


# occult science dictatorship

THE OFFICIAL STATE SCIENCE  
RELIGION AND HOW TO GET  
EXCOMMUNICATED

By  
WILLIAM LYNE



A BOOK ABOUT ALTERNATE SCIENCE  
FREE ENERGY  
UFOs and GOVERNMENT THOUGHT CONTROL

## CONTENTS

INTRODUCTION .....	1
CHAPTER I - UFO Counterintelligence:	
Plugging Leaks in "Official" UFO History .....	17
CHAPTER II - Disappearing Tesla:	
The Burial of Tesla and His discoveries .....	24
Tesla's Extraction of Cosmic Energy.....	31
CHAPTER III - Concealed Energy:	
The Second Lie of Thermodynamics .....	38
The Universe is Winding Down .....	42
Advent of "SMS" .....	48
Isolated Systems and Irreversible Processes Forever?.. ..	50
Disappearing Mass?.....	53
Lyne's Hypothesis... ..	58
CHAPTER IV - Secret Science:	
Thought-Control on the Professional Level.....	64
Epistemology .....	64
Thought-Control of Scientists and Professionals .....	70
CHAPTER V - "Alien Visitors":	
Thought-Control on The Grass Roots Level..... ,	76
CHAPTER VI - The Roswell Hoax:	
A Truth Seeker Goes to Roswell .....	91
CHAPTER VII - Fuzzy UFOlogy:	
Keeping the Dopes Stupid .....	107
The CIA's Omnipresence in UFOlogy .....	108
My Mind and Thought-Control Ordeals.....	111
The CIA's UFOlogy Double-Whammy.....	131
Vascillating Vallee .....	137
Vallee and the Fraud Business.....	142
Vallee and the Secrecy Business..... , ..,	146
CHAPTER VIII - La Revolution Technologist:	
Turning Things Around	
Through Technology .....	155
Bibliography .....	165
Index .....	167
Vita .....	174

in matter and antimatter

# Keep an Eye on These Experiments

Physicists Prove a Watched Atom Never Changes the State of Its Energy

By Thomas H. Maugh II

LOS ANGELES TIMES

Government researchers have for the first time proved a scientific principle that, in the arcane and often world of quantum physics, has been a mystery.

THE NEW MEXICAN

Monday, September 1, 1997

of the Uni-

Theoretically, by the tenets of the Zeno effect, if a nuclear bomb were watched intently enough, it could not explode.

## In brief

Physicists find elusive subatomic particle  
NEW YORK — Physicists have found evidence for an unusual new kind of subatomic particle that may help them plain how the universe

A8 ALBUQUERQUE JOURNAL

## 'Big Bang' Evidence Announced

CONTINUED FROM PAGE A1

It now seems clear that gravitational forces within these massive ripples in space and time were the key driving force, Smoot said, slowly drawing the thin gases into dense clumps that now form all matter.

"It's one of the major discoveries of the century," said physicist Joel Primack of the University of California, Santa Cruz. "In fact, it's one of the major discoveries of science."

The discovery is "unbelievably important," added physicist Michael Turner of the University of Chicago. "The significance of this cannot be overstated. They have found the holy grail of cosmology. ... If it is, indeed, correct, this certainly would have to be considered for a Nobel Prize."

The temperature and size of the structures discovered by Smoot and his colleagues also provide strong confirmation of the theory that as

the most difficult assumption is ago all matter compressed in dense sphere period at the en

Scientists: Universe might end with Big Crunch

The Philadelphia Inquirer  
4/26/97

PHILADELPHIA — Two astrophysicists, offering a new theory for the life and death of the universe, predict the Big Bang will be followed by a Big Crunch.

They predict that in about a trillion years, the universe will end in a fiery cataclysm that will give birth to a new universe.

And it will happen over and over again in infinite cycles, according to this new scenario, which represents the first serious alternative to the standard Big Bang theory to come along in 20 years.

The Big Bang theory holds that the universe had a definite beginning and appears fated to expand forever.

"You don't have to have a beginning," said Paul Steinhardt of Princeton University, who is proposing the new idea along with Neil Turok of Cambridge University. It is presented today in the journal Science.

The notion of a cyclical cosmos is not entirely new. Hindu philosophers centuries ago described a universe that went through cycles of birth, death and rebirth every 8.62 billion years, Steinhardt said. The time scale was a bit different than that proposed by the modern scientists, and Steinhardt and Turok are also proposing some bizarre theories involving a fifth dimension.

giant ripples in the density of matter were created by the cataclysmic explosion that

## Bang-U Discove

Scientists Find Pr Of Universe's Orig

By Thomas H. Maugh II

LOS ANGELES TIMES

For the first time, scientists have observed long-sought relics of the "Big Bang," the primeval explosion that created the universe 15 billion years ago, a historic discovery that provides the strongest support yet for the controversial theory.

These relics, massive wisps of gas

more than 100

2. Stellar communities galaxies and stars formed from the collapse of matter particles. stars performed like nuclear reactors, changing hydrogen and helium into heavier atoms, including carbon, nitrogen and oxygen.

Low abd

# Cosmic Beam Puzzles Los Alamos Physicist

atoms, they could readily determine which state the atoms were in.

The transition from the low to the high energy state takes a discrete amount of time, on the order of 250 milliseconds or one-quarter of a second. If the researchers turn on the radio frequency field and then check the atoms with the laser about 250 milliseconds later,

object distorts the geometry of space and time — like a bowling ball rolling around in a fireman's net. In the intervening decades, scientists have successfully tested aspects of relativity.

► Researchers think they can prove large

B, Captain Kirk have

L.A. elusive, one of the tiers" of relativity. No scientist had found a

Of A its validity.

vo separate teams of

out such e." Nagle different reacts with t collides tends to particles these pinto nega- part- hich are used sen-

nic beam produced s, such as Alamos. But the 10 times : beams rator on

at Her- system way. Its bout the a mass i sun. It second, i fields un hard visi- de of to be able, form ght. To ved

## Massive Project Weeks To Measure Weeks of Matter

as Birch

SUN 11/27/97

mostly pinpricks of we can pass miles of rock and

Kazanas, a thinks these try neutrino foundation 'h of as

issue of ure that detect the particles an-style

clearer black axes. higher that el at have be

the high-energy particles, he said. "And if these (galactic) sources don't make neutrinos, then it won't work anyway."

But, he said, "even if we see nothing, it will still tell us something."

In 1987, scientists inadvertently discovered that supernovae in

One of those instruments is the DUMAND, an array of sensitive light detectors now being installed three miles under the surface of the Pacific Ocean off the island of Hawaii.

The instrument, designed to detect neutrinos that have passed through the Earth's core, uses special tubes that spot tiny flashes of light. Those flashes are muons, short-lived particles created when neutrinos hit rock or water.

Ocean-floor drilling began on DUMAND, which stands for Deep Underwater Muon and Neutrino Detector, in July. It is expected to cost the Department of Energy \$10 million and open for business in late 1993 or 1994.

No one is sure what DUMAND will find.

"It's a real risky project," Kazanas said. "Someone has to go three miles deep in the ocean and put the detectors there. It's never been done before."

There is a chance the instrument won't be sensitive enough to detect the high-energy particles, he said. "And if these (galactic) sources don't make neutrinos, then it won't work anyway."

But, he said, "even if we see nothing, it will still tell us something."

## INTRODUCTION

This book continues where Occult Ether Physics leaves off, and explains how a scientific thought-control dictatorship has been created gradually, by combined coercive-monopolist interests, through a monolithic mass media, a compliant government, and armies of overt and covert government propagandists. These combined forces manufacture opinion and control most thought in our society, making it difficult for innovative individuals to express divergent scientific views without being virtually burned at the stake as "heretics" by quasi-religious scientific thought-control zombies, who have fallen victim to the pressure to believe the science that Big Brother wants them to.

In Occult Ether Physics (1997, 1998), Space Aliens From the Pentagon (1993, 1996), and Pentagon Aliens (1999), I expounded on and documented the most important discovery in science, Nikola Tesla's electrodynamic space propulsion. Tesla and his work were literally buried by Relativism, especially after 1919. I also exposed some paradoxes of Relativism, and have apparently had a significant influence beyond the credit afforded me, both in the UFO and the free-energy fields (which are really the same fields).

The motive for the Occult Science Dictatorship, which first began with the establishment of media-promoted Relativism, was to suppress, ridicule and conceal ether physics and free energy discoveries by the monopolist-owned media giants who obliterated Tesla's name, because he had made so many important free energy discoveries. They also purged the ether physics through which Tesla had realized his electrodynamic space propulsion method. This discovery threatened the "energy-heart" of perpetual security and control by the monopolies. Energy control was the cash cow that the monopolies relied on for continuity. He who threatened to sever the lines of energy dependency, threatened the flow of cash into the pockets of the monopolies, and had to be eliminated.

The Dictatorship promotes a type of sophisticated pseudo-science in areas where its interests are threatened. This diverts the

inquisitive nature of those who believe the lies which suppress alternative science by declaring it "impossible" or "pseudo-science".

With gasoline and fuel prices generally at an all-time high, and California in an artificially-created energy crunch, the oil giants proposed to drill in the pristine Alaskan National Wildlife Refuge. They actually had the gall to attempt to drill in the Yellowstone. Meanwhile, SUV owners are lucky if they get seven miles per gallon. The propaganda I heard on the news in July, 2001 was that it came up in Congress that SUVs "are only getting 24 miles per gallon", but "should be getting 27 miles per gallon", but "manufacturers had refused to allow it." What a laugh. I wonder how much the SUV manufacturers had to pay for that lie.

I have in my files a list of over 200 patents for vapor carburetors, granted between the 1920s and 1940. Many of these carburetors were available to the public until 1940, from such manufacturers as the Holly Carburetor Company. These companies still have them in their files, and probably still possess prototypes and production models of them. So why don't they start manufacturing them again and sell them to us?

The Pogue carburetor was tested in 1935. A V-8 Ford coupe, driven from Winnipeg, Manitoba to Vancouver, B.C. Canada, got 130 miles per gallon. The government grabbed the carburetor and put it on the Sherman Tank, as WW II began. The tanks got 70 miles per gallon. Rommel's Afrika Korps ran out of fuel. After the 8<sup>th</sup> A.F. Ploesti (Romanian) oil refinery raid, the Germans resorted to American "acetylene chemistry" to produce gasoline from coal, but the Panzer Korps eventually ran out of fuel during the war. Hence, the Pogue carburetor became a "national security secret", denied to the public by extraordinary "national security" policies. The pattern of using the government's national security measures to unconstitutionally suppress the natural technological progress of our people has never been broken, at least not yet.

In 2000 it was announced that three Japanese-made "gasoline-electric hybrid" cars would hit the open market in 2001 (they are on the road now). The oil companies made a pre-emptive strike,

fabricating an "energy crisis" in late 2000 to justify jacking up the price of international and domestic fuels. They also manipulated the markets and available energy resources to fabricate the "California energy crisis". This 'crisis' and the artificial increase in the price of Arab crude (the OPEC cartel was an invention of the international importers, not the Arabs, who only do what they are told), were used to excuse the sudden abandonment of the "conservation of our national resources"—a major issue back in 1973—credibly, to support the continued suppression of domestic production in favor of importation of foreign crude, right in the middle of another (artificially-created) "fuel crisis"!

Domestic oil production has been suppressed since WW II in favor of the large—mostly Rockefeller-owned—international oil importers from sources in South America, the Middle East, Indonesia, etc. The oil-importers were able to get our government to limit domestic U.S. oil production, so they could buy cheap foreign oil and sell petroleum products domestically at artificially high prices at the expense of independent domestic producers, who were restricted to limited production. In Texas, in the '60s, the "five-day allowable" meant that domestic independent producers could pump crude oil only five days per month. Meanwhile, the international oil importers—which also owned plenty of domestic mineral deposits—still had their foreign oil coming in every day of every month without restrictions, so only the public, domestic independents and oil workers were harmed by the illegal and unconstitutional denial of income.

It is pertinent to note that, while we ordinary citizens are denied the fuel-saving carburetors, the oil companies ship their crude in giant, long, narrow tankers which exploit a highly efficient nautical principle, using ships with proportionally long hulls to move the crude from foreign locations to the coastal American refineries of the Rockefeller companies with the greatest efficiency. When it came to saving HIS money, John D. Rockefeller really didn't mess around, but he made certain that the U.S. government prohibited us from practicing the same kind of frugality.

In 1967, before the 1973 "fuel crisis", the government began to require special equipment on new automobiles for use in America. One of these devices was the catalytic converter. So what does a catalytic converter do? A catalytic converter contains "activated carbon"—impregnated with a catalyst, such as "platinum black" (platinum oxide) or "palladium black" (palladium oxide)—which converts hydro-carbon gases or liquids to carbon dioxide ( $\text{CO}_2$ ), on contact. Hydro-carbon gases and liquids are fuels, which can be used to run your engine.

$\text{CO}_2$  is a fire extinguisher, especially for hydrocarbon fuel fires. If you put the hydrocarbons in the vapor state, from your gas tank, into a carburetor vacuum intake, it will help to run your engine. If you put  $\text{CO}_2$  into your carburetor vacuum intake, it will partially "extinguish" the combustion in your engine, and rob you of gas mileage. So why does the U.S. government require auto manufacturers to install mandatory catalytic converters on your car, which convert usable, raw hydrocarbon vapor fuel from your gas tank, and CO (carbon monoxide) "blow-by" from your crankcase, into a "fire extinguisher", then put that fire extinguisher into your carburetor to interfere with the combustion in your engine, and rob you of gas mileage? And why did it require the manufacturers to do that in 1967? Because the oil companies wanted it to.

This book is about how powerful economic interests, which also control the government, the mass media and academic institutions, have used scientific thought-control to suppress free-energy technology. The UFO is only the most glaring and remarkable example. If you are intelligent enough to realize that man-made UFOs exist, then you know that "free energy inventions work", because a UFO is a free energy invention.

Because of the corrupt behavior of the mega-corporations, oil companies and our government, we must choose between them and the UFO and other free-energy technology, because they have left us no alternative, and because it is the rational and ethical thing to do. If there is "global warming", the chief culprits are the oil companies and our government. The ideas which I have advocated

for over 30 years will remove this corporate-created red herring when put into effect.

Since it now appears that physics took a "wrong turn" after 1900 and abandoned the path of ether physics, after it had already produced so many of the world's greatest discoveries—the electron, radio, electrodynamic space propulsion, free-energy generators, and the "nuts and bolts" of electrical science as we know it, etc.,—we must now go back and build a new physics on the foundation of an integrated view of reality which includes ether physics.

We must rake government secrecy and misinformation aside, and take a closer look at the reality of that not-so-distant past of a century ago. The activity has already begun, and exciting times are ahead once more in the field of science.

Through the use of creative intuition, logic and reason, a web of "credential-ism" is removed, to reveal a body of scientific orthodoxy, full of contradictions, misrepresentations, false logic, and mysticism. As these are cut away, a soft underbelly of pseudoscience, which has been masquerading as absolute, immutable truth for almost a century is exposed. We must replace this soft underbelly with "abs of steel".

Speaking of the soft underbelly, contrary to what the public is led to believe, Relativism was invented not by Albert Einstein, but by a Croatian Jesuit Priest named R. G. Boscovich (1711-87), the first exponent of Newtonian ideas in Italy, who attempted to account for all physical effects in terms of action at a distance between point particles.<sup>1</sup>

The concept of the luminiferous ether was soon abandoned, in spite of Huygens' development of a brilliant wave hypothesis. A "corpuscular" theory of light—based on Newton's earlier

---

<sup>1</sup>Boscovich, *Theoria Philosophiae Naturalis*, Venice, 1763.

<sup>2</sup>Traite de la lum., p. 17

hypothesis<sup>3</sup>—was adopted almost universally. So the ether theory had been abandoned before in this earlier mid- 18<sup>th</sup> century instance, in favor of a corpuscular theory of light. Ether theory was to be taken up again, then abandoned at the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century, for the same reasons... Relativism and the corpuscular theory of light.

Back in the 18<sup>th</sup> century, Boscovich and his assistant Michell had taught the doctrine of the mutual interpenetration of matter, i.e., that two substances may occupy the same space at the same time, without excluding each other.<sup>4</sup>

In 1782, George Louis Le Sage<sup>5</sup> published a proposal to account for gravitation by means of the ether theory of Renee Descarte, that a cloud of excessively minute particles—"ultra-mundane corpuscles" as he called them—which resembled the neutrinos of modern atomic physics, filled all space. According to Le Sage, the ether moved with great speed in all directions. When two particles or bodies of ponderable matter were near each other, they would partly screen each other from bombardment by this ether, so that less bombardment on their screened sides increased the force on their unscreened sides, pushing them together, appearing to be an attractive force between them, which Le Sage identified as Newton's force of gravity.

In 1889, Sir William Thomson<sup>6</sup> made the assumption that material atoms move through space without displacing the ether, a concept which reflected the Boscovich theory. But by 1896<sup>7</sup>, he had redacted this theory in embarrassment, when he realized how

---

<sup>3</sup>Newton's Memoir, Phil. Trans, vii (1762); Royal Society, 9 Dec. 1676 (Birch iii p. 255)

<sup>4</sup>Priestley's History, i p. 392

<sup>5</sup> Mem. de Berlin for 1782 (Berlin, 1784, p. 404)

<sup>6</sup> Baltimore Lectures, pp. 413-14, 463 and appendices A and E

<sup>7</sup> Letter, Fitzgerald to Heaviside, 8 June 1846

ridiculous it was.

Albert Einstein's wife, a Croatian scientist, was probably responsible for introducing Einstein to the Relativism of Boscovich. This is probably the origin of rumors that Einstein had "stolen" the theory of Relativity from his wife. When Tesla was asked about Relativism, he disdainfully exclaimed, "I have already heard about this from Boscovich." Tesla's well-known objections to Relativism were probably formulated while Einstein was still a boy.

Boscovich and Michell had arrived at their Relativist Theory by reflecting on Baxter's doctrine of "the immateriality of the soul", a religious doctrine appropriate to a church, but not really essential to a scientific laboratory. If the Jesuits had gained control of science more permanently, there would probably be prayer benches in physics labs today. They said 'an atom would have no definite size, but should be conceived as extending throughout all space'; and 'a molecule would consist not of atoms side by side', but of 'spheres of power mutually penetrated, and the centres even coinciding.'<sup>8</sup>

In 1846, Michael Faraday<sup>9</sup> appeared to briefly fall under the influence of Relativism, proposing to 'dismiss the aether', or to replace it by lines of force between centres', with 'the centres and lines of force together constituting the particles of material substance'. By 1851 however<sup>10</sup>, the spell was broken, when Faraday began the modern era of theory which Relativism opposes today. Faraday suggested that if the existence of a luminiferous aether were to be admitted, it might be the vehicle of magnetic force, adding, 'if there be an ether, it should have other uses than simply the conveyance of radiations'. This sentence is regarded as the origin of the electromagnetic theory of light.

The religious nature of Relativism has changed very little since 1763. In the meanwhile, ether theory has prevailed, been rejected

---

<sup>8</sup>Cornu, Comptes Rendus xcii (1881), p. 1368

<sup>9</sup> Faraday, Thoughts on Ray Vibrations, Phil. Mag. (3) xxviii (1846), p. 1045

<sup>10</sup> Cornu, Comptes Rendus, xcix (1884), p. 1045; Exp. Res., § 3075

twice, and is now in resurgence. Both the 1763 attack by Boscovich and the 1905 attack by Einstein resulted in the suppression of ether theory. The present religious dogmatism of Relativism has petrified the field of physics in universities and student laboratories, and has driven the more creative and innovative students away, causing a "brain drain", and attracting the least creative students.<sup>11</sup>

The flaky concepts of 'time travel', 'mutual inter-penetration of matter', 'atoms extending to infinity', 'matter or time dilation or compression', and 'time dragging' or 'frame-dragging', have taken a toll on the minds of the world's students and scientists for long enough, so that the time is now ripe for a change.

In Occult Ether Physics I asserted that a select few government and corporate scientists were privy to 'occult' scientific principles, based on ether physics, which Relativism is used to conceal. This is the "classified science" that the government conceals pursuant to the National Security Act.

Since the advent of government-manipulated UFOlogy, generally recognized as getting seriously under way with the 1947 Roswell Hoax, the theory of Relativity has been used more than anything else to scramble the brains of a clueless public, which has now been dumbed down by over 54 years of this theory, which has also been conspicuously used to conceal man-made UFOs with fabricated "parallel universes" (the Boscovich "mutual inter-penetration" theory), Einsteinian time-travel scenarios, "space-time warps", and all manner of contorted stories and fantasies related to Relativist theory, which have been manufactured by CIA committees of propagandists with Ph.D.s in Relativity theory.

I have watched the growth of the many false theories derived from Relativity since 1946—even before Roswell—but have never been fooled by them. I was there when the people of Roswell and surrounding areas, where the government UFOs were so often observed after WWII, were gradually coerced and intimidated into

---

<sup>11</sup> William Cantrell, Commentary on Maxwell's Equations and Special Relativity Theory, Infinite Energy Magazine, Vol. 7, Issue 38, July/Aug. 2001

shutting up about "secret German aircraft" being developed by our government at nearby bases, and finally accepting the government lies that UFOs are alien spacecraft.

A believer in man-made UFOs, who doesn't happen to share a belief in space aliens as the responsible—or even the partially responsible—parties, is made to feel uncomfortable when among those of a UFOlogy gathering, sort of like an Atheist at a fundamentalist Christian revival meeting, or a microbiologist at a witch-doctor convention.

The pressure to believe—in such things as alien visitations, bogus UFO technology, mental telepathy with aliens, remote viewing, the Relativist religion, the Big Bang, bogus conspiracies, time-travel, abductions by aliens, "other dimensions", "inter-dimensional travel", "secret alien rulers of earth", ghosts, etc.—is not the natural product of truly free or healthy minds. It is the product of controlled minds, whether by thought control—as in the case of government-controlled scientists, professionals, or the public—or by mind control—as in the case of victims of covert, abusive government mind-control programs, who have been chosen and subjected involuntarily as victims to such programs, because they are suggestible people, many of whom already had neurological disorders which rendered them vulnerable in the first place.

As for "aliens", I am not saying that it is impossible for intelligent life to exist elsewhere in the universe. What I am saying is that there is no reliable evidence that such extraterrestrial beings have ever visited earth, even though there are many covert CIA programs, researchers, front-writers and speakers, who are paid to misinterpret, mal-interpret, or re-interpret vague, ambivalent, misunderstood, mysterious, or unexplained things in archaeology, ancient history and manuscripts, languages, cultures, art forms, mythology, religion or poetry; or in the 'exact' sciences, such as meteorology, physics, astronomy or paleontology... all to create false "documentation" for such theories.

These scenarios twist ancient history and archaeology around to make it look like flying saucers/UFOs, seen by millions of rational

people, are the ships of space aliens, who have been "controlling humanity for thousands, even millions, of years", and even promote the lie that aliens have "seeded" human DNA with alien (or 'reptilian-alien') DNA.

The truth of the matter is, we don't know for certain that intelligent alien life forms exist elsewhere in the universe, having no direct knowledge to that effect, nor do we possess any object fabricated by an alien life form. While it may be probable that alien life exists elsewhere, we still do not know, and will probably never know for sure. Probability is not sufficient to base a reasonable belief that aliens are visiting and controlling earth, nor worth changing your life or opinions over.

We know that organic life forms—the only kind we do know of—are what aliens would also have to be, in order to survive in earth's environment, even with special clothing. Aliens would also have to come from a similar environment to earth. The nearest "habitable" planets are so far away, that even if traveling at the speed of light, the trip would take too long, even millions of years in the case of some of the star systems suggested. This gulf can't be traversed by "time travel" or "wormhole" scenarios buttressed by contorted Relativist mathematical equations and "explanations".

It has been estimated for example, that a ship traveling at only one-quarter the speed of light, which collided with a particle the size of a grain of sand, would be disintegrated. Extraterrestrials would have no compelling reason to come to earth, and if they had been coming here for years, they would have made their presence unmistakably known to us, but have not done so. Consequently, there is no reliable evidence or logic to back up such theories.

There is plenty of evidence and logic to back up the fact that the government created these programs to induce incredible delusions as a means of confusing intelligent, inquisitive minds, to conceal the fantastic, yet exclusively man-made electrodynamic space propulsion technology of Nikola Tesla. And these programs don't stop at the TV set. They extend into schools, textbooks, literature, movies, religion, and anywhere else the spooks consider

fertile ground to plant the seeds of these lies.

They have made extensive studies of human psychology, religion, mysticism, mythology, folk-tales, ethnology, and psychiatry, specifically to learn the best ways of making these lies palatable, and to know beforehand just what kinds of people will respond "positively" to these perverse and downright silly lies.

There are many of these allegations, fantasies, and hoaxes, which can be traced directly or indirectly to government sources. The rest appear to be entrained and induced by the continuous government thought-control propaganda, always in such a way as to give the government "plausible deniability".

Whether you are willing to admit it, we are living in a time in which scientific thought is being systematically controlled by a hidden dictatorship, brought about by thought-control over most people in society. This thought-control sometimes takes the form of reticence, rejection of rational explanations, or active promulgation by dupes. The existence of this dictatorship is not obvious to the naive and trusting masses, because it is covertly carried out and enforced through means unsuspected by them, to conceal certain ("occult") scientific knowledge of UFOs and other free-energy technology, as well as to make them more pliable to the government's other Big Lies of a more political nature.

The secret technologies acquired by the government and placed under secrecy orders—under threat of punishment by law of those within the government, even by death without trial, should they dare to reveal these scientific secrets—all belong to the American people, because they paid for them, or belong to the inventors, scientists, or other individuals from whom the government stole or confiscated them at the point of a gun.

Most government secrecy is actually protectionism, for the benefit of private corporations, executed through abuse of the government's exclusive legal right to the use of force, to protect powerful, mega-corporate interests. The government cloaks these illegal actions beneath a veil of "national security", which gives the corporate protectionism a false aura of "the rule of law", patriotism,

and legal force and effect, which equates "corporate security interests" with "national security interests".

Powerful economic interests are conservative in nature, in the sense that a large percent of corporate holdings are tied up in archaic fuels—like oil, natural gas and coal—in archaic technology-like cars, ships, planes and trains—and in other archaic and "conservative" resources. This conservatism "conserves" the resources of old, powerful families, old powerful corporations, old powerful financial institutions, old powerful political traditions, old powerful manufacturing interests, and old vested mineral interests, investments in "conventional" industry and technology, chemical cartels, and other things controlled by the few—threatened by new technology in the hands of the many—particularly that involving energy and transportation.

These conservative interests—usually portrayed as "liberals"—have resisted and fought tooth and nail to prevent free energy technology from taking hold for over a hundred years.

Of all the secrets being protected by the government on behalf of the coercive-monopolists who control it, none is more important than the flying saucer electrodynamic propulsion technology of Nikola Tesla, which is why there is still such a big hullabaloo over it, over 56 years after the U.S. government acquired it from Nazi Germany. This book explains the workings of this dictatorship, and points out why and how we should end it.

I heard one of the people who conspicuously spreads the alien line say, "I have no agenda". Anyone who says they have no agenda is a liar, because everyone has an agenda, unless they are unconscious, and he wasn't unconscious when he said it. So why did he lie? To make his "alien lies" more believable? The big question is, who has an "undisclosed" agenda?

My agenda is out in the open: To encourage people to realize that UFO technology exists; that it is free-energy technology; that it is exclusively man-made technology (not of alien origin), which humans were capable of constructing with existing technology at least ninety years ago; that it is ours because we paid for it; that we

can build our own ships, using relatively simple technology; that there are many other free-energy technologies; that we can stick together and demand the right to use this technology, since the government has no legitimate right to conceal it, or to withhold it from us, or to prevent us from using it; and that the U.S. constitution required them to disclose the technology to us long ago.

Independent American owners of domestic untapped or over-regulated mineral interests have already been the victims of the mega-corporations, and it is probably too late for them to recoup their losses, which may be permanent. These losses have been effected through government limitation of domestic mineral production, ostensibly under conservation measures, under the false premise that there is a relative scarcity of such minerals, and that humans will continue to use these same minerals until they are "all gone", and that that time would come in "only a few years".

But the "conservation" of oil and gas has had an ulterior purpose, unrelated to the "national security interests." Through this knowingly ridiculous scenario, the international mineral corporations have concealed what they have known for many years: That technologies already exist to make their mineral investments virtually worthless, and that it is only a matter of time until intelligent individuals re-discover these secrets, and carry them forth to the public, despite the most fervid and vicious resistance.

