

# Detection and replication of epistasis influencing transcription in humans

Gibran Hemani<sup>1,2,\*</sup>, Konstantin Shakhbazov<sup>1,2</sup>, Harm-Jan Westra<sup>3</sup>,  
Tonu Esko<sup>4,5,6</sup>, Anjali K Henders<sup>7</sup>, Allan F. McRae<sup>1,2</sup>, Jian Yang<sup>2</sup>,  
Greg Gibson<sup>8</sup>, Nicholas G Martin<sup>7</sup>, Andres Metspalu<sup>4</sup>, Lude  
Franke<sup>3</sup>, Grant W Montgomery<sup>7,+</sup>, Peter M Visscher<sup>1,2,+</sup>, and  
Joseph E Powell<sup>1,2,+</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University of Queensland Diamantina Institute, University of Queensland, Princess Alexandra Hospital, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. <sup>2</sup>Queensland Brain Institute, University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia. <sup>3</sup>Department of Genetics, University Medical Center Groningen, University of Groningen, Hanzeplein 1, Groningen, the Netherlands. <sup>4</sup>Estonian Genome Center, University of Tartu, Tartu, 51010, Estonia. <sup>5</sup>Medical and Population Genetics, Broad Institute, Cambridge, MA, 02142, US. <sup>6</sup>Divisions of Endocrinology, Children's Hospital, Boston, MA, 02115, US. <sup>7</sup>Queensland Institute of Medical Research, Brisbane, Queensland, Australia. <sup>8</sup>School of Biology and Centre for Integrative Genomics, Georgia Institute of Technology, Atlanta, Georgia United States of America. <sup>+</sup>These authors contributed equally. <sup>\*</sup>Corresponding author: g.hemani@uq.edu.au

## Abstract

Epistasis is the phenomenon whereby one polymorphism's effect on a trait depends on other polymorphisms present in the genome. The extent to which epistasis influences complex traits<sup>1</sup> and contributes to their variation<sup>2,3</sup> is a fundamental question in evolution and human genetics. Though epistasis has been demonstrated in artificial gene manipulation studies in model organisms,<sup>4,5</sup> and ~~some~~ examples have been reported in other species,<sup>6</sup> few convincing examples with independent replication exist for epistasis amongst natural polymorphisms in human traits.<sup>7,8</sup> Its absence from empirical findings may simply be due to its low incidence in the genetic control of complex traits,<sup>2,3</sup> but an alternative view is that it has previously been too technically challenging to detect due to statistical power and computational issues.<sup>9</sup> Here we show that, using advanced computation techniques<sup>10</sup> and a gene expression study design, many instances of epistasis are found between common single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs). In a cohort of 846 individuals with data on 7339 gene expression levels in peripheral blood, we found 501 significant pairwise epistatic interactions between common SNPs acting on the expression levels of 238 genes ( $p < 2.91 \times 10^{-16}$ ). ~~We tested the discovery interactions for replication~~ Replication of these signals in two independent data sets<sup>11,12</sup>. ~~Three hundred and forty-five interactions had replication~~ showed both concordance of direction of epistatic effects ( $p = 5.56 \times 10^{-31}$ ) and enrichment of interaction  $p$ -values that were more extreme than the 2.5% confidence interval of the distribution under the null hypothesis of no epistasis, with 30 being significant at a conservative  $p < 0.05$  Bonferroni level threshold of  $p < 0.05/434$ . There was evidence of functional enrichment for the interacting SNPs, for instance 44 of the genetic interactions are located within ~~2Mb-5Mb~~ of regions of known physical chromosome interactions<sup>13</sup> ( $p = 1.8 \times 10^{-10}$ ). Epistatic networks of three SNPs or more influence the expression levels of 129 genes, whereby one *cis*-acting SNP is modulated by several *trans*-acting SNPs. For example MBNL1 is influenced by an additive effect at rs13069559 which itself is masked by *trans*-SNPs on 14 different chromosomes, with nearly identical genotype-phenotype (GP) maps for each *cis-trans* interaction. This study presents the first evidence for multiple instances of ~~epistatic genetic effects emerging from natural genetic variation in humans~~ natural genetic polymorphisms interacting to influence human traits.

## Main text

In the genetic analysis of complex traits it is usual for SNP effects to be estimated using an additive model where they are assumed to contribute independently and cumulatively to the mean of a trait. This framework has been successful in identifying thousands of associations.<sup>14</sup> But to date, though its contribution to phenotypic variance is frequently the subject of debate,<sup>1-3</sup> there is little empirical exploration of the role that epistasis plays in the architecture of complex traits in humans.<sup>7,8</sup> ~~Outside~~ Beyond the prism of human association studies there is evidence for epistasis, not only at the molecular scale

from artificially induced mutations<sup>4</sup> but also at the evolutionary scale in fitness adaptation<sup>15</sup> and speciation.<sup>16</sup>

Methods are now available to overcome the computational problems involved in searching for epistasis, but its detection still remains problematic due to reduced statistical power. For example increased dependence on linkage disequilibrium (LD) between causal SNPs and observed SNPs,<sup>17,18</sup> increased model complexity in fitting interaction terms,<sup>19</sup> and more extreme significance thresholds to account for increased multiple testing<sup>9</sup> all make it more difficult to detect epistasis in comparison to additive effects. Thus, when combined with small genetic effect sizes, as is expected in most complex traits of interest,<sup>14</sup> the power to detect epistasis diminishes rapidly. There are two simple ways to overcome this problem. One is by using extremely large sample sizes;<sup>20</sup> another is by analysing traits that are likely to have large effect sizes among common variants. Because our focus was to ascertain the extent to which instances of epistasis occur amongst natural genetic variation we designed a study around the latter approach and searched for epistatic genetic effects that influence gene expression levels. Transcription levels can be measured for thousands of genes. These traits are largely heritable but on average less polygenic than high level phenotypes<sup>21</sup>, thus many genetic effects are relatively large<sup>21</sup>, maximising the chance ~~at of~~ detecting epistasis, should it exist.

In our discovery dataset (Brisbane Systems Genetics Study, BSGS<sup>22</sup>) of 846 individuals genotyped at 528,509 SNPs, we ~~exhaustively tested~~ used a two stage approach to identify genetic interactions. First, we exhaustively test every pair of SNPs for ~~genetic interactions pairwise effects~~ against each of 7339 expression traits in peripheral blood. ~~After stringent filtering and multiple testing correction~~ (5% significance threshold  $p < 2.91 \times 10^{-16}$ , Methods). Second, we filtered the SNP pairs from stage 1 on LD and genotype class counts, and tested the remaining pairwise effects for significant interaction terms and used a Bonferroni correction for multiple testing (estimated type 1 error rate  $\alpha \approx 0.07$ , Methods, Supplementary Figure S1). Using this design we identified 501 putative genetic interactions influencing the expression levels of 238 genes (Supplementary Table S1). Of the 501 discovery interactions, 434 had available data and passed filtering (Methods) in two independent replication datasets, Fehrmann<sup>12</sup> and the Estonian Genomics Centre University of Tartu (EGCUT),<sup>11</sup> in which we saw convincing evidence for replication. We used the summary statistics from the replication datasets to perform a meta analysis to obtain an independent  $p$ -value for the putative interactions, and 30 were significant after applying a Bonferroni correction for multiple testing (5% significance threshold  ~~$p < 0.0001$~~   $p < 0.05/434$ , Table 1). ~~These significant interactions exhibited remarkable similarity in~~ To quantify the similarity of GP maps between all three the independent datasets (Figure 1) we decomposed the genetic effects of each of the SNP pairs into orthogonal additive, dominance and epistatic effects ( $A1, A2, D1, D2, A \times A, A \times D, D \times A, D \times D$ ) and tested for concordance of the sign of the most significant effect (Supplementary Table S3, Methods). Sign concordance between the discovery and both replication datasets was observed in 22 out of the 30 significantly replicated interactions (expected value = 7.5

under the null hypothesis of no interactions,  $p = 3.76 \times 10^{-8}$ ).

In addition, using the meta analysis from the replication samples only, we observed that 316 of the remaining 404 discovery ~~SNPs~~ SNP pairs had replication interaction  $p$ -values more extreme than the 2.5% confidence interval of the ~~distribution under the null distribution of no epistatic effects~~ quantile-quantile plot against the null hypothesis of no interactions ( $p \ll 1.0 \times 10^{-16}$ , Figure 2 and Supplementary Figure S2). Concordance of the direction of the effect of the largest variance component was also highly significant ( $p = 5.71 \times 10^{-31}$ , Supplementary Table S3). The congruence of the epistatic networks in discovery and replication datasets is shown in Figure 3, demonstrating that these complex genetic patterns are common even across independent datasets. A further replication was attempted using the Centre for Health Discovery and Wellbeing (CHDWB) dataset,<sup>23</sup> but only 20 of the SNP pairs passed filtering because the sample size was small ( $n = 139$ ), and likely due to insufficient power we found no evidence for replication (Supplementary Figure S6). It should be noted that although it is a necessary step to establish the veracity of the signals from the discovery set, replication of epistasis is difficult in practice because the dependence on LD between observed SNPs and causal variants is up to three orders of magnitude higher than it is for independent additive effects.<sup>17,18</sup> Therefore these results are encouraging with regards to the detection and replication of epistasis.

