\mathbf{WTFPL}

WTFPL is a GPL-compatible [1] permissive license most commonly used as a free software license. As a public domain like license, the WTFPL is essentially the same as dedication to the public domain. [2] It allows redistribution and modification of the work under any terms. The title is an abbreviation of "Do What The Fuck You Want To Public License".

The first version of the WTFPL, released in March 2000, was written by Banlu Kemiyatorn for his own software project. [3] Sam Hocevar, Debian's former project leader, wrote version 2.[4]

Contents Characteristics Terms Version 2 Version 1 Application Reception

Usage Discussion

See also

References

External links

Characteristics

The WTFPL intends to be a permissive, public-domain-like license. The license is not a copyleft license. [5] The license differs from public

domain in that an author can use it even if they do not necessarily have the ability to place their work in the public domain according to their local laws. [6]

The WTFPL does not include a no-warranty disclaimer, unlike other permissive licenses, such as the MIT License. [7] Though the WTFPL is untested in court, the official website offers a disclaimer to be used in software source code. [3]

Do What the Fuck You **Want To Public License**



Terms

Version 2

The text of Version 2, the most current version of the license, written by Sam Hocevar: [4]

```
DO WHAT THE FUCK YOU WANT TO PUBLIC LICENSE

Version 2, December 2004

Copyright (C) 2004 Sam Hocevar <sam@hocevar.net>

Everyone is permitted to copy and distribute verbatim or modified copies of this license document, and changing it is allowed as long as the name is changed.

DO WHAT THE FUCK YOU WANT TO PUBLIC LICENSE TERMS AND CONDITIONS FOR COPYING, DISTRIBUTION AND MODIFICATION

0. You just DO WHAT THE FUCK YOU WANT TO.
```

Version 1

```
do What The Fuck you want to Public License

Version 1.0, March 2000
Copyright (C) 2000 Banlu Kemiyatorn (]d).
136 Nives 7 Jangwattana 14 Laksi Bangkok
Everyone is permitted to copy and distribute verbatim copies
of this license document, but changing it is not allowed.

Ok, the purpose of this license is simple
and you just

DO WHAT THE FUCK YOU WANT TO.
```

Application

To apply the WTFPL to a <u>creative work</u> the author of the work should add a copy of the terms of the license version they wish to use alongside or near the work with which the license is applied. The name of the author named in the license should not be changed unless the name of the license is changed as well—in which case a new version is thereby created.

Reception

Usage

The WTFPL is not in wide use among <u>open-source software</u> projects; according to Black Duck Software, the WTFPL is used by less than one percent of open-source projects. Examples include the <u>OpenStreetMap Potlatch</u> online editor, the <u>video game Liero</u> (version 1.36) and <u>MediaWiki</u> extensions. More than 4,000 <u>Wikimedia Commons</u> files were published under the terms of the WTFPL. 12

Discussion

The license was confirmed as a \underline{GPL} -compatible free software license by the \underline{Free} Software Foundation, but its use is "not recommended". In 2009, the \underline{Open} Source Initiative chose not to approve the license as an \underline{Open} -source license, saying:

It's no different from dedication to the public domain. Author has submitted license approval request — author is free to make public domain dedication. Although he agrees with the recommendation, Mr. Michlmayr notes that public domain doesn't exist in Europe. Recommend: Reject.

The WTFPL version 2 is an accepted $\underline{Copyfree}$ license. $\underline{^{[14]}}$ It is also accepted by \underline{Fedora} as a free license and \underline{GPL} -compatible. $\underline{^{[15]}}$

Some software authors have said that the license is not very serious; [16] forks have tried to address wording ambiguity and liability concerns. OSI founding president Eric S. Raymond interpreted the license as written satire against the restrictions of the GPL and other software licenses; WTFPL version 2 author Sam Hocevar later confirmed that the WTFPL is a parody of the GPL. Free-culture activist Nina Paley said she considered the WTFPL a free license for cultural works.

See also

- Beerware
- CC0
- Unlicense
- License-free software
- Public domain software
- Software using the WTFPL (category)
- Public-domain-equivalent license

References

- 1. "Various Licenses and Comments about Them" (https://www.gnu.org/licenses/license-list.en.ht ml#WTFPL). GNU Operating System. Free Software Foundation. Retrieved 2016-07-10. "This is a lax permissive non-copyleft free software license, compatible with the GNU GPL. [emphasis added]"
- 2. "OSI Board Meeting Minutes, Wednesday, March 4, 2009" (http://www.opensource.org/minutes 20090304). Open Source Initiative. 2009-03-04. Retrieved 2013-04-03. "[...] the following licenses to be discussed and approved/disapproved by the Board. [...] WTFPL Submission: [...] Comments: It's no different from dedication to the public domain. Author has submitted license approval request -- author is free to make public domain dedication. Although he agrees with the recommendation, Mr. Michlmayr notes that public domain doesn't exist in Europe. Recommend: Reject"
- 3. Sam Hocevar (2012-12-27). "Frequently Asked Questions" (http://www.wtfpl.net/faq/). WTFPL Do What the Fuck You Want to Public License. Retrieved 2016-07-19.
- 4. <u>Sam Hocevar</u> (2012-12-26). <u>"WTFPL version 2" (http://www.wtfpl.net/about)</u>. Retrieved 2016-07-19.
- 5. "Various Licenses and Comments about Them" (https://www.gnu.org/licenses/license-list.en.ht ml#WTFPL). GNU Operating System. Free Software Foundation. Retrieved 2016-07-10. "This is a lax permissive non-copyleft free software license, compatible with the GNU GPL. [emphasis added]"
- 6. Kreutzer, Till. "Validity of the Creative Commons Zero 1.0 Universal Public Domain Dedication and its usability for bibliographic metadata from the perspective of German Copyright Law" (https://rd-alliance.org/sites/default/files/cc0-analysis-kreuzer.pdf) (PDF). Büro für informationsrechtliche Expertise.
- 7. "The MIT License" (https://opensource.org/licenses/MIT). Open Source Initiative.