Seeing the future inevitabilities, the coercive monopolists used regulations and policies to make certain that they would sell their holdings at a hefty profit before the bottom dropped out, thus leaving independent domestic mineral owners holding the bag, with their minerals mostly still in the ground, in the currently lucrative market. Their complacency as to their rightful share of profits from their minerals was purchased with false assurances that they would realize them in the future, and should have realized that they were being hornswoggled.

It is probably too late for them to sell their minerals at the artificially elevated prices of today, or even at a decent price. The reason for the sudden recent change to "domestic production" (note

I didn't say "independent" domestic production) is because the internationals are rushing to unload their untapped domestic minerals before the other foot drops, and free-energy technology lowers the prices and value of their "archaic mineral resources".

Notice that the "first" places that the "new" president, George II and gang have begun to increase "domestic" petroleum production are areas like the North Slope of Alaska—the minerals of which are owned by "Republican" Robert O. Anderson of Roswell, former president of the Bilderberg Group, creator of ARCO and of the Diamond A Cattle Company, the largest land holding company in North America. To protect regular oil production from oil-shale production, Anderson purchased the rights to every known oil-shale deposit in North America. And, production is now increasing for "offshore" minerals which George Ist's company, Tidewater Oil Company of Houston, owns or specializes in producing. The production "incentives" for this were the artificially-created increase in the price of Arab crude, and the artificially-created California energy crunch. To show how certain the conspirators were that the election was in the bag, these "incentives" were begun even before the election of Nov. 6, 2000.

I believe that the proximate cause of the Sept. 11, 2001 terrorist attack on America was the sudden "switch" to domestic production of petroleum, and that it was ordered by Saudi oligarchs and Middle Eastern oil producers, who will lose untold billions due to the switch. The assault was intended to drive the American economy backward, to prevent the switch.

Soon, free-energy and alternate energy will lower the price and demand for petroleum. The internationals hope to sell as much as they can, then get out of the business—maybe even sucker the independents into buying their interests—while smaller independent domestic mineral owners will be a "day late and a dollar short". Waiting for years, expecting their "boat to come in", it will be disappointing when it turns out to be a fly-infested banana boat, with rotten fruit on board, because it arrived "too late." They will only have themselves, the mega-corporations, and the corrupt

government to blame for this tragic eventuality.

When the automobile came into its own, the same thing probably happened to horse-breeders and wagon-makers, except they weren't international, coercive-monopolists who could control the government and educational institutions to prevent automobile technology from being known, understood, developed and used by the public, like UFO technology has been.

The independent mineral owners don't see the hand writing on the wall, because they are blinded by the Relativist baloney about "shrinking energy resources", a sham which suppresses their prosperity in the "national security interest", so the mega-corporate oil importers can take all the gravy, and consumers pay through the nose.

What a bunch of suckers. Domestic independent oil producers defrauded by international oil companies. They have quietly rejoiced in the high fuel prices in gleeful delusion, in anticipation of being the eventual beneficiaries of continually-rising prices. But this "deferred gratification" is without guarantees that they will ever be compensated for their losses.

The "hard sell" on conservation should have sent up a warning flag as to the fraud. After all, did they really think the consumers give a damn about conservation, at the cost of exorbitant prices?

I am not bringing this up to try to recruit the independent domestic producers into the free-energy revolution, although they certainly are welcome. I just want to explain how the Occult Thought-Control Dictatorship operates. It won't do the independents any good to fight us. We aren't to blame, though they would be better off supporting the revolution, which could usher them into a technical arena which might familiarize them with rational alternatives for developing their mineral interests in a profitable way in the future. There are always plastics, lubricants, hydrogen conversion, petrochemicals, and other technologies.

The people who peddled the wisdom of "conserving our domestic minerals" in the "national security interest" back in 1973, are the very same people who have sold us on drilling in the pristine

National Alaskan Wildlife Preserve. This should tell the idiots out there that groups like the "Trust For Public Lands" are temporary, tax-free land holding operations of these same people. Louder! I can't hear you! Does anyone hear them screaming about the Alaskan drilling? With all their money and influence, if they did scream, it wasn't loud enough for me to hear them, and I've been reading the papers almost every day.

The problem with holding large tracts of land—something apparently learned by the Diamond A Cattle Company—is the requirement for private owners to pay taxes on that land.

Enter the "public trust" huxters: A way to sucker the public, by bribing "lawmakers" to pass some "private conservation" laws—so that large, private land holders can enjoy their land tax-free, unlike the ordinary poor slob American—and even fool the dupes who work for them into thinking they are do-gooders, when in reality, they are duping useful idiots into helping them pull off another publically-subsidized fraud. Whenever it becomes expedient for them to cash in on their massive land holdings, they will suddenly take off their "sheep's clothing", and show themselves to be "wolves" (no insult to the wolves intended).

Anyone who says free-energy inventions will not work is either ignorant, a victim of government thought-control, or a liar with a vested interest to protect. Flying saucers/UFOs—seen by millions of people since the '30s—are graphic proof that they are wrong, and proof that some free-energy inventions work, and have been suppressed for over a century, as my research shows.

I predict that those who have held onto the Relativist Theory for so long will be sorely disappointed in the near future by the triumph of free-energy solutions, which will discredit the theory along with the associated Second Law of Thermodynamics and entropy theory.

These triumphs will be accompanied by the re-discovery or liberation of free-energy secrets which have been concealed by the corporate-monopolist state for at least sixty years. We must not let the terrorist assault of 2001 halt our revolution!

## CHAPTER I - UFO COUNTERINTELLIGENCE: Plugging Leaks in "Official" UFO History

The public's eventual apprehension of UFO electrodynamic technology and other free-energy discoveries—whenever these occur—will bring about a political, social and economic revolution in favor of greater individual sovereignty, freedom, and creativity. The electrodynamic technology (not to be confused with something shown in traditional science texts under "electrodynamics") has the potential to reduce environmental problems to a minimum, if not to eliminate them entirely.

Those seeking to create a one-world fascist globalism for almost a century, fearing the technology will destroy their sick dream, "nipped this energy revolution in the bud", as soon as its potential became apparent at the beginning of the 20<sup>th</sup> century.

In retrospect, it is an odd circumstance: "Advanced" electrodynamic technology was actually discovered before "archaic" aerodynamic technology was.

Since I am a "senior citizen", one would expect me to be engrossed in the archaic concepts of jet-powered UFOs ("aerodynes") or jet aircraft, while younger men pushed the envelope, spreading the "advanced" ideas of electrodynamic technology, which has always been the means of propulsion of true UFOs. Instead, that burden has fallen on me, while mostly younger gullible dupes gleefully blabber the CIA's misinformation for them. For example, in 1999 I learned that a younger English writer seemed to be saying the same things I do, until I looked beyond his claims. He copied my ad campaign and claims, but what followed was an account of archaic, aerodynamic German technology as a lame explanation for electrodynamic UFO technology. Up to his claims, he was a "mini-me"...exactly 1/8th my size, ethically, metaphysically, and creatively, and there the scaled-down similarities ceased, for he delivers nothing except misinformation. I have generated an anachronism: a pseudo-conservative British "young fogey".

While one would expect a younger man to be digging deeper, researching Tesla's "truly advanced" technology of 1894, he was extolling the virtues of more archaic WW II German "aerodyne" technology of the 1940s, which is limited to jet-powered aircraft. Whether he was actually employed by the spooks, or was unaware of their involvement, is immaterial to the fact that the publisher's interest in his redundant book was supported by the establishment. He calls his book "UFO Disclosures" (not the real title), an oxymoronic title, since all the "FOs" ("flying objects") he refers to ceased to be "Ued" (unidentified) after they were declassified and published in Janes' Fighting Aircraft of World War Two, in the post-war 1940s. So where's the "UFOs" or the "Disclosures"? A little late, wouldn't you say?

Just as jet power is sometimes used today to conceal U.S. government UFOs, the jet and rocket-propelled aerodynes of the Third Reich were used to conceal Tesla-conceived Nazi electrodynes, in a cycle of anachronistic dualism—archaic, jet-propelled, aerodynamic technology, used to conceal older, more advanced electrodynamic technology. None of the aerodynamic technology has any relevance to true UFOs and represents an attempt to connect the remarkable performance of electrodynamic German UFOs to the "conventional, aerodynamic, German jet-propulsion" of 1945.

So, instead of calling his book "UFO Disclosures", I have renamed it "UFO Counter-Revolution", because it is counter-revolutionary propaganda designed to thwart the natural revolution which should result as the world realizes the concealed truth.

The book is an example of propaganda created by American and British intelligence agencies to stem the tide of truth presently flooding into the public's awareness, as I attempt to "de-program" the public's thought-control codes.

As an older revolutionary, I see the Brit as a "young fogey", counter-revolutionary type that the spook agencies were bound to manufacture as a "last ditch" defense against my expose of illicit British-American UFO secrecy and fraud. Their likely opinion, on seeing my book in Britain on a limited scale, was that "Those

American intelligence agencies aren't doing their job, so we'll have to do it for them." The British distribution of my books stopped, and the distributor was the least likely you would expect to fold under government pressure.

The Brit's job was to debunk all but the aerodyne technology of the Third Reich (none of which was ever used), as a "last-ditch" defense which I predicted in my 1996 second edition of Space Aliens From the Pentagon. This approach sought to peddle the myth that all man-made UFOs are aerodynamic advancements based on Nazi jet designs. This admits the existence of UFOs, but says they are all aerodynes. Either the Brit never saw a UFO or he was lying, because once you've seen one perform, you'll never confuse it with a jet-powered craft. If he were that stupid, he wouldn't have been able to write a book.

Most UFOlogists appear nuts by proposing outlandish "alien technology", while the Brit appears nuts by peddling archaic technology unrelated to UFOs. Instead of promoting the "alien" party line, he imitates my ads, but supports the conservative party line of conventional German aerodynamic jet technology that the oil companies love. If those experimental aerodynamic saucers actually worked, they would get much fewer miles per gallon than conventional jet aircraft, since they can't glide, and would also create more drag and friction at supersonic speeds due to increased surface area. This is a problem that electrodynamic UFOs don't have, since the increased surface area helps the electrodynamics work better.

The Brit poses as avant garde, propagating conservative, old ideas. Instead of studying electrodynamic technology, which allows a craft to dart and zip quicker than a hummingbird, and exceed the equivalent of Mach 30 ("equivalent" because they are "inertia-free"), he extols huffing and blowing by jet engines, blasting the ships around like tired old buzzards with ragged wings. The Canadian "AVRO" aerodyne project served the same misinformational purposes in the '50s and '60s and was run by ex-Nazis, continuing the Third Reich's misinformational program for the Canadian and American governments. The dysfunctional aerodynes were

declassified after the war, and the AVRO program succeeded in finally DIS-proving the several inadequate aerodyne saucer concepts.

The British don't seem to understand our radical American ways, which tend to make their "spookery" comparatively fuddy-duddy. Nonetheless, I overestimated the public's capacity to see through corny lies in the past, and shouldn't be surprised if many are duped by them in the future. P.T. Barnum really knew his people.

The electrodyne technology was concealed by aerodyne technology, by the spooks, since they didn't want anyone tracing it to the discoveries of Nikola Tesla, whom they thought they had successfully buried forever, until I resurrected him. This suggests that those who obliterated Tesla's name and discoveries from the public consciousness in 1943 included the agents of Nazi Germany. I documented Tesla's unwitting connection to the Nazis in *Pentagon Aliens*,

I was fraudulently induced to include some misinformation in my 1993 first edition of *Space Aliens From the Pentagon*, My 1996 second edition redacted it. The alleged "ships"—actually non-flying models of Felix Schauberger—were said to be electrodynamic, when in fact they were designed to use aerodynamic principles, but never flew to my knowledge, and probably wouldn't have been able to get off the ground.

After discovering that the photos of the alleged "ships" actually depicted copper models of two of Schauberger's prospective designs, claimed to fly by means of internal fans intended to suck air in the top and to blow it out the bottom, allegedly powered by a Schauberger "water vortex" turbine, I felt the jackass ears growing on my head. Since the models resembled air conditioner vents, I dubbed them "flying air conditioner vents".

I was enraged after being burned by this misinformation, but blamed myself for not exploring the allegations and documentation more carefully. The person responsible for it has admitted to me that he created these impressions to sell books, without really understanding the technology. His books were based partially on the "declassified" false aerodyne technology released in Germany by the

CIA for obvious reasons. I give him due credit for his honesty. He said he made plenty of money from those books, so much that he doesn't care to sell any more. I vowed never to be duped like that again.

There are basically three groups who propagate the alleged German aerodyne stories: (1) The Naziphiles, motivated by a desire to establish that the technology was a German "Aryan" discovery (but these Bubbas apparently have never seen a UFO in action, and are too "untechnical" to realize that electrodynamics is involved); (2) the CIA misinformationists, who use the inept declassified aerodyne technology the same way the Nazi elite did, to conceal the real, electrodynamic Tesla technology; and (3) the dupes who believe that flying saucer technology in fact had an exclusively German origin, unaware that "real" UFOs use exclusively electrodynes invented by Tesla. (There are some who actually believe that the Schauberger "flying air conditioner vent" was a "gift" to the Nazis from "aliens", tantamount to saying that the flush toilet is a "gift of the gods.")

A fourth group, which studies WW II-era Nazi UFO technology and secret weapons of the Third Reich, had realized that the aerodyne stuff was not the correct story. This group went wherever the evidence led it, bringing them to my work. I had firm documentation of things they had never heard of. The group had all the best research available from "conventional" sources, and some from extraordinary sources, yet could not explain the electrodynes mentioned in reliable reports which originated in wartime Germany.

After the war, the CIA controlled all information available from "conventional" sources, leaked out declassified aerodyne technology for misinformational purposes, and successfully prevented the leakage of classified electrodynamic technology. The information from unusual (non-CIA) sources—mostly ex-Nazi Germans in South America—was misunderstood by them.

My evidence on the electrodynes showed they were older than the aerodynes, while existence of the electrodynes isn't even admitted in conventional research. The German research group meanwhile unearthed documentation which corroborated some of my unusual

information, and published it in their papers. The symbiosis between this group and me soon attracted the attention of the spooks.

My first edition of Space Aliens From the Pentagon was literally hacked out of raw paper and inked by me, myself and I, as a "samisdat", while I was still in the horrible throes of judicial abuse, engineered by CIA mind-control rats. My nervous system was still in upheaval, with my sweat and blood splattered all over those books, with my anger boiling over onto the pages.

I'm not impressed by another redundant blow-by-blow account of the WW II aerodynamic developments of the Third Reich, including jet-propulsion technology, used now as disinformation. It has no relevance except as misinformation. Does anyone seriously believe that the REAL electrodynamic German flying disc technology would be published in Janes', at a time when they wouldn't even publish the statistics of many propeller-driven planes?

I lost interest in the aerodyne stuff in 1953 after witnessing the performance of a real, electrodynamic UFO, and realizing that the aerodyne technology was irrelevant and obsolete even before it was invented and developed.

The Nazi aerodyne projects were mostly smoke and mirrors, created as counterintelligence buffers to conceal the electrodyne technology. The CIA picked up where the Gestapo left off. Because of the inadequate explanations, Henry Stevens, of the German Research Project, Gorman, California, had begun to "look around the edges" of those buffers, and found me. That opened up a whole new set of possibilities which were supposed to remain hidden.

In 1999 the Brit launched an Internet attack on Stevens, with an oblique attack on me, intended to break the linkage between Stevens and me. Steven lives in an isolated location, with no way of knowing of, or having an opportunity to respond to, the Brit's viper-tongued attack, since he was unconnected to the Internet. He was considered an easy target in the cowardly attack by the Brit.

The Brit's attack was intended to drive a wedge between my small contingent and Stevens' potential supporting group, a point of weakness in the linkage. Stevens responsibly attempts to research and

terret out the German UFO history, while my faithful readers and I believe in a purely Tesla electrodynamic technology, which fell into German hands in the 1930s.

I learned about the Brit's attack when my name came up, only because I was E-mailed by researcher and writer Remy Chevalier, with whom I had communicated on occasion. The Brit's attack on Stevens was a "divide-and-conquer" strategy, designed to take pot-shots at me behind my back and split Stevens off, since he had cited my work in his, and had even unearthed some material corroborating my work. Since I had not cited Steven's work until 1996, I knew the Brit had my second edition, but the Brit lied to conceal that.

Once I was brought into the discussion by Chevalier, I acted as an intermediary between Stevens and the Internet discussion group, and successfully exposed the Brit's undisclosed agenda, supported by Stevens' responses that he faxed to me.

The attack walked on thin ice, since it risked giving us greater exposure. Neither Stevens nor I were expected to respond or to know of the attack. The Brit focused on the error I had redacted four years earlier, in correspondence and radio interviews, and three years earlier in my 1996 second edition, which the Brit possessed. I have found such dishonesty to be typical of misinformationists.

I have been pulled into Internet donnybrooks on several occasions, the usual tenor of the attacks being personally directed at me, rather than at my work. These discussions occur daily on the Internet without my knowledge, but this was one I knew about only because I was notified by someone who knew of me.

Nikola Tesla got lift-off even before the Wright Brothers' first flight at Kitty Hawk, North Carolina, and had difficulty in maintaining his silence following the Wright Brothers' success.

It took terrible discipline for Tesla to hold his tongue under such circumstances. Truth is stranger than fiction, and that truth is among the strangest I know of. Under the circumstances, it can be explained and documented, including Tesla's motivations and political opinions at the time. His silence becomes clear and logical if you think about it.

## CHAPTER II - DISAPPEARING TESLA: The Burial of Tesla and Rebirth of His Discoveries

Nikola Tesla was buried, both literally and intellectually, in January, 1943. In 1993, I disinterred his most important work, the discovery of electrodynamic space propulsion, which he discovered in the 19<sup>th</sup> century, and documented it more fully in 1998.

Does the name Nikola Tesla even ring a bell with you? If not, let me first say that Tesla was a pioneering inventor and technological philosopher whose science and ideas we use today more than ever before, yet only recently has his name resurfaced in alternative literature. His name was once a household word, sixty or seventy years ago. Thirty years ago, only a tiny few faithful and persistent admirers and experimenters knew of Tesla's work, yet there are those within the inner sanctums of the 'national insecurity' establishment who have never forgotten it. You've most likely heard the name being used in current alternative literature by the many johnny-come-latelys who have recently jumped on the bandwagon of nouveau "Tesla experts". That's O.K., but what took them so long? You can't really blame them for being so suddenly astounded, considering the long and thorough eradication of Tesla's name from encyclopedias and books on science, invention and technology, becoming the "invisible man" of science history.

The conspicuous vacuum created where the mention of Tesla should have been, as one who made such important contributions to science, technology, and the quality of our lives, raises ominous questions as to why his memory became virtually stricken from history almost the day after his death. What did Tesla discover which threatened the powers that be? Since we already know about the many patented inventions, my assumption has always been that the unknown, still classified works were far in advance of the published ones, and were in realization of projects which Tesla had previously announced or had already tested and developed, but had not yet "...given to the world".

The discoveries in question—the very existence of which is categorically denied by establishment and corporate scientists—were such things as.....

- electrodynamic flying saucers
- "free" or "environmental" energy discoveries
- transmutation of elements
- alternative "ether" physics and science
- Tesla's Dynamic Theory of Gravity

We know from available documentation and reliable sources that these discoveries and developments were actualized by Tesla before his death, yet are still classified. Within his many patented inventions, numerous startling discoveries and surprises have been recently found by careful researchers and experimenters, which show in retrospect that his claims were modest.

Tesla was born in Smiljan, Lika, Austro-Hungary (now Serbia), in 1856, and died Jan. 3, 1943 in New York City. An early biography, *Prodigal Genius, the Life of Nikola Tesla*, by John J. O'Neill, was initially published three times in November, 1944. In case you are wondering, the three publishings in the same month were not due to landslide sales at the bookstores, but rather to O'Neill's having been threatened and censored by the FBI, and forced to republish several times because of their deletion and censoring of material which to this day is still classified. It was odd, given Tesla's repeatedly unsuccessful efforts to get the attention of the War Department, met with ignorance and shoddy treatment. It was not until after it came to their attention in 1942, that the Nazis were building flying saucers and other 'fantasy' weapons based on Tesla's inventions, that the U. S. Government became so concerned. So why hide something from the American public today which the Nazis stole before 1934? In the case of (flying saucer) electro-propulsion, this invention is not only classified, but the very

existence of it is categorically denied by the government, while its covert agents in the UFOlogy community attribute it to extraterrestrial, "alien" origins. What better way to deter civilian scientific investigation into the obvious?

I first heard of Tesla in 1943, as a child of four, when his death was lamented by Elmer Schlosser, a neighborhood adult friend who first told me about him. I also once had in my collection of old books a well-preserved, beautiful old commemorative volume issued by the 1893 Chicago World's Fair---the Columbian Exposition—which featured Tesla as its honored guest. There were numerous photos of Tesla's inventions and some articles. Today, over 54 years after Tesla's death, experimenters are still conducting exciting and trail-blazing experiments with Tesla's discoveries of over 100 years ago, and continuing to find 'hidden' meanings in some of his early writings and patents.

There have been countless speculations as to what Tesla's most secret discoveries were. At the time of his death, there was the approximate equivalent of a railroad boxcar load of Tesla materials confiscated by the FBI, from around four different storage locations and Tesla's hotel storage and hotel room and safe. Of this, a total of only about 150,000 documents were released to Tesla's Yugoslavian relatives, now held by the Tesla Institute in Belgrade. These documents and old models, primarily of a historical nature and already in the public domain, comprise most of the published Tesla materials of the institute, and of the world.

The rest of the huge volume of Tesla documents and models was retained from early 1943 to late 1945 by the Custodian of Alien Properties, unclassified, in a warehouse in New York City, because the government's "experts" had declared in 1943 that none of it was worth classifying. The government "expert"—the equivalent of today's director of the CIA's Office of Scientific Intelligence—was a professor at M.I.T. In 1938, Tesla had written a critique of the huge Van de Graaff generator, and pointed out that one of his small Tesla coils would outperform the huge Van de Graaff, which was so large that it was housed in an aircraft hanger. That critique and

Tesla's opposition to Relativism provoked the ire of the M.I.T. professor, a proponent of the "new" Relativism. For that infraction of the "rules", Tesla's lifelong work was treated to the posthumous revenge of the MIT Relativist professor, by having his work stored in a dark warehouse until the end of WW II. Following the arrival in America of the scientists and the secret war files of Nazi Germany, acquired in 1945 under Operation Paperclip, the spooks from Wright-Patterson Air Force Base hurried up to the warehouses of the Custodian of Alien Properties, and took possession of all the Tesla materials. They removed them to Wright-Patterson, and every bit of them have been classified at the highest level ever since; the government having since declassified NONE of them. Thus, the Tesla materials, which the government's Relativist "science expert" had declared in 1943 contained "nothing worth classifying", is still classified today, 58 years later.

The government distributed false rumors that "Tesla never kept notes", which was known to be a blatant lie, since the government had the tons of notes, papers, models and related things, and knew Tesla "kept massive notes". The notes on his Colorado Springs experiments alone (1899-1900) were enough for a large-format book of 433 pages.

Considering the fact that Tesla continued to do research at his Colorado Springs laboratory for at least six more years—for which we have no notes—there is ample cause for believing that those subsequent experiments involved discoveries that are even more astounding than any nuclear weapons research. It is known from Tesla's own words that these additional experiments at Colorado Springs were 'much more far-reaching' than his disclosed experiments.

The remainder of Tesla's papers and possessions which were still classified—literally tons of notes, documents, drawings, models, and plans—have been the subject of a lot of speculation. In 1979, when I requested access to Tesla papers held at the J. Robert Oppenheimer Study Center, at LANL ("Los Alamos National Laboratory"), the government admitted possession, but denied

access for lack of the appropriate "badge" (security clearance). So I know that Tesla's papers are now held at least in part, in Los Alamos, New Mexico. On that same day, I found the hydrogen bomb plans on the public access shelves, yet was denied access to Tesla's papers. What could be more sensitive than the hydrogen bomb, which was invented by Tesla prior to 1943?

After the war, in 1946, at age 8, when our family returned to the little west Texas town of Kermit, I visited the radio shop of my old friend, Elmer Schlosser, and lugged home a pile of salvaged electronic components that he gave me, after a savvy little Tesla talk. That was the same year that I began to research the German flying discs seen over the region. Elmer, who had grown up in the Cimarron Territory, near Tesla's Colorado Springs laboratory, during the time that Tesla was experimenting there, said the discs were "Tesla discoveries".

I assembled the components that Elmer gave me, ran a ground line up a tree, placed my newly assembled device in my tree house, erected an antenna constructed of screen wire, and attempted to 'radio in' some power. Unsuccessful, I nonetheless continued my experiments with electromagnetism for eight more years, until I set them aside for twenty years. In 1975 I resumed my "Tesla project", eventually built a number of Tesla coils, and even began giving some lectures and demonstrations in the Santa Fe area to small gatherings. In late 1974, the CIA had commenced a pattern of harassment originally intended to "motivate" me to accept an executive position later offered to me by George Bush in early 1975. I rejected the offer and immersed myself deeply in intense research, and the harassment continued and intensified so much that it finally brought my research to a temporary standstill between 1986 and 1992. As soon as I had time to catch my breath, this provoked the first publication of my book, Space Aliens From the Pentagon, in 1993, Occult Ether Physics: Tesla's Hidden Space Propulsion System and the Conspiracy to Conceal It (revised second edition, 1998), concentrated on the 19th century "aether" science leading up to Tesla's discovery of electrodynamic space propulsion, and I also included a couple of

astounding, Relativity-destroying "free-energy" discoveries or re-discoveries.

Tesla's holy grail was to build his "electric flying machine", and to draw some of the "environmental energy" out of the cosmos- This plan involved a theory of radioactivity under development by Tesla in the 1890s, which entirely presaged and conflicted with currently accepted Relativism, quantum mechanics, and nuclear energy theories.

To Tesla, so-called "atomic energy" was in fact the result of "environmental energy" emanating from the cosmos, and made known to us via "radioactive" matter, which he said had the peculiar property of resonating and reacting with ubiquitous "cosmic radiation"—a term used by Tesla before 1900—which he called "Primary Solar Rays."

The "cosmic radiation" of which Tesla spoke was of much higher frequency than what we call "radioactive emanations", which to Tesla were the result of a 'step-down' process, in which certain peculiar matter reacts to and converts the ubiquitous, omnidirectional cosmic radiation—which today we call "zero point radiation" ("ZPR") —from higher frequencies, to lower, more useful and appropriate frequencies, such as gamma, x-ray, ultraviolet, visible, infrared radiation, as well as magnetism and even electrical current. These step-down frequencies are much easier to detect and measure than the ZPR. Relativists deny the Primary Solar Rays exist.

The existence of the ZPR was well known to Tesla in the 1890s, but it was not until recently that it became scientifically permissible to even suggest a wave theory, since Relativists cling to their corpuscular theory. This radiation is of such high frequency that it normally passes through space, the earth, and our bodies without harm or incident, in constant equilibrium, because its short wavelengths do not normally react or resonate with the atoms of most matter. It is so-called "radioactive matter"—according to Tesla—which has a peculiar atomic structure which reacts with this radiation to produce radioactivity. Atomic energy, to Tesla, comes

from the ZPR, not atoms. If a lump of radium, for example, could be shielded from the effects of the ZPR, said Tesla, it would no longer show radioactivity.

Most naturally radioactive elements are dense and "unstable"—that is, they are said by the Relativists to "decay" as radiation is emitted, to elements of lower atomic numbers. The Relativists—with whom Tesla vehemently disagreed—believe that naturally radioactive elements spontaneously lose mass in the process of such "decay", so that the energy released as radioactivity is equivalent to the lost mass according to Einstein's equation,  $E = MC^2$ .

This always seemed untenable to me, since such elements would have to have been, by the related cosmological myth of the "Big Bang", older than the earth, yet geological examination shows that they are found to have evolved through physical processes which have occurred within natural structures here on earth. If such elements had been part of the alleged Big Bang, they would have fully decayed before now. On the other hand, if such elements are in the process of being continually evolved by natural conditions within earth, as well as in outer space, through electrical, magnetic and other physical forces, the reasons for their presence is more reasonable. I don't think this is any surprise to the national security elite. Something else is going on, and someone else knows it.

