Advanced Algorithm Design and Analysis $\text{Assignment} \ \# \ 1$

Problem 1

Let X(1..n) and Y(1..n) contain two lists of n integers, each sorted in nondecreasing order. Give the best (worst-case complexity) algorithm that you can think for finding

- (a) the largest integer of all 2n combined elements.
- (b) the second largest integer of all 2n combined elements.
- (c) the median (or the nth smallest integer) of all 2n combined elements.

For instance, X = (4, 7, 8, 9, 12) and Y = (1, 2, 5, 9, 10), then median = 7, the nth smallest, in the combined list (1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, 9, 9, 10, 12). [Hint: use the concept similar to binary search]

Solution:

(a)

Algorithm 1 Calculates the largest element of two sorted arrays

- 1: procedure Largest Element (int X[n], int Y[n])
- 2: **return** max(X[n-1], Y[n-1])
- 3: end procedure

(b)

Algorithm 2 Calculate second largest element of two sorted arrays

```
    procedure SECONDLARGEST (int X[n], int Y[n])
    if X[n-1] == Y[n-1] then
    return max(X[n-2], Y[n-2])
    else if X[n-1] < Y[n-1] then</li>
    return max(X[n-1], Y[n-2])
    else
    return max(X[n-1], Y[n-1])
    else
    return max(X[n-2], Y[n-1])
    end if
    end procedure
```

(c)

By looking at the two sorted arrays, we see that the median value will correspond to the nth term in the list of length 2n, and that this portion of the list contains part of X[] and Y[]. Also, note that the last element of any subset of the two sorted lists, starting at index zero, will always correspond to the kth element.

If we look at the two list individually, we find that the elements that lead up to the partition of the kth element are

4	7		8	9	12
1	2	5	9	10	

Let the elements to the left and right of the partition of the first array be l_x and r_x respectively. And similarly, for the second array, l_y and r_y . Notice that for the solution to be valid, ie, for all the elements to the left of the partition to be less than all the elements to the right, requires

$$l_x \le r_y$$
$$l_y \le r_x$$

Only these conditions need to be checked because we are guaranteed to have $l_x \leq r_x$ and $l_y \leq r_y$. Once these conditions are satisfied, the kth element will be $max(l_x, l_y)$ because we know that in the combined array, the largest element to the left of the partition corresponds to the kth element. To find the partition, we apply a binomial search to only one array while checking the conditions against both arrays. We start by taking the midpoint of the first array

$$mid1 = \frac{low + high}{2}$$

and let the remaining mid2 = n - mid1 elements be taken from the second array, where low and high are initially set to 0 and n respectively. If we find that $l_x > r_y$, we cut out the right half of the array by assigning high = mid1 - 1 and if we find that $l_y > r_x$, we remove the left half of the array by assigning low = mid + 1. Since the algorithm utilizes a binomial search, the time complexity will be $\mathcal{O}(\log n)$.

Algorithm 3 Calculate median element of two sorted arrays

```
1: procedure MEDIANELEMENT (int X[n], int Y[n])
       int low = 0
 2:
 3:
       int high = X.length
       while low \leq high do
 4:
          int mid1 = (low + high)/2
 5:
          int mid2 = X.length - mid1
 6:
          lx = X[mid1 - 1]
 7:
          ly = Y[mid2 - 1]
 8:
          rx = X[mid1]
9:
          ry = Y[mid2]
10:
          if lx \le ry && ly \le rx then
11:
             return max(lx, ly)
12:
          else if lx > ry then
13:
             high = mid1-1
14:
          else if ly > rx then
15:
              low = mid1 + 1
16:
          end if
17:
18:
       end while
19: end procedure
```

Problem 2

1-to-2 PARTITION:

Instance: A finite set of positive integers Z = z1, z2, ..., zn.

Question: Is there a subset Z' of Z such that Sum of all numbers in $Z' = 2 \times Sum$ of all numbers in Z-Z'

- (a) Obtain the dynamic programming functional equation to solve the 1-to-2 PARTITION problem.
- (b) Give an algorithm to implement your functional equation.
- (c) Give an example of 5 numbers with a total of 21 as an input instance for 1-to-2 PARTITION problem, and show how your algorithm works on this input instance.
- (d) What is the complexity of your algorithm?

Solution

(a)

For a solution to exist, two conditions must be satisfied:

- The sum of the total number integers $\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i$ must be even. If it is odd, then the set cannot be divided into two equal subsets.
- If \exists a sum $S_1 = \sum_{i=1}^m z_i = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i$, where m < n, then we are guaranteed to have the remaining Z Z' elements equal to $S_2 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^n z_i$. The set can be broken into two equal sums.

Consider the example of $Z = \{1, 3, 5, 11\}$. The fist condition passes with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i = 20$ \checkmark however, the second condition requires us to find a subset whose sum is equal to $S_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i = 10$. The best we can do in this case is $Z_1 = \{1, 3, 5\} \rightarrow S_1 = 9 \neq 10$ and $Z_2 = \{11\} \rightarrow S_2 = 11$ \checkmark . \therefore this Z input will return false.

Now consider $Z = \{3, 1, 1, 2, 2, 1\}$. The first condition passes with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} z_i = 10 \, \checkmark$, and the second condition passes since $Z_1 = \{3, 1, 1\} \to S_1 = 5$ and $Z_2 = \{2, 2, 1\} \to S_2 = 5 \, \checkmark$. \therefore this Z input will return true.

 \Rightarrow

Initial							
$\begin{array}{c} \text{Sum (S)} \rightarrow \\ \text{Z} \downarrow \end{array}$	0	1	2	3	4	5	
	1	0	0	0	0	0	
$z_1 = 3$	1						
$z_2 = 1$	1						
$z_3 = 1$	1						
$z_4 = 2$	1						
$z_5 = 2$	1						
$z_6 = 1$	1						

Final							
0	1	2	3	4	5		
1	0	0	0	0	0		
1	0	0	1	0	0		
1	1	0	1	1	0		
1	1	1	1	1	1		
1	1	1	1	1	1		
1	1	1	1	1	1		
1	1	1	1	1	1		
	0 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 1 0 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 2 1 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 2 3 1 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	0 1 2 3 4 1 0 0 0 0 1 0 0 1 0 1 1 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1		

Starting with the first empty cell of the matrix:

- $1-3 = undefined \rightarrow false$: we cannot form the sum=1 using integer 3
- $2-3 = undefined \rightarrow false$: we cannot form the sum=2 using integer 3
- $3-3=0 \rightarrow \text{true}^1$: we CAN form S=3 using z=3 (populate cell with 1) Note: s(3)=0 and s(0)=1
- $4-3=1 \to \text{false Note: } s(4)=0 \text{ and } s(1)=0$
- $5 3 = 2 \rightarrow \text{false Note: } s(5) = 0 \text{ and } s(2) = 0$

The emerging pattern can be summarized as: if the ith-1 cell is equal to true OR the difference between s and z for that particular row and column is true, then we take true. ie if f(s) = 1||f(s-z)|| = 1. Therefore, our functional equation can be expressed as

$$f_i[s, z_i] = max\{f_{i-1}(s), f_{i-1}(s - z_i)\}$$

If we find the last cell to be true, then it IS possible to form the value $\frac{S}{2}$ with the given assortment of Z.

¹We take a step up to the previous row and traverse to the left until we reach the column value that is equal to the difference between the sum and integer.