quality characteristics of a project and that would allow them to make better decisions regarding the selection of open-source components. The research could be preceded by the development of a prototype of a third-party component that would implement the requirement and that would be integrated with GitHub and/or Bitbucket. The research could elaborate on the working of the component and could include a survey that polls the effectiveness of the dashboard among project managers and architects.

IV. DEVELOPING COUNTRIES AND CLOUD-BASED INTEGRATED DEVELOPMENT ENVIRONMENTS

From a project management point of perspective, the previous two research questions were related with the quality aspect of project execution. Project outcome can be maximized not only by focusing on maximizing quality, but also by attempting to *minimize time and cost related parameters*.

The cloudization aspects of the previous two research questions were related with discussing quality derivable characteristics of the application of cloud-based source control and CI/CD platforms. Lately, also cloudization of the development process has taken place judging the availability of online IDEs⁸. A plethora of online IDE providers are available, the best known being AWS Cloud9 by Amazon⁹. Cloud-based IDEs allow developers to code, run and debug application by just using a browser, a minimal bandwidth internet connection and a very low-cost laptop.

The shortage of IT professionals in the world is not the best kept secret, and software developers have been at the top of the hardest to fill jobs in the developed world. At the same time, interest in computer science bachelor and master degree education is lacking behind in the USA [12]. In the last decade, despite the rise in demand for highly-paid software developers, awarded bachelor and major degrees have stayed flat. The last two decades, Indian consultancy service businesses have filled the void created by the ever increasing demand for IT professionals and have caused a true migration invasion of people working in the USA on for example H1-B visas. Adding to this the current stance and trend of developed countries against migration in general, it is interesting to research other opportunities of overcoming the mismatch between the increasing demand for and diminishing supply of software developers in developed countries and this is where matching Silicon Valley directly with software developers in developing countries could be the solution. But in order to reap the harvest, the seeds must

⁸Integrated Development Environments

⁹<https://aws.amazon.com/cloud9/>

be sown first, which means that access to education must be guaranteed.

Silicon Valley and other IT hubs around the world should take a holistic approach by taking responsibility regarding combined education and employment opportunities and consider bundling forces with cloud-based MOOC platforms like Coursera¹⁰ and Udemy¹¹. These platforms offer complete educations and technical courses, where Coursera focuses on offering academic (unaccredited) education and careers (affiliated with the most influential universities around the world) and Udemy focuses more on offering technical courses. The costs of those education tracks and technical courses are very low (up to 40-hour courses on Udemy sell for as low as 10 dollar and Coursera charges 40 dollar for complete academic tracks). Inadequate government spending in developing countries, quoting Goel et al. [13] "Inadequate government spending in the education sector is primarily blamed for poor education as an aspect in hampered national development" in combination with a multiple-decades history of corruption, gives little hope for involving local governments in these efforts and matching IT solution provider directly with software developers in developing countries might be an option.

Cloud-based IDEs require a low initial investment with regards to hardware, no software licenses if open-source components are used and a low bandwidth internet connection. Adding to this the usage of cloud-based MOOC platforms for education purposes, coupled with a proactive approach by the IT services sector in unlocking an untapped market pool of software developers in developing countries, could help decrease schedule and cost aspects of project execution.

Based on the above, a more thorough research could be performed by pondering on the following research question: (RQ3): *How can cloud-based integrated development environments help in tapping into the pool of nascent software developers of developing countries and as a result improve cost and schedule related aspects of project management?*

V. FUTURE WORK

This essay has hopefully presented some interesting research questions. Apart from these, there are some additional ideas which could be investigated in a more academic and elaborate fashion.

