

Grabbing Hands: Career Incentives and Revenue Extraction in an Authoritarian Regime

Zeren Li
Duke University
z1129@duke.edu

2017/4/20

Motivation

- ▶ How do political incentives affect government revenues?
- ▶ In democracies, electoral competition, and post-election governing affect fiscal policies.
- ▶ In non-democracies, political career incentives play a pivotal role in shaping economies and fiscal policies
- ▶ However, the empirical evidence is mixed owing to two challenges
 - ▶ autocrats' multiple concerns regarding revenue collection (monitoring/power sharing/cooptation)
 - ▶ career incentives are endogenous

This project

will examine the effect of promotion incentives that China's prefecture-level court leaders have on revenue extraction, using a regression discontinuity design (RDD) that exploits an arbitrary age threshold for promotion.

Principal-agent problem

- ▶ Central government is the principal, and local governments are agents
- ▶ Central government sets up two rules
 - ▶ Revenue sharing rule
 - ▶ Shared revenue /Local revenue
 - ▶ Performance evaluation rule
 - ▶ Punish the officials who fail to maintain stability
 - ▶ Promote the officials who extract more shared revenue
- ▶ Two types of local officials: junior and senior
 - ▶ Promotion incentives(extract shared tax)/ Corruption incentives(extract local tax)
 - ▶ Junior become senior if they pass certain age
- ▶ Eligible age for promotion: After passing age threshold, $P(\text{Promotion})$ declines to zero if officials are older than the eligible age.

Hypotheses

- ▶ Both junior and senior officials fear of punishment and extract too much will leads to instability
H1: Total amount of revenue does not increase with cadres pass the age threshold for promotion.
- ▶ Junior officials emphasize shared revenue extraction owing to their promotion incentives
H2: The proportion of shared revenue in the total amount of revenue decreases with cadres pass the age threshold for promotion.
- ▶ Senior officials emphasize local revenue extraction since they are ineligible for promotion
H3: The proportion of local revenue in the total amount of revenues increases with cadres pass the age threshold for promotion.

Background

- ▶ 1994 Tax Sharing System:
 - ▶ Budgetary Revenue (shared/local)
 - ▶ Extra Budgetary Revenue (local)
 - ▶ Off budgetary Revenue (local)
- ▶ Promoting officials by evaluating their fiscal extraction capacity
 - ▶ Formal rule (Guideline 1988/2004)
 - ▶ Correlation between promotion probability and fiscal extraction (Guo, 2007; Guo, 2009; Lv and Landry, 2014; Landry et al., 2014).
- ▶ Age Restriction for Promotion
 - ▶ Central government rarely grant promotion to local officials who pass the eligible age for promotion.
 - ▶ For prefecture leaders, the age threshold is 55 years old (Kou and Tsai 2012)

Data

- ▶ 330 cities X 5 years (2003-2007)
- ▶ Dependent variables: total amount of each of type revenue such as VAT, enterprise income tax, and personal income tax; Proportion of shared/local revenues in total revenue
 - ▶ Source: China's Fiscal Statistics for Prefectures, Municipalities and Counties
- ▶ Running Variable: The age of party secretaries
- ▶ Personal level controls: political turnover; information such as birth year, birth month, gender, ethnicity, years of education, years in office
 - ▶ Provincial Yearbook; Baidu Encyclopaedia
- ▶ Provincial-level controls: GDP, urbanization and population, transparency score
 - ▶ city statistics yearbook; government transparency report

RDD: Parametric and Non-parametric Specifications

$$Y_{it} = \beta_0 + \tau D_{it} + \beta_1 X_{it} + \beta_2 D_{it} X_{it} + \gamma P_{it} + \delta W_j + \mu_i + \nu_t + \alpha + \varepsilon_{it}$$

- ▶ Parametric Approach & Robust Non-parametric Approach
- ▶ Order=1 or 2
- ▶ Y: Revenue(Total Amount & Proportion); X: Age; D : Dummy of the eligible age (55); P: Prefecture-level time-variant controls; W: Individual-level controls; SE are clustered at provincial level.

Empirical Step

- ▶ Estimate the effect of age threshold on
 - ▶ DV: Promotion Probability
 - ▶ DV: Total Amount; Proportion of shared/local revenues in total revenues
- ▶ Robustness
 - ▶ McCrary Test
 - ▶ Balance test of the confounding covariates
 - ▶ Selecting different polynomials
 - ▶ Selecting different bandwidths
 - ▶ Performing baseline regressions with closer margins
- ▶ Placebo test
 - ▶ whether there are significant jumps in other placebo outcomes across the age threshold
 - ▶ whether there are discontinuities in extraction/allocation e at other “pseudo-thresholds”.

Further Step

- ▶ Account for public spending
- ▶ Build up formal model
- ▶ Construct dataset
- ▶ Add detailed extraction measures

Q&A

welcome comments and suggestions