If non-radioactive elements are converted into radioactive ones by the forces of nature, what are these processes? In 1937, in one of Tesla's famous birthday announcements<sup>1</sup>, Tesla stated that he had developed a process for the "manufacture" of radium (transmutation from other elements), which was so efficient that it could be sold for \$1.00 per pound. He also announced that he had "absolutely developed" a system for the interstellar transmission of energy. He said he had been working in "several laboratories", but refused to disclose their locations. His working model, he said, "...employs more than three dozen of my inventions. It is a complex apparatus,

---

<sup>1</sup>New York Times, July 11, 1937 (pg. 13, col. 2)

an agglomeration of parts." It could convey "...several thousand units of horsepower to other planets, regardless of the distance", traveling "...through a channel of less than one-half of one-millionth of a centimeter." Further, he said, "...it is not an experiment. I have built, demonstrated and used it. Only a little time will pass before I can give it to the world."

That time never came. In less than six years, his death occurred in the presence of two FBI agents and a German nurse<sup>2</sup>, and the Nazis had his plans under active development as early as 1934.

These facts demonstrate that even in his 80s, in 1937, Tesla was involved in secret research at several undisclosed laboratories, on technology which today remains highly classified, yet neither the general public nor anyone at the International Tesla Society seemed to have any idea as to the details, since they had been concealed from us by the "powers that be". In fact, the CIA itself had a hand in the founding of the International Tesla Society in Colorado Springs in 1986, to avoid the contingency of Tesla's electrodynamic discoveries coming to light. When this began to happen, the society was folded up.

Through some ingenious investigating, it is possible to reconstruct some of the facts. In focusing on just Tesla's publicly available technology, it is obvious that, even in it, there is the air of the fantastic, the almost incredible. Taking this a step further, it is possible to reconstruct much of the unknown Tesla discoveries from available sources, in order to see what has been carefully hidden from us by our own government and the corporate fascists who control it.

### Tesla's Extraction of Cosmic Energy

According to Tesla, the ether is not an "energy source", since it is composed of tiny independent "carriers immersed in an

---

<sup>2</sup>John J. O'Neill, Prodigal Genius. The Life of Nikola Tesla, John J. McKay Company, Inc. NY (Nov. 1944)

insulating fluid"<sup>3</sup>. The ether, therefore, is a "medium" through which energetic transferences and transmutations can be effected, and electric and magnetic "tubes of force" can be created and carried into a body from space, giving it momentum to propel it.

"Cosmic radiation" is not the ether, but "starlight"—what Tesla called the "Primary Solar Rays".<sup>4</sup> This extremely high-frequency light—of much tinier wavelength than visible light, U.V., X-rays, and gamma rays (also emitted by our sun and other stars)—is the ZPR. Tesla stated that the Zero Point Radiation gives rise to secondary radiations through impact with the cosmic dust of space, which are commonly called "cosmic rays" today.

In 1935<sup>5</sup> Tesla objected to the observations of the German radiologist, Dr. Werner Kolhoester, saying his observations were another confirmation of his own theory of cosmic rays originally advanced in 1896, but asserted that Kolhoester's 1935 theory was erroneous, because light is a wave motion of definite velocity (C), determined by the elastic force and density of the "medium", while cosmic rays are "...particles with velocities determined by the propelling force...", which therefore could be much slower or faster than that of light. Since the velocities of the two radiations would not (and could not) coincide, Kolhoester's observations which coincided with the light observed, would not be accurate. Here, Tesla distinguished between the "cosmic radiation" he proposed in 1896, which was particles, which were propelled by ultra-high-frequency "primary solar radiation", which I equate to the ZPR, which are light rays traveling at C. Because of their extremely high voltages levels and frequencies, they can propel "cosmic dust"

---

<sup>3</sup>T.C. Martin, The Inventions, Researches and Writings of Nikola Tesla, The Electrical Engineer,  
New York (1894)

<sup>4</sup> Nikola Tesla (as told to Alfred Albelli) Radio Power Will Revolutionize the World, Modern Mechanics and Invention (July, 1934)

<sup>5</sup>Nikola Tesla, German Cosmic Ray Theory Questioned, New York Herald Tribune (March 3, 1935)

particles faster than C, when of sufficiently high voltage levels and frequency.

In his "objection" letter of 1935, at a time when he was involved with the German "p2" project which I wrote about in Pentagon Aliens, Tesla gave a clue to his electrodynamic space propulsion system, which if one is to take Tesla's statements seriously, means that a "particle"—or a ship—in space can be propelled very fast by electromagnetic wave radiation—light waves—which in this case are the "Primary Solar Rays", the ZPR.

The Primary Solar Rays (the ZPR) are ubiquitous in the universe and in direction of approach at a given point, with frequencies so high that there is normally no reaction with atomic matter, being able to pass through "...thousands of miles of solid matter..."<sup>6</sup>, and therefore can approach an object on earth even from the direction of the ground. This makes this invisible "solar energy source" available around the clock, though it is said to slightly vary cyclically. This radiation should not be confused with so-called "photon energy", which is a Relativist fantasy involving "corpuscles" or "particles" of light. What a laugh.

In the on-going Relativist theory, Wolfgang Pauli, in 1933, invented the neutrino theory, in response to Niels Bohr's radical finding that, if experiments say so, the Law of Conservation of Energy does not hold for  $\beta$  ("beta" or electron) emission and absorption processes.<sup>7</sup> Pauli's theory sought to explain a loss of heat energy in beta decay, which the Relativist theory was unable to account for or measure in such processes as K-capture, in which a neutrino is emitted when a proton is converted into a neutron. The reverse process is the conversion of a neutron into a proton, thus emitting a beta particle (electron) and sucking a neutrino back into the atom from surrounding space. Other particles are involved, but

---

<sup>6</sup>Nikola Tesla, Radio Power, etc. (Supra)

<sup>7</sup>Gamow, George, Thirty Years that Shook Physics, Doubleday & Company, Inc., Garden City, New York (1956)

these are the ones of interest. It should be of interest to you that Bohr was not a Relativist, and held a sort of "free-energy" view. It is also interesting to note that Bohr attended the opening of the Tesla Museum in Belgrade. Without Bohr's work, the A-bomb would have been impossible at that time.

The acquisition of energy from the ZPR was regarded by Tesla as a "step-down" process, in which the super-high-frequency light waves were stepped down to a more familiar and usable form, such as 'normal' radioactivity, heat or electrical energy. In the K-capture process, an element is transmuted into another element, for example, iron to manganese—and in the reverse process—manganese to iron. Monoenergetic neutrinos are ejected in the first process, and sucked back into the iron in the reverse process, but what happens to the damned X-radiation emitted in the first half of the reversible process, Einstein? And how is it mysteriously "replaced" in the reversed half?

The neutrino explanation has always appeared as a loophole in the Relativist theory, since the missing momentum and heat, and misconceived "photon energy" (actually electromagnetic light wave radiation), were impossible to explain without the invented neutrino theory. Furthermore, since the neutrino is a neutral particle, it is composed of tiny positive and negative charges which do not exist according to Relativism, since Relativism holds that the electron is "indivisible", as the main foundation of quantum mechanics. With this argument, I now have some of my Relativist friends denying the existence of neutrinos.

In contradicting their own theory, admitting that the tinier positive and negative charges composing the neutrinos exist, the Relativists inadvertently acknowledged the "building blocks" of electrons and protons. As such, neutrinos appear to be the aether. Since neutrinos are so tiny, they must have the capacity to react with the ZPR, in bringing about so-called "nuclear radioactivity". In so doing, what are the nuclear characteristics of an element which produces radioactivity naturally? How can a non-radioactive element be made to mimic these qualities, in artificially-induced

radioactivity"? If neutrinos are prevalent in K-capture (transmutation), and its reversal, it seems that an element which is made to oscillate between two elements (to transmute and de-transmute), would have to react with the ZPR. Since there is excess energy involved, as the neutrinos (ether carriers) move in and out, energy is being transferred to and from the element, the ZPR, and the ether.

We know that synthetic radioactivity can be induced by exposing an element to appropriate radiation, which is only a reversal of the process which initially created the radiation, as a verification of Tesla's theory.

In K-capture in iron, when the K-shell electron passes into the nucleus, converting a proton into a neutron, not only is a monoenergetic neutrino emitted, but also an X-ray, when the vacant K-shell takes on another electron. Though the iron atom is now a manganese atom, and has emitted energy, it has the same mass number. Shouldn't the mass number reflect the loss of energy? Where is your damned " $E = MC^2$ ?" There is also the question, "Where did the incident particle beam energy which induced the K-capture go? If the neutrinos taketh away, they also giveth back, as "carriers". Of course, one can say that the electrons involved do not weigh enough to be reflected in the mass number. The Relativists admit (or assert) that the neutrinos carry energy, just as the aether carriers do. Recent Japanese experiments showed that neutrinos are plentiful in space. The greatest source of free-energy appears to be the ZPR.

If the number of neutrons in a disintegration product (the atom transmuted to) is too small compared to its number of protons, the nucleus will tend to reduce its charge by one unit by positron emission, and will always have 1 Mev less kinetic energy than if beta decay had occurred.<sup>8</sup> Since a light element (below atomic number 19) will transmute in U.V. light, radioactivity in light elements can be induced very easily. When Joliot and Curie bombarded aluminum

---

<sup>8</sup>R. E. Lapp and H. L. Andrews, Nuclear Radiation Physics, Prentiss-Hall, Inc., New York (1950).

with alpha particles, they observed that neutrons and positrons were emitted. When they removed their alpha source, the positron emission did not cease, but decreased exponentially with time, as if the aluminum were a naturally radioactive element, for a period of three minutes.<sup>9</sup> The positron will always carry 1 Mev kinetic energy, and may be used for energy production. Since this process can be effected by use of an U.V. incident particle beam on the light elements, and will produce positrons at 1 Mev of kinetic energy, it may be used in what I call "leapfrog technology", which can be used for transmutation processes which will manufacture elements in much greater quantities than could be effected by the huge accelerators at such places as U.C. Berkeley.

Based on the Tesla Primary Solar Ray theory, using the K-capture process, this is my explanation for a device I call Free Energy Surprise (© 1997, Wm. R. Lyne, ISBN 0-9637467-6-6, \$10.00, Creatopia Productions, General Delivery, Lamy, New Mexico 87540, Tel/Fax 505-466-3022), a technical report which includes a set of plans and documentary photos.

I got the idea for this device from a statement by Nikola Tesla, concerning "special" uses for iron, to capture the ZPR/Primary Solar Rays. Whether or not this is exactly what Tesla meant, I believe it verifies his statement concerning special properties of iron.

The device, composed of steel pipe and bar stock (about 10 lbs.), is stimulated with a 15 kv, center-tapped transformer. It operates in several modes, but in what I call the "hum" mode shows an input of 35 watts and a secondary activity of 42.6 kw, operating at or beyond the approximate K-capture voltage on each leg, ca. 7,110 volts (the K-capture voltage for iron), at the standard 60 cps. The low frequency current goes into the mass of the iron (not a 'skin effect'). The iron seems to be going to manganese and back to iron, 60 times per second. This idea is based on what appeared to be the appearance of a purple area near the top of the pipe which I recognized to be the color of manganese dioxide. The extra electrical

---

<sup>9</sup>Lapp and Andrews (Supra)

energy might be the product of interaction with the ZPR, the ether (neutrinos?), and the iron. Since manganese is the next element down on the periodic chart, with the same mass number as iron, it sounded like a reasonable hypothesis. The voltage is not really critical, as the effect could occur so long as the voltage passed through the K-capture voltage on its way up and down, and is only supposed to cause a somewhat more definite effect when it is right on the "absorption edge".

It appears that the electrical energy in the secondary output circuit can be converted into usable electrical energy, especially by using a resonant transformer, tuned to the same, 60 cps frequency.

Corporate-government disinformationists have already tried to debunk this device. One "debunker" inadvertently revealed the fact that he didn't actually do the experiment, when he described the device when assembled as weighing "two pounds". No reputable "scientist" could confuse "ten pounds" with "two pounds", so I knew he was lying. Naturally, his assessment was negative. He was either a lightweight, who didn't do the experiment as described, or a liar with a concealed agenda. This discovery may prove to be a new way to produce K-capture, and to transmute elements in a reversible process, by stimulation with simple, standard 60cps electric current, at or near the K-capture voltage, or at some other appropriate voltage, without the necessity to use an incident particle beam accelerator, vacuum chamber, etc.

A good free-energy process, therefore, can use an element—conveniently a light element—as a "medium" to induce another "medium"—the ether, or another element—to interact with and acquire energy from the ZPR, which is then stepped down to either a synthetic radioactive output—which can be further stepped down to manageable electrical energy or heat—or perhaps converted more directly to electrical energy by some ingenious means.

The attempted destruction and concealment of Tesla's work has now been exposed, and will soon be reversed. The factions which were responsible for this still exist through perpetuity, but will not be able to control the technology when it finally emerges.

## CHAPTER III - CONCEALED ENERGY: The Second Lie of Thermodynamics

The Second Law of Thermodynamics states that any spontaneous process occurring in the universe leads to an overall increase in the entropy of the universe. This is an example of a "negative pregnant" science belief system, designed to conceal unlimited available energy in the universe. That makes it a Pretty Big Lie. It includes the "double-think" and contradiction that are the earmarks of bogus science which unfortunately becomes "establishment science", and is an insult to the ordinary, reasonable and prudent layperson's mind.

It's as if some big shots sat down in 1919, lit up their Cubanos, and said: "This fellow, Nikola Tesla, has been talking about 'environmental energy' which is unlimited and free. This is dangerous to our interests. The papers and magazines have been giving him too much attention. From now on, our media and institutions will support and promote only the works of those scientists who can produce excuses as to why the energy available to us is NOT unlimited, OR free."

By the creation of a plethora of red herrings and negative pregnant—all of which chisel away at the citizen's awareness of the non-polluting energy available from the environment—a mass psychosis thought'Control system has been created in academic science, which trains young scholars to dismiss alternative views and to attack those who attempt to point these things out, as "pseudo-scientists". These young scholars then march out into the world with their minds steeled against the possibilities available in nature and in creative science, while preaching the monopoly-designed gospel of fossil fuel consumerism.

A "negative pregnant" is a denial which implies an affirmation of a substantial fact<sup>1</sup>, and hence is beneficial to an opponent,

---

<sup>1</sup> Steven H. Gifis, LAW DICTIONARY

because it is "pregnant" with an affirmation. A number of these denials, "pregnant" with affirmations of certain alternatives, are intended to convince the future scientist that all energy must be bought at a filling station or from public utility corporations, at continually inflating prices, extorted by contrived "energy crises". Coercive monopolist interests own the banks, the energy companies, producers of mineral fuels for the generation of power, as well as many other essential industries. They also own the mass media, which controls information and disseminates propaganda designed to maintain their status quo of obsolete technology and archaic resources. They are well represented in educational institutions, control endowments and grants, and essentially control the writing and publication of science texts used to train new scientists.<sup>2</sup>

The Second Law of Thermodynamics contradicts the First Law of Thermodynamics (the Law of Conservation of Energy), because it says that energy is being destroyed through "entropy". There are no contradictions in reality. The young scientist and the seeker of truth is encouraged to continue to seek answers, and to reject devious and deceitful concepts and theories designed to close off new possibilities.

The Second Law of Thermodynamics is a red herring, a negative pregnant denying any rational alternatives. The real issue is not only whether or not the "rule" is correct, but whether the facts show that energy apparently produced by some reactions actually originates externally to the reaction, and is a conversion through matter, of Zero Point Radiation into usable form, as opposed to "conventional" reactions which permit only the release of energy such as heat "stored" in matter.

A major question is whether we have electrodynamic ZPR, (Tesla's concept) or a quiescent ZPE (the Relativist fantasy). The latter can be discarded as an internal contradiction of Relativism, and may be intended to conceal Tesla's electrodynamic space

---

<sup>2</sup> G. Edward Griffin, World Without Cancer, American Media (1974)

propulsion discovery, since the "ZPE" would never work the same way as the wave principle, to propel "particles" (or "ships") though space. ZPR is ubiquitous electrodynamic wave force carried by the ether. Because of its ultra high frequency, it is extremely penetrating and forceful once arrested, and can operate on ultra-fine levels in minuscule atomic and molecular reactions.

We must first reject the concept espoused by the relativists, that matter is "destroyed" in the process of being used to do work (producing energy). Matter produces force, and while this force is "used" to do work, and though the force may become dissipated over a large area, this process does not represent the 'annihilation' of any matter, or of force produced by it, as asserted by the Second Law of Thermodynamics and related entropy theory. As force is used, new force is continually entering into a reaction, replenishing force dissipated by the reaction—and is still conserved in the form of momentum, IR storage, or radiant energy in ether-filled space.

This brings us to the question of the 'origin' of new force, in a ubiquitous form available to all matter being replenished by it, allowing it to react again. This is the conservation of matter and force, what I call the "Theory of the Conservation of Perpetual Motion and Force".

The replenishment of expended force in a region of space is apparently the result of an exchange of forces, weak for strong or vice-versa. A weak force can be converted into a stronger force, which will do more work in the same period of time. Whenever a weaker force, such as kinetic force (gravitational) is converted into, or exchanged for, a stronger force, such as an electromagnetic one, the force is  $10^{40}$  times stronger.<sup>3</sup> Since this force is so much stronger, it will do more work in the same period of time, in fact, zillions and zillions of times more work. Where does this increase in force originate? The source of this "new" ubiquitous force is of course the ZPR, which makes the energy density in a small region of space so high that I won't even bother to say.

---

<sup>3</sup> Gamow, Gravity

This processes repeated throughout the universe, automatically replenishing areas of space in which the force has been dissipated through a lower order of reaction, because there is a tendency toward equilibration. The sun sends out electromagnetic radiation, electric current and magnetism. The sun also receives ZPR, electric current and magnetism from elsewhere, which replenishes the force expelled, maintaining its equilibrium in perpetuity.

A good example of this circuitous process is when atmospheric oxygen combines with carbon and hydrogen in coal combustion, breaking HC bonds and producing CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O, with a release of IR radiation, in a sustained combustion-reaction. This is possible because the HC bonds are weaker than the CO and HO bonds, so the stronger bonds are formed. This is a replacement of 'looser', weaker (electrostatic) bond/force, with a 'tighter' stronger (electrostatic) bond/force, releasing heat (IR radiation entrapped in the O, H and C, which is 'squeezed out' when the new, 'tighter' bonds are formed). The CO<sub>2</sub> is then available for plants, which absorb the C and free the O in photosynthesis, driven also by solar radiation and the ZPR. The oxygen is then available again for combustion-reactions and the carbon becomes part of plant HC compounds, eventually becoming coal beds again. The H<sub>2</sub>O is also utilized in photosynthesis, releasing more oxygen. Repeat, ad infinitum.

The bonds appear to be electrostatic ones. The 'breaking' of electrostatic bonds should not account for the heat released, as a conversion product of electrostatic force. The heat is IR radiation which has been 'stored' in the atomic space between the nuclei and electron clouds, as bouncing and reflecting radiation, until the electron clouds are 'opened' during new bond formations. When the new bonds are stronger, the reaction is exothermic (heat-releasing), and when weaker, they are endothermic (heat-absorbing), because the stored IR radiation apparently stretches the bonds and weakens them.

**"THE UNIVERSE IS WINDING DOWN"**  
(To the tune of "London Bridge is Falling Down")

One of the most pronounced trends since the accelerated 'media-advent' of Relativism (around 1919), has been an emphasis on instilling the false idea in physics that the universe is "winding down", progressively "using up" the energy it possessed at its "beginning".

In 1916 Einstein had devised a static model of the universe, having uniform density but with space allegedly "curved" by the presence of matter, so that it had a finite volume. In order to justify this steady-state universe, so that gravitation did not collapse it, Einstein invented a repulsive force between masses, to equally balance the "gravitational attraction". How convenient.

In 1917 de Sitter purportedly "found" a further solution to Einstein's equation, an expanding universe concept (now called the Big Bang Theory), which would have a "vanishing density" due to the constant expansion. Einstein's career, and the income of the oil companies, really took off. This help from de Sitter was 'really what the doctor ordered' for Einstein's theory and the oil companies.

The purported discovery of the "red-shift" of the galaxies was used to further the expanding universe concept. The time elapsed since the "beginning of the expansion" is taken as the age of the universe, and is considered to be the reciprocal of Hubble's Constant<sup>4</sup>—about  $10^{10}$  years—but the theory was flawed by the fact that the astrophysically-determined age of the oldest star clusters in our galaxy is about  $16 \times 10^9$  years, about twice Hubble's Constant.

In his 1891 lecture before the A.I.E.E.<sup>5</sup>, Tesla had stated that "enormous electrostatic forces" which emanate from the earth, "rigidify" the ether within earth's electric field as it moves through space. These rapidly varying, enormously powerful electrostatic

---

<sup>4</sup>Hubble, E. P.: "The Realm of the Nebulae", Yale U. Press, New Haven, Conn., 1936.

<sup>5</sup> Nikola Tesla, Lecture Before the A.I.E.E., Columbia College (1891)

emissions (which Tesla called "microwaves"), which "rigidify" the ether, causes the ether near the earth to travel along with it.

The same thing applies to other planets in space. The "red shift" observed in light passing near bodies in space, as the alleged basis for an "expanding" universe, is apparently due to a refraction of the light by this rigidified ether, a phenomenon which does not require the presence of an atmosphere. It is similar to Faraday's "magneto-optical effect". This shoots down the Big Bang Theory. The Michelson-Morley experiments were based on an ether which was dynamic in relation to the earth. They tried to detect a "drift" in the ether as the earth moved through space. Since the ether near the earth is rigidified and carried along with it, there is no relative motion to detect, no 'drift'. But the ether is relatively dynamic farther away from the earth. This means that the Michelson-Morley experiments, based on a "dynamic ether" concept, and conducted on the surface of the earth, could not possibly have detected an "ether drift", because the ether is not 'drifting' on the earth's surface, where they did the experiments.

Meanwhile, the ether near the planets and bodies in space which showed the "red shift" is not only rigidified by the "microwaves" emitted from those bodies, and subject to "magneto-optical effects", but the bodies are also in relative motion in respect to the light passing near them, through their rigidified ether. These facts were ignored by the Relativists, naturally.

In 1892<sup>6</sup>, Tesla demonstrated before the Institution of Electrical Engineers in London, how a column of gas was rigidified by a rapidly alternating current. He also told them how, prior to that, his tests had shown that the space between two metal plates subjected to currents of "sufficiently high voltages and frequencies" had become "solid state" (i.e., would support the plates, which

---

<sup>6</sup>Nikola Tesla, Experiments with Alternate Currents of High Potential and High Frequency (a lecture delivered to the Institution of Electrical Engineers, London, 1892)

weighed several pounds each).<sup>7</sup> This was the basis for his electro dynamic space propulsion discovery. The British science journals didn't bother to print a word about these momentous discoveries, as far as I have been able to determine.

The steady-state theory of Bondi and Gold<sup>8</sup> gave the universe an infinite past and an infinite future, but unfortunately retained an expanding universe concept, in which the "loss of matter" by the expansion is exactly compensated by the "creation of new matter", so that new galaxies are created and only the average galaxy "needs" to have an age equal to "Hubble time" (how convenient). While the infinity of past and future are commendable (after all, there will only be a present, forever), the idea that a galaxy "needs" to have an age equal to Hubble time is teleologicaL

These are truly infantile notions, which are used by the Relativists to talk us out of apprehending energy available from the environment. They show a negativistic "dead end" cosmology, which discourages physics creativity.

Firstly, it is a begged question that the universe had a beginning, indicating not only inferior intelligence—people in science who can't conceive of a universe without a beginning and end, like childhood stories such as Little Red Riding Hood and the Big Bad Wolf—while insisting on a false philosophy in science, serving an ulterior purpose, say, that of the energy cartels.

Secondly, the "Big Bang" cosmology—the supposed beginning of the "expansion", or "winding down"of the universe (another begged question)—is linked to physics by the Second Law of Thermodynamics and entropy theory in such a way as to convince us that the universe had already began to unwind before it barely got out of the Big Bang 'womb', and that all matter is progressively getting "all tuckered out" as time goes on, so that available energy

---

<sup>7</sup>T. C. Martin, Inventions, Researches and Writings of Nicola Tesla, 1894, Chapter XXV - Introduction -The Scope of the Tesla Lectures

<sup>8</sup> Bondi, H.: "Cosmology", 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Cambridge U. Press, London/N.Y., 1961.

is constantly diminishing. The main objective of this cosmology seems to be to induce a quiet surrender to continually inflating fuel prices at the gas pump and at the public utilities corporations, as "inevitable consequences".

I personally do not believe in an expanding or finite universe, or that it had a "point of origin" or will ever end. It has always existed, is infinite, and will last for eternity. Energy is never destroyed, and is conserved in the constant motion of matter and radiation in space-transit, representing infinite, available energy forever.

I believe in the idea that, when force is exerted on one entity by the action of another, causing a change (i.e., "work"), that the force does not "disappear" into nothingness or become "locked up" within matter, to remain "unavailable" forever, as the Relativists would have us believe. Most working physicists don't use Relativist theory for anything anyway, the main damage being done to the public which reads the media-mogul publications continually extolling this false belief system.

If the Big Bad Wolf had eaten Little Red Riding Hood, her "energy" would not have become "unavailable" through 'entropy\*. Without really explaining themselves, the Big Bangers have told us that matter gets neutralized, and can't do any more work, forever, through a mystical "entropy" principle. This is based on the fallacy that matter contains energy in the first place. Matter in motion has momentum (kinetic, mechanical energy), and can react in electric and magnetic fields to produce electromagnetic energy, but does not "contain" energy. It may also store heat energy, as previously explained, but this energy—electromagnetic heat radiation—is not an integral part of matter, and nothing is "lost" by its release.

Energy is not an entity, but only a potential, the "ability to do work", which cannot 'disappear forever', since it exists only as a quality possessed by matter to store or affect the conversion of electromagnetic force, under certain circumstances, not something which exists in a quantitative form within matter itself. An accepted 'law' of modern physics is that there are three levels of force, the

gravitational force (weakest), electromagnetic force (medium) and nuclear force (strongest). A radioactive magnet, or a radioactive chunk of lodestone, can possess all three. I believe all these to be different levels of reaction by matter with the ZPR, representing the densest electromagnetic force, because it is produced by the enormous forces within stars. Stars, in turn, like all other bodies in space, are receivers of ZPR, which react at the highest (nuclear) level.

According to the Relativists, in order for energy to become "unavailable" (i.e., "used up" forever), it is commonly believed that, in its 'last, final work', the "process" through which it reacted, somehow became "irreversible". Now let me see....what would such an "irreversible process" be? Is it the "process" which is irreversible, or is it the "state of matter" which is? I rack my brain, but fail to find an answer. I do not know of one single irreversible process! I think what these 'scientists' really mean, is that the availability is hard to follow, or a process is hard to reverse, or that they are unable to think of a convenient way to reverse it, or that they fail to understand the actual process, or that they fail to realize that matter is not destroyed or irreparably damaged by being used to produce energy, and may actually improve with use.

If a process is reversible to begin with, it should always remain reversible, and it appears to me that matter is not irreversibly changed by an energy process of any known kind, so what the Relativists appear to be trying to say, is that a different process—an 'irreversible' one— whenever it is substituted for a 'reversible' process, will fail to produce energy. To my knowledge however they have failed to identify an irreversible process. Their inability to identify such a process is a critical part of their theory. If all the atoms in the original process still exist, the Relativists have been lying to us.

Certain processes have been identified as "reversible" ones. That has to do with creativity and ingenuity, when done by a human. Other processes, which scatter the matter in such a way that it is contaminated, or is so diffuse that it can't be gathered up again,

make it practically a "non-reversible" one, but not necessarily an "irreversible one". These are the kinds of processes that the cartels prefer that we use, so they can sell us some more of the fuels or other by-products of the process, at an increasingly hefty profit, and we dirty up the environment with waste and pollution.

It all seems to come down to the relative creativity of the technique used. If there is such a thing as an irreversible process, and a person wants to generate energy using the same matter, over and over, he should make certain to avoid a non-reversible, dirty, cartel-desired process, and use a clean reversible process, which captures the ZPR and produces no waste.

There are numerous 'free energy' inventions which use this principle. Matter doesn't 'get tired', 'irreparably damaged', or 'irretrievably locked up' on being used. It may become contaminated with other matter which is inconsistent with its use in a particular reversible process, but it can be purified and reused.

A simple example of a reversible process which uses the same matter to produce energy over and over would be a hydrolysis process which produces a stoichiometric oxygen-hydrogen mixture derived from water, which is combusted and used to drive a turbine, after which the water vapor is condensed to water again, and is reused in the production of the stoichiometric gas mixture, over and over, ad infinitum. There are several ways to separate the oxygen and hydrogen which use less energy than that produced by the combustion-reaction. This is reasonable, considering the fact that the external energy which actually drives the process is the ZPR. The reaction is triggered by hydrolysis, to 'set up' the water in a state-change, for the ZPR reaction. The process can be enhanced by use of certain catalysts, metals and geometric arrangements, which take advantage of the best use of physical forces.

