

## Collaborative AI Healthcare Solution Development (MedicAI)

Patients struggle to find the right specialists, and doctors face overwhelming medical literature. An Al system on user-friendly website aids diagnosis, medication choice, and literature access with Literature Review, Drug Recommendation, and Disease Detection models.

#### **Problem Definition**

In the modern healthcare environment, several persistent challenges continue to affect both patients and healthcare professionals. Patients often struggle to determine which specialist to consult based on their symptoms, leading to delays in receiving appropriate care and potentially resulting in ineffective or incorrect treatment. This confusion can significantly hinder timely and effective healthcare delivery.

Healthcare professionals face the danating task of staying algorated with the state of the staying updated with the state of the staying updated with the professional staying and the staying of merical literature. The short volume of new read-and advancements can be overwhelming, making à difficult for practitioners to rever above to the latest developments. Additionally, prescribing the correct medication for various diseases adds another layer of complexity, which can compromise patient care and increase the risk of medication errors.

### Introduction

To address these issues, a comprehensive system has been developed in a user-friendly website format. This system offers separate logins for doctors, patients, and administrators. Depending on their login surers can access different models specifically designed to assist them. These models include a Literature Review Model, a Drug Recommendation Model, and a Disease Detection Model.

The Literature Review Model assists healthcare professionals in efficiently accessing relevant medical literature, study accessing relevant medical literature, to consider the profession of th

#### **Literature Review**

Various AI models have been developed to address specific healthcare challenges, such as ChatGPT by OpenAI and ClinicalBERT, each with their unique capabilities and imitations.

ChatGPT is a general-purpose language model capable of engaging in a wide range of conversations but lacks the specialized focus required for medical applications.

ClinicalIBERT, on the other hand, has been fine-tuned on cinicial notes from electronic fine-tuned on cinicial notes from electronic for health records to improve its understanding or medical language and its ability to predict hospital readmissions. However, ClinicalIBERT symmatry focus is regarding readmissions, rather than providing readmissions, rather than providing comprehensive medical information or a assisting with drug recommendations and cliegase detection from symptoms.

#### Methodology

Literature Review Model: A new dataset was created from 15 medical books on various subjects. The books were divided into chunks and question-nanwer pairs were generated, resulting in approximately 13,000 pairs. This dataset was used to train a conversational Al model based on BulodPf from Hugging face. A web scraping function was developed to extract nelevant information from web pages, focusing on Whippedia to exame reliable and structured information.

Drug Recommendation Model: A dataset containing 165,528 entries about various drugs and their ratings for different conditions was used. This dataset included columns for medical conditions, drug names, and ratings. The drug names were encoded into numerical labets, and the model was trained using the RLHFlow/HrmoRM-Llama/3-86-Voll model from Hugging Face.

Disease Detection Model: The "Symptom-Disease Dataset" from Hugging Face was used, containing training and test datasets mapping symptoms to diseases. The data was tokenized using the BERT tokenizer, and a BERT-based model for sequence classification was trained.

#### Website Development: A user-friendly website was

developed using Flask, HTML, and CSS, allowing patients, doctors, and medical students to access the models. The website featured a chat interface where users could input questions and receive answers from the trained models.



# Results

The Literature Review Model demonstrated a moderate degree of semantic similarity with an average cosine similarity score of 0.61% and an average futilised nest state of 2.5342 between the provided answers and the ground ruth. The model's predictive capability was effective, indicated by an average perplosity score of 0.9984. Relevancy assessment using the OpenAI API confirmed the correctness of

The Disease Detection Models showed significant improvements across training epochs. In the first spoch, the model actives a class of 4.3759 and an accuracy of 32,00%, with a validation accuracy of 80,20%. By the hind epoch, the look accuracy increased to 83,33%, with a validation accuracy increased to 83,35%, with a validation accuracy increased or 83,00%, with a validation accuracy of 45,00%. These results indicate effective learning and robust generalization to ace data, making the model reliable for disease diagnosis based on symptom impact.