1 Local Variables

In this lecture, we want to add support for local variables through let expressions.

Using code discussed in the previous section, along with some intuition, what assembly do you think should be produced?

The assembly that could possibly be produced is as follows:

```
mov rax,
              10
                                  (let (x <mark>10</mark>)
                                      (let (v 10)
      [rsp - 16], rax
mov rax.
              10
      rsp
mov
                                  Expr::Num(n) => format!("mov rax, {}", *n), _____
                          16
mov rax.
                                  Expr::Plus(e1, e2) => {
      rax,
                rsp
                                     let e1_instrs = compile_expr(e1, si, env);
                                     let e2_instrs = compile_expr(e2, si + 1, env);
add rax,
                rsp
                                     let stack_offset = si * 8;
                                     format!("
                                         \{e1\_instrs\}
                                         mov [rsp - {stack_offset}], rax
                                             instrs}
                                         add rax, [rsp - {stack_offset}]
                                  },
```

At a high level, in terms of variable declaration,

- We defined a local variable x with value 10. So, it would make sense to store the value somewhere (e.g., at location rsp 16 in the stack). This corresponds to the first two lines of assembly, which are highlighted yellow.
- In the body of the first let-expression, we defined a local variable y with value 10. So, again, it would make sense to store this value somewhere (e.g., at location rsp 24 in the stack, since we wouldn't want to overwrite the value at rsp 16). This corresponds to the second two lines of assembly, highlighted orange.

Next, we're performing the addition. Note that we're working with the expression (+ x y). The assembly generated by the addition is found under the Expr::Plus branch.

• It would make sense to put the value corresponding to x into our register where we're storing the answer, rax. Since the value corresponding to x is stored in the stack (at location rsp - 16), we need to move the value over to rax. This corresponds to the fifth line in the assembly (highlighted blue). In this sense, we can assume that el_instrs returns just that line: mov rax, [rsp - 16].

- Next, according to how we defined the instructions for addition, we need to move the value stored in rax to the stack memory, [rsp 32]. This corresponds to the sixth line in the assembly (highlighted orange). Note that 32 is picked since we don't want to write this value to rsp 24 (this would overwrite y's value.)
- Similarly, for variable y, we need to store its value into the register rax. Remember that y's value is stored in the stack at location rsp 24. So, we can move the value from this location to rax. This corresponds to the seventh line in the assembly (highlighted pink).
- Finally, from the last line in the Expr::Plus branch, we need to add the value stored at rsp 32 to rax. Recall that [rsp 32] has x's value (since we moved x's value to [rsp 32] from the previous two steps). This corresponds to the last line in the assembly (highlighted orange).

This gives us the desired result in rax.

There are several things we want to consider here.

- How do we modify the grammar and our code to account for these changes?
- How do we store the identifiers and their stack offsets?

1.1 Grammar and AST

Our expression now takes on two new forms:

- A let expression, which takes a *binding* consisting of an identifier and an associated expression, and additionally a corresponding body to be executed.
- An identifier expression itself (this is how we refer to an identifier).

Our grammar will look something like this:

The Expr enum, our AST representation, might look like

```
enum Expr {
    Num(i32),
    Add1(Box<Expr>),
    Sub1(Box<Expr>),
    Plus(Box<Expr>, Box<Expr>),
    Let(String, Box<Expr>, Box<Expr>),
    Id(String),
}
```

To reiterate, in Let(String, Box<Expr>, Box<Expr>),

- The String and first Box<Expr> represents the *binding*, where the String is the identifier and the first Box<Expr> is the expression. Here, we're associating the expression to the identifier.
- The last Box<Expr> is the body that follows the let-expression.

1.2 Modifying the Parser

We need to modify our parser to account for the two different expressions, the let expression and the identifier expression.

