

Let's look at the important mathematical property of Divisibility.

①

If Division of a by b produces a remainder $(\neq 0)$, we say a is divisible by b .

Hence, a is divisible by b iff there is an integer q such that $a = bq$.

Eg. 45 is divisible by 9, but 44 is not divisible by 9.

Notation we use for Divisibility:

$b|a$ denotes a is divisible by b

Warning:

$b|a$ is not the same as b/a

↑
relationship between
 a and b

True or false

↓ slanted line
↑
denotes a rational number.

Now let's define a prime number!

A prime number is an integer $p > 1$ that is divisible only by 1 and p

(we exclude 1 from prime numbers!)
eg. 2, 3, 5, 7, 11, ...

Which of following is true		b a iff $\exists q [a=bq]$	
1)	0/7 [False] $b \neq 0$		
2)	9/0 True		
3)	0/0 [False]		
4)	1/1 True		
5)	7/44 [False] (remainder)		
6)	7/(-42) True		
7)	(-7)/29 True		
8)	(-7)/(-56) True		

(3)

which of following true:

$b|a$, iff $\exists q[a=bq]$, $b \neq 0$

1) $2768 | 56940$ — even / odd \Rightarrow [False]

2) $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})[2n | n^2]$

3) $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})[2n | n^2]$

4) $(\forall n \in \mathbb{Z})[1/n]$ [True]

5) $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})[n | 0]$ [True]

6) $(\forall n \in \mathbb{Z})[n | 0]$ (include $n=0$)

7) $(\forall n \in \mathbb{N})(n/n)$ [True]

8) $(\forall n \in \mathbb{Z})[n/n]$ (includes $n=0$)
 \nearrow early natural numbers
 \nwarrow includes 0

④

Theorem to prove the basic properties
of divisibility.

Theorem: Let a, b, c, d be integers, $a \neq 0$, then:

- 1) $a|0$, $a|a$
- 2) $a|1$ iff $a = \pm 1$
- 3) if $a|b$ and $c|d$ then $ac|bd$ (for $c \neq 0$)
- 4) if $a|b$ and $b|c$, then $a|c$ (for $b \neq 0$)
- 5) $[a|b \text{ and } b|a]$ iff $a = \pm b$
- 6) if $a|b$ and $b \neq 0$, then $|a| \leq |b|$
- 7) if $a|b$ and $a|c$, then $a|(bx+cy)$ for any integers x, y

We have proved all of these!

Let's prove 2 of them!

(5)

Proof: (No 4) if $a|b$ and $b|c$, then $a|c$
(for $b \neq 0$)

$\exists d, e$ such that $b = da, c = eb$,
so $c = (de)a$, hence $a|c$

(No 6) if $a|b$ and $b \neq 0$, then $(a| \leq |b|)$

Since $a|b$, $\exists d$ such that $b = da$.

So $|b| = |d| \cdot |a|$.

Since $b \neq 0$, $|d| \geq 1$

So $|a| \leq |b|$

The other statements are proved similarly.

Let's Prove the Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic (6)

Theorem: Every natural number greater than 1 is either prime or can be expressed as a product of prime in a way that is unique, except for the order, in which they are written.

Eg. $4 = 2 \times 2 = 2^2$, $6 = 2 \times 3$, $8 = 2^3$, $9 = 3^2$,
 $10 = 2 \times 5$, $12 = 2^2 \times 3$, $3366 = 2 \times 3^2 \times 11 \times 17, \dots$
 \therefore above POP = Product of Primes

The expression of a number as a product of prime is called its prime decomposition.

- we proved part of this earlier, the existence part.
- the new part is to prove uniqueness.

The uniqueness proof will require "Euclid's" Lemma:

If a prime p divides a product ab , then p divides at least one of a, b

Let's Look at New Proof of Existence

⑦

Theorem: Any natural number greater than 1, is either prime or can be expressed as a product of primes in a way that is unique except for their order.

Proof: Existence [we used method of induction earlier] to illustrate existence

Proof existence here by contradiction!

Suppose there were a composite number (i.e. non prime) that cannot be written as a product of primes
— then there must be a smallest such number.

Call it n

Since n is not prime, there are numbers a, b with $1 < a, b < n$ such that $n = ab$.

If a, b are primes, then $n = ab$ is a prime decomposition of n and we have a contradiction.

If either of a, b is composite, then because it is less than n , it must be a product of primes. So by replacing one or both of a, b by its prime decomposition in $n = ab$, we get a prime decomposition of n , and again we have a contradiction.

That proves existence.

Lets now prove uniqueness

To prove: the prime decomposition of any natural number $n > 1$ is unique up to the ordering of the primes.

Proof by Contradiction: Assume there is a number $n > 1$, that has two (or more) different prime decompositions. Let n be the smallest such number.

Let $n = p_1 p_2 \dots p_r = q_1 q_2 \dots q_s$ ← n is product of r primes ← also, n is product of s primes

be two different prime decompositions of n

Since p_1 divides $(q_1)(q_2 \dots q_s)$, ← done this to apply Euclid's lemma

By Euclid's lemma, either $p_1 | q_1$

or $p_1 | (q_2 \dots q_s)$

Hence either $p_1 = q_1$ or else $p_1 = q_i$ for some i between 2 and s

But then we can delete p_1 and q_i from the two decompositions in $(*)$, which gives us a number smaller than n that has two different prime decompositions. Contrary to the choice of n as the smallest of such. That proves uniqueness!