

Modified on Monday 2nd November, 2020, 12:49:48 12:49

Nov 2, 2020

- We will begin with some review of what we have done before midterm break.
- Recall that the last subject was SVD. Any matrix A of rank k has an SVD,

$$A = U\Sigma V^T = U \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_k & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \end{bmatrix} V^T = U_k \Sigma_k V_k^T = \sigma_1 \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T + \sigma_2 \mathbf{u}_2 \mathbf{v}_2^T + \cdots + \sigma_k \mathbf{u}_k \mathbf{v}_k^T.$$

Reduced SVD. The matrix A can be expressed as $A = U_k \Sigma_k V_k^T$ where U_k is an $m \times k$ with orthonormal columns and V_k is an $n \times k$ with orthonormal columns and $\Sigma_k = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k)$ is a $k \times k$ diagonal matrix with positive diagonal entries, $\sigma_1 \geq \sigma_2 \geq \cdots \geq \sigma_k > 0$. Let $U_k = [\mathbf{u}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{u}_k]$ and $V_k = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{v}_k]$. The values $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_k$ are called singular values of A . Then

$$A = \sigma_1 \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T + \sigma_2 \mathbf{u}_2 \mathbf{v}_2^T + \cdots + \sigma_k \mathbf{u}_k \mathbf{v}_k^T.$$

The right singular vectors $\mathbf{v}_1, \dots, \mathbf{v}_k$ are eigenvectors of $A^T A$ corresponding to eigenvalues $\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_k^2$, respectively. The left singular vectors $\mathbf{u}_1, \dots, \mathbf{u}_k$ are eigenvectors of AA^T corresponding to eigenvalues $\sigma_1^2, \dots, \sigma_k^2$, respectively. The right and left singular vectors are related by $A\mathbf{v}_i = \sigma_i \mathbf{u}_i$ and $A^T \mathbf{u}_i = \sigma_i \mathbf{v}_i$.

- Assume that A has rank r . For $k \leq r$, define

$$A_k = \sigma_1 \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T + \sigma_2 \mathbf{u}_2 \mathbf{v}_2^T + \cdots + \sigma_k \mathbf{u}_k \mathbf{v}_k^T.$$

We also have a theorem regarding the approximation by a low rank matrix.

Theorem. (Eckart-Young) Let A and A_k be matrices defined in the above. If B has rank k , then $\|A - B\| \geq \|A - A_k\|$.

The above theorem holds for the following matrix norms.

- Spectral norm: $\|A\|_2 = \max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=1} \|A\mathbf{x}\| = \sigma_1$
- Frobenius norm: $\|A\|_F = \sqrt{\sigma_1^2 + \cdots + \sigma_r^2} = \sqrt{\text{tr}(A^T A)}$
- Nuclear norm: $\|A\|_N = \sigma_1 + \cdots + \sigma_r$
- Let $A = (a_{ij}) = [\mathbf{a}_1 \ \cdots \ \mathbf{a}_n]$ be $2 \times n$ matrix with $\sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} = 0$ for $i = 1$ and 2. We may regard A as a centered data. Find the direction \mathbf{x}_1 of the line such that the sum of squared distances from the data points (a_{1j}, a_{2j}) to the line is a minimum.

Assume that A has rank 2. Let $A = U\Sigma V^T$ be the (reduced) singular value decomposition of A with $U = [\mathbf{u}_1 \ \mathbf{u}_2]$, $\Sigma = \text{diag}(\sigma_1, \sigma_2)$ and $V = [\mathbf{v}_1 \ \mathbf{v}_2]$.

$$A = \sigma_1 \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T + \sigma_2 \mathbf{u}_2 \mathbf{v}_2^T$$

Choose an orthonormal basis for \mathbb{R}^2 , $\{\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2\}$. We want to minimize $\sum_{j=1}^n |\mathbf{a}_j^T \mathbf{x}_2|^2$.

$$\begin{aligned} \|A\|_F^2 = \sigma_1^2 + \sigma_2^2 = \text{tr}(A^T A) &= \sum_{j=1}^n \|\mathbf{a}_j\|^2 = \sum_{j=1}^n |\mathbf{a}_j^T \mathbf{x}_1|^2 + \sum_{j=1}^n |\mathbf{a}_j^T \mathbf{x}_2|^2 \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{x}_1^T \mathbf{a}_j \mathbf{a}_j^T \mathbf{x}_1 + \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{x}_2^T \mathbf{a}_j \mathbf{a}_j^T \mathbf{x}_2 \\ &= \mathbf{x}_1^T A A^T \mathbf{x}_1 + \mathbf{x}_2^T A A^T \mathbf{x}_2 \end{aligned}$$

We can minimize the second summand by maximizing the first summand, i.e., by choosing $\mathbf{x}_1 = \mathbf{u}_1$ and $\mathbf{x}_2 = \mathbf{u}_2$. $\|A - A_1\|_F^2 = \sigma_2^2$ is minimal. Note that

$$A^T \mathbf{u}_1 = \sigma_1 \mathbf{v}_1, \quad A_1 = \sigma_1 \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T.$$

Project data points along \mathbf{u}_1 to obtain

$$\mathbf{u}_1 (\mathbf{u}_1^T A) = \sigma_1 \mathbf{u}_1 \mathbf{v}_1^T = A_1.$$

- Find the eigenvalues of S . Recall that we found the largest eigenvalue by $\max_{\|\mathbf{x}\|=1} \mathbf{x}^T S \mathbf{x}$.
- Rayleigh quotients and generalized eigenvalues
- Rayleigh quotient. Let S be a symmetric matrix. The *Rayleigh quotient* $R(\mathbf{x})$ for $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ is defined as

$$R(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}^T S \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T \mathbf{x}}.$$

Note that $R(k\mathbf{x}) = R(\mathbf{x})$ for all nonzero $k \in \mathbb{R}$.

- Generalized Rayleigh quotient. Let S and M be $n \times n$ symmetric matrix. The quotient

$$R(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}^T S \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T M \mathbf{x}}, \quad \mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0},$$

is called the *generalized Rayleigh quotient*.

- Maximize $R(\mathbf{x})$. This can be done easily, if M is positive definite. Assume that M is positive definite. Let $H = M^{-1/2} S M^{-1/2}$, $\mathbf{y} = M^{-1/2} \mathbf{x}$.

$$\max R(\mathbf{x}) = \frac{\mathbf{x}^T S \mathbf{x}}{\mathbf{x}^T M \mathbf{x}} = \max \frac{\mathbf{y}^T H \mathbf{y}}{\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{y}}$$

This value is the largest eigenvalue of H , equivalently, $M^{-1} S$.

- Generalized eigenvalue.

Let S and M be symmetric matrices. If $S\mathbf{x} = \lambda_1 M\mathbf{x}$ and $S\mathbf{y} = \lambda_2 M\mathbf{y}$ and $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ then $\mathbf{x}^T M \mathbf{y} = 0$.

Proof.

$$\mathbf{y}^T S \mathbf{x} = \lambda_1 \mathbf{y}^T M \mathbf{x}, \quad \mathbf{x}^T S \mathbf{y} = \lambda_2 \mathbf{x}^T M \mathbf{y}$$

Since these two are the same, $\mathbf{x}^T M \mathbf{y} = 0$.