Research questions RQ1 and RQ2 are related with collecting measurements of quality characteristics of open-source components by cloud-based source control and CI/CD platforms. *The basis of these statistics are not the open-source components itself, but the contributors who commit work to the source control platforms.* By collecting statistics with regards to the quality of open-source components, implicitly, also the skills, capabilities and competences of those contributors can be deduced. Possible follow up research questions that I can imagine:

How can quality related measurement statistics be used to generate resumes of contributors to open-source projects? Putting incorrect content in resumes is sometimes referred to as *Resume Dressing* and is an activity that is a pain in the rear for many employers and recruiters. On the other hand, it could be considered a tool for contributors to showcase their capabilities and to leave a global record of skills and competences

Which controls should be put in place to manage the amount of personal information that contributors would like to share ? GDPR and other privacy regulations are put in place to protect privacy sensitive information. Which controls should contributors be given to decide upon the amount of personal information that would be shared with employers and recruiters

How can contributors to open-source components be uniquely identified ? Contributors to open-source projects don't go through a KYC process¹². Is there a need for a KYC process when matching identity information with quality measurement statistics of open-source components?

REFERENCES

- [1] A. Fuggetta and E. Di Nitto, "Software process," in *Proceedings of the on Future of Software Engineering*. ACM, 2014, pp. 1–12.
- [2] ISO/IEC, *Systems and software engineering Systems and software Quality Requirements and Evaluation (SQuaRE) System and software quality models*. BSI Standards Publication, 2011. [Online]. Available: <https://pdfs.semanticscholar.org/57a5/b99ecef9da205e244337c9f4678b5b23d25.pdf>
- [3] ISO, *ISO/IEC 9126-1:2001 - Software engineering - Product quality - Part 1: Quality model*. ISO, 2001. [Online]. Available: <https://www.iso.org/standard/22749.html>
- [4] N. Ford, *Building Evolutionary Architectures: Support Constant Change*. O'Reilly Media, 2017.
- [5] F. Khomh, T. Dhaliwal, Y. Zou, and B. Adams, "Do faster releases improve software quality? an empirical case study of mozilla firefox," in *2012 9th IEEE Working Conference on Mining Software Repositories (MSR)*, June 2012, pp. 179–188.
- [6] P. C. L. Bass and R. Kazman, *Software Architecture in Practice*, 3rd ed. Boston. MA: Addison-Wesley, 2012.
- [7] D. E. Denning and P. J. Denning, "Certification of programs for secure information flow," *Commun. ACM*, vol. 20, no. 7, pp. 504–513, Jul. 1977. [Online]. Available: <http://doi.acm.org/10.1145/359636.359712>
- [8] E. Poll, *Lecture Notes on Language-based Security*. Radboud University Nijmegen, 2017. [Online]. Available: http://www.cs.ru.nl/~erikpoll/papers/language_based_security.pdf
- [9] "Solid." [Online]. Available: <https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/SOLID>
- [10] M. Lanza, "Codecrawler - polymetric views in action," in *Proceedings of the 19th IEEE International Conference on Automated Software Engineering*, ser. ASE '04. Washington, DC, USA: IEEE Computer Society, 2004, pp. 394–395. [Online]. Available: <https://www.si.usi.ch/assets/publications/conf/kbse/ase2004/Lanza04.pdf>
- [11] A. Trockman, "Adding sparkle to social coding: An empirical study of repository badges in the npm ecosystem," in *Proceedings of the 40th International Conference on Software Engineering: Companion Proceedings*, ser. ICSE '18. New York, NY, USA: ACM, 2018, pp. 524–526. [Online]. Available: <https://www.cs.cmu.edu/~ckaestne/pdf/icse18badges.pdf>
- [12] "Principles behind the agile manifesto." [Online]. Available: https://nces.ed.gov/programs/digest/d16/tables/dt16_322.10.asp?current=yes
- [13] A. W. S. T. R. Goel, C. Donald, "Universal access to education: A study of innovative strategies," 2012. [Online]. Available: <https://www.yumpu.com/en/document/view/19474110/universal-access-to-education-a-study-of-innovative-strategies-erim>

¹⁰<https://www.coursera.org/>

¹¹<https://www.udemy.com/>

¹²https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Know_your_customer