Contrary to the idea that energy continually becomes unavailable, there is some validity to the idea that matter being used in a reversible process to produce energy may actually become "conditioned" and improve with repeated use.

## Advent of the "SMS"

Henceforth, I will refer to what I call the "Standard Model Scientist" in singular, abbreviated archetypal form—"SMS". Since SMS is an archetype, composed of all Standard Model Scientists, who are apparently of like mind, possessing a sort of "collective consciousness" due to government thought-control, with a peculiar kind of scientific tunnel vision, all such scientists are faithfully embodied in my SMS.

SMS sees "isolated systems" within the universe, when convenient, which are unaffected by external forces or matter, yet considers the universe as a whole an "isolated system", whenever that is convenient. Isolated from what? If the universe were finite, what would there be to isolate it from? This is contradiction on the grandest scale: Isolated systems within an isolated universe which is finite. This is another negative pregnant which has become a begged question through the continual, unquestioned acceptance by SMS. The only thing apparently isolated (from reality), is the brain of SMS.

Looming very large in the mind of SMS is the Second Law of Thermodynamics—sometimes referred to as the "Law of Degradation of Energy", because of the entrained theory that "entropy", in an "isolated system", is increased by "irreversible processes" involving energy changes, and that, therefore, the sum of total "available energy" "within" the universe, tends to decrease. Hmm...now let's see...decreasing energy in the universe...equals increasing prices at the gas pump...equals more money and power for the greedy monopolists who guarantee jobs for compliant scientists. The way that SMS couches "entropy" (a negation) in such terms as "increasing", is a negative pregnant, or maybe a better term is "pregnant negation". "Doublespeak"<sup>9</sup> has certainly been enshrined in the science field.

---

<sup>9</sup> George Orwell, 1984 (1949)

There are at least eight things in particular with which I disagree, as false assumptions and begged questions, in this "negative pregnant belief system":

1. The concept that matter, per se, contains "energy";
2. The concept of "increasing entropy", as a "law of physics";
3. The concept of an "isolated system";
4. The concept of an "irreversible process";
5. The concept of the universe as an "isolated system";
6. The concept of the universe as a "finite system";
7. The concept that the universe had a "beginning" or "origin";
8. The concept that the universe will have an "end" or will "disappear".

It should be noted that SMS has boxed in "available energy" with negative pregnant and begged questions, to eradicate it, but the pregnant affirmations are still there. I believe that 1. through 8. above are all false assumptions. Without a doubt, they are all negative pregnant, there being no such thing as being "half pregnant".

Entropy, in thermodynamics, is usually given a special mathematical treatment by SMS, in which a quantity is ascribed to an "isolated system", which quantity purportedly expresses the degree to which the energy of that "isolated system" has ceased to be "available energy". Again, a denial of available energy in the universe forever. In this respect, I would like for you to notice how, because available energy has been made to appear to be unavailable—because it has somehow become irretrievably "dissipated", "locked up in matter", or "tuckered out"—that SMS begs the question that it is "unavailable" forever, simply because certain matter is no longer capable of immediate reaction in the laboratory, or of use in your car, even though we know that so-called "dissipated energy"—especially heat and other radiation—has

affected other matter in such a way as to perform work whenever that other matter is involved in energy changes or reactions.

We also know that, while such things as carbon have been oxidized to CO<sub>2</sub> during combustion, some of it is subsequently absorbed by plants, freeing the pure oxygen back into the atmosphere, while the plant retains the carbon, by which it eventually becomes available for combustion again, along with the oxygen. This process, photosynthesis, is driven not only by ordinary solar radiation, but also by what Nikola Tesla called the "Primary Solar Rays", a ubiquitous, extremely high frequency radiation produced by the enormous forces in stars, now generally called "Zero Point Radiation." Tesla created power generators which converted it into other, usable forms. There appears to be nowhere in the universe where this energy is "unavailable", so there must be no isolated systems. SMS has a "catcha-22" however, because he can say that any system which shows a reaction to the ZPR is not an "isolated" one, but this is another negative pregnant which admits that the ZPR exists.

### Isolated Systems and Irreversible Processes Forever?

SMS's special mathematical treatment considers all quantities ascribed to "isolated systems" to be aspects of a single, physical magnitude, and concludes that "...any physical system when left to itself always increases its entropy, and therefore decreases its 'available' energy."

But what system is really "left to itself forever? A coal bed? What? SMS says the universe is an "isolated system."

By applying this statement to the universe as a whole, as if it were an "isolated system", SMS naturally concludes from this completely unnatural presupposition that all energy processes in the universe are "irreversible". (Ooops! Someone forgot to wind the universe back up!) Is this really true, or might it be the result of looking at everything from an artificially negative viewpoint (because the corporate-state pays your salary)?

It can be easily seen from these presuppositions that in order for us to be convinced that energy is in short supply in the universe, we must be taught to believe that (1) the universe is finite (i.e., isolated), and (2) that matter within the isolated universe is getting all tuckered out.

In the case of absolute zero, SMS had a problem, since everything at absolute zero (except electrons, supposedly) is frozen in place, so it cannot "degenerate". In response to this apparent flaw in theory, an SMS named Nernst came to the rescue with his "heat theorem", which stated that all physical and chemical changes in a system at absolute zero take place at "constant entropy", so there is no "change in entropy" (called "isentropy"). He said this is also true of adiabatic processes, since they are "reversible processes". The problem with Nernst's theorem is that most adiabatic processes are reversed by external energy. This leads to another gross contradiction, which confirms the existence of ubiquitous ZPR (perish the thought that, in superconductivity, the magnetic field produced external to the superconductive ring, for example, is considered as "available energy", another apparent flaw in Nernst's theorem). If any energy reaction can be obtained externally to a so-called isolated system, maintained at absolute zero, with no change in "entropy", then Nernst's theorem is wrong, and the ZPR is affirmed. Otherwise, what could sustain such a reaction? After all, superconductivity does not destroy the magnetic field. If the current is alternating, the magnetic field is also, and even a steady magnetic field contains energy and will do work on moving charges.

It is apparent that the very large and very small aspects of the Second Law of Thermodynamics are interdependent, so that, if the very small aspects are untrue, then the very large aspects must also be untrue. If the universe is not an "isolated system"....because no "system" is completely isolated from universal processes...then the "law" must be untrue. The burden of proof here is not on the person who assumes that the universe is infinite, since it apparently is, but rather on the person who believes it is finite, since it apparently isn't. This is an Ockham's Razor situation, which works against a

finite universe concept. Who has seen the universe's "boundary", or proved that it has one? Science cannot be based on such speculation, especially where it is part of a "grand theory" used to conceal available energy not at the limits of the universe, but in our own immediate space.

In reality, there appears to be no "isolated system" which is immune from external effects. This is especially true where we have penetrating cosmic rays, X-rays, UV, visible radiation, radio waves, IR, neutrinos, ether, magnetism and a ubiquitous form of radiation produced by stars which interpenetrates everything. This is also true of neutrinos, whose penetrating power is measured in light years through lead. Neutrinos are reabsorbed by mass which is undergoing K-capture process reversal, so neutrinos from perhaps the other side of the universe may affect the production and availability of energy within any so-called isolated system. Of course, SMS might argue that, since the K-capture process is a reversible one, it is not an isolated system. But there are no truly isolated systems, the argument being based on a false premise, since the K-capture process refutes it by showing the emission and absorption of neutrinos across vast regions of space and through other mass.

ZPR may play a part in every single matter change, etheric, atomic or molecular. In view of this, it is difficult to imagine that any isolated system exists, except in the collective mind of SMS. It is difficult to imagine why SMS interposes such fictitious archetypal concepts as "isolated systems", in support of archetypal, collective delusions, other than his desire to keep his job as a physics prof.

Tesla's theory of radioactivity is controversial only because it has been suppressed, in favor of the Relativistic theories of SMS, yet it is more reasonable than that of SMS, as applying to all energy changes in the universe. All energy changes—except those at absolute zero, or involving reversible process—are considered "irreversible", and result in "degradation of energy" according to SMS.

Is there really such a thing as an irreversible process? SMS would argue that, "...sure, you might reverse an irreversible process,

but that would take energy from an external source." This gives cause for wonder how a process which is irreversible forever could be reversed by anything at all. Since there is nothing in the universe which is really isolated, there is always available energy to reverse any process anywhere. ZPR energy originally converted within a system has never really disappeared, but still exists somewhere, within the system or external to it. After all, this energy is not really a thing, but rather a property of matter under the circumstances, no matter how slight, capable of transforming radiant force to another form. In reversing an 'irreversible' process with 'external energy', energy 'dissipated' by other processes can be conceived as being used to 'pay back' what was previously dissipated by a so-called irreversible process. If the sum total of all universal energy (force/matter/time) remains the same, it can never degrade. It is all just a matter of time. SMS confuses states of matter with energy. It is true that, due to a matter's state, it can react with the ZPR, or can be diminished in its ability to do so, but these states are all reversible.

### Disappearing Mass?

In a nuclear reaction, such as that in which a quantity of "unstable" radium "spontaneously" emits powerful radiation into surrounding space and matter, SMS would say that the radiation is the product of "radioactive decay" as expressed by Einstein's equation, " $E = MC^2$ ". According to this equation, the emission of a certain amount ("quanta") of radiation, at certain voltages (say 4.5 Mev), over a certain time period, is equal to a certain portion of the mass of the radium, multiplied times the speed of light, squared. The equation says that the mass of the radium decreases by an amount equal to the "interconverted" radiant energy emitted, based on the assumption that matter and radiation are interchangeable.

Since the uncontested 'minimum' age of the universe, according to SMS—notwithstanding the astronomically-determined ages of the oldest stars clusters in our galaxy—exceeds the purported

atomic half-lives of any radioactive materials, it is confirmed that such materials were created within the earth through natural process, and that otherwise the atomic half-lives would long since have expired. In 1936, Nikola Tesla produced radium through transmutation, quickly, in pound quantities, with a process which he said was so cheap that it could be profitably sold for less than a dollar per pound.<sup>10</sup>

As it emits its radiation and alpha particles (stripped helium atoms which have mass), the radium's mass does not shrink. Any gaseous emission—radon gas—maintains its mass, atom for atom, proton and neutron for proton and neutron, electron for electron, etc., all mass remaining constant and accounted for. Any so-called "decay product"—such as lead—has the same mass as the original radium, less any radon atoms or alpha particles emitted. The physical components merely become juxtaposed, and none of them "become" electromagnetic radiation. Where, then, has the energy come from? Tesla said it comes from the ZPR. He also said that matter and radiation are not interchangeable. He compared the difference between matter and force to the difference between the body and the mind, saying force is a "...function of matter", and that, just as a mind could not exist without a body, "...without matter, there can be no force."<sup>11</sup> One cannot become the other. The energy is force carried by electromagnetic radiation, which never takes physical form as an entity of any kind.

This process is explained by SMS as if no external energy of any kind is affecting the radium, when in fact, according to Tesla, it is interacting with its surrounding environment, matter and space, not only by emitting radiation, but by reacting to incoming ZPR. There is no missing mass—no one has proven that there is—so the

---

<sup>10</sup> Nikola Tesla, July 10, 1937 prepared statement, and July 11, 1937 interview, New York Times, p. 13, col.2.

<sup>11</sup> Nikola Tesla Papers (Apr. 15, 1932), Rare Books and Manuscripts Library, Columbia University.

Einstein theory must be wrong. Radium is apparently "stepping down" the ZPR to gamma and X-radiation frequencies, just as Tesla said. Without a shrinking mass of radium, it has to be true, especially at the particular rates and levels of emission.

The sun shines through my window and heats up a flagstone floor. The heat moves from the stone to the earth below and the air above. It 'dissipates', warming the air. The warm air rises to the ceiling, forcing colder air downward, 'doing work'. SMS considers this energy "unavailable", because it eventually dissipates, but the reality is, whether or not energy is available does not depend upon whether SMS can follow it, but on whether we have good reason to believe it will not be unavailable forever, or that matter is not irreparably damaged by use in an energy process. Even though the particular matter may not immediately bend to our will, due to the state that it is in or the place that it resides, it does not 'disappear', and may be processed for use again, or will become included with other matter, where it undergoes natural changes which eventually render it available for later reaction, whenever that may be.

If the combustion of a hydrocarbon fuel such as gasoline yields  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , with the release of useful heat force converted from the ZPR by the expansion of hot gases which run an engine, how can this matter be made to react again? If the process to reverse the process is too complicated to put in our cars, we should use other processes which are not so complicated.

I put gasoline into my tank, start my engine, and the gas and air mixture combusts in the cylinders to produce torque to drive my wheels. The exhaust emissions— $\text{CO}$ ,  $\text{CO}_2$   $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  vapor and heat—are only a rearrangement of the same fuel and air molecules which entered the engine. Once in the atmosphere, the chemical and heat units are sorted and concentrated or dissipated by nature. Some of the units are recombined by plants into the raw material for more gasoline refinement, and the cycle can be repeated, ad infinitum. The only thing we are talking about is the time that it takes natural processes to render the energy available to us again, or to do other work in nature, not the idea that the matter is "all

tuckered out". All motion is perpetual, all energy is conserved, and all energy is free for the taking. We take what's available now, and wait for the rest, or we use reversible processes which speed the process up and eliminate "pollution", which is really no more than the random misplacement of matter in nature in such a way that it may interfere with natural processes beneficial to life forms, including us.

SMS works for the banking, mineral or power and industrial cartels, or is a dupe, or maybe even all the above. A real scientist should know better, know the truth.

Energy is not a "thing", and there is no such thing as "pure energy" in any physical form. Energy is force, created by matter in motion, exerted on other matter over time. Mass is moved by force, and gathers and exerts force through movement and reaction with the ZPR and other radiant force. The magnitude of a particular force and the length of time it is exerted on other matter determines the amount of work done. When force is exerted to produce heat, the IR radiation is the result of conversion from another type of radiation, such as magnetism, visible light or ZPR. An example is a campfire, in which carbonaceous matter (wood) is oxidized by fire. The chemical disposition within the matter and air maintains the reaction. The heat radiated does not represent destruction of the atoms of carbon or oxygen, but rather the conversion of radiant energy by the atoms when oxidation occurs, at which time the bonds between the atoms as they existed before are broken, and are replaced by new bonds with other elements. This causes a molecular change in which the atoms release stored IR radiation, or the ZPR reacts with the atoms and molecules, "stepping down" the ZPR, like a transformer, to IR radiation. There is also a possible release of stored IR radiation in the hydrocarbon fuel atoms and molecules.

"Combusted" carbon is bonded to oxygen as  $\text{CO}_2$ . "Combusted" hydrogen is bonded to oxygen as  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , usually in a vapor state because of the liberated heat energy. This is not a 'final resolution' of the energy production potential of carbon and oxygen, since natural processes, driven by solar radiation, will eventually return

both elements to a combustible state. The carbon and hydrogen may lie in the earth for a few million years, temporarily 'locked up' in a coal deposit, while the oxygen floats in the atmosphere, completing the "water cycle" and other process for eons. The energy in the coal deposits being formed is not 'unavailable' forever, but remains ever capable of combusting or eventually transmuting ZPR into IR radiation, again and again.

SMS has no answer as to where the heat energy of combustion comes from, other than to say that it is a "release of the bonding energy" of the molecules. But if the bonding energy of oxygen, hydrogen and carbon, prior to the reaction, were weak enough to allow the reaction, then the 'tighter' bonds producing the CO<sub>2</sub> and H<sub>2</sub>O in the reaction, represents an overall absorption of energy, with the result that the reaction should produce a cooling rather than a heating effect. It seems that the force produced by the combustion reaction—IR radiation—may come from a source external to the reaction, and could represent "free energy". The only change which has occurred in the matter is its ability to combust, which has been temporarily neutralized.

SMS would have us believe that the "fuel"—oxygen, carbon and hydrogen—have decreased in available energy forever, but it simply isn't true, and the people who ultimately controlled and designed his academic curriculum knew it.

Though it is not comforting to realize that all the gasoline and diesel fuel combusted in engines is still represented in the natural environment, it is a fact that the exhaust products are forever available for use again. A better idea is to use matter which doesn't have to leave our engines, by using exclusively reversible processes to do our work.

Intuition tells us that hot water appears to "store" heat, but the fact is, heat transferred to water enters it in the form of IR radiation, and when the heat is extracted from the water, it will also be as IR radiation, in a reversible process, even when in direct surface contact with a heat conductor, in which case the atoms or molecules of the conductor become excited by contact with the

water, as the conductor transfers the stored IR radiation. Since the IR radiation excites the water, this may allow it to react with the ZPR, until the same finite amount of heat is radiated back out, in a reverse reaction to that which occurred when the IR radiation entered the water. When hot water is carefully insulated in something like a thermos bottle, with a mirrored inner surface, the combination of heat-reflection, vacuum and insulation virtually isolates it from contact with an external heat conducting material (though not the entire universe). The IR radiation remains in the water, and virtually does not exit until the insulator is removed. Otherwise, it could 'jump' the insulator like microwaves can. The insulator does not stop the ZPR, but inhibits its reaction with the water, since the lower end of the 'step-down' process cannot be continued so long as the water retains maximum heat in a liquid state.

There is no energy inherent in matter, only the inherent property possessed by matter to store radiant energy and to react when undergoing molecular and atomic state-changes, to react to radiant energy force, and to convert it to useful force to perform work, such as heating, lighting, communicating, and mechanical force. It is not matter alone which determines the availability of energy, but rather the states, and changes of states of matter, which give it the capacity to react to the universal ZPR and other matter.

### Lvne's Hypothesis

As I said in 1993<sup>12</sup>—based on my "Omni Theory" of 1963—the only way the earth could have grown like it has, is through solar/ZPR-driven photosynthesis, through which ether has been assembled into the solid mass of most of the elements found in the earth's outer layers.

Around 1961, I personally cut the samples of oil well drilling

---

<sup>12</sup>William R. Lyne, Space Aliens From the Pentagon (1993, rev. 2nd ed., 1996); Occult. Ether Physics (1997; rev. 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., 1998)

cuttings from ca. 20,000 feet beneath the surface of Reeves County, Texas, in a well drilled by my uncle, Roger Benton, for J. Evetts Haley, Sr. It was the first well to go beyond 20,000 feet. The samples represented cuttings from all the strata from the surface down to ca. 22,000 feet, I believe (if my memory serves me), all the strata contained the detritus of living things, essentially dependent on photosynthesis, either animal life remains, plant life remains, or minerals derived from these, through metamorphosis or natural transmutation. The universe must have a 'constant' of life-forms.

According to my theory, the elements we have at our disposal are mostly the products of photosynthesis and metamorphosis over time, derived from the action of radiation on the ether, via plant and animal life, except for a small percentage of "space dust" (formed the same way on other celestial bodies or in space) which fell into earth's atmosphere.

Since the elements we know of are found in deposits in the earth's upper layers, I assumed they were produced by photosynthesis or metamorphosis/transmutation from photosynthetic products, driven by the ZPR and other solar radiation, and that these deposits continue to the earth's center. The idea that earth has a "molten iron core" is a silly one, since the laws of thermodynamics would require that the surface would melt too.

Having seen their pet Relativist theories shaken by my obvious facts, an article appeared in the Orlando Sentinel, carried also by the Santa Fe New Mexican on 7/30/01, under the headline, "NASA probe on mission to catch some rays." The article stated that a NASA solar probe called Genesis was scheduled to blast off on the 30<sup>th</sup>, on a mission to "catch some rays"—to collect some samples of our sun's solar wind, and bring "them" home to scientists, thus allegedly marking the first time that "extraterrestrial material" has been returned from beyond the moon.

Though this incidentally confirms my theory of at least some of our minerals, etc., by admitting that the elements on earth were "assembled" here (since they are not considered "extraterrestrial materials") the truth of the matter is, there is a lot of

"extraterrestrial material" dropping into earth's atmosphere every day. For instance, I have some "black sands" which were most probably not formed on earth, because the elements in them could not have combined in the way that they did in earth's gravity field or atmosphere. In addition, there is no "mother lode" of mineral deposits that they could have weathered out of.

The mission concentrates on cosmic "particles", which are not electromagnetic radiation, but composed of atoms propelled by solar radiation, as Tesla said in the 1890s. It also misses a real concern, the ether which is assembled into earthly mass under the influence of solar electromagnetic waves, especially of the ZPR.

The \$164 million spacecraft is intended to "shed light on the makeup and evolution of our solar system." It is hypothesized that the solar wind—a stream of charged atoms—contains the "same mix" of elements found in the sun's surface layers, which in turn is believed to mirror the contents of the sun's solar nebula. Two and a half years of sampling is expected to collect material weighing "no more than several grains of salt", and "should" contain "every element found naturally in the solar system". This apparently is intended to test or disprove my theory, yet no mention is made of me or my theory, of course. This may be intended as an attempt to prove an old theory, to disprove a new theory, without stating who originated the new theory or what it said. The old theory had no basis in the first place. All they have to do to confirm the facts, is to observe things here on earth.

This seems to be a very expensive test of an hypothesis which is intended to prove that all the elements on earth were "shot" here from the sun, via the 'solar wind'. Otherwise, "Lyne's Hypothesis" presents a significant obstacle to the typical Big Bang mentality. Trying to imagine the sun building Jupiter in their way, demonstrates the improbability of such a theory.

If the samples fail to contain a mixture of all the elements on earth, my theory can be presumed true, since no one to my knowledge has more reasonably explained where all our elements "came from". I maintain that through reaction with certain basic

matter in space, earth had its beginning, building additional matter from the ether through reactions with the ZPR. This eventually gave rise to basic plant life, creating a gaseous atmosphere, and all this matter reacted with the ZPR to form other matter from the ether, composing the plants, gases absorbed and released by the plants, and matter in the earth. Eventually, animal life forms developed in a similar way. Certain other basic elements transmuted to others under the influence of cosmic radiation, and some additional elements were assembled from ether particles into atoms of different elements, until the elements were complete.

The entire series could have begun with the simplest atoms, hydrogen, gathered from space. Considering the time that such a process could have taken, our galaxy has to be much, much older than Hubble's Constant.

The final, most complex elements created represent the voltage limits of the ZPR's influence on the ether, and in a certain sense, all the processes involved in creating certain elements here on earth, duplicating conditions essential for the creation of the same elements elsewhere. The process is continuous, and even transmutes atoms in your body, especially those below atomic number 19.

The process I have described does not create mass, but only collects and assembles tiny ether particles possessing tiny mass, into atoms, through the influence of the ZPR on the ether. The ether particles may be identical to neutrinos, which possess mass and carry momentum. Since my earlier books, Japanese experimenters have confirmed that neutrinos in great numbers are ubiquitous in space, which I think are sufficient to account for an "ether theory". Since many of these neutrinos are captured on earth, new mass is added to the earth. The Japanese seemed to have confirmed my theory.

According to my theory, the sun and other stars are transmitters and receivers of ZPR, and hence 'build' their planets by supplying the radiation necessary to assemble the planetary elements from the ether, through reaction with initially small amounts of other simple basic 'seed' elements.

The big question is, how could such "energy" continue to exist

to supply power maintaining the perpetual motion of the universe, as well as for all processes of building atoms from the ether? The general opinion is that "energy gets used up" in a local region. But this energy (force) is continually replenished by the ZPR.

Since all suns basically receive as much energy as they transmit, and since all energy (force, exerted over time) and atomic matter (assembled from the ether) is conserved—in the motion of matter (or ether), or in transient radiation in all of universal space, and since there is always ubiquitous available force from the ZPR, equilibrium is maintained in all regions of space, and all matter and motion is perpetual. This is the "Lyne Theory of the Conservation of Perpetual Motion and Force".

According to Tesla<sup>13</sup>, in an 1891 lecture, rapidly varying electrostatic discharges from the earth ("microwaves") 'rigidity' the ether. This was based on reactions actually verified by Tesla before that 1891 lecture, which showed the ponderomotive behavior of mass under the various circumstances he described. In an 1892 lecture in London<sup>14</sup>, he repeated the results of this research regarding the emission of electrostatic 'brush' discharges (negative electrostatic corona) by a conductive body, as it draws in the tubes of force (aligned ether carriers) which carry momentum, showing that when these tubes of force are dissolved in the body, they impart their momentum to the body in the direction of the emissions.

According to Hannes Alfven<sup>15</sup>, a giant electric current travels through space along lines of "frozen magnetic force" from the sun to the earth, passes through the earth and back to the sun's equator, imparting "angular momentum" to the earth in its solar orbit. This was based on earlier research by Kristian Birkeland, who in 1895

---

<sup>13</sup> Nikola Tesla, Lecture Before the A.I.E.E., Columbia College, New York (1891)

<sup>14</sup> Nikola Tesla, Experiments with Alternate Currents of High Potential and High Frequency (a lecture delivered to the Institution of Electrical Engineers, London, 1892)

<sup>15</sup> Hannes Alfven, Existence of Electromagnetic Hydrodynamic Waves, Nature, vol. 150 (Oct. 3, 1942), pp. 405-6

explained the aurora borealis as the effect of the currents later proven by Alfven, as they enter the earth's atmosphere where earth's magnetic field is almost vertical, causing a glow similar to that of cathode rays in a laboratory.

J. J. Thomson<sup>16</sup>, in 1893, restated Tesla's earlier finding (without attribution), "...if moving tubes of force entering a conductor are dissolved in it, mechanical momentum is given to it at right angles to the tubes and to the magnetic induction", adding that this momentum is "...proportional to the vector product of electric and magnetic forces". This repeated what Tesla had said, since the momentum was proportional to the vector product of the electric and magnetic fields.

Thomson also declared that "...the aether is a storehouse of mechanical momentum", based on "his" theory, concluding that "...the aether is itself the vehicle of mechanical momentum, of amount  $(1/4\pi C [D . B])$  per unit volume." As stated by Sir Edmund Whittaker<sup>17</sup>, in a steady (electrostatic or magnetostatic) field, the resultant stresses acting on a volume of the aether is zero, so that the aether is in equilibrium. But when the electric and/or magnetic field is variable, the resultant stress on the aether is consistent with Tesla's earlier, 1891 finding, and Thomson's 1893 equation as stated above.

Tesla's experiment had verified this, using "alternate currents of high voltage and high frequency", on the assumption that a changing field was essential to creation of the necessary stress upon the "medium" (the ether). What is more important, until Tesla's demonstration, using generators he brought along, the British had no idea of how to create the necessary high frequency fields.

This, of course, was the basis for Tesla's electrodynamic space propulsion system.

---

<sup>16</sup>J. J. Thomson, Recent Researches in Electricity and Magnetism (1893) p. 13

<sup>17</sup>Sir Edmund Whittaker, A History of the Theories of Aether and Electricity, Revised and enlarged edition (1951), Thomas Nelson and Sons, Edinburgh

## CHAPTER IV - SECRET SCIENCE: Thought-Control on The Professional Level

### Epistemology

In order for the government to hide so many scientific and technological discoveries through its secrecy, there had to be a way to change the way people thought and what they believed. The formation of what one believes is usually limited by one's epistemology—what one's "first laws" are, what one's theory of knowledge or truth is. Is "seeing believing", or is "seeing just an illusion"? Philosophers have identified two basic theories of epistemology, which are the Platonic and the Aristotelian. We each usually choose one or the other, depending on what we are willing to accept as "the truth" or accept as "real".

The Platonic epistemology, based on subjective "first-laws" ("irreducible primaries", the beginning point for any philosophy), in this case states that there is a 'higher world of perfect forms', which we can never really see or know directly. According to this epistemology, the world around us is only an illusory "shadow" of these higher, perfect forms. Accordingly, we cannot have a direct knowledge of reality, because we can't depend on our senses as a reliable means of knowing reality.

The Platonic epistemology's name is derived from Plato's Cave Analogy, which is about a person sitting at night in a cave, between a fire and a passing caravan. As the caravan passes in front of the fire—which lights an inner wall of the cave, and casts a shadow of the caravan on the wall—the viewer sees no more than a 'shadow of reality' (the shadow of the caravan). The caravan itself represents reality—the world of 'perfect forms'—which we are unable to see directly.

This epistemology is usually typical of religious people, and of Relativists, the people who have been running 'official' physics since 1919, maybe longer, I could never figure out what was stopping the

man in the cave from leaving the cave and taking a look at the caravan directly.