Though seldom the focus of association studies, SNPs with known main effects are often tested for additive  $\times$  additive genetic interactions,<sup>9</sup> but our analysis shows that this is unlikely to be the most effective strategy for its detection. The majority of our discovery interactions comprised of one SNP that was significantly associated with the gene expression level in the discovery dataset, and one SNP that had no previous association<sup>21</sup> (439 out of 501, Methods). Only nine interactions were between SNPs that both had known main effects while 64 were between SNPs that had no known main effects. Additionally, we observed that the largest epistatic variance component for the 501 interactions was equally divided amongst additive  $\times$  additive, additive  $\times$  dominance, dominance  $\times$  additive and dominance  $\times$  dominance at the discovery stage ( $p = 0.22$  for departure from expectation). This is not surprising because the patterns of epistasis used for statistical decomposition ~~are not designed to resemble~~ (i.e.  $A \times A$ ,  $A \times D$ ,  $D \times A$ ,  $D \times D$ ) are simply convenient orthogonal parameterisations of a two locus model, and are not intended to model biological function.<sup>24</sup>

Of the discovery interactions, 47 were *cis-cis* acting (both SNPs were on the same chromosome as the expression gene, median distance between interacting SNPs is 1.14Mb, ranging from 7kb to 128Mb, Supplementary Table S5), 441 were *cis-trans*-acting, and 13 were *trans-trans*-acting. We observed a wide range of significant GP maps (Figure 1) but the most common pattern of epistasis that we detected involved a *trans*-SNP masking the effect of an additive *cis*-SNP. For example, MBNL1 (involved in RNA modification and regulation of splicing<sup>25</sup>) has a *cis* effect at rs13069559 which in turn is controlled by 13 *trans*-SNPs and one *cis*-SNP that each exhibit a masking pattern, such that

when the *trans*-SNP is homozygous for the masking allele the decreasing allele of the *cis*-SNP no longer has an effect (Supplementary Figure S7). Each of these interactions has evidence for replication in at least one dataset and six are significantly replicated at the Bonferroni level (Supplementary Figure S3). We see similar epistatic networks involving multiple (eight or more) *trans*-acting SNPs for other gene expression levels too, for example TMEM149 (Supplementary Figure S8), NAPRT1 (Supplementary Figure S9), TRAPPC5 (Supplementary Figure S10), and CAST (Supplementary Figure S11). We observed that from pedigree analysis these five gene expression phenotypes had non-additive variance component estimates within the 95th percentile of the 17,994 gene expression phenotypes that were analysed previously<sup>21</sup> (Supplementary Table S2, Methods).

In total the 501 interactions comprised 781 unique SNPs, which we analysed for functional enrichment (Methods). We tested the SNPs for cell-type specific overlap with transcriptionally active chromatin regions, tagged by histone-3-lysine-4,tri-methylation (H3K4me3) chromatin marks, in 34 cell types<sup>26</sup> (Supplementary Figure S5). There was significant enrichment for *cis*-acting SNPs in haematopoietic cell types only ( $p < 1 \times 10^{-4}$  for the three tissues with the strongest enrichment after adjusting for multiple testing). However *trans*-acting SNPs did not show any tissue specific enrichment ( $p > 0.1$  for all tissues). This difference between *cis* and *trans* SNPs suggests different roles in epistatic interactions where tissue specificity is provided by the *cis* SNPs. There is also enrichment for *cis*-SNPs to be localised in regions with regulatory genomic features as measured by chromatin states<sup>27</sup> (Supplementary Figure S4).

We also demonstrate physical organisation of interacting loci within the cell, suggesting a mechanism by which biological function can lead to epistatic genetic variance. It has been shown that different chromosomal regions spatially colocalise in the cell through chromatin interactions.<sup>13</sup> We cross-referenced our epistatic SNPs with a map of chromosome interacting regions ( $n = 96,139$ ) in K562 blood cell lines<sup>28</sup> (Methods) and found that 44 epistatic interactions mapped to within ~~2Mb~~ 5Mb ( $p < 1.8 \times 10^{-10}$ ), (Supplementary Figure S12). Interaction of distant loci may occur through physical proximity in transcriptional factories that organise across different chromosome regions and can regulate transcription of related genes.<sup>29,30</sup>

Though we present many instances of epistasis, quantifying its relative importance to complex traits in humans remains an open question. In this study we are able to identify 238 gene expression traits with at least one significant interaction given our experiment-wide threshold. How does this compare to the number of traits influenced by additive effects? The BSGS dataset has been previously analysed for additive effects at all expression traits,<sup>22</sup> and if we take all the additive eQTLs that were significant at the epistatic threshold of  $p < 2.91 \times 10^{-16}$  we find that 453 gene expression levels out of the 7339 analysed had at least one significant expression quantitative trait locus (eQTL). Therefore it can be argued that the number of instances of detectable epistasis ~~are~~ is substantial.

However in terms of their contribution to complex traits a more important

metric might be the proportion of the variance that the epistatic loci explain.<sup>2</sup> Ideally one would approach this question from a whole genome perspective<sup>31</sup> but this is intractable for non-additive variance components. Nevertheless, some inference can be made from the ascertained effects in these analyses and it is evident that additive variance is overall a larger component than epistatic variance, as has been argued previously.<sup>2,3</sup> Taking the additive effects detected in Powell *et al* (2012) at the  $p < 2.91 \times 10^{-16}$  threshold, we calculate that on average they explain 1.73% of the phenotypic variance of each of the 7339 probes. By contrast, the epistatic variance from the interacting SNPs detected in this study on average ~~explain~~ explains 0.25% of phenotypic variance, approximately seven times lower than the additive variance. There are several caveats to this comparison. Firstly, the ratio of additive to epistatic variance may differ at different effect sizes, and our estimate is determined by the threshold used. Secondly, the power of a 1 *d.f.* test exceeds that of an 8 *d.f.* test. And thirdly, the non-additive variance at causal variants is expected to be underestimated by observed SNPs in comparison to estimates for additive variance, due to differences in the rate of decay of the estimate of the genetic variance of the causal SNPs as LD decreases with the observed SNPs.

Overall, we have demonstrated that it is possible to identify and replicate epistasis in complex traits amongst common human variants, despite the relative contribution of pairwise epistasis to phenotypic variation being small. The bioinformatic analysis of the significant epistatic loci suggests that there are a large number of possible mechanisms that can lead to non-additive genetic variation. Further research into such epistatic effects may provide a useful framework to understanding molecular mechanisms and complex trait variation in greater detail. With computational techniques and data now widely available the search for epistasis in larger datasets for traits of broader interest is warranted.

## Methods Summary

We searched for pairwise epistasis exhaustively in the BSGS discovery dataset,<sup>22</sup> which comprises 846 individuals who are genotyped at 528,509 autosomal SNPs. Each individual had gene expression levels measured in peripheral blood at 47,323 probes. Only the probes that passed quality control and had significant expression in  $\geq 90\%$  of individuals were used in the analysis (7,339 probes representing 6,158 RefSeq genes). Recent hardware and software<sup>10</sup> advances that use graphics processing units (GPUs) made it possible to perform the  $1.03 \times 10^{15}$  statistical tests to complete this analysis. We used permutation analysis<sup>32</sup> to calculate an experiment-wide significance threshold of  $T_e = 2.91 \times 10^{-16}$  at the 5% family-wise error rate (FWER). SNP pairs were modelled for full genetic effects, including marginal additive and dominance at both SNPs plus four interaction terms. Though we could have used a less complex model to improve statistical efficiency, we deemed it important to be agnostic about the type of epistasis that might exist, and therefore chose not to over-parameterise the test.<sup>18,19</sup> Because there are many large marginal effects present in these data it was necessary to perform several filtering steps to exclude SNP pairs that were

significant due to marginal effects alone. All SNP pairs with LD  $r^2 > 0.1$  and  $D'^2 > 0.1$  were removed to minimise the possibility of haplotype effects. All SNP pairs were required to have at least five data points in all nine genotype classes. If multiple SNP pairs were present on the same chromosomes for a particular expression trait then only the sentinel SNP pair was retained. Finally, a nested test contrasting the full genetic model against the marginal additive and dominance model was performed for each remaining SNP pair (Methods), resulting in 501 significant interactions after Bonferroni correction for multiple testing of the filtered SNPs. The significant SNP pairs were carried forward for replication in two independent datasets that used the same expression assays for analysing transcription in peripheral blood, the Fehrmann dataset<sup>12</sup> ( $n = 1240$ ) and the Estonian Genome Centre University of the University of Tartu (EGCUT) dataset<sup>11</sup> ( $n = 891$ ). Of these, 434 passed filtering in both replication datasets. A meta analysis on the interaction  $p$ -values from each replication dataset was performed to provide an overall replication statistic for each putative interaction.

## Acknowledgements

We are grateful to the volunteers for their generous participation in these studies. We thank Bill Hill, Chris Haley and Lars Ronnegard for helpful discussions and comments.

This work could not have been completed without access to high performance GPGPU compute clusters. We acknowledge iVEC for the use of advanced computing resources located at iVEC@UWA ([www.ivec.org](http://www.ivec.org)), and the Multi-modal Australian ScienceS Imaging and Visualisation Environment (MASSIVE) ([www.massive.org.au](http://www.massive.org.au)). We also thank Jake Carroll and Irek Porebski from the Queensland Brain Institute Information Technology Group for HPC support.

The University of Queensland group is supported by the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) grants 389892, 496667, 613601, 1010374 and 1046880, the Australian Research Council (ARC) grant (DE130100691), and by National Institutes of Health (NIH) grants GM057091 and GM099568.

The QIMR researchers acknowledge funding from the Australian National Health and Medical Research Council (grants 241944, 389875, 389891, 389892, 389938, 442915, 442981, 496739, 496688 and 552485), the and the National Institutes of Health (grants AA07535, AA10248, AA014041, AA13320, AA13321, AA13326 and DA12854). We thank Anthony Caracella and Lisa Bowdler for technical assistance with the micro-array hybridisations.

The CHDWB study funding support from the Georgia Institute of Technology Research Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript

The Fehrmann study was supported by grants from the Celiac Disease Consortium (an innovative cluster approved by the Netherlands Genomics Initiative and partly funded by the Dutch Government (grant BSIK03009), the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO-VICI grant 918.66.620,

NWO-VENI grant 916.10.135 to L.F.), the Dutch Digestive Disease Foundation (MLDS WO11-30), and a Horizon Breakthrough grant from the Netherlands Genomics Initiative (grant 92519031 to L.F.). This project was supported by the Prinses Beatrix Fonds, VSB fonds, H. Kersten and M. Kersten (Kersten Foundation), The Netherlands ALS Foundation, and J.R. van Dijk and the Adessium Foundation. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Communitys Health Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement 259867.

The EGCUT study received targeted financing from Estonian Government SF0180142s08, Center of Excellence in Genomics (EXCEGEN) and University of Tartu (SP1GVARENG). We acknowledge EGCUT technical personnel, especially Mr V. Soo and S. Smit. Data analyzes were carried out in part in the High Performance Computing Center of University of Tartu.



