Algorithmic Strategies 2024/25 Week 5 – Dynamic Programming



Universidade de Coimbra

Outline

- 1. Introduction
- 2. Coin Changing
- 3. Subset sum
- 4. Knapsack

Problems for Dynamic Programming

- Coin changing: What is the minimum number of coins to make a change for C with n coin denominations? (assume infinite coins for each denomination)
- Subset sum problem: Is there a subset of coins that sums to
 C? (assume a finite set of n coins)
- Knapsack problem: I have n objects. Each object has a given weight and value. My knapsack can only carry W Kgs. Which objects should I pick that maximize the value and fit into the knapsack?

What is minimum number of coins for a given change C?

Change 36 Euros with coin denominations 1, 5, 10, 20.

- 1. 36 20 = 16
- 2. 16 10 = 6
- 3. 6 5 = 1
- 4. 1 1 = 0

This is a greedy algorithm but it does not work with an arbitrary coin denominations.

A counter-example for the greedy algorithm:

Change 30 Euros with coin denominations 1, 10, 25.

- 1. 30 25 = 5
- 2.5 1 = 4
- 3. 4 1 = 3
- 4. 3 1 = 2
- 5. 2 1 = 1
- 6. 1 1 = 0

This totals 6 coins, but we could have used 3 coins of 10!

Sub-problem

- Find the change for $C' \leq C$ with minimum number of coins using the first $i \leq n$ coin denominations.

Optimal substructure

- If the optimal solution for the problem above contains a coin with denomination i, then by removing it, we have an optimal solution for the change without that coin. (We prove this in the following.)
- 2. If the optimal solution for the problem above does not contain a coin with denomination i, then we have an optimal solution for the same change for the first i-1 denominations.

- 1. Let S be the set with the minimum number of coins to change C', taken from the first i denominations, and using a coin with denomination d_i .
- 2. Then, S without that coin is optimal for $C' d_i$.

Sketch of the proof (by contradiction)

- (negate 2.) Assume that you can find a change for $C' d_i$ with less coins using the first i denominations.
- (contradict 1.) Then, it is also possible to change C' with less coins by adding a coin with denomination d_i .

Recursive approach

For denomination d_i :

- 1. Use denomination d_i and make the change for $C' d_i$ with the denominations available (including d_i) or
- 2. Do not use denomination d_i , and make the change for C' with the remaining denominations.
- 3. Choose the minimum of the two.

A first recursive solution:

```
Function change(i, C) if C > 0 and i = 0 then return \infty {1st base case - change > 0 and} return \infty {no more denominations} if C = 0 then return 0 if d_i > C then return change(i-1, C) don't take denom. d_i else return min(change(i-1, C), 1 + change(i, C - d_i)) take denom. d_i
```

The number of recursive calls is exponential. Can we do memoizing?

A top-down dynamic programming solution:

```
Function change(i, C)
  if C > 0 and i = 0 then
                                          {1st base case - change > 0 and}
                                                  {no more denominations}
     return ∞
  if C=0 then
                                              {2nd base case - change is 0}
     return ()
  if T[i, C] > 0 then
     return T[i, C]
  if d_i > C then
     T[i, C] = change(i - 1, C)
  else
     T[i, C] = \min(change(i - 1, C), 1 + change(i, C - d_i))
  return T[i, C]
```

Table T stores the minimum number of coins for the first i denominations and each change C

Example:

Change 12 Euros with coin denominations 1, 6, 10.

С	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
T[0,C]	0	∞											
T[1,C]	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
T[2,C]	0	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	5	6	2
T[3, C]	0	1	2	3	4	5	1	2	3	4	1	2	2

Can we do bottom-up DP? What are the base cases?

Can we order the computations?

Bottom-up dynamic programming:

```
Function change(n, C)
  for i = 0 to n do
     T[i, 0] = 0
  for j = 1 to C do
     T[0,j]=\infty
  for i = 1 to n do
     for j = 1 to C do
        if d_i > i then
           T[i, j] = T[i-1, j]
        else
           T[i, j] = \min(T[i-1, j], 1 + T[i, j-d_i])
  return T[n, C]
```

The time complexity is O(nC), which is *pseudo-polynomial*.

Subset Sum

- Suppose you want to know if there exists a subset S of a set of n coins that makes the change for C (decision problem).
- This is know as the Subset Sum problem and it sounds similar to Coin Changing.

Sub-problem

- Find whether it is possible to have a change for $C' \leq C$ using the first $i \leq n$ coins.
- Let S be a subset of coins, taken from the first i coins, that make change for C'.

Recursion

- Choose the *i*-th coin:
 - 1. Either use it and solve sub-problem for $C d_i$ with the remaining i 1 coins, or
 - 2. Do not use it and solve sub-problem for C with the remaining i-1 coins

A simple recursive solution:

```
Function subset(i, C)

if i=0 and C\neq 0 then
return false

if C=0 then
return true
if d_i>C then
return subset(i-1, C)
don't take the i-th coin

[Associated associated assoc
```

It is an exponential approach. Can we do memoizing?