The Aristotelian epistemology has objective first-laws, which state as an irreducible primary that the senses are valid tools of cognition (thought). What you see or sense, can be accepted as true on its face, because visibility, in the three-dimensional space of perceived, physical reality, is based on scientifically measurable light, shadow, distance, height, weight, color, etc. The Aristotelian epistemology interposes no mystical, spiritual or religious concepts between the observer and reality, through which our knowledge must be filtered, such as Relativism seems to require. While non-visible or non-perceptible forces and factors are recognized, if they can be measured as heat, light, sound, touch, or smell, or through the use of scientific instruments—which are technological extensions of our senses—these forces and factors are not "relative". As Ayn Rand said, "The facts of reality are what they are irrespective as to whether we are aware of them or not."<sup>1</sup>, and "Existence exists: A equals A."

The disconnection of science from the Aristotelian epistemology, and the movement of scientific thought toward the Platonist epistemology, was accelerated particularly after 1919, when Relativism was projected into the vogue. Beginning about 1910, the mega-corporate owners of the mass media had begun to control science and other educational curricula,<sup>2</sup> particularly that of the medical schools, through the use of so-called "philanthropic grants", which were actually designed to benefit the corporations which had set up the foundations through which the grants were awarded. These Rockefeller grants set the stage for the controllers. The foundations eventually appointed compliant faculty members as officers, so that the foundations, corporations, and the

---

<sup>1</sup> Ayn Rand, *Philosophy: Who Needs It?*

<sup>2</sup> G. Edward Griffin, *World Without Cancer*, Part II, *The Politics of Cancer Therapy*, American Media (1974)

educational institutions were thoroughly invigorated with one another. This process, which began with grants favoring medical schools which taught a curriculum which advocated the use of products manufactured by the pharmaceutical subsidiaries of the Rockefeller family, soon also included the slanting of physics curricula toward Relativism, apparently intended to more directly favor the Rockefeller petroleum industry upon which the pharmaceutical industries were based.

This use of the educational grant process completed the basic cycle of how the corporate giants began to control what scientific professionals were allowed to think and believe. Those who bucked the system just simply wouldn't be allowed to remain as part of it. In 1905 Albert Einstein re-introduced the world to the religious, 18<sup>th</sup> century Theory of Relativity. The media giants wasted no time in promoting this 'wrong turn' of physics, and by 1919, it was in full swing. Some of the beliefs advocated by Relativism border on insanity.

The things some adults believe remind me of a little child who closes his eyes and thinks he is no longer visible. We play along with the gag and say, "What happened to Bobby? He disappeared! How did he do that!" But when adult scientists do it, it is no joke.

It is hard for me to accept the fact that some adults believe in "other dimensions", but why should I be surprised, since the concept of "heaven" is one of another dimension, the existence of which people have been taught to believe for thousands of years. Heaven can be neither perceived nor ascertained objectively, and whether it exists or not depends upon the faith of the believer, rather than reason. To me, if you can prove something exists in this dimension—the only dimension I recognize—it is IN this dimension, because I think there is only one dimension, called "reality."

The fact that people have private thoughts, dreams, and ideas in their minds does not verify the existence of "other" dimensions, since we know that mental processes are held in the mind, in "our" dimension, as electromagnetic patterns, waves, currents, fields and data configurations, similar to how a computer stores information,

except the mind's computer is a dynamic electromagnetic and organic one, rather than an exclusively inorganic, dynamic electromagnetic and mechanical one.

Though some beliefs border on insanity, I don't think there is a correlation between insanity and belief, but there appears to be a correlation between induced neurosis and belief. An insane person, or a person with a neurological disorder, who sees, hears and feels things and beings which aren't there, does not do so because of his epistemology. He does so because his mind plays tricks on him, due to organic malfunctions in his nervous system and biochemistry, which cause visual, auditory and other sensory hallucinations real enough for him to believe. He does not say he "believes" these things, since he usually has no choice. In a way, such an hallucinating person, by accepting what he "sees" as "real", has an Aristotelian epistemology, except we know that he only "sees" the hallucinations in his mind. To whatever degree he recognizes them for what they are, he may still be a rational person.

The concept that insanity is a disease was rejected by the director of the New York State Hospital, Thomas Szasz, as stated in his book, "The Myth of Mental Disease". Szasz destroyed the idea that an insane person was one who had "caught" a "disease" which could be "cured" through psychotherapy.<sup>3</sup> According to Szasz, "The classification of (mis)behavior as illness provides an ideological justification for state-sponsored social control as medical treatment".<sup>4</sup>

In other words, if you mindlessly accept the misclassification by the state or the psychiatric profession, of a mental problem having a physiological, biochemical or neurological basis, as a "disease" or "illness", you may soon find yourself being treated to the "therapy of choice" of your local cops.

---

<sup>3</sup>Thomas Szasz, The Myth of Mental Disease (1960)

<sup>4</sup>Thomas Szasz, Manifesto (summary statement) (1998)

It had already been discovered about the time that Szasz wrote his book, that schizophrenia was not the result of a series of "traumas" which "split the personality", but rather of organic malfunctions in the patient's brain physiology and biochemistry. The cure might be pharmaceutical, but no amount of "mental hygiene" or "therapy" would cure it. Unbelievably futile effort has been expended on "psychoanalysis" and therapy, a la Freud, Meninger, Jung, etc., without curing a single, true schizophrenic.

While true, organic insanity—psychosis, which totally obstructs rationality—has little to do with epistemology, neurosis—which interferes with rationality, but does not totally obstruct it—is yet another thing which can be induced by an epistemology which encourages beliefs which interfere with rationality. The kind of epistemology pushed by the government's covert propagandists is the Platonist epistemology, which induces neurosis in many people, but worse than that, it is an essential part of the government's program of controlling the way many people think.

If we consider the general public as inmates in a gigantic mental asylum, the government's thought-control program is worse than mere quack psychotherapy, because the "psychotherapy" is intended to induce, rather than to alleviate neurosis. In this case, the "doctor" is fraudulently generating more "business" through abuse of his patients, by inducing them to believe things which will maintain, create or increase neurotic "dependency".

Since one's credulity, regulated by one's epistemology, limits what he or she finds believable, credulity is a sort of governor, which allows information and ideas into the mind, or excludes them, either as "credible" or "incredible", subject to certain tests which one requires of them. Ordinarily, for one with an Aristotelian epistemology, these 'tests' are in the form of rational questions, such as:

1. Can the information be objectively verified by my senses or detected scientifically?
2. Have I personally seen, heard, touched, smelled, measured or otherwise sensed it?
3. Is the information or idea from a reliable source?
4. Can the information be documented by rational people?
5. Does the information seem to violate any natural law?
6. Does the information seem to violate any scientific law?
7. Can I trust those who say it is valid?

All these credulity tests are subject to modification whenever a person has a Platonist epistemology, since Platonists don't believe in relying upon objective evidence, and don't believe we can 'know' reality, a belief which is supposed to give them a "King's X" (immunity) from reality or accountability. Therefore, in order to render the public at large more easily susceptible to government thought control, the Platonist epistemology is naturally the one which the government trains its covert propaganda agents to promote, particularly through paranormalism and spiritualism.

This technique came straight from Nazi Germany, and is what I call the Big Lie False Propaganda System, developed in conjunction with John D. Rockefeller's plan to control the world through religion, and taught to the SS by his psychologists and psychiatrists in the 1920s. In this system, a big hole is first wallowed in the public's credulity, with Big Paranormal Lies spread by people the public has been taught to respect—such as those with Ph.D.s and other authoritarian titles—so the public will accept whatever other Big Lies the government wants it to believe. As stated by Adolf Hitler:

"In the size of the lie there is always contained a certain factor of credibility, since the great masses of people ...will more easily fall victim to a great lie than to a small one, since they themselves...lie sometimes in little things...Thus such an idea of an untruth will not at all enter their heads...therefore, just for this reason some part of the most impudent lie will remain and stick."

This statement revealed the mass-psychology system which was used on the German people to gain their support for events which defied the scientific reasoning for which Germans were known worldwide.

Government thought-control won't work if there are plenty of reliable, respectable, rational, genuinely independent people available in society, with access to the media, to identify and point out the devious government-corporate scheming to the public. For that reason, to avoid this contingency, corporate-controlled media excludes such people, while the government's "official" public propaganda is directed partly to the general public, and partly to society's so-called "intelligentsia".

### Government Thought-Control of Scientists and Professionals

This is a two-pronged, "divide-and-conquer" strategy carried out through two teams of covert propaganda agents, which I call the "Pseudo-Skeptics" and the "Pseudo-Paranormalists". The Pseudo-Skeptics pose as members and leaders of the intelligentsia, while the Pseudo-Paranormalists pose as members and leaders of the public's grass-roots "alternative" community. The message of the Pseudo-Skeptics also coincides with the government's "official, public" position on science, while the message of the Pseudo'Paranormalists is intended to represent irrationality, mixed with things like the worst kind of religious superstition and paranoia—to make the government's position seem more rational by comparison—and things the government wishes to discourage though ridicule heaped

upon them by the Dupe-Intelligentsia (unwitting or useful educated idiots).

A true intelligentsia, which would normally be guardians of the public regarding certain devious and dangerous government tendencies, is displaced, skillfully neutralized, isolated and misdirected toward red herrings, which the Pseudo-Skeptic leaders have duped the intelligentsia with, in order to misdirect them against the red herrings created by the Pseudo-Paranormalists, designed to neutralize the grass-roots movement. In this way the Big Lie System achieves the aims of the Dictatorship without bloodshed or overt oppression. It uses "trick psychology" on the people to carry out political, social and economic programs as part of its undisclosed agenda of control and economic and physical exploitation.

Neither the Pseudo-Skeptics nor the Pseudo-Paranormalists are genuine representatives of true public opinion, but represent a "government-designed" set of opinions intended to intermesh, to subvert public opinion and rule by consent of the governed, from top to bottom and side to side, and to obliterate the most rational assumptions in the "arena of reason". What results is a "rule by consensus", a form of fascism.<sup>5</sup>

In order to insure that "forbidden" knowledge remains secret, the system of government thought-control manufactures a false government "skepticism" among duped scientists and intellectuals, to maintain this secrecy regarding free-energy and Tesla's electro dynamic space propulsion technology, as I have already mentioned. This subject is not allowed to come up for discussion in officially sanctioned scientific gatherings.

Certain free-energy or highly efficient scientific information is "classified" as "national secrets" by the government, so that, concerning certain scientific phenomena, laws, and procedures, the public is 'dumbed down', and denied this 'occult' knowledge possessed by the government and corporations exclusively. Corporate disclosures are kept in check by the national

---

<sup>5</sup>Ayn Rand, Rule by Consensus, The New Fascism (1968).

security/non-disclosure agreements entered into under government contracts. Occult Ether Physics deals with this 'occult' knowledge as it applies to Tesla's discovery of electrodynamic UFO propulsion, in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century,

I distinguish between "mind-control" and "thought-control" as follows: Mind control is involuntary, and does not require the conscious submission of the person whose mind is being controlled, while thought-control does. Thought-control is a kind of "thought fraud", a means of controlling one's opinions and beliefs through a combination of truth-concealment and false propaganda (information control), and intimidation (a kind of psychological terrorism). These are used to create the false appearance of "conscious" acceptance by the victim of a certain set of opinions regarding certain subject matter, as if the victim had consciously accepted those views, when in fact he has been defrauded by denial of information which has been replaced by misinformation, making it impossible for him to make a fully-informed decision.

As an example of this thought-control among supposedly "rational" people today, whom I call "Dupe-Skeptics", there is a specific topic of conversation, about which independent, individual opinions are abolished, and only a certain, pre-ordained opinion is tolerated or considered to be "rational" by the government, as expressed by its official Pseudo-Skeptics, who must know the truth in order to conceal it, or pretend not to believe it. The government has the official policy of prohibiting any professional people who are respected for their positions in the community, from expressing opinions contrary to the government's dictum on this issue (just as it does on several other particular issues).

On this particular topic, who or what power is it which "pre-ordains" or "allows" only the "tolerated" opinions, and "why"? What kind of intimidation inhibits supposedly rational, professionally educated people, creating their fear of the free discussion of a certain topic, inducing them to 'consciously' yield to the preferred opinion of the controlling authority, and to limit their own expressions—and even freedom of thought? Is their behavior

only the 'rational' response to a fear of a power so strong and threatening, that the Dupe-Skeptics do not even permit their own minds to think otherwise? This system of inducing people to limit and control their own thoughts and expressions to conform to government parameters was copied from religion, and shows successful thought-control. It, like religion, employs guilt-based thought-control methods.

The segment of our society from which educated Dupe-Skeptics usually come is generally one which is more easily intimidated than that of say, auto mechanics or heavy equipment operators, who are usually more resistant to such pressures. In fact, they may slap you up-side of the head with a tire-iron, if you try that on them. Dupe-Skeptics, under government persuasion, in deference to an external, covert government-connected controlling power and media-created consensus, have banned this opinion from rational consideration, and have categorically relegated it to the realm of the lunatic fringe, even though the forbidden opinion is the most rational alternative under the circumstances.

Such a situation makes a mockery of the very concept of rational skepticism. What we are presented with as "skeptical opinion", in those magazines on the book stands—such as *The Skeptic*, *The Skeptical Inquirer*, or *Skeptical Opinion*—is more like covert government propaganda than anything remotely resembling true skepticism in the historical tradition, when it comes to the so-called "forbidden topic" of UFOs.

Why would true skeptics be afraid of a truly rational consideration of the facts, reject the most rational alternative, and cave in to pressure from the government, the cult of intelligence, or some other group, regarding any topic? They wouldn't. What the government's reign of thought-terror has done, is reduce the ranks of true skeptics to a small few, who have little or nothing to do with groups or their magazines. I can be confident that these magazines will not respond directly to my accusations, in obedience to a covert policy to never mention or cite anyone who is not a part of the "official" two-pronged thought-control loop. This avoidance is

another badge of their conscious fraud.

It is irrefutable that many alleged "intellectuals" in our society have allowed the irrational "regulation" of their thought on this topic, by the government, by intelligence agencies, and by groups sponsored by them. Most of them lack the courage to say what they really believe, and many fear for their professional reputations and licenses. Yet, these same Dupe-Skeptics, who pride themselves on being rational, have virtually fled in fear from this topic, except to parrot the sole "allowed" opinion dictated by the government and its official opinion-makers.

There is no question but that the Dupe-Skeptics have allowed their fear of the government to control their opinions, thoughts, and expressions on this topic. For example, of the many Unitarians I questioned on this topic (Unitarians pride themselves on having "independent opinions" and "free thought"), every single one of them, without exception, considered Philip Klass to be a wonderful speaker, who reflected their opinions regarding the non-existence of UFOs. Not a single one of them was bothered by the fact that Klass is a CIA man with a job to do on their minds.

Such a situation seems preposterous or incredible, even to self-proclaimed "rational" thinkers, who would be very reluctant to admit its truth. For those who, of all people, seem to have tried so conscientiously and so hard and for so long, to be intellectually courageous, logical, truthful, frank, independent, and free in the range of their minds, it is truly astounding to realize that, on this topic, they have almost all allowed their opinions to be ultimately regulated and molded by the government. Yet the actual history of Unitarianism was not always of this cowardly "tradition".

Some might comment that this conflict could only be possible in a totalitarian state. What we presently have appears to be a "covert" totalitarian state...one in which the dictat is so deceptive that the average citizen is completely unaware of it, and those of us who are aware of it are called "paranoid". The degree of this thought-control is greater than that exercised in terms of the prohibition of freedom of expression in the former Soviet Union.

Could it be that the so-called "skeptical", "rational", "free-thinker", "secular Humanist", Unitarians and other colleagues in our society—swayed by the statements of government employees, books, newspapers, and magazines (with so many CIA dupes on their boards and staffs), and other media products which inflict social and government pressures—have allowed their opinions to be determined by the government? How could those who in the past have so bravely taken controversial, rational positions on such topics as racial equality, abortion, sexual freedom, taxation, church-state separation, Atheism, cosmology, evolution, free thought, the draft, individualism and sovereignty, privacy, drugs, and the limits of legitimate government, be afraid to discuss any topic?

The importance of the topic I speak about can be gauged by the degree to which, in comparison to the topics I just listed, the government places the utmost emphasis on maintaining secrecy, far beyond its efforts on any other topic, even nuclear weapon and missile secrets.

This fear, silence, and sycophantic compliance proves that there is an unspoken, covert government rule, which prohibits a truly rational and free discussion on this topic, which is subject to the greatest priority, much like scientists were once persecuted by the church-state, which openly prohibited as heresy the free discussion of even the possibility that the scientific facts about the universe differed from those specified in holy writ.

Anyone who questioned "god" (i.e., the state) did so at their own peril. The purpose today of this covert rule, which prohibits the opinion that UFOs are exclusively man-made electrodynes, and that the government has created false "alien contact" stories about them to hide them, is analogous to questioning the medieval "god", and carries the same stigma of a "heresy" in science, to officially enforce the ignorance of an abusive authority from challenge or erosion, in addition to protecting powerful economic interests from the threat of competition from a new technology which will eventually end their illicit power over the minds of scientists, and over the intellectual, economic, social, and physical freedom of our people.

## CHAPTER V - ALIEN VISITORS: Thought-Control on the Grass-Roots Level

I first began studying flying saucers—then called "flying discs"—in the summer of 1946, seen by several people I knew, mostly oil field workers who ran oil well pumps in remote locations throughout West Texas and southern New Mexico. Many people at that time were seeing the ships in the area, and most of them correctly assumed that they were captured secret German aircraft brought to New Mexico and Ft. Bliss, Texas, after the war, from Germany. Wernher von Braun and some of his rocket and electrodyne group from Peenemunde were assigned to Ft. Bliss, to the north of El Paso, and a larger number of the Peenemunde scientists were at several other New Mexico locations such as White Sands Missile Range, Holloman AFB at Alamogordo, Sandia Base, Los Alamos, Kirtland AFB, etc. Most people in the area were aware of these activities at that time.

These assignments were determined by the specialities of the scientists, the available facilities at the time at various nearby locations, and the particular roles assigned to the bases. Generally speaking, Sandia Base is an Army weapons development center. Ft. Bliss was the U.S. Army Ordnance center, before being moved to Redstone Arsenal in 1951. Holloman Air Force Base was an advanced fighter interceptor base, which also performed early U.S. advanced flight tests for UFOs. It also engaged in R. & D. on advanced flight problems, such as the rocket sled acceleration experiments, using rhesus monkeys, then chimps. For that reason, it became the location for the Southwest Primate Research center, which Jane Goodall seemed to become aware of after my book was published, detailing the cruel and painful deaths of the monkeys on the rocket sled. These tests were preparatory for such advanced human flights as that of the X-15, and in preparation for flights to the moon and other outer space missions, using rockets, pursuant to Wernher von Braun's dreams.

Los Alamos National Laboratory, run by the University of

California, Berkeley, was considered a scientific base, which dealt with the more precise studies and problems encountered with the UFO technology as it developed, such as how to deal with corrosion of the outer metal surfaces caused by the high voltage electrical discharges, which formed nitrogen oxide, which in combination with atmospheric moisture, formed nitric acid. This necessitated special acid-resistant surfaces. The lab also did special studies on such things as "spheronics" (the electronic resonant possibilities of spherical UFO shapes), the phenomenon of the lack of sonic boom or other sounds, the ability of the ships to accelerate to such fantastic speeds so rapidly, without producing a shock wave or experiencing friction heating of the surface by atmospheric gases, and several other mysteries or problems. It also did metallurgical work on special alloys for use on the ships, structural studies, and so forth.

At Kirtland AFB, special studies were undertaken regarding the physiological aspects of UFO flight, and how the human being reacted to it. Sandia Base in the meanwhile explored the possibilities of appropriate weaponry for use on UFOs, while White Sands Missile Range, as an "impact range", was used for the testing of these weapons.

Many people in the region were veterans of the European war, and some had seen the "foo fighters" over Germany. Several of my friends were the children of Air Force veterans, some of whom were air aces, such as the father of my friend, Carter McKenzie. There were several ace fighter pilots in my model airplane club. Other friends were the children of scientists and technicians who worked in the Manhattan Project during WW II.

Some of the men who taught our model airplane club how to build and fly good planes were ace fighter pilots. I point this out to show that many who assumed that the flying discs were "secret German aircraft" were expert aviators, who had witnessed first-hand German aviation technology over the skies of Europe in the most intimate way. This assumption was a problem that the government soon set out to change.

I had seen the discs in 1946 in the night sky as squadrons of lights at high altitude, which turned in increments of 30 degrees. I also saw what appeared to be discs at a distance on several occasions, but did not see one close-up in broad daylight until late summer, 1953, not more than a few days before the start of school.

In July, 1947, the Roswell Hoax was cooked up by military intelligence personnel at Holloman AFB, New Mexico, some of whom were ex members of Reinhard Gehlen's special Nazi Gestapo outfit, the Reichsicherheitshauptamt VI ("National Security Agency Division 6"), which had been in charge of security and counterintelligence (disinformation) for the Nazi saucer project called "KT-p2", for the Third Reich. The hoax was perpetrated near Roswell, to give the Holloman spooks "plausible deniability", to prevent the Roswell personnel from knowing about the hoax, and to convince the regional public that the ships it was seeing were of extraterrestrial origin, and unknown to the government. The hoax was intended to be confined to the area covered by Army Air Force Intelligence "P.I.O" ("Public Information Officer") Walter Haut's press releases, which were only sent to newspapers and other media in that region.

Since the 1947 Roswell Hoax, I had observed the growth of this false propaganda program for 46 years, until I published my 1993 book, Space Aliens From the Pentagon. The propaganda putsch had always kept up the pressure to induce a belief in "aliens" and "extraterrestrial UFOs", as a means of concealing the discs' man-made origin, and to conceal the government's involvement in experimentation and flight training in that part of the country.

Today, 55 years later, it seems ludicrous to hear people say there is a "cover-up of alien contact" by the government, when it has been the government which has been pushing the "alien contact" scenario for the past 55 years. Why would the government want to cover up what it has been covertly inducing the public to believe for so long, especially since so many of the suckers have believed it? The relative percentage of people who believed the lies in 1947 was much smaller, because that generation did not have its

heads in the clouds, and had its feet planted on solid earth.

That earlier generation was more familiar with the realities of German science, was generally aware of what the government was doing "right next door", and was more realistic and harder for the government to fool. Today's generation is not so stolid, and is much more gullible, so the percentages have been steadily rising, as the government's thought-control dictatorship has gradually become more complete. TV, which didn't even exist in the Southwest in 1947, barely existed in the larger cities like Albuquerque, by 1953. The existence of this mass-media, together with the government's thorough development of inroads into the movie and TV industries, have brought the thought-control system into every home on a daily basis.

During the 46 years prior to my book, I didn't bother to read all the false propaganda, only enough to confirm its falsity. I had an aunt who was all caught up in the paranormal and 'alien' hype, so I could always read any of the propaganda she had, such as a whole stack of Fate magazines and several other pulps whenever I had a mind to. I kind of took a "grab-sample" every now and then, to check the progress of the propaganda, just to make certain that nothing new had popped up, but it didn't.

Everything which I have seen since 1947 was an extension of the original Roswell Hoax. In my writings, I sometimes refer to certain books, etc., only to illustrate certain points, but I have never based my research on what others have said. When it comes to other writers, I am only interested in facts they may have documented, but possibly overlooked, or which are usually misinterpreted, and sometimes misstated for obvious purposes. Whenever I find an intentional lie by one of these authors, by comparing the facts to what they have stated, to the statements of more reputable sources, or to information which I have developed, I know that there is a motive behind the lie, so I look more deeply into it to see why.

Today, after having seen so much of the propaganda, I know that I can depend on the government writers to continue to practice the same false reasoning used all along since 1947, guided by

government security parameters. This is perfectly logical, maintaining substantially the same original format and propaganda tricks used in the Roswell hoax. The government has apparently decided to make "Roswell" a perennial thing, to be played upon by their covert propaganda teams and front writers, since so much of their later false propaganda depends upon that original hoax.

In 1960 I worked alongside Jesse Marcel for two weeks—the same "Major" Jesse Marcel—who inadvertently became a main character in the original Roswell Hoax. The reason I believe that Marcel was not a "witting" participant in the hoax, is because, from my experiences with Marcel, I believe he was an honest man who really wasn't the kind to fool the public intentionally, and wouldn't have been very successful at it anyway. It's possible that they subsequently forced him to remain silent—which was required by his clearance anyway—to conceal certain facts, but his clearance didn't require him to remain silent on things learned through "innocent means", from non-government sources. I only know what Marcel told me. Marcel was a fine man, intelligent, dignified, and it was a pleasure to talk and work with him.

Marcel was a company representative for Mary Carter Paint Company, out of New Orleans, and I managed a Mary Carter store in Midland, Texas. His job was to come and work with me for two weeks, to see what I had accomplished with the paint mixing and matching system which I was employed to help the company develop. I was an expert in that area, because I had learned to use the Munsell Color System while a student at the Columbus College of Art and Design in Columbus, Ohio in 1957-60. I also had several years experience in our family paint and decorating business between the ages of eight and fifteen, in Kermit, Texas, between 1947 and 1952. At that time we had the most modern paint and interior decorating company between Phoenix, Dallas and Albuquerque. We had clients from as far away as Silver City and Albuquerque New Mexico, and Abilene and El Paso Texas.

It was because of my experience in the paint business, and expertise in mixing and matching colors, that I was hired to work in

the paint store, to teach the owner of the store and to develop a coherent set of mixing and matching formulas, to be utilized by the rather new paint corporation in its many stores.

Years before, just before the Roswell Hoax, some military people from the Alamogordo-White Sands area had come down to Kermit, wanting to know about green paint, and how to apply it just to create a "greenish tint". I showed them how to rub dry, powdered green tempera paint on a surface to create a green tint, and sold them some of our green "Prang" dry tempera pigment. Soon afterward, when the word got out in the Roswell Hoax—possibly by rumor or by news story—that "little green aliens" were found in the "crashed alien space ship", I immediately suspected that the technique which I had shown those men had been used in the hoax to create the "little green aliens". At that point, without photos, I figured they could have used midgets or dummies, possibly monkeys, dressed up in space suits. Regular paint wouldn't have been as convincing, since it was not flexible enough.

I was not aware that I was working with the same Jesse Marcel who had unwittingly played a part in the Roswell Hoax of 1947. The reason I was unaware of that, was because I hadn't paid that much attention to something I knew to be a hoax. The reason I say Marcel "unwittingly" played a part, is because I think Marcel was pulled into the hoax unawares.

In our discussions while working, in between customers, and during meals at Midland restaurants, Marcel told me about his Air Force training as a bomber pilot, at the Midland Air Park during WW II, as part of a "second team" of the 509<sup>th</sup> Bomb Group, being trained to drop A-bombs. He said they took the big bombers through Immelmann turns, in order to drop the bombs more safely, since in ordinary bombing, the bomb would detonate along a line directly beneath the plane, exposing the plane and crew to blast radiation and shock waves.

The Immelmann turn avoided this, by having the plane going in the opposite direction from the bomb. A bomber would be taken in a halfloop, releasing the bomb at the quarter-loop point, which

threw the bomb outward due to the centrifugal force and momentum. The bomb continued upward, away from the plane, until it reached its apex, then continued to arch over into vertical descent, sort of like tossing a softball underhanded in a high arch. Meanwhile, while the bomb arched toward its apex, the plane was arching back in the opposite direction to its apex, in inverted flight, at the 'half-loop' position. This gave the plane some time to distance itself from the descending bomb, as it proceeded to its final destination. The plane was then rolled over carefully to place it into regular flight in the opposite direction to that from which it had arrived. The bomb meanwhile continued on downward, and by the time it reached its detonation altitude of about 10,000 feet (the bombs were air-bursts), the plane was far enough from the blast to withstand it, and to protect the crew from its radiation.

The subject of Roswell—and the hoax—arose in our conversations, because that was where Marcel said he was stationed after the war, so that brought up the subject. After I told Marcel what my sister's mother-in-law had told me about her sister—the Army Air Corps nurse at Roswell, who later became a nun—who was present during the so-called "autopsy" (actually a dissection, performed on a rhesus monkey), he confided in me that he agreed that the whole thing was a hoax, designed to cover up the German UFOs which the both of us knew were being flown by the government and military in the region after the war. I had told Marcel about my post-war high-altitude sightings of squadrons, and the close-up 1953 sighting over our back yard.

