# 1 Structured Data: Pairs

In this section, we'll introduce **structured data** to our programming language. In particular, we'll introduce **pairs**, which is essentially a two-element tuple where both elements can be anything – numbers or pairs.

## 1.1 Pair Expressions

Our language now has the following syntax:

```
expr := ... | (pair <expr> <expr>) | (fst <expr>) | (snd <expr>) | nil
```

Here, pair defines a pair of expressions. fst and snd returns the first and second element of a pair<sup>1</sup>, respectively.

The answer is (71, (801, nil)). In this function, we first check if the given pair is nil; if it is, return nil. Otherwise, we create a new pair where the first expression is just the first element of the original pair incremented by 1, and the second element is the result of recursively calling inc on the second element of the original pair.

We can think of the (snd 1st) as the rest of the list.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>Although fst and snd takes any expressions, it expects a pair expression.

The answer is 870. This program iterates through each element of the pair, getting its value and adding it to total. In particular, if we ran the program, we see that

Expression	(fst lst)	Total
(pair 70 (pair 800 nil))	70	70
(pair 800 nil)	800	870
nil	_	_

## 1.2 Representing Pairs

Recall that we used a tagging system, where we dedicated one bit, to differentiate numbers and booleans. However, with a new type, we need to rethink the tagging system.

Our tagging system will now consist of the following:

- Numbers will still use 0 as its tag value.
- Booleans will use 11 as its tag value.
  - true will be represented in binary as 111 (7).
  - false will be represented in binary as 011 (3).
- Pairs will use 01 as its tag value.
- Nil will use 1 as its tag value.

With a tagging system in hand, how do we represent pairs themselves? One approach is as follows:

- An idea is to store each of the pair's value as 31-bits. For example, to represent 2 numbers, we would represent the first number as 31 bits, and the next number as another 31 bits, with the tag value being 2 bits.
- **However**, this won't really work if we have nested pairs. For example, if we have a pair with pairs as its element, then how do we represent this?

Another thing we can think about is heap allocation.

### 1.3 Compiler Design

As implied, we'll have to allocate pair element on the **heap** (we'll need to work with the Rust runtime for this). So, our representation is that the pair's value will be a 62-bit address on the heap.

How do we know *where* to allocate pair elements on the heap? An idea is to dedicate a register that just keeps track of the current heap location. In our class, we'll use r15 for our purposes. With this in mind, here are a few assumptions we will be making:

- r15 is expected to keep growing for now; it's not like rsp where it can increase or decrease depending on how stack space is used.
- r15 only refers to available memory, never used memory.
- r15 will be 16-byte aligned (it will end with 0000)

With this in mind, how do we modify our compiler to support pairs? A sketch of an implementation we'll use is as follows:

```
Pair(e1, e2) => {
    let fst = compile_expr(e1, ...);
    let snd = compile_expr(e2 ...);
    // e1 will be somewhere in [rsp], e2 in rax
    format!("
        {fst}
        {snd}
        mov [r15 + 8], rax
        mov rbx, [rsp + offset]
        mov [r15], r15
        mov rax, r15
        add rax, 1
        add r15, 16
    ")
}
```

#### Remarks:

- We should probably first check and see if we have space left before allocating. We didn't do this part yet.
- Note that we move rax into [r15 + 8] (and not [r15]) because rax has the value of the second element of the pair, not the first.
- mov rax, r15 and add rax, 1 is designed to put the location in heap of the pair's values into rax and then add 1 to rax for tagging purposes. Note that we can add 1 to rax like this because we know that r15 will end with 0000 (this is one of the assumptions we made).
- add r15, 16 moves r15 by 2 words, thus ensuring that it's always pointing to free memory in the heap.

As one might have suspected, once we execute a pair, it should return the memory location to that pair.