

1 Environments and Closures

1.1 Nano: Variables

We now need to add variables. Hence, we modify the grammar like so:

```
e :: n
    | e1 + e2
    | e1 - e2
    | e1 * e2
    | x          -- New
```

This can be represented by the datatype¹:

```
type Id = String
data Expr = Num Int          -- Number
          | Bin Binop Expr Expr -- Binary Expression
          | Var Id           -- Variable
```

We now need to extend the evaluation function.

(Quiz.) What should the following expression evaluate to?

`x + 1`

- (a) 0
- (b) 1
- (c) Runtime Error.

The answer is **C**. We don't know what the value of `x` is.

Clearly, variables aren't useful unless we can somehow map variable names to values.

1.1.1 Environment

An expression is evaluated in an **environment**. It's like a phone book that maps variables to values.

```
["x" := 0, "y" := 12, ...]
```

We can represent an environment using the following type:

```
type Env = [(Id, Value)]
```

1.1.2 Evaluation in an Environment

We can write

```
eval env expr => value
```

to mean that evaluating `expr` in the *environment* `env` should return `value`.

(Quiz.) What should the result of the following code be?

```
eval ["x" := 0, "y" := 12, ...] (x + 1)
```

- (a) 0
- (b) 1

¹We don't plan on introducing type checking here.

(c) Runtime Error.

The answer is **B**.

To evaluate a variable, we can just look up its value in the environment.

1.1.3 Evaluating Variables

We now need to update our evaluation function to take the environment as an argument.

```
eval :: Env -> Expr -> Value
eval env (Num n)           = n
eval env (Binop op e1 e2)  = evalOp op (eval env e1) (eval env e2)
eval env (Var x)           = lookup x env
```

Now that we have variables, we now need to find some way of *adding* variables to the environment. In other words, how do variables get into the environment?

1.2 Nano: Let-Bindings

We now need to add `let`-bindings. Our grammar needs to be updated:

```
e :: n
  | e1 + e2
  | e1 - e2
  | e1 * e2
  | x
  | let x = e1 in e2
```

For example, if our environment is `[]` and our expression is `let x = 2 + 3 in x * 2`, then we would end up with 10. Notice that `x` isn't in our environment; rather, we introduced `x` through a `let`-binding. Hence, we need to extend the representation of expressions, or the datatype.

```
data Expr = Num Int           -- Number
          | Bin Binop Expr Expr -- Binary Expression
          | Var Id            -- Variable
          | Let Id Expr Expr  -- Let-binding
```

But, how do we extend the `eval` function to account for `let`-bindings?

(Quiz.) What should this evaluate to?

```
let x = 5
in
  x + 1
```

- (a) 1
- (b) 5
- (c) 6
- (d) Error: unbound variable x
- (e) Error: unbound variable y

The answer is **C**. x is bound to the value 5, so $5 + 1$ gives us 6.

(Quiz.) What should the following evaluate to?

```
let x = 5
in
  let y = x + 1
  in
    x * y
```

- (a) 5
- (b) 6
- (c) 30
- (d) Error: unbound variable x
- (e) Error: unbound variable y

The answer is **C**. Once again, we've bound x to 5, then bound y to $5 + 1$. Thus, we get the value $5 * (5 + 1)$, which is 30.

(Quiz.) What should the following evaluate to?

```
let x = 0
in
  (let x = 100
   in
     x + 1
  ) + x
```

- (a) 1
- (b) 101
- (c) 201
- (d) 2
- (e) Error: multiple definitions of x .

The answer is **B**. Here, we note that the inner x is shadowing the outer x . Hence, the inner $x + 1$ is 101.

1.2.1 Principle: Static (Lexical) Scoping

Every variable use (occurrence) gets its value from its most *local definition* (binding). In a pure language, the value never changes once defined, thus it's easier to tell by looking at a program where the variable's value came from.

1.2.2 Implementing Lexical Scoping

How would we implement this?

(Example.) Consider

```
let x = 5
in
  x + 1
```

Note that its environment is given by

```
let x = 5      -- []
in             -- | [x := 5]
  x + 1        -- |
```

(Example.) Consider

```
let x = 5      -- []
in             -- | [x := 5]
  let y = x + 1 -- |
  in           -- | | [y := 6, x := 5]
    x * y      -- | |
```

(Example.) Consider

```
let x = 0      -- []
in             -- | [x := 0]
  (let x = 100 -- | [x := 0]
  in           -- | | [x := 100, x := 0]
    x + 1      -- | |
  ) + x        -- |
```

1.2.3 Evaluating let Expressions

To evaluate `let x = e1 in e2` in `env`, we need to do the following.

1. Evaluate `e1` in `env` to `val`.
2. *Extend* `env` with a mapping `["x" := val]`.
3. Evaluate `e2` in this extended environment.

So, we can now extend the `eval` function like so:

```
eval :: Env -> Expr -> Value
eval env (Num n)      = n
eval env (Bin op e1 e2) = evalOp op (eval e1) (eval e2)
eval env (Var x)      = lookup x env
eval env (Let x e1 e2) = eval env' e2
  where
    val = eval env e1
    env' = (x, val) : env
```