1 Context-Free Grammars (2.1)

Consider the following context-free grammar G_1 :

$$A \mapsto 0A1$$

 $A \mapsto B$
 $B \mapsto \#$

Here, we note the following:

- A grammar consists of a collection of **substitution rules** (also called productions). Each rule appears as a line in the grammar, comprising a symbol and a string separated by an arrow.
- The symbol (e.g. A, B) is called a variable. They are often represented by capital letters.
- The string consists of variables and other symbols (e.g. 0, 1, #) called **terminals**. They are analogous to the input alphabet and often are represented by lowercase letters, numbers, or other special symbols. In other words, these are symbols that cannot be replaced (substituted).
- One variable, usually (but not always) the top-left one in the list of rules (e.g. A), is designated as the start variable.
- When we have multiple rules with the same left-hand variable, we may condense them into a single line where the right-hand sides are separated by a | (as an "or"). So, we can rewrite the above rules like so:

$$A \mapsto \mathsf{0} A \mathsf{1} \mid B$$

$$B \mapsto \mathsf{\#}$$

We can use a grammar to describe a language by generating each string of that language like so:

- 1. Write down the start variable.
- 2. Find a variable that is written down and a rule that starts with that variable. Then, replace the written down variable with the right-hand side of that rule.
- 3. Repeat step 2 until no variables remain.

One **derivation** (the sequence of substitutions needed to obtain a string) is as follows:

$$A \implies 0A1$$
 By first rule.
 $\implies 00A11$ By first rule.
 $\implies 000A111$ By first rule.
 $\implies 000B111$ By second rule.
 $\implies 000\#111$ By third rule.

We say that all strings generated in this way constitute the **language of the grammar**, and write L(G) for the language of grammar G. So, for our introductory example above, we have that

$$L(G_1) = \{ \mathbf{0}^n \# \mathbf{1}^n \mid n \ge 0 \}$$

We say that any language that can be generated by some context-free grammar is called a **context-free** language (CFL).

1.1 Formal Definition

We now introduce the formal definition of a context-free grammar.

Definition 1.1

A context-free grammar is a 4-tuple (V, Σ, R, S) where

- 1. V is a finite set called the **variables**.
- 2. Σ is a finite set, disjoint from V, called the **terminals**.
- 3. R is a finite set of rules, with each rule being a variable and a string of variables and terminals.
- 4. $S \in V$ is the start variable.

Suppose u, v, and w are strings of variables and terminals.

Yielding	Deriving
Suppose $A \mapsto w$ is a rule of the grammar. Then,	We say that u derives v , written $u \stackrel{*}{\Longrightarrow}$, if $u = v$
we say that uAv yields uwv , written $uAv \implies$	or if a sequence u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_k exists for $k \geq 0$ and
uwv.	
	$u \implies u_1 \implies u_2 \implies \cdots \implies u_k \implies v$

So, it follows that the language of the grammar is given by

$$\{w \in \Sigma^* \mid S \stackrel{*}{\Longrightarrow} w\}$$

1.1.1 Example 1: Identifying Language

Consider the grammar $G_3 = (\{S\}, \{a, b\}, R, S)$, with R being the rule

$$S\mapsto \mathtt{a} S\mathtt{b} |SS|\epsilon$$

Describe the language of this context-free grammar.

This grammar generates strings like abab, aaabbb, and aababb. We can describe the language $L(G_3)$ as all strings where, for any a, there is a corresponding b. Analogously, if we let a be (and b be), then this is the language of all properly nested parentheses.

1.2 Describing Context-Free Languages

Many context-free languages are the union of simpler context-free languages. So, if you need to construct a context-free grammar for a context-free language, break it into simpler pieces, create the corresponding grammars, and then merge them into a grammar for the original language by combining their rules and then adding the new rule

$$S \mapsto S_1 \mid S_2 \mid \cdots \mid S_k$$

where the variables S_i are the start variables for the individual grammars.

1.2.1 Example 1: Constructing Context-Free Grammar

Build a CFG to describe the language {abba}.

There are several ways to go about this. Consider

$$G_a = (\{S\}, \{\mathtt{a},\mathtt{b}\}, \{S \mapsto \mathtt{abba}\}, S)$$

which maps S to abba, exactly what we wanted.

Another example is

$$G_b = (\{S, T, V, W\}, \{a, b\}, R, S)$$

where R is defined by the rules

$$S\mapsto \mathtt{a} T$$

$$T\mapsto {\rm b} V$$

$$V\mapsto {\rm b} W$$

$$W\mapsto \mathtt{a}$$

So, if we applied the substitution rules, we would get

$$S\mapsto \mathtt{aT}$$
 By first rule.

 $\mapsto abV$ By second rule.

 $\mapsto \mathtt{abb} W$ By third rule.

 \mapsto abba By fourth rule.

1.2.2 Example 2: Constructing Context-Free Grammar

Build a CFG to describe the language $\{0^n1^n\mid n\geq 0\}\cup\{1^n0^n\mid n\geq 0\}.$

First, construct the grammar for $\{0^n1^n \mid n \geq 0\}$. We note that some strings in this grammar are ϵ , 01, 0011, and so on. So, the CFG would be

$$S_1\mapsto \mathtt{0}S_1\mathtt{1}\mid \epsilon$$

Likewise, construct the grammar for $\{1^n0^n \mid n \geq 0\}$, which gives us

$$S_2\mapsto \mathsf{1} S_2\mathsf{0}\mid \epsilon$$

So, our solution is

$$S \mapsto S_1 \mid S_2$$

$$S_1 \mapsto \mathsf{0} S_1 \mathsf{1} \mid \epsilon$$

$$S_2\mapsto \mathsf{1} S_2\mathsf{0}\mid \epsilon$$