

SOLID Principles

- The SOLID principles are a restatement of design guidelines
 - These come out of the OO world
 - Many of them are restatements of principles that we have already seen
 - Some are very OO specific principles
 - They are not rules, just design guidelines
 - You will notice that the same themes about design are repeated in various places in the course
 - This demonstrates how foundational these concepts are to engineering and software development

Clean Design

 A term used to describe macro program components that follow the engineering design principles and SOLID concepts discussed here



SOLID Principles

- SOLID were introduced by Robert C. Martin (Uncle Bob)
 - Goal was to make engineering design principles more accessible for programmers
- SOLID
 - Single responsibility principle
 - Open–closed principle
 - Liskov substitution principle
 - Interface segregation principle
 - Dependency inversion principle



Single Responsibility

- This is a restatement of the idea of high cohesion
- A class should have only one reason to change
 - Each class or module should do one thing, and do it well
 - Makes the code easier to understand
 - Less risk of breaking unrelated functionality when making changes.
 - Makes the code easier to test
- Restating the principle
 - If a module has only one responsibility, then the only thing that should cause it to change is a change in that responsibility
 - If a module has multiple responsibilities, then changes to any of the responsibilities will force the module to change



Open-Closed Principle

- Software entities should be open for extension, but closed for modification
 - Add new behavior without rewriting existing code
 - Prevents introducing bugs into stable code
- Restating the principle
 - Once a module is in production, changes to the module may cause clients to crash
 - If we need to add functionality to a module, there should be a way to do it
 - And we should not have to change what is currently there
 - Rather than remove or change functionality, we deprecate it
 - That means we still support the deprecated functionality, but we warn users that there is something else should be used
 - This avoids crashing systems because of enhancements or updates



Liskov Substitution Principle

- Subtypes must be substitutable for their base types
 - If S is a subtype of T, objects of type T should work when replaced with objects of type S
 - Inheritance should preserve expected behavior
 - Violations lead to surprises and runtime errors
- Restatement of the principle
 - A subclass should avoid overriding a concrete method of the superclass
 - Refers to functionality that is the responsibility of the superclass, not the subclass
 - "S is a type of T, so everything T is expected to do should be done by S"
 - Does not apply to superclass methods that are intended to be overridden by subclasses



Interface Segregation Principle

- No client should be forced to depend on methods it does not use
 - Favor small, specific interfaces over large, "fat" ones
 - Prevents bloated, fragile contracts
 - Keeps implementations lean and focused
- Restating the principle
 - An interface should be crafted for the needs of a specific group of stakeholders
 - It should only offer functionality that is relevant to that group
 - Exposing new functionality via a new interface should not require changing existing interfaces



Dependency Inversion Principle

- We have already seen this one
- Depend on abstractions, not on concretions
 - High-level modules shouldn't depend on low-level details
 - Both should depend on interfaces/abstractions
 - Reduces coupling
 - Improves testability (e.g. easy to mock dependencies)
 - Makes module implementation easier to change



 This refers to applying the engineering design principles and SOLID to designing an application architecture

Readability First

- Code is read far more often than it's written
- Favor clarity over cleverness
- Use meaningful names: fpr example, getCustomerOrders() vs. gco()
- Keep functions short: do one thing, do it well

Modularity

- Break systems into small, well-defined components
- Each module/class has a clear responsibility (ties to SRP)
- Benefits: easier debugging, parallel development, and reuse



- Low coupling, high cohesion
 - Cohesion = how focused a module is on a single task. High cohesion is good
 - Coupling = how dependent modules are on each other. Low coupling is good
 - A clean design maximizes cohesion and minimizes coupling
- Encapsulation and information hiding
 - Hide internal details, expose only what's necessary
 - Reduces accidental misuse and allows internal changes without breaking clients
- Consistent error handling
 - Handle errors gracefully and consistently
 - Use exceptions rather than silent failures or cryptic codes
 - Provide useful error messages without leaking sensitive information (ties to secure coding)



- Defensive Programming
 - Anticipate misuse and unexpected inputs
 - Example: Validate parameters before processing
 - Fail early with clear error messages
- Avoiding Code Smells
 - Common indicators of poor design ("bad smells"):
 - God Class: a class knows too much or does too much
 - Duplicated Code: logic repeated across modules
 - Long Method: hard to test and understand
 - Primitive Obsession: using raw strings/ints instead of proper types



Refactoring as Discipline

- Continuously improve design without changing behavior
- Examples of refactorings:
 - Extract method (split large function)
 - Introduce parameter object (group related params)
 - Replace magic numbers with named constants

Design for Testability

- Code should be easy to test in isolation
- Use interfaces and dependency injection to allow mocking
- Keep functions pure where possible (no hidden side effects)



- Consistency and Style
 - Follow consistent naming, formatting, and structure
 - Adopt a shared coding style (e.g., PEP 8 for Python, Google/Oracle style for Java)
 - Makes codebases easier for teams to navigate



