1 Iterative Methods: Gauss-Seidel Method (8.1-8.3)

The Gauss-Seidel Method is another iterative method that is based on the Jacobi method discussed earlier. For any matrix A, we can write it into three parts:

$$A = D + L + U.$$

Observing a 4×4 matrix, this might look like

$$\underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ a_{21} & a_{22} & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & a_{33} & a_{34} \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & a_{44} \end{bmatrix} }_{A} = \underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} a_{11} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & a_{22} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a_{33} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{44} \end{bmatrix} }_{D} + \underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{21} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ a_{31} & a_{32} & 0 & 0 \\ a_{41} & a_{42} & a_{43} & 0 \end{bmatrix} }_{L} + \underbrace{ \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} & a_{14} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} & a_{24} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a_{34} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} }_{U}$$

(Example.) Suppose

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & -8 \\ -2 & -1 & 7 \\ 1 & 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}.$$

If we decompose this into D + L + U, we have

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix}, \quad L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 5 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & -8 \\ 0 & 0 & 7 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

1.1 Deriving from Jacobi Method

Recall that we defined the iterative process for the Jacobi method

$$x_i = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^n a_{ij} x_j \right)$$
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, n \text{ and } a_{ii} \neq 0.$

With this in mind, notice that

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq i}}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right)$$

Note that $x_j^{(k+1)}$, where $1 \leq j \leq i-1$, is already known, so we can just use that. Thus, we have

$$x_i^{(k+1)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left(b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_{ij} x_j^{(k+1)} - \sum_{j=i+1}^n a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right),$$

the Gauss-Seidel Method. Representing and restructuring this in matrix form, we have

$$x^{(k+1)} = D^{-1}(b - Lx^{(k+1)} - Ux^{(k)})$$

$$\implies Dx^{(k+1)} = b - Lx^{(k+1)} - Ux^{(k)}$$

$$\implies Dx^{(k+1)} + Lx^{(k+1)} = b - Ux^{(k)}$$

$$\implies (D + L)x^{(k+1)} = b - Ux^{(k)}$$

$$\implies x^{(k+1)} = (D + L)^{-1}(b - Ux^{(k)})$$

Remarks:

- D+L is the lower part of A. It is invertible if and only if all diagonal entries (a_{ii}) are non-zero.
- D + L = A U. Thus, you can re-represent the Gauss-Seidel method as

$$x^{(k+1)} = (A - U)^{-1}(b - Ux^{(k)}).$$

(Example.) Let
$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 3 & 0 & -8 \\ -2 & -1 & 7 \\ 1 & 5 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$$
, $x^* = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$, and $Ax^* = b = \begin{bmatrix} -5 \\ 4 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$. Suppose we have the initial guess $x^{(0)} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$. Using the formula for each entry, we have the following example:

• Starting at k = 0, for our first iteration, we have

$$x_{1}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{a_{11}} \left(b_{1} - \sum_{j=1}^{1-1} a_{1j} x_{j}^{(0+1)} - \sum_{j=1+1}^{3} a_{1j} x_{j}^{(0)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_{11}} \left(b_{1} - \sum_{j=1}^{0} a_{1j} x_{j}^{(1)} - \sum_{j=2}^{3} a_{1j} x_{j}^{(0)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_{11}} \left(b_{1} - \sum_{j=1}^{3} a_{1j} x_{j}^{(0)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_{11}} \left(b_{1} - \left(a_{12} x_{2}^{(0)} + a_{13} x_{3}^{(0)} \right) \right).$$

$$x_{2}^{(1)} = \frac{1}{a_{22}} \left(b_{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{2-1} a_{2j} x_{j}^{(0+1)} - \sum_{j=2+1}^{3} a_{2j} x_{j}^{(0)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_{22}} \left(b_{2} - \sum_{j=1}^{1} a_{2j} x_{j}^{(1)} - \sum_{j=3}^{3} a_{2j} x_{j}^{(0)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_{22}} \left(b_{2} - a_{21} x_{1}^{(1)} - \left(a_{23} x_{3}^{(0)} \right) \right).$$

$$x_3^{(1)} = \frac{1}{a_{33}} \left(b_3 - \sum_{j=1}^{3-1} a_{3j} x_j^{(0+1)} - \sum_{j=3+1}^{3} a_{3j} x_j^{(0)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_{33}} \left(b_3 - \sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{3j} x_j^{(1)} - \sum_{j=4}^{3} a_{3j} x_j^{(0)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_{33}} \left(b_3 - \sum_{j=1}^{2} a_{3j} x_j^{(1)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{a_{33}} \left(b_3 - (a_{31} x_1^{(1)} + a_{32} x_2^{(1)}) \right).$$

This gives us $x^{(1)} = \begin{bmatrix} x_1^{(1)} \\ x_2^{(1)} \\ x_3^{(1)} \end{bmatrix}$. The remaining iterations have the same idea.