Being an aircraft nut myself, and formerly in Air Force Intelligence like Marcel, we had some interesting conversations. Marcel was a very nice guy, originally of Cajun descent from Louisiana, just as my uncle was. He had the same tight wavy hair that my uncle's Louisiana relatives had, which can be seen on the ancient sculptures of Akkadians of Mesopotamia, c. 2300 BCE. This is because the Cajuns descended from the ancient Akkadians. After I left the Mary Carter Paint Company, in the summer of 1960, I was the artist-in-residence of the Midland Art Association,

and lived in the old Air Force buildings at the Midland Air Park, where I also did some painting and drawing, and taught classes for the Art Association. The floors were still decorated with the original blue and yellow tile, which showed the insignia of the Air Force bomb group, and most of the inner structure of the old air base was still intact. It was eerie to imagine what had transpired there in the closing days of WW II, only 15 years before.

Jesse Marcel was not a deceptive person, who would have been skillful at creating or carrying out a hoax. Originally trained as a bomber pilot in the atomic bomb program, his later training as an intelligence officer was oriented toward maintaining the usual nuclear bomb and Air Force delivery systems secrets at Roswell. He seemed to have no awareness of, capacity for or training in the intricacies of false propaganda or spooky activities. After all, he was a pilot.

It was not until the summer of 1993 that I published my first UFO book, *Space Aliens From the Pentagon*, 244 pages, 8" x 11". There was practically no activity in the UFO field at that time, but my book—100 hand-bound copies—had barely seen the light of day, when the OSI began an accelerated and massive counterintelligence campaign. The volume of new material buried my little book.

The shelves at the bookstores, which had previously displayed only a handful of UFO/alien books, were soon filled to capacity, crowding my books off the shelves and making them as invisible as a needle in a haystack. It was difficult enough to distribute them, because the CIA keeps such a tight handle on what the large distributors catalogue in that field. It exerts considerable leverage on large publishers and book dealers, since the government buys so many books for the thousands of libraries and bookstores at military bases and other domestic and overseas installations of the U.S. government.

In 1996 I published a revised and expanded, 252-page second edition, with small-type, and in 1999 published a final, small-type, 306-page third edition, re-named *Pentagon Aliens*, in 8" x 10" format. In 1997 I had published *Occult Ether Physics: Tesla's Hidden*

Space Propulsion System and the Conspiracy to Conceal It, followed in 1998 with a second revised edition. Each of these books is densely packed with material, since, as a self-publisher, I had to compress my material to get more 'bang for the buck'.

Since 1993, almost every single UFO/alien publication I have read has contained propaganda and arguments directed specifically against issues I raised for the first time in my books, without mentioning who had raised the issues they sought to counteract. Since I was the one raising those issues, it was clear to me that they were responses to my books. No more than a couple of these writers even mentioned my name or the names of my books.

I have also heard direct quotes on prime-time TV from others who apparently read my books, such as Robin Williams, who repeated my joke concerning a "...flea farting in a tornado", from Space Aliens From the Pentagon, verbatim, on the David Letterman Show, around 1999. I was flattered that he did so.

Many of my ideas have been perverted and used in several movies and TV shows, such as The X-Files, One of the first influences I noticed was in the movie Terminal Velocity, starring Charlie Sheen, There was a "Sam's Surplus City" in the movie, where the owner had a rocket sled. This was a combination of my cartoon, "Sam's Saucer City", "King's Surplus City" in Albuquerque (where I purchased my Peiltochterkompass), and the rocket sled I mentioned at Holloman, to which the rhesus monkeys in G-suits were strapped. The "terminal velocity" was the 120 mph velocity which I alluded to as the velocity of "weightlessness".

Since 1993, never have so many government propagandists argued so much or so vigorously to counteract the words of a writer who almost none of them ever mentioned, but were apparently aware of and were responding to. This follows the policy which I have identified, that the government propagandists are never to mention another writer on the subject, unless he is a CIA asset, because they want results to be predictable and controlled by them, and don't want to draw attention to "non-assets".

The alien putsch was multiplied and magnified several times

over in the past eight years, but with very little new added to what had already been said, except for the arguments designed to specifically counteract my books, arguments and evidence. My books have been the source of not only much plagiarized material for the counterintelligence Ph.D. parasites—who, as I said in my first edition, lacked originality or creativity—but also a source of dozens of new themes which I introduced, which have been appropriated and reworked as false propaganda in the alien putsch, in films, books and articles, without attribution, by generally uncreative writers. This devious form of plagiarism is a lowly sponging of ideas.

The reason so many of these government propagandists have gotten their ideas from my books is because they were required to read them, because they lack creativity, and because many of my ideas are appropriate for complete books, so many can be or have been written on my ideas.

I could have written many more books myself on each of my themes, but could only afford to publish one book at a time. As I slowly began to chisel away at the monolithic publicity and book distribution field so well-guarded by the CIA, to get my books distributed, I usually had to go through underground channels.

Meanwhile, the CIA's unlimited resources allowed it to finance many writers and the publishing of many books, often derived from my themes, to counteract what I was saying, as if to teach me an "object lesson".

In one case—the movie Roswell—a character in the movie appears to impersonate me, making my "monkey aliens" argument to Martin Sheen and others. The name given to the character was similar to mine, and the whole portrayal was as uncomplimentary as possible, as if a childish retort to me without mention. This was the result of my psychological warfare working on their minds.

In 1995 I wrote an article, No Aliens, Just Assholes, for Paranoia magazine. Soon after, in the movie Independence Day, as the character played by William Smith flies a nuclear-armed jet fighter into a hole in the center of the giant alien ship over

Washington, D.C., to give it the death blow, he screams, "Take this, you alien assholes!" Again, the idea is taken and completely perverted.

One of the few writers to mention me, Phil Patton, hardly got anything right about me personally, either because he is a sloppy researcher, or by intent. He said he got a copy of my second edition in North Carolina. In his book Dreamland, apparently influenced throughout by my book—particularly in his "movie reviews" and in imitation of my comparisons between Pentagon and professional Hollywood special effects—he got very little right about my book. But by copying my technique of comparing Pentagon and Hollywood special effects, Patton inadvertently supported my 1993 thesis that the government USES such special effects in its alien hoaxes. In his chapter 21, "Space Aliens from (sic) the Pentagon and Other Conspiracies", he made the following misrepresentations:

1. That I said the saucers were "faked by the Pentagon" (I said the aliens were faked by the Pentagon, but that the saucers are real, man-made electric flying machines);
2. That I said the Pentagon's purpose in creating the saucers was to "frighten the public into the arms of the NWO" (I said the Pentagon's purpose in mystifying the saucers as "alien ships" was to conceal the technology from the public, and to wallow a hole in the public's credulity with paranormalism, so that it would accept whatever Big Lies the government wants us to believe);
3. That I was alone when I saw Hitler in San Antonio in 1967 (I had a credible fellow witness, who recognized him simultaneously);
4. That I "...argued with R. Sargent Shriver over my dismissal from the Peace Corps" (a lie; I had a friendly talk with Shriver, which was BEFORE I was dismissed from Peace Corps training);
5. That I received an MFA from Sam Houston State U. (my MFA

was from U.T., Austin, just as it states on my book jacket);

6. That I said the National Security Act of 1947 was treasonous because it "...divided the services". (Another lie: I said it was treasonous because it was never passed into law, was a presidential edict, and that it violated American sovereignty and liberty by denying our right to fully-informed consent or refusal.);

7. That I had "seen photos of dead monkeys", but "they were stolen by a former girlfriend" (another lie: I possessed a photo of dead rhesus monkeys in G-suits, and a photo of a fake 'saucer' used in the Roswell Hoax, until the photos were stolen by a woman I didn't even know until later.

Patton's other statements about me and my book, taken out of context in most cases, though somewhat accurate, demonstrated an obvious intent to ridicule me, the man he got ideas from and plagiarized, a tendency I have noticed among several others of his ilk. As often said, however, "Imitation is the sincerest form of flattery."

Patton's overall approach to his book also appeared to be strongly influenced by my 1997 Internet piece, A Truth Seeker Goes to Roswell, which is repeated in this work for you.

At least Patton mentioned me. My influence was also apparent in other ways. On page 41 he said (speaking of the "Federation of American Scientists' Mystery Aircraft Report"), "The study pointed out the 'epistemological' problems..", "too many sightings, too much information, too many possible planes—and yet not enough evidence." This was based on my chapter, "Einstein Was a New-Ager", in which I explained how a person's epistemology—theory of knowledge, either Platonist or Aristotelian— influenced the way he either related, or failed to relate, to reality. Patton apparently didn't know what epistemology meant, since what he was referring to was not epistemology, but rather a "cognitive problem", the inability to think.

The most damning thing about Patton and his book was his failure to mention my influence on his writing, and his attempt to trivialize and misrepresent my book and what I actually said and its impact, while at the same time imitating me.

The number of sightings, amount of information and number of aircraft relates not to an "epistemological problem", since the theory of knowledge for a scientist should be assumed to be Aristotelian, based on the primacy of the senses as valid tools of cognition. A person with an "epistemological problem" shouldn't be a scientist.

Aristotelian epistemology tells one who observes a flying saucer/UFO, that it is a real flying machine. Epistemology in this case relates to what is real, and whether or not the aircraft observed actually exists or not. About that, there should be no doubt in a scientist's mind, because so many of the people who have seen them are rational, experienced, professional, expert aircraft observers, yet the government set up Operation Blue Book at Wright-Patterson to intercept these sighting reports and classify them, to prevent public disclosure.

If I saw a jet fighter and heard its engines from a distance, but its exact configuration was hazy, there is room for a difference of opinion as to whether it was an F-14 or an F-16, but not that it didn't exist at all, or wasn't an aircraft. That's the main reason that educated, supposedly rational people who don't believe UFOs exist at all are the fools, not the people who report them.

When so many expert aircraft observers—both civilian and military—have observed and reported UFOs, and just as many microbiological experts have reported germs seen through a microscope, you are a fool to believe they don't exist, even though you may never have seen a UFO or a germ. On the other hand, you are a fool to believe that a UFO is an "alien" UFO, because there is no evidence, and an enormous improbability that it is alien.

Since UFOs are apparently flying machines, and since there is absolutely no evidence in the world of even a single machine being made by any being other than man (not including some insect

constructions and rocks used by chimps and other animals, etc), it is completely rational to believe that ALL UFOs are man-made.

With the current dictatorship over what scientists are allowed to say, the national security tampering with the area of UFOs has created a vacuum of public scientific knowledge concerning areas of science which "don't make sense", yet which should be fully understood by the general student of science, especially when considering the advances of the past 100 years, which are being hidden from us today through government secrecy. Consequently, on the issue of gravity, the public is still stuck in the 17<sup>th</sup> century with Newton, and Newton's "corpuscular" theory of light is used as part of the cover-up of ether physics.

This scientific vacuum is primarily what I address in Occult Ether Physics, as the scientific discoveries concerning the nature of gravity and electrodynamic propulsion made in the late 19<sup>th</sup> century by Nikola Tesla, as the crowning achievement of a whole series of experiments and studies toward that same end, conducted by the world's greatest scientists. This period of physics, oddly enough, had been utterly "ignored", until the time I documented Tesla's electrodynamic discoveries in 1997-98, from books which were available but hard to get.

I am still amazed that all the other writers and so-called "researchers" on the UFO question, since 1947, when the German ships first became evident, had absolutely failed to discover or write about the series of international scientific experiments and researches leading up to Tesla's successful testing and proving of his concept in 1894, until I published Occult Ether Physics.

Tesla's 1894 tests were witnessed by Marguerite Merrington, a famous New York dancer, among other friends of Tesla's. Merrington was interviewed by the FBI in 1943<sup>1</sup> concerning her witnessing of this discovery, soon after Tesla's death in 1943, during a national security investigation of Tesla's "known associates", in order to determine "who knew what" about the obviously

---

<sup>1</sup> FBI Papers on Nikola Tesla, produced under the FOIA.

astounding Tesla secrets. The fact that the FBI apparently knew about the subject matter of Merrington's observation and its enormous importance to space propulsion, yet agreed with the government's "scientific experts", that 'none of Tesla's discoveries were worth classifying', suggests that there was a conspiracy between the FBI and the Nazi state.

Other than to state that Merrington had witnessed "...metallic plate suspensions..." by Tesla (using high voltage, high frequency currents), the details of the FBI's complete interview of Merrington have not been disclosed, which brings us to the question, "Why not? What more could Merrington have confirmed with her 1894 observation, that the FBI and US government don't want us to know, 106 years later?" But we know from books and publishings by or about Tesla from that time period, that each of those plates were solid zinc, 1/8" x 12" square, which if formed into a solid bar of metal, 1" square, would be 18" long, weighing several pounds.

Having finally proved the principle for his "electric flying machine" with those plates, it was merely a matter of expanding the size of the plates large enough, and curving them to enclose a ship, having its own internal electrical generator (turned as Tesla said by one of his bladeless turbines), complete with pilot and controls.

To compound the scientific ignorance of the establishment physicists, the area of free energy, which includes Tesla's electric flying machine, had not been documented or explained until my books.

In light of these ancient facts, it is ludicrous to consider the argument that "the human race is incapable of building flying saucers which perform like that". Considering all the "alien hype" which the world has been subjected to since 1947, without a stitch of hard evidence of alien visitations to earth, The Roswell Hoax stands out as a monument to corporate-state deceit and public gullibility.

## CHAPTER VI - THE ROSWELL HOAX: A Truth Seeker Goes to Roswell

In late June, 1997, I was pleased to receive an invitation from Bonnie Lange of Truth Seeker to be their guest at the 50th anniversary festival of the "Roswell Incident"....which I call "The Roswell Hoax". It was an intriguing proposition...me with my "intolerable view"...provided with an opportunity to stand toe-to-toe (yet on unequal footing) with the UFOlogy-alien theory "biggies"...whom I call OSI/CIA BIG LIARS...right in the womb of their initial hoax. What could I do, what could I say? I accepted, of course. What better opportunity to document the thought-control dictatorship?

My host had given me a hotel room at the Roswell Inn, with the provision that my room was also to serve as a video interview room by the Truth Seeker videographer, Ron Garner. I was anxious to meet Garner and the other people of the Truth Seeker contingent, especially Bonnie Lange, and was disappointed that she decided not to come. I was glad to be involved, since Truth Seeker literature stated a set of premises which were consistent with my own thinking.

I knew that, since no intellectual match is ever perfect, there might be someone in the contingent who might not exactly see eye-to-eye with me or the Truth Seeker philosophy, but didn't expect total contradiction. There are always parasitical opportunists who will attach themselves to a group to hitch a free ride for their undisclosed agenda at a host's expense. That has certainly never been my approach, as I do not enjoy being with people who don't desire my company, but I knew of one group which does that as a matter of twisted principle, if you can call it that.

I had my reservations about the probability for success of this involvement for Truth Seeker, in terms of what they hoped to accomplish, since the whole scenario, in order to be of value to them, would have to be investigative. I had the distinct experience of four years of attempting to penetrate the OSI/CIA barriers with

what my Santa Fe friend, Fred, called my "attempt to spread sanity". I could see that, as an apparently non-Secret-Government-backed group, Truth Seeker might meet strong opposition...even sabotage...by "them".

As the day approached for me to head out to Roswell, I contemplated the situation in relation to my position: While I have usually been able to step into a strange environment and spot the immediate inconsistencies, as a Disbeliever in the alien theory I am perceived by the hard core UFOlogy leaders as "the enemy". While the presence of "Alienists" among free thinkers is tolerated, Alienists do not usually extend the same degree of fairness to those with whom they disagree, much less to an archenemy like myself. From my viewpoint, a CIA-employed "skeptic" like Philip Klass is not in "my camp", because the conditions of his employment forbid him from admitting the existence of man-made electro dynamic flying saucers. I knew that, in fact, Klass is the primary protagonist of one side of a two-pronged strategy designed specifically to conceal this exclusively man-made technology. Klass never denied his CIA employment, and neither did the "fathers" of the "alien flying saucers" movement of the 1950s. Many fail to understand how this two-pronged strategy—employing the (pseudo) "Skeptics" and (pseudo) "Believers"—is used to lead the two packs of dupes and suckers, or that it was created by, and is controlled by the CIA, or to understand why I encounter equal opposition from both these government-orchestrated groups.

The dupes of Klass have attempted to misrepresent me as a member of the "Believer" class, while those of the Believer class have attempted to misrepresent me as a member of the "Klass Class". I am the only major figure who does not, and will not, work for the government, so the leaders of both groups make sure I am excluded from debates, because, if you will recall, they will only mention, cite, or address the fantasies and people they create as "controlled assets". Klass is just as much a kook for denying the existence of flying saucers as the Believers are in their insistence on the presence of aliens. The house of Klass is made of glass.

The so-called "skeptics" of CSICOP (Committee for the Scientific Investigation of Claims of the Paranormal, headed by Klass) are not true skeptics, but rather a clandestinely controlled false propaganda operation of the OSL Their operations within the continental U.S. are illegal under the U.S. constitution, but if you read the so-called "national security" laws, you'll see that Congress has betrayed 'We the People' by agreeing to the passage of legislation which permits this betrayal and allowing pretty much anything the "spooks" want to do. These laws are completely VOID, because Congress has absolutely NO JURISDICTION to pass any law which contravenes constitutional prohibitions against the violation of our INVOLVABLE (human, natural) BILL OF RIGHTS, so they are all liable for prosecution by the PEOPLE for their TREASON.

This treason is misrepresented as a "service" to us. Their alleged "job" is the protection of our "national security", under the cover of which their "covert" job is to protract the clandestine domination of us by the international coercive monopolists. Their procedure is as follows: Evaluation of conditions; collection of intelligence; analysis of information; formulation of subversive policy; and, lastly, dissemination of disinformation, in a program which, in respect to electro dynamic flying machines, is designed primarily to conceal the reality of and technology behind man-made flying saucers. The UFOlogists mainly disseminate false propaganda, and as far as I've ever seen, never disseminate the essential truth,

A true "skeptic"—in the original historical sense, as elucidated by the parallel writings of Valmiki, of ancient India, and Socrates, of ancient Greece—should question everything. CSICOP supports the official government denial of the existence of man-made electrodynamic flying saucers, and is a covert creation of the government—involving the CIA, the Smithsonian Institution, the National Science Foundation, etc. The only things they are "skeptical" of are the assertions of the Alien Believers and the other paranormalists—spawned by the government's own 1947 Roswell press releases—all "designed" by the OSI's Ph.D. committees. This at best is pseudo-skepticism, at worst, a massive criminal fraud

perpetrated by the government upon the people.

True skeptics have never been the conscious catspaws of clandestine government controllers. "...In this corner we have the officially designated 'divergent thinkers'—Kevin Randall, Don Schmitt, Linda Moulton Howe, Whitley Strieber, etc.—and in this corner, we have the "skeptics"—led by the King's very own Philip Klass" ..... So what's wrong with this picture? Could we identify Valmiki or his creation, Prince Rama, in his epic novel, The Ramayana, with Klass, who is employed by the CIA? Never. Klass is only the Chamberlain. The Chamberlain's similar secret machinations were exposed by Valmiki's heroes. The Chamberlain was the guy who created phony crises, so he could pose as a hero when he "solved" them, a "Wag the Dog" scenario.

In the thousands of years since, nothing seems to have changed. Today, the Chamberlain's agents masquerade as self-styled "skeptics" or "paranormalists" who believe in "aliens", ghosts, and psychic phenomena, and pervert the "truth-seekers". Having read Valmiki's Indian classic, the CIA's "Chamberlains" have learned some new tricks. These things were in my mind when I was invited to Roswell, and I naively thought that, since Truth Seeker magazine sponsored me, the intent was to expose them.

On the sweltering afternoon of July 2, 1997, I loaded up my 28-year-old Chevy pickup and headed out for Roswell. I pulled into the Roswell Inn some time after 5:00 P.M., parked, and entered the lobby to check in.

The hotel was as busy as a beehive. Amidst a small crowd near the desk, I spotted Jim, a man I mention in my book as "the M.I.B." who trained "The Two" —Marshall Herff Applewhite and Bonnie Sue Nettles—in late 1972, early 1973—in a house in Santa Fe that the threesome shared for about three months. A tall man with dark hair, whom I didn't recognize, stood by Jim, who was wearing a freaky western hat which had what appeared to be a greenish, flowery-patterned chiffon scarf draped around the crown.

My presence shocked Jim, while his attire shocked me. I am one of a very few people who could establish Jim's earliest involvement

with the formation of the Heaven's Gate "suicide cult", discussed in my original 1993 first edition of Space Aliens From the Pentagon,—four years before the 1997 mass deaths, and on pages 118-19 of my 1995 second edition—which some later took as a sign of clairvoyance. I also knew that Jim had a law degree from the University of Denver, was a "retired" colonel, who had been in Air Force Intelligence, like myself, except he was in the Air Force O.S.I ("Office of Special Investigations"—not to be confused with the related spook outfit, "Office of Scientific Intelligence", or the Nuremberg Tribunal's "Office of Special Investigations"). Since Jim immediately attempted to hide his face, we didn't speak. The tall, dark-headed man left the area, and I passed Jim and approached the desk, signed in, and went to my room to unpack and settle in.

Though several of my Santa Fe friends and I had known Jim for some time, none of us was permitted into the house during the mysterious training, or were told the nature of the training Jim was giving "The Two". We initially thought it was some sort of kinky sex thing. I had briefly encountered the threesome while they were out for coffee, and had momentarily entered the house with a friend only once during the training period, when only Jim was present. Ever since the CIA-designed 1997 Heaven's Gate Mass Suicide Incident, which Jim knew I knew he was inextricably connected with, he has pretended to "disappear", as reported by the Santa Fe and Albuquerque papers.

Having known Jim for over 25 years in 1997, I was well-versed on his various beliefs, including the Gurdjieff/Synergist teachings. That group had targeted me on several occasions, especially since I had exposed their undisclosed agenda, twisted philosophy, and unethical tactics. Add to this their CIA/OSI connections and misinformation agenda.

It was not until 1975 or so that I became aware, primarily through news articles, that Jim had trained The Two as tools of the OSI/CIA's phony "alien" scenario. One of their main jobs was to show up at the sites of "cattle mutilations" (medical experiments) to create the false impression that the experiments were the result

of an occult group created by Jim called "O.S.I.R.I.S." ("Outer Space International Research and Investigations Society"). That bizarre conclusion was borne out by the fact that Jim had written a couple of news articles in 1975 about how a friend of his had joined the cult, while he was careful to conceal the fact that he had intensively trained the leaders of the cult for three months in Santa Fe in 1972, on contract for the CIA, followed by their immediate commencement of the cult activity he had designed and trained them to lead.

When the nature of the false propaganda activities of the "cult" became obvious to me from articles in the papers, the kind of counterintelligence "training" given to them by Jim in 1972 became clear, and was 100% consistent with what I already knew about him, as well as the government's secret programs which was confirmed by these facts.

When I first met Jim, I was living in my geodesic dome to the south of Santa Fe, while struggling to make a living and completing construction of my geodesic dome house, which until 1974 had no running water, electricity, or phone. There were not many alternative social pathways in Santa Fe at the time, so Jim's and my paths crossed constantly. Many of the women I dated were dated by Jim, which, as was later confirmed, was his way of using some of them as unwitting spies to gather information on me. Since my 1975 rejection of George Bush's offer to become a high-paid intellectual whore for the CIA, Jim had apparently been entrusted to continue the recruitment of me through other means.

In 1977, on learning that I had been studying petroglyphs with the intention of writing a book, Jim came to my house, and to my utter astonishment, actually attempted to hire me to write books on behalf of the government saying the petroglyphs were the writings of ancient extraterrestrials. He said the publishing arrangements were already set up in Sedona, and that I would enjoy instant success through promotions and distribution, if I accepted. Even though I greatly needed the money, I not only rejected the (OSI) offer, but asserted my intent and determination to publish my flying

saucer book—a project which Jim was already aware of.

Jim then threatened to cause harm to me if I published my saucer book. I was a little shocked that Jim had the bad manners to come to my home as a supposed "friend", with a proposal to hire me to write fraudulent books for the CIA. But following that up with a violation of my hospitality, threatening me with harm if I exercised my freedom of the press and speech by publishing my book, was too much. Though dismayed by threats from such a puny guy, little did I realize that I would subsequently be subjected to the covert power he and his associates wielded.

Ever since that time, and the numerous events which followed, our "friendship"—which apparently never existed—"deteriorated" (i.e., became obvious for what it was). That was the background between Jim and I, which extended back to 1972, preceding the 1997 Roswell gathering. Over the previous twenty-five years, I had seen Jim often, but following the 1977 failed attempt by him to recruit me as a false propaganda writer, any discussion or attempt at politeness was overshadowed by the secret knowledge that we both had. It was apparent that Jim was made very uncomfortable by my presence at the Roswell gathering, especially since it was so soon after the Heaven's Gate debacle.

After checking into my room, I called Ron Garner, and we both headed for the lobby to meet. On seeing Garner, I recognized him as the man I'd just seen with Jim, which probably explained Jim's extreme discomfort. I said nothing to Garner about it, and took him to my room so he could examine it as a potential video interview room. When we returned to the lobby, I told Ron that the man I identified as a M.I.B. in my book was present in the lobby. He asked me to point him out so he could get some video footage of him, but when I pointed out Jim, Ron became disturbed. He soon took his leave, and avoided me for the rest of the conference, never contacting me again, or seeking to use my room for interviews.

Toward the end of the conference, I spoke briefly with Garner, and asked him if he got the video footage of the "M.I.B.". He pretended that he didn't know who Jim was. That was implausible,

since I had seen Ron conversing closely with Jim only a few minutes before, and because you couldn't miss Jim when I pointed him out, with such a weird hat. The hat was apparently a covert means of instant identification of Jim, by his intelligence operative peers, other dupes and phonies, from within the crowd.

On meeting some others of the Truth Seeker contingent a day or so later, I was surprised to learn that Ron had engaged them in behind-the-scenes discussions about me the morning after my arrival, regarding my views on Gurdjieff, his teachings, and the Synergy cult. Since I hadn't discussed any of this with anyone at the conference except Jim, years before, I assumed that Ron's objections were based on Jim's objections, since the two were obviously connected.

The owner of Truth Seekers, Bonny Lange, must have been aware of my attitude toward the Gurdjieff/Synergy cult, since I had made it clear in my book, which I assumed she had read, so I found it hard to believe that this was an integral part of the Truth Seeker philosophy.

Jim's behavior didn't surprise me, since he had interfered in my life on many occasions in the past, but I was taken aback by the prior connection between Jim and another Truth Seeker representative, Garner. In fact, Jim had also been connected through the Gurdjieff relationships, with the naturopath I mention in *Pentagon Aliens*, who had attempted to murder me with arsenic, and almost succeeded. The naturopath was an avid Gurdjieff/Synergy cultist. The Synergy cult is featured very strongly in my unpublished manuscript entitled *The Mystical Roots of Totalitarianism*. Unfortunately, there are apparently quite a few people who are followers of Gurdjieff's teachings, apparently without full cognizance of the social consequences which have flowed from them as a matter of logic.

At the time of the Roswell gathering, I was aware that Jim had become especially paranoid about me because I had already publicly identified him in my 1993 and 1995 editions of *Space Aliens* as a CIA/OSI connection, four years prior to the Heaven's Gate cult's

alleged "mass suicide" in 1997. This must have been particularly disturbing to Jim, given the cult's sensationalized mass deaths, which appeared to be a way for the CIA to "close out" the cult, perhaps because it had become a "liability" after my exposure of them and the OSI's 'handling' of them through Jim, and since they were becoming a geriatric group, which had failed to produce any significant counterintelligence thought-control propaganda for quite a while. Like loose cannons, these old OSI dupes were rolling around on the "national security decks", and had to be belayed for good, as their "ship" was sent to Davy Jone's Locker, instead of to a comet.

Jim was apparently in fear that I would go public, and because of his continued tampering, I did go public, in Space Aliens. In my unpublished Synergy manuscript, I go into more detail as to how the cultists believe that they are especially entitled to appropriate and use the resources of others in pursuit of a "higher purpose", defined only by them, pursuant to the "collective consciousness" teachings of Gurdjieff (which is in line with the recurring "appropriation" of my ideas).

Though Gurdjieff was apparently irresponsible when it came to contemplating the natural consequences which would follow from his teachings, he was appalled when those results included the mass murders by the S.S. "Death's Head" Schwarze Korps (the Black Order, which was the S.S. planning branch), in the concentration camps of Europe, and the murder of millions in Russia under Stalin, a former Gurdjieff student. I became a target of the cult when I blew the cover on its infiltration of an arts group I headed in 1977-78, called Free Art Forum.

The Synergy cult is especially attracted to the destruction of individualistic groups, which they see as their primary enemies and competitors, so they infiltrate them, neutralize them through the introduction of immiscible contradictions, and take over and use their resources in pursuit of their synergistic totalitarian goals. The cult typically uses a "divide-and-conquer" strategy, based on the conspiratorial equivalent to the Spartan "flying wedge".