- 8. "Top Open Source Licenses" (https://web.archive.org/web/20160510102832/https://www.black ducksoftware.com/top-open-source-licenses). Black Duck Software. Archived from the original (https://www.blackducksoftware.com/top-open-source-licenses) on 2016-05-10.
- 9. "LICENCE.txt" (https://git.openstreetmap.org/potlatch2.git/blob/HEAD:/LICENCE.txt). *Potlatch 2*. GitHub. December 2004. Retrieved 2012-01-16.
- 10. <u>"license.txt" (http://liero.be/licenses/135/license.txt)</u>. Liero official website. 2013-09-03. Retrieved 2016-07-12. "The original Liero data and binary files are copyright 1998 Joosa Riekkinen
 - They are, unless otherwise stated, available under the WTFPL license: http://sam.zoy.org/wtfpl/"
- 11. "Category:WTFPL licensed extensions" (https://www.mediawiki.org/wiki/Category:WTFPL_licensed_extensions). MediaWikiWiki. 2016. Retrieved 2016-07-19.
- 12. "Category:WTFPL" (https://commons.wikimedia.org/wiki/Category:WTFPL). Wikimedia Commons. 2018. Retrieved 2018-12-17.
- 13. "Licenses Free Software Foundation" (https://www.gnu.org/licenses/license-list.html#WTFPL). Free Software Foundation.
- 14. "Copyfree Licenses" (http://copyfree.org/standard/licenses). Copyfree. The Copyfree Initiative.
- 15. Callaway, Tom (2016-05-17). "Licensing:Main" (https://fedoraproject.org/wiki/Licensing:Main?rd =Licensing). Fedora Project Wiki. Retrieved 2016-07-10.
- 16. Suder, Kuba (2011-01-15). "On Open Source licensing" (https://mackuba.eu/2011/01/15/on-open-source-licensing/). Apples & Rubies (Blog). "There are at least two not very serious licenses which have essentially the same meaning as public domain. I'm talking about the Beerware license and WTFPL ('Do What The Fuck You Want To' license). I really like these because they pretty well represent my opinion about the legalese bullshit that most licenses are so full of."
- 17. theiostream (2012-03-24). "Introducing WTFPL v3" (https://web.archive.org/web/20140705065 219/http://theiostream.tumblr.com/post/19850980363/introducing-wtfpl-v3) (Blog). tumblr. Archived from the original (https://theiostream.tumblr.com/post/19850980363/introducing-wtfpl-v 3) on July 5, 2014. Retrieved 2016-07-19.
- 18. Ben McGinnes (2013-10-01). "Do What The Fuck You Want To But It's Not My Fault Public License v1 (WTFNMFPL-1.0)" (https://tldrlegal.com/license/do-what-the-fuck-you-want-to-but-it's-not-my-fault-public-license-v1-(wtfnmfpl-1.0)). tl;drLegal. Retrieved 2016-07-19. "... with a CYA clause"
- 19. Eric S. Raymond (2010-05-19). "Software licenses as conversation" (http://esr.ibiblio.org/?p=20 00) (Blog). esr.iblio.org. Retrieved 2016-07-19. "It's even clearer that the Do What the Fuck You Want To Public License is a satire. The author is one of those who thinks the Free Software Foundation has traduced the word 'free' by hedging the GNU General Public License about with restrictions and boobytraps in the name of 'freedom' and he's got an issue or two with BSD as well. He is poking fun at both camps, not gently at all. His page about the WTFPL is funny-because-it's-true hilarious, and I admit that I feel a sneaking temptation to start using it myself."
- 20. Sam Hocevar (2015-09-21). "Should I change the name of the WTFPL?" (http://programmers.st ackexchange.com/questions/149050/should-i-change-the-name-of-the-wtfpl#comment617907_161949). Programmers Stack Exchange (User comment). Retrieved 2016-07-19. "The WTFPL is a parody of the GPL, which has a similar copyright header and list of permissions to modify (i.e. none), see for instance gnu.org/licenses/gpl-3.0.en.html. The purpose of the WTFPL wording is to give more freedom than the GPL does."
- 21. Nina Paley (2011-06-24). "How To Free Your Work" (http://questioncopyright.org/how_to_free_your work). QuestionCopyright.org. Retrieved 2016-07-19.

External links

Official website (http://www.wtfpl.net)

Retrieved from "https://en.wikipedia.org/w/index.php?title=WTFPL&oldid=1028756010"

This page was last edited on 15 June 2021, at 20:37 (UTC).

Text is available under the Creative Commons Attribution-ShareAlike License; additional terms may apply. By using this site, you agree to the Terms of Use and Privacy Policy. Wikipedia® is a registered trademark of the Wikimedia Foundation, Inc., a non-profit organization.