PROJECT SCOPING FRAMEWORK FOR AI PILOTS

Al Leadership & Project Management Masterclass

Curtin University

2025-10-29

PROJECT SCOPING FRAMEWORK FOR AI PILOTS

The Goldilocks Principle: Not Too Big, Not Too Small, Just Right

When to Use This Framework

Use this framework when:

- Starting an AI pilot define what you're actually building
- Scope creep emerges pushback on "just one more feature"
- Timeline questions arise how do we know when we're done?
- Resource constraints hit what can we cut without breaking the project?
- Stakeholder disagreements different people want different things

The Three Core Principles

1. THE GOLDILOCKS PRINCIPLE: NOT TOO BIG, NOT TOO SMALL

Too Small: Scope so narrow that even success doesn't prove anything - Example: "AI chatbot for 5 questions, one team, 2 weeks" - Problem: If it succeeds, you learn nothing valuable. If it fails, was it the AI or the tiny scope?

Too Big: Scope so ambitious that you're guaranteed to fail or take years - Example: "AI for all customer service, all query types, all 50 locations" - Problem: Too many unknowns, too much risk, impossible to learn incrementally

Just Right: Scope that tests the key assumptions with acceptable risk - Example: "AI chatbot for 30% of queries (order tracking + returns) at one location for 6 weeks" - Problem solved: Proves AI can work in customer service, teaches you about real-world data quality, manageable risk

2. SUCCESS METRICS: DEFINE "DONE" BEFORE YOU START

Don't start until you can answer:

Business Metrics

- What does success look like financially?
- What's the ROI you're targeting?
- Cost per query? Revenue uplift? Time saved?

Example targets: - Reduce response time from 26 hours to <4 hours - Reduce cost per query from \$18 to \$12 - Handle 30% of queries without human intervention

Quality Metrics

- How accurate does the AI need to be?
- What's your acceptable error rate?
- What kind of errors are acceptable vs. unacceptable?

Example targets: - Accuracy 90% on routine queries - <2% escalation rate (queries that need human review) - 80% customer satisfaction with AI responses

Adoption Metrics

- Who needs to use this system?
- How will you know they're actually using it?
- What's your adoption target?

Example targets: - 80% of eligible queries routed to AI - Team morale doesn't decline - Zero voluntary opt-outs from using the system

Timeline Metrics

- When do you evaluate?
- How long does the pilot run?
- How many data points before you decide?

Example targets: - 6-week pilot (long enough to find real issues, short enough for momentum) - Evaluate weekly during pilot - Decision point: End of week 6

3. RISK MITIGATION: KNOW YOUR RED LINES

Identify risks upfront:

Technical Risks

- "What if data quality is worse than expected?" → Plan for data cleaning budget
- "What if accuracy is lower than needed?" \rightarrow Plan for manual QA phase
- "What if the model doesn't work?" \rightarrow Have a fallback plan (human handling)

People Risks

- "What if the team resists using AI?" → Plan for change management + communication
- "What if we lose key staff?" \rightarrow Cross-train, document everything
- "What if leadership loses patience?" \rightarrow Weekly updates with clear metrics

Ethical Risks

- "What if the AI discriminates?" \rightarrow Plan for bias testing before scale
- "What if customer data is exposed?" \rightarrow Security review before launch
- "What if we can't explain decisions?" → Interpretability checks built in

Business Risks

- "What if it costs more than we budgeted?" \rightarrow Reserve 20% contingency
- "What if it takes longer than planned?" \rightarrow Know your kill criteria
- "What if business priorities shift?" \rightarrow Define what happens then

| T: | | • | | |
|------|----|--------|-----------|---|
| I he | 70 | nnıng | Checklist | • |
| 1110 | Ju | Opilig | CHECKIIS | |

Before you start your AI pilot, confirm:

Scope Definition

| \square One clear problem - not five problems solved with or | ne AI |
|--|--------------------------|
| \square One clear user group - not "everyone in the compan | y" |
| \square Clear boundaries - what the AI will handle vs. what | it won't |
| \square Realistic timeline - 6-12 weeks is typical for pilots (n | ot 2 weeks, not 2 years) |
| | |
| Success Criteria | |

☐ Business metrics defined - what does success look like financially? ☐ Quality metrics defined - accuracy, error rates, customer satisfaction ☐ Adoption metrics defined - how will people use this? ☐ Go/No-Go criteria documented - when do we scale vs. pivot vs. kill?

| Risk Management |
|--|
| □ 5+ risks identified - technical, people, ethical, business □ Mitigation plan for each - not "hope it doesn't happen" □ Red lines defined - what would make us kill the project? □ Contingency budget allocated - 15-20% of total budget |
| Stakeholder Alignment |
| □ CEO agrees on timeline and ROI expectations □ CFO approves budget and contingency □ Data team agrees timeline is realistic □ Operations team agrees on adoption approach □ Security team approves data handling |
| Common Scoping Mistakes to Avoid |
| Mistake 1: "Let's just see what happens" |
| $\mathbf{Problem:}$ No success criteria = everything is success $\mathbf{Fix:}$ Define at least 3 metrics before you start |
| Mistake 2: "We'll figure out the risks as we go" |
| Problem: Risks discovered mid-project derail timelines Fix: Identify and mitigate risks upfront |
| Mistake 3: "We want the MVP but also X and Y and Z" |
| Problem: Scope creeps until it's not an MVP anymore Fix: Say "no" to features. You can do them in phase 2. |
| Mistake 4: "We'll decide if it's working when it's working" |
| Problem: No clear decision point = project limbo Fix: Set a calendar date: "Week 6, we decide: Scale, Pivot, or Kill" |
| Mistake 5: "Success means the AI works technically" |
| Problem: AI might work but team won't use it, CEO won't fund it, ethics team will block it Fix: Success = technical + adoption + business + ethical |

How to Use This in Your Projects

Week 1: Define scope, success metrics, risks, go/no-go criteria (you did this!)

Weeks 2-6: Run the pilot, collect data, watch metrics

Week 6: Gather everyone, look at data, decide: Scale, Pivot, or Kill

- Scale: Metrics hit targets, no showstopper risks, team is ready \rightarrow Expand to next phase
- Pivot: Some metrics hit, some don't, but clear path forward \rightarrow Adjust and extend pilot
- Kill: Fundamental assumptions wrong, unresolvable risks \rightarrow Stop and try something else

Key insight: "Kill" is not failure. It's data. You learned something valuable by spending \$150K on a 6-week pilot instead of \$500K on a failed year-long rollout.

Remember

The best scope is: - Small enough to learn quickly - Big enough to prove something valuable - Clear enough to know when you're done - Flexible enough to adapt when reality surprises you

Your job isn't to predict the future perfectly. Your job is to learn the fastest way possible so you can make good decisions.