## Tables

Table 1: Epistatic interactions significant at the Bonferroni level in two replication sets

	Gene (chr.)	SNP 1 (chr.)	SNP 2 (chr.)	BSGS <sup>2</sup>	Fehrmann <sup>3</sup>	EGCUT <sup>3</sup>	Meta <sup>4</sup>
1	ADK (10)	rs2395095 (10)	rs10824092 (10)	6.69 <sup>1</sup>	18.33 <sup>1</sup>	21.21 <sup>1</sup>	39.82 <sup>1</sup>
2	ATP13A1 (19)	rs4284750 (19)	rs873870 (19)	5.30	12.18	3.25	14.23
3	C21ORF57 (21)	rs9978658 (21)	rs11701361 (21)	9.42	6.08	16.36	21.67
4	CSTB (21)	rs9979356 (21)	rs3761385 (21)	11.99	25.20	16.72	42.27
5	CTSC (11)	rs7930237 (11)	rs556895 (11)	7.16	18.76	15.06	33.53
6	FN3KRP (17)	rs898095 (17)	rs9892064 (17)	16.16	28.24	29.39	59.95
7	GAA (17)	rs11150847 (17)	rs12602462 (17)	13.91	19.98	12.99	32.60
8	HNRPH1 (5)	rs6894268 (5)	rs4700810 (5)	15.38	8.55	3.01	10.37
9	LAX1 (1)	rs1891432 (1)	rs10900520 (1)	19.16	18.60	11.22	29.24
10	MBNL1 (3)	rs16864367 (3)	rs13079208 (3)	13.49	16.25	24.74	41.56
11	MBNL1 (3)	rs7710738 (5)	rs13069559 (3)	7.92	2.55	7.89	9.28
12	MBNL1 (3)	rs2030926 (6)	rs13069559 (3)	7.10	0.91	5.80	5.53
13	MBNL1 (3)	rs2614467 (14)	rs13069559 (3)	5.74	4.13	2.22	5.30
14	MBNL1 (3)	rs218671 (17)	rs13069559 (3)	7.63	0.62	5.82	5.23
15	MBNL1 (3)	rs11981513 (7)	rs13069559 (3)	7.71	0.43	5.36	4.58
16	MBP (18)	rs8092433 (18)	rs4890876 (18)	5.40	7.06	21.91	28.73
17	NAPRT1 (8)	rs2123758 (8)	rs3889129 (8)	8.45	15.12	16.08	30.77
18	NCL (2)	rs7563453 (2)	rs4973397 (2)	7.31	7.51	6.33	12.70
19	PRMT2 (21)	rs2839372 (21)	rs11701058 (21)	4.81	0.69	4.47	4.06
20	RPL13 (16)	rs352935 (16)	rs2965817 (16)	4.98	3.79	14.41	17.24
21	SNORD14A (11)	rs2634462 (11)	rs6486334 (11)	7.31	13.11	10.96	23.22
22	TMEM149 (19)	rs807491 (19)	rs7254601 (19)	12.16	81.55	45.78	145.78
23	TMEM149 (19)	rs8106959 (19)	rs6926382 (6)	5.80	3.06	8.80	10.72
24	TMEM149 (19)	rs8106959 (19)	rs914940 (1)	6.22	3.36	6.96	9.20
25	TMEM149 (19)	rs8106959 (19)	rs2351458 (4)	7.30	0.04	9.61	8.00
26	TMEM149 (19)	rs8106959 (19)	rs6718480 (2)	8.55	3.31	5.15	7.36
27	TMEM149 (19)	rs8106959 (19)	rs1843357 (8)	6.21	3.72	3.33	6.00
28	TMEM149 (19)	rs8106959 (19)	rs9509428 (13)	9.44	0.10	5.75	4.47
29	TRA2A (7)	rs7776572 (7)	rs11770192 (7)	8.23	3.19	1.89	4.09
30	VASP (19)	rs1264226 (19)	rs2276470 (19)	5.09	0.94	5.14	4.95

<sup>1</sup>  $-\log_{10} p$ -values for 4 *d.f.* interaction tests

<sup>2</sup> Discovery dataset

<sup>3</sup> Independent replication dataset

<sup>4</sup> Meta analysis of interaction terms between replication datasets only

## Figures

Figure 1: **Replication of GP maps in two independent populations**

The GP maps for each epistatic interaction that is significant at the Bonferroni level in both replication datasets are shown. Each GP map consists of nine tiles where each tile represents the expression level for that two-locus genotype class. Phenotypes are for gene transcript levels (dark coloured tiles = high expression, light coloured tiles = low expression). Columns of GP maps are for each independent dataset. Rows of GP maps are for each of 30 significantly replicated interactions at the Bonferroni level, corresponding to the rows in Table 1. There is a clear trend of the GP maps replicating across all three datasets.

Figure 2: **Q-Q plots of interaction  $p$ -values from replication datasets**

The top panel shows all 434 discovery SNPs that were tested for interactions. Observed  $p$ -values ( $y$ -axis,  $-\log_{10}$  scale) are plotted against the expected  $p$ -values ( $x$ -axis,  $-\log_{10}$  scale). The multiple testing correction threshold for significance following Bonferroni correction is denoted by a dotted line. The bottom panel shows the same data as the top panel but excluding the 30 interactions that were significant at the Bonferroni level in the replication datasets. The shaded grey area represents the 5% confidence interval for the expected distribution of  $p$ -values. Dark blue points represent  $p$ -values that exceed the confidence interval, light blue are within the confidence interval.

Figure 3: **Discovery and replication of epistatic networks**

All 434 putative genetic interactions (edges) with data common to discovery and replication sets is shown, where black nodes represent SNPs and red nodes represent traits (gene expression probes). Three hundred and forty-five interactions had  $p$ -values exceeding the 2.5% confidence interval [of the Q-Q plot](#) following meta analysis of the replication data. The remaining 89 interactions that did not replicate are depicted in grey. It is evident that a large proportion of the complex networks identified in the discovery set also exist in independent populations.

## References

- <sup>1</sup> Carlborg, O. & Haley, C. S. Epistasis: too often neglected in complex trait studies? *Nature Reviews Genetics* **5**, 618–25 (2004).
- <sup>2</sup> Hill, W. G., Goddard, M. E. & Visscher, P. M. Data and Theory Point to Mainly Additive Genetic Variance for Complex Traits. *PLoS Genetics* **4** (2008).
- <sup>3</sup> Crow, J. F. On epistasis: why it is unimportant in polygenic directional selection. *Philosophical transactions of the Royal Society of London. Series B, Biological sciences* **365**, 1241–4 (2010).
- <sup>4</sup> Costanzo, M. *et al.* The genetic landscape of a cell. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **327**, 425–31 (2010).
- <sup>5</sup> Bloom, J. S., Ehrenreich, I. M., Loo, W. T., Lite, T.-L. V. o. & Kruglyak, L. Finding the sources of missing heritability in a yeast cross. *Nature* 1–6 (2013).
- <sup>6</sup> Carlborg, O., Jacobsson, L., Ahgren, P., Siegel, P. & Andersson, L. Epistasis and the release of genetic variation during long-term selection. *Nature Genetics* **38**, 418–420 (2006).
- <sup>7</sup> Strange, A. *et al.* A genome-wide association study identifies new psoriasis susceptibility loci and an interaction between HLA-C and ERAP1. *Nature Genetics* **42**, 985–90 (2010).
- <sup>8</sup> Evans, D. M. *et al.* Interaction between ERAP1 and HLA-B27 in ankylosing spondylitis implicates peptide handling in the mechanism for HLA-B27 in disease susceptibility. *Nature Genetics* **43** (2011).
- <sup>9</sup> Cordell, H. J. Detecting gene-gene interactions that underlie human diseases. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **10**, 392–404 (2009).
- <sup>10</sup> Hemani, G., Theodoridis, A., Wei, W. & Haley, C. EpiGPU: exhaustive pairwise epistasis scans parallelized on consumer level graphics cards. *Bioinformatics (Oxford, England)* **27**, 1462–5 (2011).
- <sup>11</sup> Metspalu, A. The Estonian Genome Project. *Drug Development Research* **62**, 97–101 (2004).
- <sup>12</sup> Fehrmann, R. S. N. *et al.* Trans-eQTLs reveal that independent genetic variants associated with a complex phenotype converge on intermediate genes, with a major role for the HLA. *PLoS genetics* **7**, e1002197 (2011).
- <sup>13</sup> Lieberman-Aiden, E. *et al.* Comprehensive mapping of long-range interactions reveals folding principles of the human genome. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **326**, 289–93 (2009).