A top-down dynamic programming:

```
Function subset(i, C)
  if i = 0 and C \neq 0 then
                                        {1st base case - no more coins and}
     return false
                                                           {change is not 0}
  if C = 0 then
                                              {2nd base case - change is 0}
     return true
  if T[i, C] is not empty then
     return T[i, C]
  if d_i > C then
     T[i, C] = subset(i - 1, C)
  else
     T[i, C] = subset(i-1, C) \lor subset(i-1, C-d_i)
  return T[i, C]
```

Table T stores whether there is change or not with the first *i* coins.

Example:

$$\mathsf{Coins} = \{2, 6, 10\} \text{ and } \mathit{C} = 12.$$

С	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
<i>T</i> [0, <i>C</i>]	Т	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
T[1, C]	Т	F	Т	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F	F
<i>T</i> [2, <i>C</i>]	Т	F	Т	F	F	F	Т	F	Т	F	F	F	F
T[0, C] $T[1, C]$ $T[2, C]$ $T[3, C]$	Т	F	Т	F	F	F	Т	F	Т	F	T	F	Т

Can we do bottom-up DP? What are the base cases?

Can we order the computation?

Bottom-up dynamic programming:

```
Function subset(n, C)
  for i = 0 to n do
                                                             {1st base case}
     T[i,0] = true
  for i = 1 to C do
                                                            {2nd base case}
     T[0, j] = false
  for i = 1 to n do
     for i = 1 to C do
       if d_i > i then
           T[i,j] = T[i-1,j]
       else
           T[i, j] = T[i-1, j] \vee T[i-1, j-d_i]
  return T[n, C]
```

Also pseudo-polynomial since its time complexity is O(nC).

Knapsack problem

- Knapsack problem: I have n objects. Each object i has a given weight w_i and value v_i. My knapsack can only carry W Kgs (capacity constraint). Which objects should I pick that maximize the value and fit into the knapsack?
- Does it also have optimal substructure?

Sub-problem

- Find the objects taken the first $i \le n$ objects that maximize the value and satisfy the contraint $W' \le W$.
- Let S be the optimal set of objects, taken from the first i objects, with total value v and total weight $w \leq W'$.

Optimal substructure

- If S contains the i-th object, then by removing it, we have an optimal solution with objects taken from the first i-1 objects that satisfies the constraint without the weight of that object. (we prove this in the following).
- If S does not contain the i-th object, then we have an optimal solution with objects taken from the first i-1 objects that satisfies constraint W'.

- 1. Let S be the optimal set of objects, taken from the first i objects, with total value v and total weight $w \leq W'$, and using the i-th object.
- 2. Then, S without that object, with total value $v v_i$ and total weight $w w_i$, is optimal for the first i 1 objects and satisfies constraint $W' w_i$.

Sketch of the proof (by contradiction)

- (negate 2.) Assume that there exists another set of objects, taken from the first i-1 objects, with total value $v^* > v v_i$ and total weight $w^* \leq W' w_i$.
- (contradict 1.) Then, it also exists a set using the *i*-th object with total value $v^* + v_i > v$ and weight $w^* + w_i \leq W'$.

Recursive solution: Given the i-th object:

- 1. Either use it and solve sub-problem for $W w_i$ with the remaining i 1 objects, or
- 2. Do not use it and solve sub-problem for ${\it W}$ with the remaining $\it i-1$ objects
- 3. Choose the maximum value of the two.

A simple recursive solution:

```
Function knapsack(i, W)

if i = 0 then {base case - no more objects}

return 0

if w_i > W then

return knapsack(i-1, W)

don't take the i-th object

else

return max(knapsack(i-1, W), v_i + knapsack(i-1, W-w_i))

don't take the i-th object
```

It is an exponential approach. Can we do memoizing?

Top-down dynamic programming:

```
Function knapsack(i, W)

if i = 0 then {base case - no more objects}

return 0

if T[i, W] \geq 0 then

return T[i, W]

if w_i > W then

T[i, W] = knapsack(i - 1, W)

else

T[i, W] = \max(knapsack(i - 1, W), v_i + knapsack(i - 1, W - w_i))

return T[i, W]
```

Table T stores the optimal value for the first i objects and constraint W.

Bottom-up Dynamic Programming:

```
Function knapsack(n, W)
  for j = 1 to W do
                                                            {1st base case}
     T[0, i] = 0
  for i = 0 to n do
                                                           {2nd base case}
     T[i, 0] = 0
  for i = 1 to n do
     for j = 1 to W do
       if w_i > i then
          T[i,j] = T[i-1,j]
       else
          T[i, j] = \max(T[i-1, j], v_i + T[i-1, j-w_i])
  return T[n, W]
```

Also pseudo-polynomial since its time complexity is O(nW).