

# Applied Static Analysis

Software Technology Group  
Department of Computer Science  
Technische Universität Darmstadt  
[Dr. Michael Eichberg](#)

I would like to thank Florian Kübler and Dominik Helm for creating this exercise.

## Fixed Point Computations Framework

You should use the provided template. That project is preconfigured to use the latest snapshot version of OPAL and already contains the initial configuration. The template for this exercise can be found at: <https://github.com/stg-tud/apsa/tree/master/2019/7-ModularAnalyses/Exercise/template>

⚠ Always ensure that you use the latest snapshot version. You can clean the latest (snapshot) version that you have downloaded using the command `sbt cleanCache cleanLocal` in your project's root folder.

An integrated JavaDoc of the latest snapshot version of OPAL that spans all sub-projects can be found at: [www.opal-project.de](http://www.opal-project.de)

For further details regarding the development of static analysis using OPAL see the OPAL tutorial.

## Allocation Free Methods

Develop an **interprocedural** analysis using the fixed point computation framework which determines whether a method ( `DeclaredMethod` ) or its (transitive) callees may allocate any objects or arrays. The respective property is already available within OPAL ( `org.opalj.br.fpcf.properties.AllocationFreeness` ).

These methods are of interest when determining whether a method is mathematically pure. Furthermore, methods without allocations may not lead to `OutOfMemory` exceptions.

You should use the property store to retrieve the callees of the method under analysis (see `org.opalj.br.fpcf.cg.properties.Callees#callSites` ). Furthermore, you can also get the method's three-address from the property store. The template is configured in a way, such that the results for `Callees` and `TACAI` are already final.

You may ignore the allocation of implicitly thrown exceptions.

Method with allocations:

```
public Object foo() {  
    return new Object();  
}
```

Method with allocations in callees:

```
public void bar() {  
    foo();  
}
```

Method without allocations:

```
public Object baz() {  
    return null;  
}
```

Method without allocations even in callees:

```
public void foobar() {  
    baz();  
}
```

To run the analysis against the provided test case, use `run -cp=<Path>/AllocationFreeness.class`.

Note that for historical reasons, OPAL uses a lattice's *top* value to denote the most precise value and the *bottom* value for the sound over-approximation. Thus, the lattice order is reversed in comparison to the lecture. For an `EOptionP`, `ub` is the current, precise approximation.

### Tasks

1. Test your analysis using the class `AllocationFreeness.class`. It should not produce any false positives.
2. Run your analysis against the JDK.
3. (optional) Also handle allocations of implicitly thrown exceptions. No solution will be provided.

### Hints

Please try to solve the exercise before taking a look at the hints.

1. To retrieve the method's three-address code, use `ps(method.definedMethod, TACAI.key)`. Note that the property is already final, thus you can use `asFinal.p` to retrieve the property value.
2. Try to return final results as soon as possible.
3. To retrieve the method's callees, use `ps(method, Callees.key)`.
4. When retrieving the `AllocationFreeness` of another method, it might be the case that there is not even an intermediate value available yet. Thus, remember to handle `EPK`s.
5. Take a look at the `unapply` methods in `EOptionP`. They can be used in pattern matching of `EOptionP`s or `EPS`s.
6. For dependency management you should use a `Set[EOptionP[DeclaredMethod, AllocationFreeness]]`.
7. When receiving an update for a dependency that is still not final, the respective `EOptionP` must be replaced in the set of dependencies.
8. The set of dependencies must never contain final values