- <sup>14</sup> Visscher, P. M., Brown, M. a., McCarthy, M. I. & Yang, J. Five years of GWAS discovery. *American journal of human genetics* **90**, 7–24 (2012).
- <sup>15</sup> Weinreich, D. M., Delaney, N. F., Depristo, M. a. & Hartl, D. L. Darwinian evolution can follow only very few mutational paths to fitter proteins. *Science (New York, N.Y.)* **312**, 111–4 (2006).
- <sup>16</sup> Breen, M. S., Kemena, C., Vlasov, P. K., Notredame, C. & Kondrashov, F. a. Epistasis as the primary factor in molecular evolution. *Nature* **490**, 535–538 (2012).
- <sup>17</sup> Weir, B. S. Linkage disequilibrium and association mapping. *Annual review of genomics and human genetics* **9**, 129–42 (2008).
- <sup>18</sup> Hemani, G., Knott, S. & Haley, C. An Evolutionary Perspective on Epistasis and the Missing Heritability. *PLoS Genetics* **9**, e1003295 (2013).
- <sup>19</sup> Marchini, J., Donnelly, P. & Cardon, L. R. Genome-wide strategies for detecting multiple loci that influence complex diseases. *Nature Genetics* **37**, 413–417 (2005).
- <sup>20</sup> Lango Allen, H. *et al.* Hundreds of variants clustered in genomic loci and biological pathways affect human height. *Nature* **467**, 832–8 (2010).
- <sup>21</sup> Powell, J. E. *et al.* Congruence of Additive and Non-Additive Effects on Gene Expression Estimated from Pedigree and SNP Data. *PLoS Genetics* **9**, e1003502 (2013).
- <sup>22</sup> Powell, J. E. *et al.* The Brisbane Systems Genetics Study: genetical genomics meets complex trait genetics. *PloS one* **7**, e35430 (2012).
- <sup>23</sup> Preiner, M. *et al.* Blood-informative transcripts define nine common axes of peripheral blood gene expression. *PLoS genetics* **9**, e1003362 (2013).
- <sup>24</sup> Cockerham, C. C. An extension of the concept of partitioning hereditary variance for analysis of covariances among relatives when epistasis is present. *Genetics* **39**, 859–882 (1954).
- <sup>25</sup> Ho, T. H. *et al.* Muscleblind proteins regulate alternative splicing. *The EMBO journal* **23**, 3103–12 (2004).
- <sup>26</sup> Trynka, G. *et al.* Chromatin marks identify critical cell types for fine mapping complex trait variants. *Nature genetics* **45**, 124–30 (2013).
- <sup>27</sup> Hoffman, M., Buske, O., Wang, J. & Weng, Z. Unsupervised pattern discovery in human chromatin structure through genomic segmentation. *Nature Methods* **9**, 473–476 (2012).
- <sup>28</sup> Lan, X. *et al.* Integration of Hi-C and ChIP-seq data reveals distinct types of chromatin linkages. *Nucleic acids research* **40**, 7690–704 (2012).

- <sup>29</sup> Osborne, C. S. *et al.* Active genes dynamically colocalize to shared sites of ongoing transcription. *Nature genetics* **36**, 1065–71 (2004).
- <sup>30</sup> Rieder, D., Trajanoski, Z. & McNally, J. G. Transcription factories. *Frontiers in genetics* **3**, 221 (2012).
- <sup>31</sup> Visscher, P. M., Hill, W. G. & Wray, N. R. Heritability in the genomics era—concepts and misconceptions. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **9**, 255–66 (2008).
- <sup>32</sup> Churchill, G. A. & Doerge, R. W. Empirical threshold values for quantitative trait mapping. *Genetics* **138**, 963–71 (1994).

## Supplementary Figures




Figure S1: **Type 1 error rate of two stage design** In stage 1 SNPs are tested for full genetic effects (8 d.f.) and those that surpass a threshold for multiple testing are then tested for significant interaction terms in stage 2. These interaction  $p$ -values are then adjusted (Bonferroni) for the total number of tests that passed stage 1. The type 1 error rate of this two stage design is dependent on the power, which is not known empirically.




Figure S2: **Q-Q plots of interaction  $p$ -values from replication datasets, excluding the 30 points significant at the Bonferroni level** The right panel (Null) shows the interaction  $p$ -values from a meta analysis across two independent datasets on 434 ~~randomly drawn~~ SNP pairs that were generated by randomly shuffling the discovery interacting SNPs. The left panel (Empirical) shows the interaction  $p$ -values from the 404 putative interactions that were not significant at the Bonferroni correction threshold. Dark blue points represent  $p$ -values that surpass the 2.5% ~~FDR level~~ confidence interval, as in Figure 2.






Figure S3: **Gene expression traits with four or more genetic interactions** Circle plots represent the genomic positions for SNPs (linking lines) and expression probes (red points). Chromosomes are represented by black blocks and ordered from 1 to 22 clockwise, starting from the top. Grey lines represent no evidence for replication, blue lines denote interactions that are outside the 97.5% confidence interval or the Q-Q plot (Figure 2), and red lines denote replication at the Bonferroni correction level. Most interactions are characterised as being *cis-trans* to the expression probe.





**Figure S4: Location of SNPs relative to genomic features** We used chromatin segmentation<sup>27</sup> as a method for labelling genomic features. All SNPs within 1Mb and  $r^2 > 0.8$  of each *cis*- and *trans*-SNP were taken to find which genomic features ( $x$ -axis) were covered by the SNPs that compose the 501 significant interactions. Green bars represent the proportion ( $y$ -axis) of the 528,509 SNPs used in the analysis that fall within the range of the different genomic features. There is enrichment for *cis*-acting SNPs (red bars) in promotor regions, but *trans*-acting SNPs (blue bars) are not enriched for genomic features. The labels on the  $x$ -axis are as follows: E = Predicted enhancer, CTCF = CTCF enriched element, WE = Predicted weak enhancer or open chromatin cis regulatory element, PF = Predicted promoter flanking region, TSS = Predicted promoter region including transcriptional start site, T = Predicted transcribed region, R = Predicted Repressed or Low Activity region



**Figure S5: Tissue specific enrichment of SNPs in transcriptionally active regions** The locations of transcriptional activity can be predicted by chromatin marks, assayed by H3K4me3.<sup>26</sup> Enrichment *p*-values are calculated using permutation analysis for 34 different cell types (*y*-axis) in four tissue types (Rows of boxes). The dotted red line denotes significance (Bonferroni correction for 34 cell types, *x*-axis). There is enrichment for *cis*-acting SNPs in Haematopoietic tissue types only. *Trans*-acting SNPs have no tissue specificity.




Figure S6: **Q-Q plot of interaction  $p$ -values in the CDHWB dataset**  
 Twenty of the 501 discovery SNP pairs passed filtering in the CDHWB dataset (mainly due to small sample size). There is no evidence for enrichment of interaction terms, most likely due to insufficient power given the limited sample size.




Figure S7: **Genotype-phenotype maps for 14 interactions influencing the expression of MBNL1** Each bar represents the mean phenotypic value for individuals in that genotype class. The rs13069559 SNP typically has a *cis*-additive decreasing effect on the expression of MBNL1, but in many of these interactions the *cis* effect is masked when the *trans* SNP is homozygous for the masking allele.




Figure S8: **Genotype-phenotype maps for 19 interactions influencing the expression of TMEM149** Each bar represents the mean phenotypic value for individuals in that genotype class. The rs13069559 SNP typically has a *cis*-additive decreasing effect on the expression of TMEM149, but in many of these interactions the *cis* effect is masked when the *trans* SNP is homozygous for the masking allele.




Figure S9: **Genotype-phenotype maps for 8 interactions influencing the expression of NAPRT1** Each bar represents the mean phenotypic value for individuals in that genotype class.




Figure S10: **Genotype-phenotype maps for 16 interactions influencing the expression of TRAPPC5** Each bar represents the mean phenotypic value for individuals in that genotype class.





Figure S11: **Genotype-phenotype maps for 15 interactions influencing the expression of CAST** Each bar represents the mean phenotypic value for individuals in that genotype class.





**Figure S12: Number of overlaps between chromosome interactions and epistatic interactions** Interacting chromosome regions may be a possible mechanism underlying epistatic interactions. The number of epistatic interactions within 20kb, 500kb, 2Mb and 10Mb of known chromosome interacting regions are shown by red vertical lines. The histograms represent the null distribution based on random sampling of 1,000 datasets for each window size.





Figure S13: **Comparison of allele frequencies for 781 SNPs involved in genetic interactions across independent populations** Outliers were removed from the analysis as part of the filtering stage during replication.



**Figure S14: Comparison of variance explained by additive, dominant and epistatic effects from different cohorts** How does the estimated variance decomposition change in different cohorts? The proportion of the phenotypic variance that is additive (A), dominant (D), or epistatic (I) for each putative interaction is shown on the  $y$ -axis (Note: different scales for each row). BSGS has 501 interactions whereas Fehrmann and EGCUT have 434 ( $x$ -axis). The variance estimates in each plot are ordered from lowest additive to highest. This is done independently for each cohort to depict the distribution of estimated effects.