I had attempted to block their infiltration and appropriation of my group, but the Gurdjieff cult succeeded despite my resistance, just as the grant which funded it was about to expire. After the take-over, the group degenerated and was destroyed from within by its own "synergistic", vicious in-fighting.

The State of New Mexico then offered me a grant for twice the amount of the original one—\$250,000—but I turned it down because the state and federal government had refused to give me the protection I requested from the Gurdjieff group, as I eventually burned out from the social turmoil it created. Besides, I had initially been conned into becoming a partner in the grant under the false promise that I wouldn't be dealing with the government, since I am philosophically opposed to the government's involvement in the arts, per se, or in any other private cultural activity.

True to form, Jim's book offer to me, just after he learned of my involvement in the grant, appeared to be also intended to draw me out of Free Art Forum, so the Synergy group—some of whom had already been hired by my duped partner—could take it over immediately. I battled along, continuing to control the group until the grant period expired, with tremendous wear and tear on my nerves, with the Synergists misplacing blame on me for their failure to make what was left of the group work for their "purposes".

Soon after the end of Free Art Forum, Jim had learned through contact with my then current girlfriend that I had discovered his covert contact with my father in Arizona, after which my father had come to New Mexico in an attempt to convince me to abandon my UFO publishing plans, which astounded me at the time, until I discovered Jim's involvement. Thinking my father had disobeyed him, by telling me of his threats, Jim arranged through the CIA/OSI for my parents to lose their business. The fact was, I had discovered Jim's contact with my father through an independent witness whom my parents didn't even know.

I also subsequently learned from one of my parents' fellow clothing dealers, who was angered and dismayed by the unjust loss of their hard-earned business, that one of their clients in

Tucson—Joseph ("Papa Joe") Bonnano, the Mafia chieftain, who coincidentally owned a Tucson dress shop—retaliated against the clothing manufacturers who had been induced by the CIA to terminate my parents' business, because he liked my parents, and "smelled a rat". The buyers dropped the clothing lines in protest, and the manufacturers lost millions of dollars, for following the CIA's orders. Justice can come in strange forms sometime, and that was "poetic justice ", but the CIA hasn't gotten what is coming to it yet.

As the conference progressed, I observed disappointment growing among the Truth Seeker contingent. The major portion of the crowd which showed up was not of the big-spender variety. As I saw it, the stated goals of Truth Seeker were in conflict with some of the material in their catalogue, especially where it played into the CIA-orchestrated "alien" scenario. For example, I immediately recognized the material of Zechariah Sitchin as a continuation of some of GurdjieffPs and Georg Lanz von LiebenfelsPs stories, the same as those he had, as the Cisterian monk Joseph Lanz, earlier taught to a youthful Adolph Hitler at Lambach Abbey in 1907. They were the same "teachings" spread by the Thulegesellschaft, now promoted by the CIA and reflected in the script of the movie Stargate, and continued by the TV series, Stargate SG-1. It also pursues the OSI strategic objectives of the offer made to me by Jim in 1977.

Several questions arose in my mind: If Garner's objection to my involvement with Truth Seeker was based on his adherence to GurdjieffPs teachings, was Truth Seeker being targeted for takeover by the Synergy cult? Was I being "separated out" by the "flying wedge" of Garner, because I was threatening to undo the cult's efforts? That would certainly upset Jim, as I had observed. Did Jim know I was being hosted by Truth Seekers before my arrival, or did he learn about it from Ron? Since my appearance seemed to come as a complete and devastating surprise to Jim, was it because he knew that I, of all people, might figure out the latest scam-in-progress?

Ron's objections based on the Gurdjieff stuff indicated either

that Jim had manipulated Ron through those beliefs, or that they were of common interest to both Jim and Ron, and that Jim feared I would blow their cover. After all, hadn't Sitchin written the same kind of books that the CIA had attempted to recruit me to write in 1977, and weren't they often published in Santa Fe or Sedona? Did they really think they could convert the Truth Seeker idea to a Gurdjieff one? If so, they would have to change the name to "Big Lie Seeker". Such a conversion would make the Truth Seeker goals only a fake shell eaten out by the Synergy roaches, to be used as a facade to entrap more prey. The Truth Seeker movement was barely getting off the ground at the time, so a takeover by the Synergists would nip it in the bud. It became my intent to avert this, and to warn them, but it was apparently to no avail. The moth flies around and near the candle flame, and is eventually consumed by it. There was apparently no way to warn the moth against its basic attraction to the flame.

By my second day at the gathering, I was already effectively ostracized by the major portion of the group, except for the two writers, David Sielaff and Paul Tice, whom I had met at the UFO Enigma Museum. John Price, "head" of the museum—a local guy who was strangely jealous and afraid of losing his grip on what little notoriety he had—wouldn't sell my books, so I joined the two writers at a table in the back room. They seemed congenial and I detected no conflict with them. I made the best of the moment.

Ahh...there I was at the dusty little museum, standing not more than three feet from Linda Moulton Howe, a UFOlogy "biggie", as she discussed "aliens" with a Mr. Heik, with the same kind of familiarity as one might expect a believer in the Tooth Fairy to describe the kind of shoes he wears. Though in plain sight, the total invisibility with which Howe regarded me indicated that she not only knew who I was, but also that I knew what she was, and that her pretense was transparent to me. Unless she knew she was lying about aliens, she would never have graduated from Stanford.

At the Truth Seeker booth at the Roswell Convention Center, the available chairs were occupied by the secretary, the shipping

clerk, and astrologer Louis Turi, who used the only other chair and a table for his clients, so I milled about and made contact with some interesting people.

Ron Garner had avoided me like the plague since the first evening. After learning of his character assassination of me behind my back the next morning, the negative behavior of several others associated with the group seemed explainable, but they were only the 'spear bearer' contingent. After being snubbed by three of the group—Garner, the secretary, and the shipping clerk—and several minor associates, it seemed that my ostracism had become final by my second day there, over something I hadn't discussed with them, and which should have been anathema to Truth Seeker. Did they not know?

Had it been up to me, the secretary and shipping clerk would have remained in San Diego to hold down business. Louis Turi could have had a separate booth, since there seemed to be only a tenuous connection between "truth" and "astrology", but conceding the fact that knowledge of astrology might come in handy for understanding national leaders—and nuts like Hitler, who guided the Third Reich to Valhalla with it. Turi was more polite, and showed no signs of being in the petty conspiracy; as astrologers go, he is the best I have met.

It didn't seem appropriate that the behavior of a secretary and shipping clerk, provoked by an "outside" videographer, was controlling the fate of the operation. True to the philosophy, Synergism, like the Hitler it spawned, teaches its followers to strike at weakness, like true sociopaths. Meanwhile, I wondered if the only strong members of the group remaining—Sielaff and Tice—would eventually succumb to the Synergy garbage.

The Synergists are opposed to individuality, and will use "latitudinal" arguments and strategy to motivate their prey. That is where the CIA got the tactic. In fact, Gurdjieff had privately tutored Mao Zedong, Stalin, Alfred Rosenberg, Karl Haushoffer, Dietrich Eckhardt and many others of the totalitarian mind, and there were many Gurdjieff adepts in Reinhard Gehlen's SS Gestapo group, the

RSHA VI, which became the core of the OSI in America. These teachings had been the basis of the Communist movements of Mao and Stalin, as well as that of the Nazis. The Nazi troop management manual, authored by Ernst Rohm—also a student of Gurdjieff—had found its way into U.S. armed forces policy, when the official Nazi manual was adopted by the U.S. Congress in the 1950's, as the official U.S. troop management manual. The general policy is recognized today as the "principle of group identity", which is a direct translation of the "collective consciousness" doctrine of Gurdjieff, as espoused by Captain Ernst Rohm, "Father of the Brownshirts", the bully-boys responsible for Krystallnacht in the Nazi rise to power.

Since scapegoating is a typical tactic of the Synergists, I could expect an attempt back in San Diego to create and heighten a sense of failure of the venture, and to misplace blame for the lack of success somehow on me. This would mean that a heavy trip would be laid on me to Bonnie Lange. Whether this was another divide-and-conquer/takeover maneuver, only time would tell.

A short time after the Roswell debacle, I tried to warn Bonnie Lange about the Synergists, and she assured me everything was "under control". Soon afterward, she offered me an opportunity to write a series of articles about "Tesla's Technology in Today's World," which I have included in this book, in updated form.

I finished and submitted the first installation of my series of articles, and Bonnie accepted and praised it, with a lot of editorial cutting by her staff. She said it would be published in the magazine, and would also be published on their Internet web site. I waited patiently for news that the article had been published. In January 1998 I got on the Internet for the first time, and opened a web site of my own — "Pentagon Space Aliens" <http://members.tripod.com/~lvne4lvne/>. In browsing the Truth Seeker site, I not only failed to find my article, or the cataloguing of my book, but also noticed that the Synergy people had become a prominent "partner" in their organization. That, to me, suggested that the Synergists had either taken over Truth Seeker, or had

conned Bonnie into a partnership. This of course appeared to explain the reversal of policy, and the exclusion of my book and article without notice to me.

I hoped that my attempts to warn Bonnie and Truth Seeker would eventually start to make sense, in time to save the operation and to separate it from the Synergists. It was sad to see an old American institution which had formerly been associated with such powerful individualists as Mark Twain and Robert G. Ingersoll, being taken over by the enemy. With a lofty stated purpose which was, among other things, intended "...to elevate and emancipate the human race", and was opposed to "...everything that degrades or burdens mankind mentally or physically", it was tragic that the organization seemed to be selling out its birthright to synergistic totalitarianism, which is diametrically opposed to everything it stood for.

When they entered that door, they risked becoming the ultimate hypocrisy, selling the CIA-backed lies about "aliens", while pretending to be "seekers of truth". I knew that there was no way they could close that door behind them for good, without losing their identity as "seekers of truth", since that is in conflict with being suckers for covert government propaganda.

Among the Internet "free-thought" links of the Truth Seekers, I was saddened and appalled by the creepy Synergy group's featuring of sleazy "Japanimation" porn cartoons, clearly appealing to sick pedophiles, with illustrations of things like child sex, snuff, torture, bondage and sadism. These were only cartoons, but the mental attitude appealed to was unmistakable. This link misrepresented and effectively smeared the idea of free-thought, falsely equating it with pedophilia, sadism, child sexual abuse, torture and murder.

This clearly wasn't opposed to "everything that degrades or burdens mankind mentally or physically", but more its opposite. Since when have the secret cravings of sex criminals been elevated to the level of "...brave new thoughts"? Why didn't they feature some saucy sex scenes from the torture chambers of Treblinka or Dachau while they were at it? Oh yes...some starkly graphic

recreations of the spicy escapades of that well-known "free thinker", Jeffrey Dahmer shouldn't be left out either! (Not to exclude that Debonair Devil of Dead-Dame Debauchery, Ed Gein, whose "arts and crafts" with human body parts were simply "emancipating"!) As a Libertarian, I don't advocate censorship of any speech or thought whatsoever, but consider these bizarre public associations between Truth Seekers and the sexual exploitation of children by the Synergists, to be an attempt to give the "kiss of death" to the Truth Seeker ideology, suggesting approval of something which is not endemic to "free thought", as a kind of fraud.

It's a good thing such groups don't hold an exclusive franchise on that thing called "free thought", since, in the event of their demise, there might be no one to pick up the torch of truth and carry it forward from where they fell. I must be a little old-fashioned for continuing to believe that someone should say what they mean, and mean what they say. When someone starts out as a Truth Seeker, and ends up repeating the same OLD TIRED BIG LIES as the rest of the gang, they have lost their way, and are little more than a hypocrite. What this Truth Seeker found was a disappointment of lies and hypocrisy.

In retrospect, I thank Truth Seeker and Bonnie Lange for sponsoring my truth, which had apparently been officially banned by those who ruled the Roswell Hoax 50<sup>th</sup> Anniversary gathering. I was truly an "alien" at that gathering, but the trip was priceless to me. Only the "biggie" alien liars of UFOlogy were invited to speak at the Roswell conference. Naturally, I was not considered, even in a debate, because the CIA must control both sides of it. The speakers' usual exorbitant fees were in direct proportion to the magnitude of the Big Lies in Big Brother's false propaganda. In retrospect, I should have taken up a position on the sidewalk, with a stack of my books and a sign which read:

**"FREE SPEECH HERE: WHY PAY TO HEAR A ONESIDED STORY? YOU CAN GET THE OTHER SIDE HERE FOR FREE. MEANWHILE, MY BOOK IS FOR SALE, BUT I AM NOT."**

## CHAPTER VII - FUZZY UFOLOGY: Keeping the Dopes Stupid

This chapter is to teach you how to analyze UFOlogy, something covered more completely in *Pentagon Aliens*. Here, I give a brief overview of covert intelligence agency operations in the UFO field, point out the presence of agents posing as "UFOlogists" or "Skeptics", along with the "official government spokesmen", and show how they intermesh to maintain control of the field. I also highlight the incredible, statistically-challenging complicity of many dupes, who seem to be unaware of CIA control. I also describe the CIA's "M.O.", for use as a measuring instrument to detect CIA influence, control or complicity. I also describe some of my experiences with the military, the government, political parties, the CIA and military intelligence agencies, as background information which influences my judgments. Therefore, in this chapter let us step back and take an objective look at the UFOlogy field through my eyes, as I address the following, in part:

- ▶ That American "fuzzy" UFOlogy and the "alien" scenario were created by the government and CIA, as heir to the Nazi mass-psychology program, to conceal exclusively man-made UFOs, and enlarge the credulity of the public, to facilitate its acceptance of other Big Lies.
- ▶ That early (post WW II) UFO reports, books and movies, written by CIA'directed spooks, gave the public whatever misinformation the CIA wanted it to have. (Example: Kenneth Arnold's sightings have been debunked...as a false report describing saucers as boomerang-shaped... Arnold worked for the spooks.)
- ▶ That the 1947 "Roswell Incident" was created by the government, which initiated the first "credible" "alien-visitors" premise in its initial press releases by intelligence

officer Walther Haut (a "P.I.O.", or Public Information Officer, an intelligence position). The news release was then redacted, making it look like a cover-up. CIA-directed UFOlogy picked up from there on out.

- ▶ That, in 1955, the major nations...including Russia...had a meeting in Geneva, Switzerland, and agreed to keep UFO reports secret from the public. They all had UFO technology, so they weren't hiding it from each other.
- ▶ That subsequent public "UFO reports" were filtered through the government's Project Bluebook, etc. Bluebook was a CIA/OSI project designed to 'intercept' the public's reports, to prevent the disclosure to the public of what was actually seen. "Real" reports were kept secret, and only CIA-fabricated, misinformational reports were "released".
- ▶ That UFO technology is primarily an energy and transportation secret, having the potential to end control by the world's corporate and totalitarian states and the coercive-monopolist corporate power structure. Concealment of the technology relates to maintaining the grip of coercive-monopolist status quo, not to "national security".

### The CIA's Omnipresence in UFOlogy

In the world of UFO literature, the CIA has been pervasive. Even though some of this is partly paranoid imaginings, when all the pure paranoia is swept aside, the CIA's presence is still conspicuous in regard to UFOs. This presence is incongruous, given the government's official denials of the existence of UFOs: That is, unless one accepts the thesis which I put forth in my books, which is perfectly logical. It is also perfectly consistent that the CIA created "fuzzy UFOlogy" (the CIA would in fact have been remiss in its

duties if it hadn't done so), through the covert branches of the Office of Scientific Intelligence (OSI).

As an intelligence operation, invested with the duty to carry out its duties for the coercive-monopolies and the government, there were two paramount "strategic objectives" which the OSI was required to pursue, which were (1) to conceal the science of UFO electro dynamic space propulsion technology, by whatever means necessary, and (2) to enlarge the public's credulity so it would believe the "space alien" lies, and whatever other lies of a more political nature that the government wished it to.

In keeping with these two strategic objectives, I have outlined what I consider to be the OSI modus operandi in pursuit of them, covered probably more extensively in *Pentagon Aliens*. By measuring a certain UFOlogist's or Paranormalist's M.O. against that of the OSI, one can ascertain the relative probability that he or she (don't forget "Linda Molting Cow") either takes their marching orders directly from the CIA, or is so cozy with the CIA that it really doesn't matter whether or not they are on its payroll. Since they virtually do its work for it as if they were, they either wittingly help the CIA, or else are incredibly stupid dupes. Either way, all UFOlogists are 'incriminated', either by their actual witting employment by the CIA, or by their negligence in failing to realize their own complicity when under a duty to find out, as a "responsible investigator."

An admitted association with the CIA once enhanced a UFOlogist's credibility. This previously decorative veneer has eroded away over the years to reveal shabby underlying layers of cheap fabrications and lies, due to the many incidents which have made the CIA's name synonymous with dishonest and untrustworthy behavior. Today, UFOlogists whose covers as CIA operatives have been blown are usually washed up. Naturally, such UFOlogists try to maintain their covers.

Some UFOlogists with once-assumed CIA connections now deny any connections whatsoever. That, too, would seem incongruous with regard to a UFOlogist who, for example, was once

a trusted employee of a so-called "civilian" agency which had the exclusive government mission to collect and classify as secret all reports of actual or alleged UFO sightings. It would be sailing very, very close to the wind for that UFOlogist to say he had no intelligence connections. I don't think J. Allen Hynek ever made such a denial, but you can correct me if I'm wrong.

My old graduate school friend, Phil Shaw, Col., (retired), Air Force Intelligence, was in charge of two Project Bluebook offices—one in Reykjavik, Iceland, and the other in Reno, Nevada—so don't try to tell me that the project was not connected to intelligence. In *Pentagon Aliens*, I relate how embarrassing to Shaw it was, when, during a heated (yet friendly) argument between Phil and I, I took some students in one of our life drawing classes at the University of Texas out in the hall, and showed them that the lock on his locker was "CIA issue". As Phil grimaced, I exclaimed, "Sheesh, Phil! Are you so tight you have to use an agency lock?".

One of the things which once went with intelligence agency enhancement was the assumption that a UFOlogist who had access to Project Bluebook's secret reports—to which normal citizens had NO access—should be accepted as patently truthful and accurate. This is ridiculous, since that UFOlogist would be subject to prosecution under the National Security Act for disclosing classified information, and whatever is implied from the secret reports, without producing the actual classified reports, is mere hearsay.

The aura of "high credentials" is now used to enhance the "credibility" of UFOlogists, to induce acceptance of CIA- concocted lies, to convince the public that UFOs are extraterrestrial, that the government conducts secret liaisons with alien civilizations—based on alleged "leaks" from "inside" sources—or that human life on earth was "engineered" by "reptilian aliens". These are the favorite BIG lies used by the CIA's OSI to achieve their two most important strategic objectives.

The prolific use of allegedly "classified documents" from "inside sources" ignores the fact that such documents would have been retrieved at the point of a gun, and contained by the

intelligence community, with their purveyors prosecuted, while the public availability of the documents marks them as fraudulent fabrications, created and disseminated by intelligence agencies in pursuit of so-called strategic objectives.

The frequent use of credibility-enhanced, professional UFOlogists, with high academic or military titles, such as "Ph.D.", "Colonel", etc., naturally raises the question as to why those with such "high" credentials would involve themselves in attempting to derive incomes as befits their educations from the risky business of UFOlogy, unless they were already provided with substantial covert incomes from intelligence coffers. In their writings and speeches, it seems that these UFOlogists will invariably only cite the alleged "documentation" of other CIA assets. This incestuous, fraudulent process is a matter of CIA UFOlogy policy, to never cite another researcher unless they are a controlled asset, in order to maintain control of the whole field, thus avoiding unpredictable results which might threaten that control.

### My Mind and Thought-Control Ordeals

A well-known "UFOlogy lawyer"—who is himself a CIA tool—apparently alarmed that I "had his number", accused me of attempting to use my "credentials" (what credentials?) to gain credibility (his own tactic) but the only association I ever had with an intelligence agency was my short stint in Air Force Intelligence, in 1957-58, at a pay of around \$160.00 per month. I subsequently have had minimal contact with the intelligence community, in the sense that, on occasion, on a voluntary basis, as a concerned citizen, I tried to warn our government, whenever I perceived what I believed to be threats to American safety, security and well-being.

Here, I briefly describe some (but not all) of my encounters in which, as a would-be responsible citizen, I rendered considerable service to my country several times, "..above and beyond the call of duty...", and in every single case, I was punished, insulted, abused, injured and dishonored. I was never so much as given a "thank

you". Instead of being thanked or honored even in the slightest way, I was severely and cruelly punished. It came as a severe and traumatic shock to my naive and idealistic mind to think that my government would not only allow such things to be done to me, but to do them to me itself. I suffered deeply because of it, and the indelible and painful marks on my mind will never go away.

In my first experience, at the age of 18, as an Air Force basic trainee in 1956, I had uncovered a 5-man cell of KGB agents running the Air Force Career Counseling Center, at Lackland AFB in San Antonio, Texas sabotaging personnel records, mis-assigning trainees, and even routing their own agents into Air Force Counter-intelligence. This discovery created a chain reaction which eventually 'rolled over' on Col. Rudolf Abel (alias William Fisher), the Soviet Western Hemisphere Chief of KGB Espionage Operations, whose special training was in sabotage. The FBI, on its website, says Abel was arrested in New York City around June 21 st, 1957, but this may be a cover-story lie. The FBI takes credit for everything, another lie. If I hadn't discovered the cell, operating right under the noses of the "counterintelligence experts" for years, they would probably have never known who Abel was.

The Texas cell was handled by Mikhail Nikolaevich Svirin, Soviet ambassador to the U.N., Col. Abel's subordinate and intermediary to the cell. Svirin got wind of my exposure of his spies and immediately returned to Moscow, leaving no intermediary. Lucky for Eisenhower, Svirin had diplomatic immunity, so the FBI didn't have to arrest or to stop him from returning to Moscow, the Soviet government didn't have to lodge a noisy and falsely indignant protest with the American embassy or the U.N., and the Republicans didn't have to explain how this very serious national security breach occurred under their administration.

The arrest of the Russian ambassador to the U.N. for espionage would certainly have aroused public suspicion, and the FBI had strict orders to avoid public exposure of the incident. Meanwhile, the FBI still didn't know who Svirin's superior was. That was the way things remained until 1957, a year later.

Conveniently for the FBI, Finnish KGB agent Reino Hayhanen had defected in May, 1957, in Paris, and was moved in by the FBI to fill Svirin's slot in Abel's sabotage and espionage network, enabling him to identify Abel. Abel was arrested, charged with espionage, prosecuted, convicted and sentenced, and was eventually traded for U-2 pilot Gary Francis Powers in 1962.

Because I had uncovered Abel's Air Force records-sabotage operation, the Air Force, without my knowledge at the time, ostensibly re-assigned me to Air Force Intelligence immediately following the confirmation of my discovery. After what should have been the perception by the Air Force that I might be useful in the intelligence field, the Air Force then proceeded under an ulterior Republican-created political agenda to attempt to assassinate me, then to punish me, then to completely waste and ruin whatever potential or talent I may have had for intelligence work, after its attempt to murder me failed, which instead of killing me, "only" caused a permanent neurological disability.

From a consideration of the circumstances, my knowledge, and the evidence I have gathered thus far, it appears that "Republican intelligence agents" and Air Force spooks tricked me into taking a prescription of a neurotoxic drug, by having an agent give me a cup of bad coffee during a student coffee break, thus requiring me to go to sick call, where it was arranged that a doctor prescribe the poisonous drug which was then given to me by the pharmacist. It was the pure, "100% extract of belladonna", rather than the 10% "tincture" solution, a dosage which was ten times the prescribed dosage. Therefore, the dosage—"24 drops of in warm water before meals, three times per day" (72 drops per day)—was the equivalent to 720 drops of tincture of belladonna per day, and clearly a potentially lethal dosage, with little doubt remaining that the intent was to effect my death, to be explained posthumously as a "suicide" or "accidental overdose".

On Nov. 6, 1956, the presidential election was held, and Ike had won. Before I had even met Commander Thomas B. Hayward, I had already been poisoned and had survived. As a Republican

naval officer, connected to the O.N.I., Hayward's job apparently had to do with carrying out an illegal political order from the Eisenhower Re-election Committee—possibly on request from Vice-President Richard Nixon or the fanatical right wing SAC head, General LeMay—to shut me up at all costs. The first indication that the spooks were involved in my fate was when I had been chosen just prior to the poisoning, by an Airborne jumpmaster who had come to Keesler, to be the "combat controller", in Ike's contemplated U.S. military intervention in Hungary during the revolt of 1956. This was apparently a "last minute" grandstand play concocted either by Eisenhower or Nixon—more likely by Nixon—to "insure" their re-election. I'll wager that Nixon pushed it and Eisenhower stopped it.

As the Combat Controller, I would have been the first man on the ground, where I was to set up a landing operation for our entire invasion, spearheaded by airborne divisions, and to land our planes in a massive assault. It was a tall order for an 18-year-old kid. I was led to believe that I was chosen because I was in superb physical condition, knew how to shoot, and was pretty tough. They wanted a man who could survive at least long enough to carry out the job of getting our forces on the ground so they could engage the Russian troops. Like a fool, I was ready, willing and able to do it.

In retrospect, I realized that I would have probably been one of the first men killed, thus eliminating any further problem of a possible leak regarding the KGB's record-setting records-sabotage operation. There would have been a 97% chance of my being killed in the operation. Lucky for me, the plan to intervene in Hungary was abandoned by Eisenhower, so it was time for plan of elimination of Airman William Lyne #2, which was to poison me.

Here is a case where covert intelligence operatives within the military were involved in a purely partisan, attempted political assassination of a loyal American military man to cover up a politically explosive spy scandal, because it might have prevented a Republican politician's re-election. And for that reason alone, they actually coddled the Soviet agents, and helped the Soviets keep the spy scandal secret from the American and Russian people!

After I had begun to take the belladonna as prescribed—which was the equivalent of 720 drops of tincture of belladonna per day—I became so confused that I did not even realize that my disorientation was caused by the belladonna. Belladonna is a hypnotic neurotoxin, composed of several alkaloids, including scopolamine, one of the drugs of choice for Nazi SS interrogators during WW II. Because of its horrible effects, it could induce many subjects to talk rather than to be forced to endure another dose of the drug. According to one of my dictionaries, scopolamine is, "A drug used to dilate pupils of eyes, as a depressant, to produce a partial stupor known as "twilight sleep", and to induce confession in criminals."

At that point, I began to have uncontrollable sleep attacks in class, which caused the instructors to begin disciplinary measures, and to do such things as to slap a stick loudly on the table in front of me whenever I began to drop off. This caused PTSD (post traumatic stress disorder). Even when being humiliated, by being ordered to stand at attention during class in front of a large floor fan having no protective grille on it, I still fell asleep. Thanks to a quick-thinking fellow student, who blocked my fall just as I lost consciousness, and was falling into the fan blades, a serious accident was narrowly averted. I was then cruelly hauled before boards of review, in my disoriented state, where I was ordered to explain why I kept falling asleep in class. I could only answer, "I don't know, Sir, but I can't help it."

I was then ordered to appear before the commandant of the GCA school—an eccentric warrant officer, who wore Napoleonic locks (I swear I'm not making this up)—joined by a master sergeant named Ackermann. The two promised me that, if I could make it through the school, they would make me a part of their intelligence "team" on a covert mission into Port Said, Egypt. I found out that the warrant officer and the sergeant were both colonels, using assumed names and ranks. It appeared that they were unaware that I was being poisoned. Meanwhile, I was still unaware that the belladonna was even a poison, or that it was causing the problem, so I could produce no plausible excuse, or even bring up the issue. My

judgment was severely clouded, to say the least. The two officers must have been Democrats, who were unaware of the secret Republican plan to eliminate me, unless the "covert mission" was another plan of elimination.

About that time, as I was visiting the base service club in the evening, I was approached by two intelligence agents, and asked, "Are you William R. Lyne, AF 18510695?" I answered "Yes." One of the agents then said, "We're here to inform you that the KGB cell you uncovered at Lackland was caught. Your report to the adjutant turned out to be true. We are breaking security procedures by even telling you about this, because the incident is classified, so don't tell anyone we are involved. We know you are having troubles, so we will try to help you."

Belladonna in such a high dosage makes you look and act like a zombie, a virtual "living dead". I could neither sleep restfully at night, nor awaken fully during the day. At night, I began to hang out alone in the numerous dives in Biloxi, Mississippi. Due to my disorientation, my inability to explain my sudden sleep attacks, and the morbid and melancholy effects of the belladonna, together with my being washed out of the GCA school at no fault of my own, I became very distraught, even suicidal. I had been a top student, wide-awake and promising, but I was going down, and there was nothing I could do in my drugged state of mind to stop it.