Alternatively, using the matrix-based formula:

• Starting at k = 0, for our first iteration, we have

$$x^{(1)} = (D+L)^{-1}(b-Ux^{(0)}).$$

This requires us to find $(D+L)^{-1}$. Once we find this, we can perform the remaining iterations.

1.2 Convergence Result

The Guass-Seidel method converges for every initial guess $x^{(0)}$ if all eigenvalues λ of $G = I - (D + L)^{-1}A = I - (A - U)^{-1}A$ satisfy the following:

- All eigenvalues are distinct.
- $|\lambda| < 1$.

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof for Jacobi. We want to show that $e^{(k)} = x^* - x^{(k)} \mapsto 0$.

$$\begin{split} e^{(k+1)} &= x^* - x^{(k+1)} \\ &= x^* - (D+L)^{-1}(b - (A-L-D)x^{(k)}) \\ &= x^* - (D+L)^{-1}(b - Ax^{(k)} - (L+D)x^{(k)}) \\ &= x^* - (D+L)^{-1}(b - Ax^{(k)}) - (D+L)^{-1}((L+D)x^{(k)}) \\ &= x^* - (D+L)^{-1}(b - Ax^{(k)}) - x^{(k)} \\ &= x^* - (D+L)^{-1}(b - A(x^* - e^{(k)})) - x^{(k)} \\ &= x^* - (D+L)^{-1}(b - Ax^* + Ae^{(k)}) - x^{(k)} \\ &= x^* - (D+L)^{-1}(b - Ax^* + A(x^* - x^{(k)})) - x^{(k)} \\ &= x^* - (D+L)^{-1}(b - Ax^* + Ax^* - Ax^{(k)}) - x^{(k)} \\ &= x^* - (D+L)^{-1}(Ax^* - Ax^{(k)}) - x^{(k)} \\ &= x^* - (D+L)^{-1}(A(x^* - x^{(k)})) - x^{(k)} \\ &= x^* - (D+L)^{-1}(Ae^{(k)}) - x^{(k)} \\ &= x^* - x^{(k)} - (D+L)^{-1}(Ae^{(k)}) \\ &= e^{(k)} - (D+L)^{-1}(Ae^{(k)}) \\ &= e^{(k)} - (D+L)^{-1}(Ae^{(k)}) \\ &= (I-(D+L)^{-1}A)e^{(k)} \end{split}$$

Overall,
$$e^{(k+1)} = (I - (D+L)^{-1}A)e^{(k)} = (I - (D+L)^{-1}A)^2e^{(k-1)} = \dots = (I - (D+L)^{-1}A)^{k+1}e^{(0)}$$
. So, $e^{(k)} = (I - (D+L)^{-1}A)^ke^{(0)}$.

As
$$G = I - (D + L)^{-1}A$$
, we end up with
$$e^{(k)} = G^k e^{(0)}.$$

Since all eigenvalues are distinct, this means that G has n linearly independent eigenvectors v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n . Let $\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n$ denote the corresponding eigenvalues of G. Then,

$$Gv_i = \lambda_i v_i$$
 $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

As $\{v_i\}$ for $i=1,2,\ldots,n$ are linearly independent, we can express $e^{(0)}$ as a linear combination of them,

$$e^{(0)} = c_1 v_1 + c_2 v_2 + \ldots + c_n v_n.$$

Then, the rest of the operation works similarly to the power method. That is,

$$Ge^{(0)} = c_1 \lambda_1 v_1 + c_2 \lambda_2 v_2 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n v_n.$$

$$G^2 e^{(0)} = c_1 \lambda_1^2 v_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^2 v_2 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^2 v_n.$$

$$G^k e^{(0)} = c_1 \lambda_1^k v_1 + c_2 \lambda_2^k v_2 + \dots + c_n \lambda_n^k v_n.$$

Consequently,

$$||e^{(k)}|| = ||G^k e^{(0)}|| \le |c_1||\lambda_1^k|||v_1|| + |c_2||\lambda_2^k|||v_2|| + \ldots + |c_n||\lambda_n^k|||v_n||.$$

Since $|\lambda_i^k| \mapsto 0$ if and only if $|\lambda_i| < 1$, we have $||e^{(k)}|| \mapsto 0$ for every initial guess $x^{(0)}$ if all eigenvalues $|\lambda| < 1$.