## Supplementary Tables



Table S1 – continued from previous page

Gene ID <sup>a</sup>	Expression trait	Probe ID <sup>b</sup>	Chr.	rs ID	Chr.	SNP 1	Pos/Mb <sup>c</sup>	Association <sup>d</sup>	rs ID	Chr.	SNP 2	Pos/Mb <sup>c</sup>	Association <sup>d</sup>	BSGS <sup>e</sup>	Interaction statistic <sup>f</sup>	-log <sub>10</sub> p-values	EGCUT <sup>g</sup>	Meta <sup>g</sup>	Distance / Mb <sup>h</sup>
C8ORF59	ILMN-1653205	8	rs8051751	16	7188323				rs2890452	8	86102223			5.79	1.39	0.18	0.87		
C8ORF72	ILMN-1741881	9	rs10122902	9	27556780	C9ORF72			rs2526068	1	242029101			6.36	0.96	0.01	0.37		
CABC1	ILMN-1731064	10	rs12765847	10	4353808				rs3738725	1	227174210	CABC1		6.36	0.94	0.00	0.34		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	9	rs42666703	9	139289825				rs684040	1	82128660			5.81					
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	9	rs45673661	1	6026661				rs139266496	9	139266496	INPP5E		6.61	0.09	0.86	0.42		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	8	rs1573671	8	8187858				rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9		5.77	0.23	0.96	0.62		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs1319169	18	1732466				rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9		5.77	0.23	2.85	1.75		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs12692664	18	81840122				rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9		7.06					
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs12719343	5	125369113				rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9		7.68	0.36	1.57	1.20		29.369
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs1410575	9	78925630				rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9		6.55	0.13	1.34	0.78		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs1666444	8	78392770				rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9		7.01	0.27	0.52	0.37		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs17648036	15	2731111				rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9		7.81	0.97	0.03	0.41		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs17818702	11	86107920				rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9		6.62	1.15	0.59	1.09		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs227402	14	70496867				rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9		6.12	0.11	0.01	0.01		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs2822124	21	15166804				rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9		6.87					
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs3757155	6	136458593				rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9		7.24	0.07	0.33	0.12		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs4503014	7	31149140				rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9		5.88	0.92	1.56	1.72		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs7474890	10	59199078				rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9		6.74	0.49	0.12	0.23		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9			rs10802643	1	238120177			7.42	0.75	0.78	0.93		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9			rs12650909	4	170192890			7.42	0.23	0.78	0.50		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9			rs2203733	2	224093101			6.97	0.22	0.87	0.54		
CARD9	ILMN-1712532	5	rs7733671	5	96000269	CARD9			rs2641772	3	195531841			6.93	0.19	0.26	0.10		
CARD9	ILMN-1651705	11	rs8723311	18	66175386				rs11032695	11	34447586	CAT		6.41	0.26	0.60	0.32		
CDC8B	ILMN-1772208	11	rs2353203	17	17099980				rs5412027	11	64125142	CDC8B		5.68	0.33	0.37	0.21		
CDC8B	ILMN-1772208	11	rs694739	11	64097233				rs12771349	10	96989193			5.62	0.23	0.18	0.14		
CDC8B	ILMN-1772208	7	rs3211834	7	80280117				rs1254900	2	85816334	VAMP8		6.93	0.15	0.01	0.02		
CD36	ILMN-1800540	1	rs17603374	11	76033374				rs6700168	1	207502534	CD55		5.09	0.08	0.03	0.02		
CD93	ILMN-1704730	20	rs1884655	20	23074375				rs10255470	7	157182040			6.06	1.74	0.24	1.20		
CD93	ILMN-1704730	20	rs1884655	20	23074375	CD93			rs4696726	4	7992632			5.71	0.13	0.80	0.42		
CD93	ILMN-1704730	20	rs1884655	20	23074375	CD93			rs1884655	3	196721395			5.56	0.04	0.27	0.08		
CD93	ILMN-1704730	20	rs1884655	20	23074375	CD93			rs838875	12	125145394			6.31	0.24	1.67	1.16		
CD93	ILMN-1704730	20	rs1884655	20	23074375	CD93			rs9576388	13	38434472			7.88	0.71	0.22	0.45		
CD93	ILMN-1704730	20	rs268504	20	37771578				rs1884655	20	23074375			5.71	0.64	0.75	0.81		14.697
CD93	ILMN-1704730	20	rs4813479	20	23076914	CD93			rs10925747	1	238899903			7.43					
CD93	ILMN-1704730	20	rs4813479	20	23076914	CD93			rs2873420	18	136500554			7.02					
CD93	ILMN-1704730	20	rs4813479	20	23076914	CD93			rs4328531	18	772649542			6.13					
CD93	ILMN-1704730	20	rs4813479	20	23076914	CD93			rs4789981	17	77264482			6.08					
CD93	ILMN-1704730	14	rs861544	14	104162263				rs7324744	13	115008038			5.46	0.21	0.14	0.11		
CD93	ILMN-2339796	13	rs90595940	17	46614102	HOXB2			rs11655031	17	30833162	CD93		5.47	0.95	0.07	0.45		
CDK5R1	ILMN-1730928	19	rs2006099	20	51956250				rs4803481	19	42066556	CDK5R1		6.15	0.90	0.12	0.48		
CEACAM21	ILMN-1745949	18	rs8503781	19	142066556	CEACAM21			rs2421050	5	158943044	CEACAM21		6.67	2.16	0.16	1.44		
CEACAM21	ILMN-1703754	18	rs60505480	18	13069782				rs13132719	4	180265266			5.75	0.15	0.24	0.12		
CEP192	ILMN-1787808	3	rs3825569	14	101350298	CEP192			rs13079012	3	134247706	ANAPC13		6.36	0.23	0.10	0.09		
CEP63	ILMN-1787808	3	rs3825569	14	101350298				rs13079012	3	134247706	ANAPC13		6.36	0.23	0.10	0.09		
CES1	ILMN-2359945	16	rs182935	16	55861794	CES1			rs2695290	12	235248562			5.65					
CHPT1	ILMN-2202940	12	rs691967	13	38838122				rs2695290	12	102087844	CHPT1		5.74	0.72	0.20	0.44		
CHPT1	ILMN-2202940	12	rs6539014	12	102277782				rs8057578	11	81937002			4.75	0.92	0.02	0.36		
CHPT1	ILMN-1663142	16	rs429790	16	84471642				rs13132335	12	101322853	CLEC12A		5.55	0.07	1.28	0.67		
CLEC12A	ILMN-2403228	12	rs7305054	12	10156646				rs3903088	10	134266588			7.54	0.95	0.36	0.73		
CLTB	ILMN-1674609	5	rs17129799	11	96929537				rs6863172	5	175595960	CLTB		5.55		0.27	0.07		
CNN2	ILMN-1770280	19	rs3725237	19	1047161	ABCAT			rs109130	16	631210580			7.56	0.07	0.07	0.02		
CNN2	ILMN-1770280	19	rs3725237	19	1047161	ABCAT			rs1453208	13	677136633			6.33	1.92	0.28	1.39		
CNN2	ILMN-1694345	8	rs4335643	8	145369535				rs1453208	13	677136633			6.33	0.10	0.01	0.01		
CNN2	ILMN-1694345	8	rs4335643	8	145369535				rs1453208	13	677136633			6.33	0.10	0.01	0.01		

0.23 Continued on next page

Gene ID <sup>a</sup>	Chr.	Expression trait		SNP 1	Association <sup>d</sup>	rs ID	Chr.	SNP 2	Pos./Mb <sup>c</sup>	Association <sup>d</sup>	rs ID	Chr.	SNP 2	Pos./Mb <sup>c</sup>	Association <sup>d</sup>	Interaction statistic / $-\log_{10}$ p-values		Distance / Mb <sup>e</sup>
		Probe ID <sup>b</sup>	Chr.													BSGS <sup>e</sup>	Fehrmann <sup>e</sup>	
ILMN.1682928	7		21	39202070		rs245884	2	29188475	7	GPVL	rs245884	2	29188475	7	GPVL	5.55	0.19	0.03
ILMN.1813256	2		21	448859080		rs1531133	7	6843631	7	CRPT	rs1531133	7	6843631	7	CRPT	5.47	0.28	0.10
ILMN.1737685	20		20	5986234	CRLS1	rs16139887	20	45280974	5		rs16139887	20	45280974	5		6.17	0.36	0.15
ILMN.1761797	21		21	45280974		rs3761385	21	45280974	5		rs3761385	21	45280974	5		11.99	25.20	16.72
ILMN.1804854	5		18	69500505		rs176382	5	138226767	CTNNA1		rs176382	5	138226767	CTNNA1		5.74	0.92	0.41
ILMN.1696347	11		11	88139983	CTSC	rs7079264	10	108679892	CTSC		rs7079264	10	108679892	CTSC		5.74	0.92	0.41
ILMN.1696347	11		11	26250645		rs7128352	11	88077357			rs7128352	11	88077357			5.84	0.49	0.80
ILMN.2242367	22		22	60250645		rs556895	11	88077357	CTSC		rs556895	11	88077357	CTSC		7.16	18.76	15.06
ILMN.2242463	11		11	11456027		rs12784396	10	102027407	CWF19L1		rs12784396	10	102027407	CWF19L1		5.42	0.21	0.01
ILMN.1651886	4		4	129994690		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		5.89	0.23	0.53
ILMN.1712305	4		4	129994690		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		5.89	0.23	0.53
ILMN.1712305	4		4	129994690		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		5.89	0.23	0.53
ILMN.1712305	4		4	129994690		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		5.89	0.23	0.53
ILMN.1712305	4		4	129994690		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		5.89	0.23	0.53
ILMN.1712305	4		4	129994690		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		5.89	0.23	0.53
ILMN.1712305	4		4	129994690		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		5.89	0.23	0.53
ILMN.1712305	4		4	129994690		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		5.89	0.23	0.53
ILMN.1712305	4		4	129994690		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		5.89	0.23	0.53
ILMN.1712305	4		4	129994690		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		5.89	0.23	0.53
ILMN.1712305	4		4	129994690		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		5.89	0.23	0.53
ILMN.1712305	4		4	129994690		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		rs888427	2	172368120	CYBRD1		5.89	0.23	0