1.2.1 The let-Binding

Remember that, in the s-expression, the let-binding will look like

```
(let (<name> <expr>) <expr>)
[a] [b] [c] [d]
```

Here, this corresponds to having a List of atoms and expressions. Namely, we have

- (a) an atom with a String value equal to let (this is how we know this is a let-binding),
- an expression, represented as a List, with (b) an atom representing the identifier and (c) the expression to bind the identifier with.
- (d) the body of the let-statement, also an expression.

This gives us the following branch for let-bindings:

1.2.2 The Identifier Case

Our identifier, like a number, is just by itself. For example, (+10 x) evaluates to 10 + x, where x is an atom. One thing to note is that identifiers are Strings, just like how numbers are Integers. So, this gives us the following branch for identifiers:

```
Sexp::Atom(S(id)) => Expr::Id(id.to_owned()),
```

1.2.3 Putting it Together

Our parser now looks something like the below.

1.3 Modifying the Compilers

There are some things we need to consider.

- We need to store all the identifiers and their stack offsets (where in the stack their values are stored in). For this, we can make use of a HashMap<String, i32>, which we'll call our environment (env).
- Like with the parsing, we need to create two new branches for the Let case and the Id case.

1.3.1 The Identifier Case

The identifier is relatively straightforward. Notice how, in the exercise at the beginning of this section, whenever we refer to an identifier, we simply *move* the value (stored in the stack) corresponding to the identifier to rax.

Remember that our map, env, has the identifier and its offset. So, we can get the offset from the map and use that.

Therefore, the identifier case for the compiler looks like

```
Expr::Id(id) => format!("mov rax, [rsp - {}]", env.get(id.as_str()).unwrap()),
```

1.3.2 The let-Binding

For the Let case, we have three associated values: the identifier, expression associated with the identifier, and the body associated with the binding itself.

At a high level, the idea is as follows:

- First, we want to *compile* the expression associated with the identifier. At the end, the value should be stored in rax. You can observe the other branches within the compile_expr function (from the previous sections) to confirm this; in the branches, the last assembly instruction always involves moving or adding something to rax.
- Once we compiled the expression and have our result in rax, we need to do two things.
 - First, we need to store the result somewhere! We can make use of the current stack index to get the appropriate stack offset. Once we have this offset, we can move the result in rax to that location in the stack.
 - Next, we should probably store the identifier and where its value is stored in the stack (i.e., stack offset) in our environment env. So, we can just update the map to include this information.
- Now that we've done this, we can compile the body. Note that we want to increment the stack index by 1 when compiling the body otherwise, there's a real possibility that we'll overwrite the value stored in the stack (you know, the value corresponding to the identifier) with a different value.

This gives us the branch for the compiler,

1.3.3 Putting it Together

Our compiler should now look something like the below.

```
fn compile_expr(e: &Expr, si: i32, env: &HashMap<String, i32>) -> String {
    match e {
        Expr::Num(n) => format!("mov rax, {}", *n),
        Expr::Add1(subexpr) => compile_expr(subexpr, si, env) + "\nadd rax, 1",
        Expr::Sub1(subexpr) => compile_expr(subexpr, si, env) + "\nsub rax, 1",
        Expr::Plus(e1, e2) => {
            let e1_instrs = compile_expr(e1, si, env);
            let e2_instrs = compile_expr(e2, si + 1, env);
            let stack_offset = si * 8;
            format!("
                {e1_instrs}
                mov [rsp - {stack_offset}], rax
                {e2_instrs}
                add rax, [rsp - {stack_offset}]
            ")
        },
        Expr::Let(id, ex, body) => {
            let ex_instr = compile_expr(ex, si, env);
            let new_env = env.update(id.to_owned(), si * 8);
            let body_instr = compile_expr(body, si + 1, &new_env);
            format!("
                {ex_instr}
                mov [rsp - {}], rax
                {body_instr}
            ", si * 8)
        Expr::Id(id) => format!("mov rax, [rsp - {}]", env.get(id.as_str()).unwrap()),
    }
}
```