

# 1 Division with Remainders

Recall that if  $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $b \neq 0$ , then there exists unique integers  $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$  with  $0 \leq r < |b|$  such that:

$$a = bq + r$$

As a consequence, we note that:

$$\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z} = \{ \underbrace{0, 1, \dots, n-1}_{\text{Possible Remainders}} \}$$

If  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ , then:

$$a = nq + r \equiv r \pmod{n}$$

The uniqueness of  $r$  in  $[0, n]$  implies the equivalence classes  $0, 1, \dots, n-1$  are distinct.

## 1.1 Division Theorem over a Field

### Theorem 1.1

Let  $F$  be a field and  $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  with  $g(x) \neq 0$ . Then, there exists unique polynomials  $q(x)$  and  $r(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  such that  $f(x) = g(x)q(x) + r(x)$  and  $\deg r(x) < \deg g(x)$ , including  $r(x) = 0$ .

**Remark:** This is essentially the end result of polynomial long division.

### 1.1.1 Example 1: Polynomial Division

Consider  $f(x) = x^6 + x$  and  $g(x) = x^2 + 1$  where  $f, g \in \mathbb{F}_3[x]$ . Then, we have:

$$\frac{f(x)}{g(x)} = 1x^4 - x^2 + 1$$

With the remainder being  $x - 1$ . Therefore, the final answer is:

$$x^6 + x = (x^2 + 1)(x^4 - x^2 + 1) + (x - 1)$$

**Remark:** Since  $\mathbb{F}_3 = \{0, 1, 2\} \pmod{3}$ , we *can* change the negative numbers to the corresponding numbers in  $\mathbb{F}_3$ . So, we could write the above like so:

$$x^6 + x = (x^2 + 1)(x^4 + 2x^2 + 1) + (x + 2)$$

Where  $-1 \equiv 2 \pmod{3}$ .

## 1.2 Consequences

If  $\deg f(x) = n$ , then:

$$\frac{\mathbb{F}[x]}{\langle f(x) \rangle} = \{ \underbrace{a_0 + a_1x + a_2x^2 + \dots + a_{n-1}x^{n-1}}_{\text{Possible Remainders}} + \langle f(x) \rangle \}$$

Since the remainders are unique, each of these cosets are distinct.

- If  $\deg f(x) = 1$ , so  $f(x) = ax + b$  ( $a \neq 0$ ), then:

$$\frac{\mathbb{F}[x]}{\langle ax + b \rangle} = \{a_0 + \langle f(x) \rangle\} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{F}$$

Where  $a_0$  is a constant. So:

$$a_0 + \langle f(x) \rangle \mapsto a_0$$

- If  $\deg f(x) = 2$ , then:

$$\frac{\mathbb{F}[x]}{\langle f(x) \rangle} = \{a + bx + \langle f(x) \rangle\}$$

Recall that:

$$\frac{\mathbb{R}[x]}{\langle x^2 + 1 \rangle} = \{a + bx + \langle x^2 + 1 \rangle\} \cong \mathbb{C}$$

$$a + bx \mapsto a + bi$$

- If  $\deg f(x) = 0$ , then:

$$\frac{\mathbb{F}[x]}{\langle f(x) \rangle} = \{0 + \langle f(x) \rangle\} \cong \{0\}$$

This is because  $\deg r(x) < \deg f(x) = 0$ , so it follows that the possible remainders are none.

### 1.3 Proof of Division Theorem

*Proof.* We need to show two things. Let  $f(x), g(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  with  $g(x) \neq 0$ .