Continued on next page





Table S1 – continued from previous page

Gene ID <sup>a</sup>	Expression trait	Chr.	rs ID	Chr.	SNP 1	Pos/Mb <sup>c</sup>	Association <sup>d</sup>	rs ID	Chr.	SNP 2	Pos/Mb <sup>c</sup>	Association <sup>d</sup>	BSGS <sup>e</sup>	Interaction statistic <sup>f</sup>	-log <sub>10</sub> p-value	MetaSig	Distance / Mb <sup>b</sup>
HBG2	ILMN_2084825	11	rs12975066	19	35723301	5271671	HBG2	rs2855039	11	5271671	5271671	HBG2	5.77	0.08	0.13	0.05	
HBG2	ILMN_2084825	11	rs2855039	11	5271671	5271671	HBG2	rs12042181	1	213068494	213068494	LQK1	6.84	0.06	0.54	0.21	
HBG2	ILMN_2084825	11	rs2855039	11	5271671	5271671	HBG2	rs12030379	4	141533852	141533852	LQK1	5.98	0.00	0.46	0.10	
HEBP1	ILMN_18602186	12	rs21092029	16	6036851	6036851	HEBP1	rs47060636	12	48173352	48173352	HBX7	5.75	0.15	0.59	0.32	
HEBP1	ILMN_18602186	12	rs3782507	12	13145613	13145613	HEBP1	rs17086655	16	133220622	133220622	HEXDC	5.98	0.05	0.59	0.32	
HEBP1	ILMN_18602186	12	rs19424180	18	77373740	77373740	HEBP1	rs7213067	16	30576839	30576839	HLA-DQB6	5.81	1.61	0.34	1.52	
HLA-DRA	ILMN_17693841	18	rs11660982	18	77373740	77373740	HLA-DRA	rs11660982	18	77373740	77373740	HLA-H	5.69	1.00	0.46	0.84	
HLA-F	ILMN_17693841	18	rs11660982	18	77373740	77373740	HLA-F	rs2324304	6	24695713	24695713	HLA-H	5.81	1.00	0.46	0.84	
HBXO1	ILMN_1720059	8	rs12435486	11	68670849	68670849	HBXO1	rs7837297	6	28876221	28876221	HBXO1	6.54	0.92	1.11	1.34	
HBXO1	ILMN_1720059	8	rs2837803	11	42117294	42117294	HBXO1	rs4732890	8	28751381	28751381	HBXO1	6.62	0.05	1.01	0.46	
HBXO1	ILMN_1720059	8	rs4765451	12	127237464	127237464	HBXO1	rs8180944	8	28904086	28904086	HBXO1	5.80	0.39	3.13	2.52	
HBXO1	ILMN_1720059	8	rs5876739	12	132725731	132725731	HBXO1	rs7837287	8	28876221	28876221	HBXO1	6.88	0.55	0.34	0.44	
HBXO1	ILMN_1720059	8	rs8180944	8	28904086	28904086	HBXO1	rs4553056	3	189533772	189533772	HBXO1	6.88	0.33	0.03	2.20	
HBXO1	ILMN_1720059	8	rs8180944	8	28904086	28904086	HBXO1	rs7810884	7	158276926	158276926	HBXO1	6.12	0.34	0.66	0.52	
HBXO1	ILMN_1720059	8	rs9521666	13	110897444	110897444	HBXO1	rs8180944	7	158276926	158276926	HBXO1	6.12	0.34	0.66	0.52	
HBXO1	ILMN_1720059	8	rs6894268	13	110897444	110897444	HBXO1	rs8180944	7	158276926	158276926	HBXO1	6.12	0.34	0.66	0.52	
HNRP1	ILMN_2101920	5	rs6894268	13	110897444	110897444	HNRP1	rs719032488	5	178991794	178991794	HNRP1	5.45	0.67	0.26	0.45	
HSPC157	ILMN_3194087	1	rs555812	16	88882257	88882257	HSPC157	rs4654783	1	22439520	22439520	HSPC157	5.51	0.19	0.50	0.29	
HSPC157	ILMN_3194087	1	rs555812	16	88882257	88882257	HSPC157	rs4654783	1	22439520	22439520	HSPC157	5.51	0.19	0.50	0.29	
HSPC157	ILMN_3194087	1	rs6063164	20	46486900	46486900	HSPC157	rs4654783	1	22439520	22439520	HSPC157	6.51	0.02	0.26	0.05	
HSPC157	ILMN_3194087	1	rs662739	12	121229893	121229893	HSPC157	rs4654783	1	22439520	22439520	HSPC157	6.61	0.46	0.89	0.77	
HSPC157	ILMN_3194087	1	rs7088558	12	101884937	101884937	HSPC157	rs4654783	1	22439520	22439520	HSPC157	6.48	0.33	0.04	0.09	
IL32	ILMN_1778010	16	rs1564999	16	3115628	3115628	IL32	rs4759890	12	131757163	131757163	IL32	6.90	0.19	0.50	0.29	
IL32	ILMN_1778010	16	rs1564999	16	3115628	3115628	IL32	rs1554999	16	3115628	3115628	IL32	5.53	0.69	0.23	0.44	
IL32	ILMN_1778010	16	rs765044	19	2560423	2560423	IL32	rs1127152	9	1339335599	1339335599	INPP5E	5.16	1.46	0.84	1.55	
INPP5E	ILMN_1811301	9	rs8044524	16	81603771	81603771	INPP5E	rs849341	7	28288174	28288174	INPP5E	8.16	0.02	0.26	0.05	
INPP5E	ILMN_1811301	9	rs8044524	16	81603771	81603771	INPP5E	rs849341	7	28288174	28288174	INPP5E	8.16	0.02	0.26	0.05	
INPP5E	ILMN_1811301	9	rs753355	12	47970693	47970693	INPP5E	rs849341	7	28288174	28288174	INPP5E	8.16	0.02	0.26	0.05	
KCNJ15	ILMN_1682727	7	rs1296753	12	47970693	47970693	KCNJ15	rs424299	11	5570771	5570771	KCNJ15	5.64	0.65	0.13	0.33	
KCNJ15	ILMN_1682727	7	rs1296753	12	47970693	47970693	KCNJ15	rs424299	11	5570771	5570771	KCNJ15	5.64	0.65	0.13	0.33	
KIR2DS5	ILMN_1691803	21	rs1863344	21	39606769	39606769	KIR2DS5	rs6410960	4	189055298	189055298	KTEL1	4.74	0.46	0.89	0.77	
KIR2DS5	ILMN_1691803	21	rs1863344	21	39606769	39606769	KIR2DS5	rs6410960	4	189055298	189055298	KTEL1	4.74	0.46	0.89	0.77	
KTEL1	ILMN_1811104	3	rs43849034	13	483109112	483109112	KTEL1	rs727905	3	119119433	119119433	KTEL1	5.63	0.08	0.80	0.38	
KTEL1	ILMN_1811104	3	rs43849034	13	483109112	483109112	KTEL1	rs727905	3	119119433	119119433	KTEL1	5.63	0.08	0.80	0.38	
KTEL1	ILMN_1811104	3	rs6815953	4	183109012	183109012	KTEL1	rs1294338	4	233438952	233438952	KTEL1	5.45	0.64	0.04	0.09	
L3MBTL2	ILMN_2386109	22	rs4822006	22	41519362	41519362	L3MBTL2	rs7658240	4	175889592	175889592	LAP3	5.88	0.33	0.04	0.09	
L3MBTL2	ILMN_2386109	22	rs4822006	22	41519362	41519362	L3MBTL2	rs7658240	4	175889592	175889592	LAP3	5.88	0.33	0.04	0.09	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs7042087	9	132602868	132602868	LAP3	rs10900520	1	203780591	203780591	LAP3	19.16	18.60	11.22	29.24	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs7042087	9	132602868	132602868	LAP3	rs10900520	1	203780591	203780591	LAP3	19.16	18.60	11.22	29.24	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34	
LAP3	ILMN_1683792	4	rs1891432	1	50977662	50977662	LAP3	rs6687605	1	2589632	2589632	LAP3	6.00	0.35	0.40	0.34</	

3.30 | Continued on next page

Table S1 – continued from previous page

[illegible]

Continued on next page

Table S1 – continued from previous page

[illegible]

