

Lab 8

4) Run 1 Questions:

- a) The CPU utilization is 2.74
- b) myrun_2 has a greater throughput
- c) SJF has a shorter average turnaround time
- d) FCFS has a longer maximum turnaround time
- e) Process 15 finished at approximately 205
- f) Process 16 finished first
- g) Process 15 finished last
- h) Total waiting time = $176.41 * 30 = 5292.3$
 - a) Load Average = $5292.3 / 257.05 = 20.588601439$
- i) Total waiting time = $86.23 * 30 = 2586.9$
 - a) Load Average = $2586.9 / 256.9 = 10.069676917$
- j) $90 * S * 20.59 = .1 * 5292.3$
 - a) $S = 529.23 / 90 / 20.59 = \text{approx. } 0.2856$
- k) $90 * S * 10.07 = .1 * 2586.9$
 - a) $S = 258.69 / 90 / 10.07 = \text{approx. } 0.2854$

5)

- a) Processes 1 – 5 are all part of the convoy effect
- b) Round Robin 1 has the most context switches at 1106
- c) The processes are switched out the same number of times as the processor switches between I/O processes and cpu processes; the SJF looks different because the shortest jobs are completed first
- d) The PSJF has a greater number of context switches because processes are switched out when preempted by shorter processes
- e) The average wait time decreases with a larger time quantum; I hypothesize that this is due to less context switches.
- f) Both RR 15 and RR 25 match the FCFS data.
- g) Increases the quantum to 100 would have no effect in this case; it would still work exactly like FCFS