- Existence: We use proof by induction.
  - Base Case: If  $\deg f(x) < \deg g(x)$ , then  $f(x) = g(x) \cdot 0 + f(x)$  so we can choose  $q(x) = 0$  and  $r(x) = f(x)$ .
  - Inductive Step: Assume  $q, r$  exist for all polynomial  $f$  of degree  $\deg f(x) < n$ . If  $\deg f(x) = n \geq m = \deg g(x)$ , then we write

$$f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \cdots + a_nx^n$$

with  $a_n \neq 0$  and

$$g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \cdots + b_mx^m$$

with  $b_m \neq 0$ . We set

$$f_1(x) = f(x) - a_nb_m^{-1}x^{n-m}g(x)$$

so by construction, the  $x^n$ -terms cancel out and  $\deg f_1(x) \leq n - 1 < n$ . By the inductive hypothesis, there exists a  $q_1(x), r_1(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$  such that

$$f_1(x) = g(x)q_1(x) + r_1(x)$$

and

$$\deg r_1(x) < \deg g(x)$$

which implies that

$$f(x) - a_nb_m^{-1}x^{n-m}g(x) = g(x)q_1(x) + r_1(x)$$

which further implies that

$$f(x) = g(x)(a_nb_m^{-1}x^{n-m} + q_1(x)) + r_1(x)$$

with  $\deg r_1(x) < \deg g(x)$ . So, take  $q(x) = a_nb_m^{-1}x^{n-m} + q_1(x)$  and  $r(x) = r_1(x)$  and we are done.

- Uniqueness: Suppose  $f(x) = g(x)q(x) + r(x) = g(x)\bar{q}(x) + \bar{r}(x)$  with  $\deg r(x), \deg \bar{r}(x) < \deg g(x)$ . Then, subtracting the two equation gives us:

$$0 = (g(x)q(x) + r(x)) - (g(x)\bar{q}(x) + \bar{r}(x))$$

$$\implies \bar{r}(x) - r(x) = g(x)(q(x) - \bar{q}(x))$$

Suppose, towards a contradiction, that  $q(x) \neq \bar{q}(x)$ . Then,  $q(x) - \bar{q}(x) \neq 0$  so  $\deg(q(x) - \bar{q}(x)) \geq 0$ , thus

$$\deg(g(x)(q(x) - \bar{q}(x))) = \deg g(x) + \deg(q(x) - \bar{q}(x)) \geq \deg g(x)$$

However, note that

$$\deg r(x), \deg \bar{r}(x) < \deg g(x)$$

which implies that

$$\deg(\bar{r}(x) - r(x)) \leq \max\{\deg r(x), \deg \bar{r}(x)\} < \deg g(x)$$

But this is a contradiction. Thus,  $q(x) = \bar{q}(x)$  and  $\bar{r}(x) - r(x) = 0$ , so  $\bar{r}(x) = r(x)$ .

This concludes the proof.  $\square$

## 1.4 More Properties

### Definition 1.1

We say  $g(x)$  **divides**  $f(x)$ , and write  $g(x)|f(x)$ , if  $f(x) = g(x)q(x)$ , i.e. has remainder 0. We say that  $g(x)$  is a **factor** of  $f(x)$ .

### Definition 1.2

A **zero** or **root** of  $f(x)$  is some  $a \in \mathbb{F}$  such that  $f(a) = 0$  for some  $a$ .

### Definition 1.3

The **multiplicity** of a root  $a$  of  $f(x)$  is the largest value of  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $(x - a)^k | f(x)$ .

### Corollary 1.1

Let  $\mathbb{F}$  be a field,  $a \in \mathbb{F}$ , and  $f(x) \in \mathbb{F}[x]$ . Then,  $f(a)$  is the remainder in the division of  $f(x)$  by  $x - a$ .

*Proof.* Write  $f(x) = q(x)(x - a) + r(x)$  for  $\deg r(x) < \deg(x - a) = 1$ . This implies that  $r(x)$  is constant. Plug in  $x = a$  to get  $f(a) = q(a)\underbrace{(a - a)}_0 + r(a) = r(a)$ . Then,  $r(a) = f(a)$  and  $r(x)$  is constant implies that  $r(x) = f(a)$ .  $\square$

### Corollary 1.2

$f(a) = 0$  if and only if  $(x - a) | f(x)$ .