Continued on next page

Table S1 – continued from previous page

Gene ID <sup>a</sup>	Expression trait		SNP 1			SNP 2			Interaction statistic / -log <sub>10</sub> p-values			Distance / Mb <sup>h</sup>			
	Probe ID <sup>b</sup>	Chr.	rs ID	Chr.	Pos/Mb <sup>c</sup>	Association <sup>d</sup>	rs ID	Chr.	Pos/Mb <sup>c</sup>	Association <sup>d</sup>	BSGS <sup>e</sup>		Fehrmann <sup>f</sup>	EGCUT <sup>g</sup>	Meta <sup>g</sup>
REBE	ILMN-1802380	1	rs4982958	14	24987865		rs301819	1	8501786	REBE	5.66	0.61	1.23	1.17	
REBE	ILMN-1802380	1	rs7697290	4	135248366		rs301819	1	8501786	REBE	5.74	0.14	0.10	0.06	
REBE	ILMN-2327795	1	rs11085829	19	13174312		rs301819	1	8501786	REBE	5.12	0.21	0.33	0.21	
REBE	ILMN-2327795	1	rs3852011	3	112844086		rs301819	1	8501786	REBE	5.71	0.08	0.60	0.26	
RNASE6	ILMN-1780533	14	rs11628398	14	8106521	RNASE6	rs7324365	13	100601327		5.48	0.42	0.21	0.26	
RNASE6	ILMN-1780533	14	rs6603134	19	8106521		rs11628398	14	21182800	RNASE6	5.11	0.09	0.22	0.08	
RNF167	ILMN-1794726	17	rs2382330	17	4875566		rs4848487	13	54668512		4.37				
RNF167	ILMN-1794726	17	rs400668	17	4839930		rs11706900	3	36348968		5.59	0.71	0.46	0.64	
RNFEP	ILMN-1738347	1	rs1107121	17	46127549		rs2819365	1	201983242		6.27	0.11	0.30	0.13	
RNFEP	ILMN-1738347	1	rs8071611	17	67153386		rs2819365	1	201983242		4.32	1.48	0.52	1.28	
RPL13	ILMN-2413278	16	rs352935	16	89648580		rs2965817	16	89513234		4.98	3.79	14.41	17.24	
RPL23AP7	ILMN-2222750	2	rs1401202	16	80320056		rs4849261	2	114450028	RPL23AP7	5.55	0.13	0.73	0.38	
RPL36AL	ILMN-2186933	14	rs3007033	14	50103816		rs17495030	9	138035083		5.46	0.09	0.06	0.02	
RPL36AL	ILMN-2186936	14	rs4900928	14	50020817		rs1502991	6	66137260		5.86	0.32	0.20	0.19	
RPL8	ILMN-1764721	8	rs2958452	8	145984615		rs1619856	1	234585790		4.59	0.10	0.37	0.15	
RPL8	ILMN-1764721	8	rs4143674	20	4741304		rs2958452	8	145984615	RPL8	4.33	0.13	0.45	0.22	
SEC13	ILMN-3297880	3	rs4889214	16	80913946		rs696221	3	10342876	SEC13	6.48	0.22	1.73	1.17	
SEMA4A	ILMN-1702787	1	rs17085428	5	95388015		rs7695	1	156147326	SEMA4A	5.70	0.02	0.51	0.15	
SES3	ILMN-1694027	11	rs12147460	14	104412137		rs684856	11	94906111	SES3	5.50	0.31	0.06	0.10	
SES3	ILMN-1694027	11	rs355391	15	46391793	SES3	rs684856	11	94906111	SES3	5.67	0.02	0.31	0.06	
SES3	ILMN-1694027	11	rs684856	15	46391793		rs7004947	8	134606425	PPBP	5.60	0.21	0.51	0.31	
SH3BGL2	ILMN-176764	6	rs10838191	11	43893658		rs1354034	3	56849749	PPBP	5.52	0.70	0.12	0.35	
SH3BGL2	ILMN-176764	6	rs2545385	5	66383979		rs1354034	3	56849749	PPBP	5.97	0.20	0.51	0.30	
SH3BGL2	ILMN-176764	6	rs6845304	4	88280592		rs1354034	3	56849749	PPBP	5.23	0.32	0.71	0.53	
SH3BGL2	ILMN-2158336	9	rs1034210	21	18196922		rs17455517	9	131785369	SH3BGL2	7.40	0.22	0.18	0.13	
SIRPG	ILMN-1771801	20	rs1535883	20	1612819	SIRPG	rs6842739	14	60489510		5.74	0.29	0.18	0.17	
SLC22A18	ILMN-2382505	11	rs11673260	19	52181798		rs367035	11	2923826	SLC22A18	5.47	0.09	0.24	0.09	
SLC22A18	ILMN-2382505	11	rs367035	11	2923826	SLC22A18	rs3110874	7	153224179		5.70	0.15	0.10	0.06	
SLC22A18	ILMN-2382505	11	rs367035	11	2923826		rs772054	2	241678528	SLC41A3	6.15	0.39	0.13	0.19	
SLC41A3	ILMN-236111	3	rs1912136	11	24616743		rs6771703	3	125801067	SLC41A3	5.88	1.10	0.82	1.24	
SLC45A4	ILMN-2157778	8	rs698508	8	142337734	SLC45A4	rs7701916	5	174598073	SLC45A4	5.95	0.86	0.07	0.40	
SLC46A3	ILMN-1658639	13	rs198305	17	5502091		rs7981190	13	29259349	SLC46A3	5.52	0.09	0.58	0.26	
SMG7	ILMN-1706553	1	rs803259	15	97403923		rs10911353	1	183489203	SMG7	6.52	0.17	0.09	0.06	
SMOX	ILMN-1753380	20	rs118315	20	4161500	SMOX	rs11677815	2	65800982		5.68	0.39	0.62	0.52	
SNHG8	ILMN-3309349	4	rs1105621	9	133050233		rs705837	4	119225940	SNHG8	6.11				
SNORD14A	ILMN-1799381	11	rs1520429	15	46259108		rs214097	11	17291499	SNORD14A	6.60	0.29	1.03	0.72	
SNORD14A	ILMN-1799381	11	rs2634462	11	17339127		rs6486334	11	17015557	SNORD14A	7.31	13.11	10.96	23.22	
SNORD89	ILMN-3238662	2	rs10445863	2	115929241		rs750783	2	101889306	SNORD89	6.08				
SNORD89	ILMN-3238662	2	rs11605822	11	122986326		rs750783	2	101889306	SNORD89	5.96				
SNORD89	ILMN-3238662	2	rs2135064	5	26778066		rs750783	2	101889306	SNORD89	6.33				
SNUPN	ILMN-1733932	15	rs134646	21	46376528	SNUPN	rs17185362	16	81888905		6.45				
SNUPN	ILMN-2364535	15	rs134646	21	46376528	SNUPN	rs1472075	3	193706323		5.59	0.34	0.00	0.06	
SPATA5L1	ILMN-1729179	15	rs131620	19	41117869		rs4774580	15	45652086	SPATA5L1	5.44				
STARD10	ILMN-1729179	15	rs2231406	19	41117869		rs100620	11	72509713	STARD10	5.44				
STYXL1	ILMN-2345142	7	rs4073164	14	104947517		rs17685	7	75616105	STYXL1	5.85	0.67	0.12	0.33	
SULF2	ILMN-2345142	20	rs2221406	13	104947517		rs100620	11	72509713	SULF2	5.44	0.34	0.00	0.06	
SULF2	ILMN-2336133	16	rs11700063	18	74332954	SULF2	rs392994	4	180439236		5.51	0.46	0.24	0.30	
SULF2	ILMN-2336133	16	rs1463965	20	40119768		rs3785354	16	28550667	TUFM	7.05	0.01	0.05	0.00	
SURF6	ILMN-2336133	16	rs28636657	21	56013994		rs3785354	16	28550667	TUFM	5.83				
SURF6	ILMN-1778032	9	rs6099626	20	56013994		rs318663	16	28550667	TUFM	5.83				
SYTL2	ILMN-2336609	11	rs1375719	13	103410782		rs485485	11	85495269	SURF6	6.14	0.26	0.16	0.14	
THBS3	ILMN-1804663	1	rs1939875	13	95422867		rs4072037	11	155162067	SYTL2	5.47	0.28	0.31	0.24	
THBS3	ILMN-1804663	1	rs8014956	14	20687978		rs20449805	1	155194980	THBS3	5.55	0.03	0.15	0.03	
TIPRL	ILMN-1781457	1	rs2823245	21	16745523		rs1320993	1	168154599	TIPRL	5.65	0.31	0.76	0.55	
TIPRL	ILMN-1781457	1	rs2823245	21	16745523		rs1320993	1	168154599	TIPRL	5.22	0.07	0.40	0.15	

Continued on next page

	SNP 2	Interaction statistic / —	og <sub>10</sub> p-values
--	-------	------------------------------	---------------------------

Continued on next page

Table S1 – continued from previous page

Expression trait			SNP 1			SNP 2			Interaction statistic / -log <sub>10</sub> p-values						
Gene ID <sup>a</sup>	Probe ID <sup>b</sup>	Chr.	rs ID	Chr.	Pos/Mb <sup>c</sup>	Association <sup>d</sup>	rs ID	Chr.	Pos/Mb <sup>c</sup>	Association <sup>d</sup>	BSGS <sup>e</sup>	Fehrmann <sup>f</sup>	EGCUT <sup>g</sup>	Meta <sup>g</sup>	Distance / Mb <sup>h</sup>
UBASH3A	LMN-2338348	21	rs1893592	21	43855067	UBASH3A	rs7201194	16	83600397		5.91	0.59	0.42	0.52	
UBASH3A	LMN-2338348	21	rs1893592	21	43855067	UBASH3A	rs7512594	1	214517361		6.01	0.48	1.29	1.10	
USP36	LMN-1697227	17	rs2279308	17	76794981	USP36	rs7225546	17	75151717		5.71	0.03	0.14	0.03	1.643
VASP	LMN-1743646	19	rs1264226	19	40063167		rs2276470	19	45974668	VNN2	5.09	0.94	5.14	4.95	0.088
VNN2	LMN-1678939	6	rs10435352	7	103252718		rs1883613	6	133077063	VNN2	5.04	0.84	0.15	0.46	
VNN2	LMN-1678939	6	rs13044386	20	9116155		rs1883617	6	133072650	VNN2	5.44	0.39	0.69	0.57	
VNN2	LMN-1678939	6	rs134447	22	49927332		rs1883617	6	133072650	VNN2	5.72				
VNN3	LMN-1678939	6	rs216495	11	16834510		rs1883617	6	133072650	VNN2	5.77	0.33	0.19	0.19	
VNN3	LMN-1678939	6	rs10278073	7	151662184		rs2267932	6	133067782	VNN3	6.44	0.16	0.74	0.41	
VNN3	LMN-1804935	6	rs1443946	8	73006453		rs2267932	6	133067782	VNN3	5.74	0.23	0.48	0.31	
VNN3	LMN-1804935	6	rs348462	9	75547169		rs2267952	6	133067782	VNN3	6.44	0.31	0.17	0.17	
VNN3	LMN-1804935	6	rs7157055	14	83262064		rs2267952	6	133067782	VNN3	5.82	0.03	0.19	0.04	
VNN3	LMN-2387680	6	rs2823165	21	5694253		rs2267952	6	133067782	VNN3	6.12	0.73	1.15	1.21	
VNN3	LMN-2387680	6	rs9596437	13	51692548		rs2267952	6	133067782	VNN3	4.83	0.46	0.05	0.16	
VSTM1	LMN-1763455	19	rs10500316	19	54553697	VSTM1	rs4552100	18	71024750	VNN3	5.60	0.53	0.54	0.57	
VSTM1	LMN-1763455	19	rs10500316	19	54553697	VSTM1	rs7895870	10	123095249		5.71	0.48	0.17	0.26	
VSTM1	LMN-1763455	19	rs9628570	22	30261219		rs10500316	19	54553697	VSTM1	5.88	0.81	1.38	1.47	
WDR48	LMN-1762103	3	rs1388935	4	18827822		rs6778963	3	39091812	WDR48	5.88	0.19	0.13	0.09	
WDR48	LMN-1762103	3	rs1887778	9	134635088		rs833349	3	39067325	WDR48	6.34	0.57	1.35	1.22	
WDR6	LMN-1762103	3	rs9554833	13	102624790	RAPGEF1	rs7619193	3	39044116	WDR48	5.85	0.18	0.61	0.35	
WDR6	LMN-1669484	3	rs12362253	11	123571708		rs7619193	3	39044116	WDR6	5.86	1.64	1.43	2.25	
XAF1	LMN-2330573	17	rs1535031	21	6073170	XAF1	rs12591171	15	93119799		4.86	2.38	0.17	1.63	
ZNF90	LMN-1684628	16	rs909446	16	37040648		rs1182968	16	68573945	ZFP90	5.79	0.09	0.36	0.15	
ZNF500	LMN-1700238	16	rs4283723	22	48283177		rs2290560	16	4799041	ZNF500	5.29	0.67	0.27	0.46	
ZYX	LMN-1701875	7	rs6056281	20	8935312		rs2242601	7	143093824	ZYX	6.04	0.26	0.01	0.05	

<sup>a</sup> Phenotypes are expression levels of RefSeq Genes<sup>b</sup> Illumina probe ID used to measure gene expression<sup>c</sup> Physical SNP position in base pairs (HG19)<sup>d</sup> RefSeq Gene ID of gene expression level that is influenced by the SNP (BSGS discovery dataset, significance threshold = 1.29 × 10<sup>-11</sup>)<sup>e</sup> Interaction - log<sub>10</sub> p-value from discovery dataset<sup>f</sup> Interaction - log<sub>10</sub> p-value from replication dataset<sup>g</sup> Interaction - log<sub>10</sub> p-value from meta analysis of replication datasets only<sup>h</sup> Distance in Mb between interacting SNPs for *cis-cis* acting SNP pairs<sup>i</sup> p-values are absent if the interaction did not pass the QC filtering in the replication dataset<sup>j</sup> Meta analysis p-values are absent if the interaction did not pass the QC filtering in either replication dataset

Table S2: **Estimation of additive and non-additive variance components from pedigree information** Taken from previous analysis in Powell et al 2013<sup>21</sup>

Gene	Probe	Additive		Non-additive	
		Variance	s.e.	Variance	s.e.
NAPRT1	ILMN_1710752	0.37	0.03	0.14	0.05
TMEM149	ILMN_1786426	0.41	0.04	0.09	0.04
MBNL1	ILMN_2313158	0.18	0.03	0.11	0.04
TRAPPC5	ILMN_2372639	0.32	0.04	0.13	0.05
CAST	ILMN_1717234	0.31	0.03	0.10	0.04

Table S3: Concordance of sign of epistatic variance components between discovery and replication datasets

<u>Test</u>	<u>Interactions<sup>a</sup></u>	<u>Dataset</u>	<u><math>n^b</math></u>	<u>Expected<sup>c</sup></u>	<u>Observed<sup>d</sup></u>	<u><math>p</math>-value</u>
<u>1<sup>e</sup></u>	<u>All</u>	<u>EGCUT</u>	<u>434</u>	<u>217.00</u>	<u>306</u>	<u><math>6.69 \times 10^{-18}</math></u>
		<u>Fehrman</u>	<u>434</u>	<u>217.00</u>	<u>278</u>	<u><math>5.04 \times 10^{-9}</math></u>
		<u>Both</u>	<u>434</u>	<u>108.50</u>	<u>221</u>	<u><math>5.56 \times 10^{-31}</math></u>
	<u>Significant</u>	<u>EGCUT</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>15.00</u>	<u>25</u>	<u><math>3.25 \times 10^{-4}</math></u>
		<u>Fehrman</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>15.00</u>	<u>24</u>	<u><math>1.43 \times 10^{-3}</math></u>
		<u>Both</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>7.50</u>	<u>22</u>	<u><math>3.76 \times 10^{-8}</math></u>
<u>2<sup>f</sup></u>	<u>All</u>	<u>EGCUT</u>	<u>434</u>	<u>54.25</u>	<u>92</u>	<u><math>4.22 \times 10^{-7}</math></u>
		<u>Fehrman</u>	<u>434</u>	<u>54.25</u>	<u>79</u>	<u><math>6.18 \times 10^{-4}</math></u>
		<u>Both</u>	<u>434</u>	<u>6.78</u>	<u>30</u>	<u><math>2.55 \times 10^{-11}</math></u>
	<u>Significant</u>	<u>EGCUT</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>3.75</u>	<u>19</u>	<u><math>9.46 \times 10^{-11}</math></u>
		<u>Fehrman</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>3.75</u>	<u>19</u>	<u><math>9.46 \times 10^{-11}</math></u>
		<u>Both</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>0.47</u>	<u>18</u>	<u><math>2.23 \times 10^{-25}</math></u>
<u>3<sup>g</sup></u>	<u>All</u>	<u>EGCUT</u>	<u>1133</u>	<u>566.50</u>	<u>775</u>	<u><math>7.10 \times 10^{-36}</math></u>
		<u>Fehrman</u>	<u>1133</u>	<u>566.50</u>	<u>726</u>	<u><math>1.90 \times 10^{-21}</math></u>
		<u>Both</u>	<u>1133</u>	<u>283.25</u>	<u>562</u>	<u><math>1.39 \times 10^{-70}</math></u>
	<u>Significant</u>	<u>EGCUT</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>36.50</u>	<u>55</u>	<u><math>1.69 \times 10^{-5}</math></u>
		<u>Fehrman</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>36.50</u>	<u>55</u>	<u><math>1.69 \times 10^{-5}</math></u>
		<u>Both</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>18.25</u>	<u>46</u>	<u><math>7.86 \times 10^{-12}</math></u>

<sup>a</sup> “All” denotes 434 discovery interactions and “Significant” denotes 30 interactions with significant replication  $p$ -values

<sup>b</sup> Number of tests for concordance

<sup>c</sup> Expected number of concordant cases under the null hypothesis of no interactions

<sup>d</sup> Observed number of concordant cases

<sup>e</sup> The sign of the most significant epistatic variance component in discovery is the same as the corresponding variance component in the replication data.

<sup>f</sup> The largest epistatic variance component in the discovery is the same as in the replication with the same sign in both.

<sup>g</sup> The sign of all epistatic variance components in the discovery with  $p < 0.05$  are the same as the corresponding variance components in the replication data.



Table S4: Concordance of sign of epistatic variance components between discovery and replication datasets using test 4

Interactions <sup>a</sup>	Dataset	$n^b$	0 <sup>c</sup>	1 <sup>c</sup>	2 <sup>c</sup>	3 <sup>c</sup>	4 <sup>c</sup>	$p$
Expected <sup>d</sup>	-	-	0.06	0.25	0.38	0.25	0.06	-
All	EGCUT	434	0.06	0.22	0.41	0.23	0.08	0.194
All	Fehrman	434	0.07	0.22	0.39	0.24	0.08	0.385
All	Combined	868	0.07	0.22	0.40	0.23	0.08	0.0448
Significant	EGCUT	30	0.07	0.03	0.30	0.33	0.27	$4.72 \times 10^{-4}$
Significant	Fehrman	30	0.03	0.07	0.33	0.27	0.30	$6.69 \times 10^{-4}$
Significant	Combined	60	0.05	0.05	0.32	0.30	0.28	$5.49 \times 10^{-8}$

<sup>a</sup> “All” denotes 434 discovery interactions and “Significant” denotes 30 interactions with significant replication  $p$ -values.

<sup>b</sup> Number of tests for concordance.

<sup>c</sup> Proportion of tests that have 0, 1, 2, 3 or 4 concordant signs between discovery and replication.

<sup>d</sup> Expected proportion of concordant signs under the null hypothesis of no epistasis.

Table S5: Details on linkage disequilibrium and relative positions of all discovery *cis-cis* interactions

Chr	Gene	SNP 1	SNP 2	Position 1	Position 2	Distance / Mb	$R^2$	$D'$
19	TMEM149	rs807491	rs7254601	36268923	36147315	0.122	0.000	0.001
17	FN3KRP	rs898095	rs9892064	80890638	80827903	0.063	0.063	0.088
21	CSTB	rs9979356	rs3761385	45230974	45198355	0.033	0.041	0.066
3	MBNL1	rs16864367	rs13079208	152234166	152116652	0.118	0.041	0.117
10	ADK	rs2395095	rs10824092	76446305	75929517	0.517	0.013	0.020
11	CTSC	rs7930237	rs556895	88117962	88077479	0.040	0.012	0.045
17	GAA	rs11150847	rs12602462	78153130	78146016	0.007	0.000	0.001
8	NAPRT1	rs2123758	rs3889129	144663661	144613680	0.050	0.053	0.060
1	LAX1	rs1891432	rs10900520	203877662	203780591	0.097	0.065	0.106
18	MBP	rs8092433	rs4890876	74747424	74732087	0.015	0.035	0.053
11	SNORD14A	rs2634462	rs6486334	17339127	17015557	0.324	0.008	0.012
21	C21ORF57	rs9978658	rs11701361	48027084	47764477	0.263	0.032	0.065
16	RPL13	rs352935	rs2965817	89648580	89513234	0.135	0.054	0.060
19	ATP13A1	rs4284750	rs873870	19810050	19738554	0.071	0.008	0.015
2	NCL	rs7563453	rs4973397	232301670	232291471	0.010	0.027	0.029
5	HNRPH1	rs6894268	rs4700810	179032488	178991794	0.041	0.000	0.001
19	VASP	rs1264226	rs2276470	46063167	45974668	0.088	0.018	0.022
7	TRA2A	rs7776572	rs11770192	23528927	23498358	0.031	0.064	0.064
21	PRMT2	rs2839372	rs11701058	48063862	47776382	0.287	0.100	0.122
12	OAS1	rs13311	rs2072133	113448652	113409260	0.039	0.002	0.016
16	N4BP1	rs12444224	rs11649236	87580855	48632478	38.948	0.007	0.021
5	CAST	rs12719343	rs7733671	125369113	96000269	29.369	0.001	0.001
7	DNAJB6	rs2286842	rs3779589	157216093	157163614	0.052	0.005	0.006
1	OVGP1	rs10802822	rs1264898	240132968	111992823	128.140	0.008	0.030
20	CD93	rs2868504	rs1884655	37771578	23074375	14.697	0.000	0.002
11	PHCA	rs493642	rs10736812	123097386	76708086	46.389	0.002	0.008
21	MX1	rs459498	rs8130120	42795027	29363604	13.431	0.000	0.000
16	AKTIP	rs2896940	rs13332406	57721127	53489705	4.231	0.000	0.001
17	CDK5R1	rs9905940	rs11655031	46614102	30833162	15.781	0.000	0.000
2	CYBRD1	rs888427	rs7591849	172368120	160112881	12.255	0.000	0.000
8	HMBX1	rs587639	rs7837237	132725731	28876221	103.850	0.001	0.001
11	TRAPPC4	rs1793823	rs3916581	131018917	118887887	12.131	0.001	0.002
12	PEX5	rs10444467	rs4329748	128052636	7364442	120.688	0.000	0.000
12	FLJ20489	rs17615703	rs3782908	117036766	48169526	68.867	0.001	0.002
16	PRKCB1	rs2188355	rs10492793	23867776	12639800	11.228	0.000	0.000
14	MRPL52	rs1950857	rs3811188	26710271	23299135	3.411	0.002	0.004
17	C17ORF60	rs9907897	rs7405659	63502633	59874129	3.629	0.004	0.011
6	FLJ43093	rs6906101	rs13214069	36667610	32705248	3.962	0.000	0.000
19	TRAPPC5	rs17159840	rs17763599	7758194	2369415	5.389	0.000	0.000
22	PISD	rs715572	rs6518754	33234931	32097775	1.137	0.001	0.003
12	DIP2B	rs871257	rs12427378	117994348	51074199	66.920	0.001	0.001
12	GPR162	rs2272500	rs2707210	79685913	6902002	72.784	0.003	0.005
17	USP36	rs2279308	rs7225546	76794981	75151717	1.643	0.000	0.000