While in one of the Biloxi bars, after midnight on a weekend, I was visited again by two different intelligence agents, who said they would try to help me, even though regulations prohibited them from doing so. In retrospect, years later, that all seemed so very strange to me, that they had to "violate regulations" in order to help an airman who had discovered a KGB cell, done his fellow Air Force members a great service, saved the taxpayers many millions of dollars, and was being poisoned, washed out of his school, and demoted. Again, these guys didn't seem to be part of the political assassination squad, because they didn't try to attribute blame to the KGB. As professional intelligence agents, at least they knew that the KGB couldn't have manipulated everything on the base. They probably

knew my "problems" were political ones anyway, but couldn't say more.

There being absolutely no legal representative to fight for me, even myself, while under diminished capacity, in a stupor, I signed whatever papers they put before me, just to try to make the problems go away. After I was removed from the school, demoted, fined, and placed on menial detail, I stopped taking the belladonna. The extreme melancholia subsided, but the symptoms of narcolepsy remained.

At that point, in spite of the poisoning, I had survived. In retrospect, I now realize that, before the November 6th election, I was supposed to die from a "drug overdose", undoubtedly to be explained subsequently as a "probable suicide", due to my "apparent despondency over being washed out of the school", a despondency which they had so skillfully created through the MK-Ultra, trauma-based mind control methods, by which time the bottle of uncut belladonna extract would probably have been replaced by a "10% tincture solution", to cover the conspirators' tracks.

But my survival had created another problem: To prevent me from discovering that the poisoning had caused the "discipline" problems and the narcolepsy, and from realizing that it was part of the Republican plan to eliminate me. That was when Republican Tom Hayward was chosen to visit with me.

The spooks must have asked themselves, "Who is an absolutely loyal, trustworthy Republican officer, who can be relied upon to do our dirty work, to go to Keesler, to gain Lyne's confidence, and convince him that the KGB, and not the Republican party or the Air Force is responsible for his brain injury?" The fact that Hayward was Navy and not Air Force, should have told me that the problem originated outside the Air Force, but after all, I was still taking the drug, and my judgment was clouded. The drug also enhances one's suggestibility, something discovered by Nazi interrogators. Eddie Hayward, Tom Hayward's younger brother, was my roommate and friend, in whom I sometimes confided, so that, together with Hayward's high rank—his "enhanced credibility"—could be used to

convince me that neither the Air Force nor the Republican party had anything to do with my strange, inexplicable problems.

The idea that all the circumstances—such as my medical condition—would be unknown to the intelligence agencies during the bogus "national security" covert operation, was ludicrous. In such a case, every single circumstance would be known. The Air Force knew all along why I was having uncontrollable sleep attacks, because they had ordered the poisoning, and they knew exactly what the effects of belladonna in a large dosage would be.

After I had survived, and exhibited for the first time the classic symptoms of narcolepsy, they also knew, in November, 1956, what the medical profession did not learn until the year 2000, that narcolepsy could be caused by the ingestion of neurotoxins, because they can destroy specialized protein cells in the hypothalamus which produce hypocretin (A.K.A. "orexin"), the hormone which regulates sleep and diet. Of course, hypocretin had not even been discovered in 1956, but the Air Force knew very well that I had been converted from an exceptionally sharp-witted and "wide awake" airman, to a narcoleptic after ingestion of the drug.

There was no longer any talk of making me part of a "covert intelligence team." By that time, the Air Force knew that I was permanently damaged. I could no longer consider becoming a jet pilot or an air traffic controller, because I had narcolepsy, and they knew it. In fact, one of the 'counselors' they sent me to, a disgruntled major (who was not a psychologist, but had been assigned to a detail counseling airmen, because he had a college course in psychology), told me I had narcolepsy, but then misinformed me, saying that it was a purely "psychological problem"—a "defense mechanism"—which was my "...attempt to avoid taking responsibility for my actions, by falling asleep." That of course was his "basic Freud 101" speaking, which was wrong. That was clearly a fraudulent, spook-designed "diagnosis" which was intended to make me think it was all "my fault".

They pretended not to know about the belladonna, but my medical record would be in the investigative file in such a case. It

may turn out in the future that the evidence of the belladonna prescription was obliterated from my records. After all, they had covered their tracks very well up to that point, so why not? The fact that they had gone to such extremes of bogus psychology, and of using high-ranking liars, to avoid MY discovery of THEIR responsibility for causing my narcolepsy, and all the other so-called "discipline problems" I had, despite the obvious fact that similar problems would continue so long as I remained in the Air Force, showed that they had no concern for my human rights, and were willing to go to any extremes to achieve their ends.

Commander Thomas Hayward came to Keesler and went with Eddie and me to our squadron mess hall for our Thanksgiving dinner, in 1956, which was on the early date of Nov. 15, because Nov. 1 had fallen on a Thursday. He was warm and friendly, a decorated Navy hero in WW II, who had been awarded the Navy Cross for having removed a hot shell casing from a large naval gun on a battleship, which was jammed in the breech, during a battle in the South Pacific. By removing the shell with his bare arms, burning them, in order to get the big gun back into operation, he had purportedly risked life and limb, "above and beyond the call of duty". He made a favorable impression on me, as reflected in my book, Pentagon Aliens, since, at the time of its writing, I had not yet become aware of his duplicity and betrayal of my trust.

Tom knew all about my discovery of the KGB cell, down to the last detail. He said he had come to "help" me. He told me that he believed that my problems were "being caused by the KGB". His younger brother, Eddie, had been with me ever since basic training and the Lackland Bugle Corps, in which I was first bugler. I had left Lackland with an "excellent" rating. There was no sign of narcolepsy there, or anywhere else before my enlistment.

In the GCA school, I had been one of about three top students who vied for the top scores. My grades were later falsified to make me look like a poor student, and to justify my removal from the school for "sleeping in class", "improper attitude", and "insufficient grades." Later, I would receive an FAA certificate by mail, which

had the proper grades I had made on the written tests for Control Tower Operator, and they were good grades, quite at odds with those shown in my records. I guess the conspirators missed that one. The label "improper attitude" was due to the fact, even when threatened and coerced while I was under the effects of the drug, that I still wouldn't "admit" that I was willfully falling asleep, because I knew that I had gotten plenty of sleep, had never had the problem before, and had exerted every bit of will-power I could muster, in effort to stay awake, to no avail.

Eddie had seen me go from a top student and airman, to a broken and dejected, stupefied wash-out, who was the victim of poisoning and drug-induced narcolepsy, which was permanent.

I appreciated what appeared to be genuine support from Tom, though I didn't quite understand his reasoning regarding the KGB being responsible for my sleep attacks, and hadn't even become aware of the permanent narcolepsy, or connected it to the belladonna, because I was still taking the drug and was in "twilight sleep" at the time. I hadn't even begun to separate the temporary effects of belladonna ingestion. Those particularly severe effects were gone after I stopped taking it, at which point I then began to distinguish them from the permanent effects of narcolepsy, which I was just learning about and trying to understand, without any technical information regarding the condition.

I unsuccessfully tried very hard to get the problem under control. Going to bed early in the evening didn't stop or alleviate the problem, or even help it very much, which was a mystery to me, since it had never happened before. I would not connect all of the symptoms of narcolepsy, which I had experienced since October 1956, until I would read an article on narcolepsy in Psychology Today in 1977. For the first time in 21 years, I connected all the various classic symptoms of narcolepsy which I had exhibited since October 1956, just after I had begun taking the drug.

My first "hypnagogic hallucination" was while I was napping in my dormitory room, as Eddie Hayward sat in a chair nearby, working on a letter. My friends—Eddie Hayward, Joseph di Paulo,

and William Passmore—seemed to feel sorry for me, to see such a "sharp guy" like me go down. They must have thought I had gone crazy or something, or was becoming an alcoholic.

I was deceived by Tom Hayward for 44 years. It wasn't until late 2000, when I began to look closely at my old Air Force records, that I began to put it all into focus. Tom had detailed knowledge of the "classified" incident, which I had initially thought was due to his connection to ONI, but unless he had a "need to know", he shouldn't have been given access, or even have known about the incident or been inquisitive about it enough to seek access. His access had to do with carrying out the plan to shut me up, after the Nov. 6th election.

It recently became clear to me that his purpose was political damage control, after the fact. Covert Air Force intelligence operatives involved in the Republican plan had tried to murder me, intending to make it look like a suicide or an accidental drug overdose, but I had survived, but was left with a permanent neurological disability. Investigation even of that alone could lead back to the original motive, means and persons involved. That left the problem that I might figure it out, and attempt to get an investigation and possible prosecution of the criminals who had given the orders for my elimination, or that I might somehow get the information to the press. That could implicate the Republican party and maybe even Eisenhower himself. This was "Proto-Watergate", and probably involved Vice-President Nixon, since it had the imprint of his "M.O."

I find it very hard to accept the fact that a man of such high reputation, rank and warm personality, such as Tom Hayward, could lend himself to such an evil scheme, to deceive an innocent 18'year-old boy—who had performed admirably, by uncovering a nest of KGB records-saboteurs—into believing that "the Commies" had caused all his problems. When I reconsidered all the facts, in April 2001, I realized that the orders for an "executive action" (assassination) and cover-up, required Eisenhower's authorization.

Like Eisenhower, Tom was a loyal Republican. Republicans

were very strong in the Navy elite, Tom's forte. After all, hadn't he been a close friends with "The Little Giant", Senator John Tower, a former Navy carrier pilot? Hadn't he rose to the rank of Rear Admiral promoted to Chief of Naval Operations, under the Republican administration of Ronald Reagan? Was this his reward for dutifully playing the "political dirty tricks" game since 1956?

Ike or Nixon was apparently fearful of a political backlash from my discovery that Communist agents had brazenly sabotaged the Air Force Personnel Center, on their watch, right under the noses of the CIA, Air Force Intelligence and the FBI, a spy scandal which had secretly come to light in the wake of the 1956 presidential election. An 18-year-old total amateur, airman 3rd class, had exposed their gross ineptitude. If it reached the public, this could throw the election to the Democrats. That airman 3rd class (me) wasn't covered by a national security secrecy order, so he could talk about what he knew to the press, since he had acquired his knowledge of the incident through "innocent means."

To the President, I was apparently a greater threat than the Communist agents, who were not even prosecuted. The treatment I received was much worse than that given to the Communist agents, who were merely transferred to the Army, so they could be "watched more closely." I, on the other hand, had been given a "life sentence" to the "prison of narcolepsy", without a possibility of parole.

News of the incident could have influenced the election to be held on Nov. 6th, during which time I was turned into a zombie. The brownie-point-sucking Republican naval officer, Tom Hayward, was sent to lie to me, to use his high credentials and winning personality to protect Ike's career, probably at the request of Richard Nixon and General Curtis LeMay.

It was an ironic turn of events, considered in retrospect. In 1955, Eisenhower had come to Waco, Texas, on the campaign trail. During the parade through downtown Waco, I watched the parade on T.V. with my grandmother, Nancy Lou Bertha (Richardson) Lyne, in my aunt's house, near Guthrie Drive. As the parade ended,

the phone rang, and Grandma Lyne answered. In a few minutes, she mysteriously asked me to accompany her outside. It was a chilly, cloudy and windy day. We walked out to a small hillock just outside the rear fence, about 50 yards from the intersection of Guthrie Drive and a major highway.

A long, black, open-topped Lincoln limousine eased up on the shoulder of the road. President Eisenhower stood up, faced us, and waved his arm. Grandma had a little white hanky, which she waved in the wind, as tears streaked down her cheeks. No one else was present, except Eisenhower, two men in the limousine, my grandma, and I. My boyhood friend's father, the Chief of Secret Service, Charles Warren, Sr., a Southern Cheyenne, was one of the men in the car.

Ike and Grandma stood for a moment, viewing one another wistfully across the distance, then Eisenhower slowly sat back down, and the limousine slowly pulled away, as Grandma sobbed quietly into her handkerchief, and we returned to the house.

The only possible connection I could make between Grandma and Ike was the fact that she had lived in San Antonio with my Aunt Opal, just after my grandfather's death in 1922, which was the same time that Ike was stationed at Ft. Sam Houston Army Base. My coach in Waco, "Maj" William Kiethly, had been on Ike's staff during WW II, just as my cousin's father-in-law, retired Air Force Colonel Reinhart had been. Colonel Reinhart had gone to his grave with the belief that the Air Force was incapable of corruption, the shining example of a moral force for good that he had known in WW II and afterward. Major Kiethly had a high opinion of me. Someone procured a Presidential appointment to the Air Force Academy, from Eisenhower, for me, when I entered the Air Force at age 17, but I couldn't accept it because I was a high school drop-out.

In 1956, Eisenhower had apparently ordered my elimination, either that, or someone below him had done the dirty deed, but the motive was to protect the White House from the political repercussions of a spy scandal which showed military stupidity under

a general/president. That may have been considered unforgivable by enough of the American electorate to upset the election results.

It was not until late 2000 that I discovered that I had been reassigned to intelligence, while I was still in GCA school, and before I was given the poison and removed from the school.

A few days after Tom's visit in 1956, I attended a lecture at the base theater, by Sir Arthur C. Clarke, on "Unidentified Aerial Phenomena". Clarke's lecture was based on the lie that flying saucers were not even real, and that all sightings could be explained as mirages, weather anomalies, weird clouds, etc.

After the lecture, I approached the podium, filed through a short line, and introduced myself to Clarke with a handshake, saying, "Dr. Clarke, I'm very pleased to meet you. I enjoyed your lecture, but both you and I know that you're a goddamned liar, and that flying saucers are real, man-made flying machines, powered by electricity. I saw one of them up close in 1953, so I know they are real, and you could see the electrical discharges, so you can't fool me."

Clarke smiled wryly, as he shook my hand, and said that he was glad to meet me also. I knew that Clarke knew the truth, but had been appointed by the British Intelligence Services, the CIA, and the U.S.A.F., to come to Keesler and probably many other American bases, to use his prestige and speaking skills to convince regular Air Force personnel that flying saucers did not even exist. Only the elite knew the truth, except for me. He represented the official, government, "pseudo-skeptical" position in the "two-pronged attack", his high credentials being intended to add credibility to his lies.

I wondered what it was like for Clarke to meet an 18-year-old American airman third-class, who wasn't fooled in the least. The fact that he was not angered by my accusation showed that he had a reserved appreciation for my rationality, and was not insulted by the truth. He was ordered to lie, but here was a young man who knew it!

About three days later, I was sent to a "personnel pool", where

I was "reassigned" to the intelligence field (even though my records show that I had already been reassigned to intelligence, weeks before, pursuant to a mysterious, secret, "Report # 5").

Even though they tried to make me believe that the KGB was responsible, I now reject that idea because that would have required them to have control over the guy who gave me the bad coffee (possible), the medical appointments clerk and the doctor he assigned me to, and the pharmacist (very unlikely). This caused a permanent, neurological disorder, which made me unfit for "military intelligence" (excuse the oxymoronic expression) work, because I had no treatment or medication whatsoever for the disorder at the time. I was viciously and tortuously kicked out of the GCA school I was in, was demoted, given an Article 15 as disciplinary punishment, fined, dishonored, and placed on demeaning details as further punishment. After having done that, the Air Force transferred me to Lockbourne AFB, Ohio, gave me a Top Secret Clearance in an effort to shut me up, and buried me in an obscure SAC intelligence office, as an intelligence clerk. There, still without medical treatment, the same vicious punishment was continued until the time of my discharge, all because of the uncontrollable symptoms I suffered from their poisoning of me.

The reason for all this cruel treatment was pure politics. Specifically, the 1956 Eisenhower Re-election Committee, the Republican Party, and Dwight Eisenhower feared that my discovery, if made known to the public, could throw the election to the Democrats. Maybe this came from Nixon, well-known for his underhanded tactics, I would say. I had uncovered a KGB operation which had sabotaged the nerve center of the entire Air Force. The KGB agents were responsible for the sabotage of the records of, and potential mis-assignment of everyone coming into the Air Force during their time in operation...many thousands of personnel.

Many KGB agents were undoubtedly routed into critical jobs and even into Air Force counter intelligence, and an unknown number of personnel were routed into fields in which they were bound to be incompetent, due to the scrambling of or tampering

with their tests and aptitude scores. At the very minimum, I had saved the Air Force many millions—possibly billions—of dollars, and probably many lives and aircraft, and for all that, I was cruelly and viciously punished. In retrospect, If I had known beforehand what would happen to me, I would have walked right on by and let the KGB go on its merry, mischievous way. My health will suffer to the end of my life for what the Air Force did to me, and I'm lucky to be alive. Apparently, no one was thankful for what I did. In fact, they were terrified of the truth and what it might do to their future. Imagine the Republicans—Vice-President Nixon, President Eisenhower, and right-wing General LeMay—reacting to that. They wanted no public prosecution or press releases on it, even though it was bigger than the Rudolf Abel arrest, the Aldrich Ames arrest, or the Richard Hanssen arrest, because the damage involved thousands of personnel, all under the administration of General Dwight David Eisenhower, himself a military man, whose political career was jeopardized by my discovery. The political implications of public knowledge would have been devastating. How could Eisenhower have let that happen? The best way to describe the incident is "POLITICAL EMBARRASSMENT". And I was the unfortunate messenger...who was shot.

Instead of prosecuting the members of the KGB cell, which would have attracted public attention, they were transferred to the Army, and the incident was classified (to keep the press from finding out), but since I had no clearance at the time of my discovery, I was not bound by a national security agreement, so they in effect persecuted me into oblivion instead. I was transferred to a SAC base in Ohio—Lockbourne AFB—which was directly under the authority of the trusted right-wing head of the Strategic Air Command, General Curtis LeMay, where I could be oppressed and controlled. There was no interest in doing what was right or just, but rather to pursue partisan political expediency, through a criminal conspiracy, in service to continued political control by the current Republican administration in Washington.

This was clearly a case of treason, since the Republican Party

gave "aid and comfort to the enemies of the United States", for purely partisan political purposes.

The indelible imprint the incident had made upon me, has been inescapably driven home to me, each and every day and night since then, by the symptoms of narcolepsy which I have struggled with ever since. Through the first few years, I never came to grips with the problem as a disability, because I was in denial. So long as I could be involved in dynamic physical activity, in my youth, I was able to continue to perform on an acceptable level, by exercising extraordinary concentration. Whenever I had to sit in a class for a long time, which was somewhat boring, or when I had not had a lot of sleep the night before, the problem persisted. For 16 years—between 1962 and 1978—before I began getting regular medication, I drove vehicles which had beds in the back, so I could take a nap whenever the narcolepsy hit me while driving. In late 1977, I first began getting regular medication.

Back in early March, 1962, I entered training for the U.S. Peace Corps, and was sent to Puerto Rico for training. This was the site of the second incident involving the CIA and the spooks, in which I was booted out of Peace Corps training for what I later discovered—over four years later—was the false allegation made by the CIA itself, to President John F. Kennedy, that I was one of its agents. Again, I as the messenger, was 'shot'. The lie to Kennedy appeared to have been related to an incident involving my discovery, around April, 1962, that the Russians were installing ICBM silos and missiles in Cuba. I had discovered this while in Peace Corps training, near Arecibo, Puerto Rico, when I was approached at a rural cantina by an old Puerto Rican man. The man explained that he had been going back and forth to Cuba in his boat, running a small black-marketeering and smuggling operation between Puerto Rico and Cuba. He emerged from a nearby pier, approached me, and excitedly told me what he had seen in Cuba, as he had crawled up in the grass, near an installation near Havana. He described the presence of Russian troops, and the large-scale construction of what were apparently ICBM silos, complete with missiles, and batteries

of anti-aircraft surface-to-air ("SAM") missiles, to protect against air attacks or U-2 surveillance. The man had then urgently made the 1200-mile trip in his boat back to Arecibo as fast as he could, in order to report this important information.

I immediately relayed this information to four men and a woman whom I knew to be CIA agents, who were in my Peace Corps training group, so it could be checked out. I expected a U-2 flight to be conducted as soon as possible, to get positive photo-confirmation of this strategic information. History shows this to be true, although the version told to the public contains several lies.

Two of the CIA agents leaked the misinformation that I was a CIA agent to Fidel Castro's agents, when they visited the Peace Corps training base, followed by Castro's radio broadcasts accusing the U.S. of planting its CIA agents in the Peace Corps. This was done because the CIA and the Republican party wanted to make a shambles out of Kennedy's Peace Corps, which they had already infiltrated. After Kennedy had taken the bait, he signed an executive edict which stated that no person who had been in the CIA could be in the Peace Corps. The CIA, through Kennedy's gullibility, scapegoated me and several other innocent parties—none of whom were CIA agents—by kicking us out for being "CIA agents", yet failing to tell any of us why we were being kicked out.

Meanwhile, none of the four real agents was kicked out, and the CIA illegally maintained its presence in the Peace Corps in defiance to Kennedy's edict. Their hatred of Kennedy was apparently greater than their respect for the rule of law and the constitution under which our republic is supposed to operate.

Just before my departure from the Peace Corps training facility at Barranquitas, Puerto Rico—I was seen off by a sizeable contingency of my Puerto Rican friends—who carried placards protesting my being thrown out—and with a tearful Hispanic girl from Laredo, Texas, in the background, afraid to come forward to kiss me goodbye. Meanwhile, the CIA agents had given me a bunch of muck on the Peace Corps, which they apparently expected me to give to the press on my return to West Texas, where they had

already arranged for interviews and a TV appearance.

As later documented, and faithfully reflected in the recent movie, "Thirteen Days", the information on the Russian ICBMs did not reach the President for at least six more months, and the President was also falsely led to believe that the ICBMs—which the old man had described to me in April—were not being installed in Cuba until late October, 1962. Neither President Kennedy nor the movie writers apparently ever knew of this lie. The possible reasons the CIA withheld this information from Kennedy for so long are all bad:

(1) The CIA, in its incompetence, may have ignored the information I gave them, dismissing it as a rumor, until it became so painfully apparent that they couldn't ignore it; or,

(2) the CIA may have wanted to "cut Kennedy short"....to make it a "big surprise", so they could evoke the most extreme reaction from Kennedy, possibly even to create a nuclear war.

In view of the previous discovery I had made in 1956, the CIA should have realized that the information I gave them was valid, and I'm relatively certain they acted upon it and had U-2 confirmation on it for six months before they told Kennedy about it, and General LeMay made certain Kennedy didn't know about it.

The other lie—that I was a CIA agent—was not disclosed to me until a 1966 meeting with a CIA recruiter at the University of Texas at Austin.

Incredibly, after trying to murder me and causing my narcolepsy in 1956, and scapegoating me in 1962, the CIA attempted to recruit me in 1966, 1974, 1975, 1977 and 1989, in various capacities. Though I have never worked for them, in 1962 they told President Kennedy that I did. It just goes to show that even the President can be lied to with impunity by the CIA.

It was a turning point...an "epiphany" if you will...for me, when I read in October, 1962, that the President had just "discovered" the Russian plot to install ICBMs in Cuba, which I had discovered and reported to the CIA six months earlier. Meanwhile, though I was still in the dark at that time as to why I was kicked out

of the Peace Corps, I knew that the military and the CIA were concealing strategic information from the President, their boss, Commander-in-Chief of U.S. armed forces. If the President was being denied such critical, national security information, I asked myself, how was he supposed to insure our protection? Wasn't that treason? Did the generals and spooks care so little for us that they were willing to risk our suffering the possible consequences of a nuclear holocaust, by denying intelligence to the President until it was almost too late to avoid a nuclear war?

In a Feb. 11, 2001 press interview on the arrest of FBI agent/alleged Russian mole Richard Hanssen, President George W. Bush said, "I just want everyone out there to know that, if you've been false to a trust...if you've betrayed a trust...we're gonna come after you." I asked myself, what if the government is false to a trust?

The government had been false to its trust to me so many times...in 1956, by giving me a potentially lethal dose of a neurotoxic drug which caused permanent damage, and in 1962 by falsely scapegoating me as a "CIA agent", while lying to President Kennedy, and by shooting at my wife in 1983 and causing the miscarriage of our first child, a girl, and almost causing my wife to die. After all that, I view what it says mostly as lies.

As I see it, the "cloak and dagger" intrigues provide too many opportunities for illegal political manipulation, as the tools of the evil conspirators who create them. Instead of protecting our national security, they endanger it even more. In addition, a climate of hatred toward Americans has been created, so that we cannot travel abroad without being suspected of working for the spooks.

After the terrorist attack of September 11, 2001, it became obvious that the CIA and FBI are incompetent, that the White House gave the Afghani Taliban 43 million dollars only three months before, and that the CIA trained Osama bin Laden via the Pakistani ISI (intelligence service), probably including the techniques of trauma-based mind-control to use on his suicide stooges.

## The CIA's UFOlogy Double-Whammy

I had realized much earlier in life that the CIA (through its predecessors) had created both Project Bluebook and the UFOlogy field, to conceal UFO propulsion technology from the people. My contact with intelligence agencies, aside from confirming my earlier suspicions, had done little more than tell me what they were supposed to do, and revealed what they had failed to do, when under a duty to do so. It taught me to look for the motivations behind the various CIA frauds and failures in respect to the American people, and many others in the world, who don't deserve such abuse. Since I realize that all the major governments of the world possess UFO technology, yet keep it secret, such secrecy in the name of "national security" is the biggest fraud of all, especially when the technology could liberate our citizens from energy slavery.

We know of government frauds only because of blunders by its employees, but such "blunders" can be faked. A prime example of a faked government blunder is revealed by the circumstances surrounding the Condon Report, in which a government plan to use "non-believers" to propagate false propaganda was intentionally exposed, due to a feigned "accidental" discovery of a "secret" memo, dated Aug. 9, 1966, written by Robert Low, project "coordinator". In October, 1966, the Air Force selected Dr. Edward U. Condon of the University of Colorado in Boulder to head a committee to do an allegedly "objective, scientific study" of the UFO phenomenon. Low's "secret" memo was "inadvertently" placed into the unclassified "open files folder" under the heading, AIR FORCE CONTRACT AND BACKGROUND, so it could be "discovered" by a researcher for NICAP, Maj. Donald Kehoe's UFO (CIA front) organization. The memo<sup>1</sup> stated, in pertinent part (emphasis mine), as follows:

---

<sup>1</sup>from Flying Saucer Fiasco, John G. Fuller, LOOK magazine (May 14, 1968).

"...Our study would be conducted almost exclusively by non-believers who, although they couldn't possibly prove a negative result, could and probably would add an impressive body of evidence that there is no reality to the observations. The trick would be, I think, to describe the project so that, to the public, it would appear a totally objective study but, to the scientific community, would present the image of a group of nonbelievers trying their best to be objective, but having an almost zero expectation of finding a saucer. One way to do this would be to stress investigation, not of the physical phenomena, but rather of the people who do the observing—the psychology and sociology of persons and groups who report seeing UFO's. If the emphasis were put here, rather than on examination of the old question of the physical reality of the saucers, I think the scientific community would quickly get the message"... "I'm inclined to feel at this early stage that, if we set up the thing right and take pains to get the proper people involved and have success in presenting the image we want to present to the scientific community, we could carry the job off to our benefit..."

This memo, contrary to the false idea being created—that it was a "smoking gun"—was actually a cheap "throw-away", "Saturday-night special", being thrown into the scene of the crime to be later discovered by "investigators".

Dr. Condon was a "highly-credentialed" figurehead, used to add false credibility, while Low was probably the CIA point-man, since his memo was dated two months before Condon's selection. For maximum effect, it was made to appear painfully clear from the memo that the purpose of the committee was to create the false illusion of scientific objectivity, and to convince the public of the "non-existence" of UFOs.

Therefore, the rationale for the alleged study was to make it appear to be focused on the personal psychology and sociological aspects of witnesses and UFO groups, to inflame their indignation, while conspicuously avoiding the physical reality of UFOs or the technology observed by witnesses. This was similar to Project Bluebook, which was to ostensibly pose as the official depository of

the public's UFO sightings and photos, in order to "study" and "understand" the UFO "phenomenon", but having as its ulterior purpose the collection and concealment of objective reports by rational witnesses, and photographs which revealed the government's classified UFOs.

Posing as the "scientific investigators", the government hucksters of Project Bluebook were red herrings actually set up to intercept data from the uncontrolled publics' observations regarding secret UFO technology. In other words, to catch the inevitable leaks.

Meanwhile, the hucksters of the Condon Committee were conspicuously set up as red herrings, to fabricate the false appearance of a "government cover-up of government-alien contact". It skirted the issue of technology which might appear to some to prove the existence of "alien" UFOs, and changed the focus from technological to psychological and sociological. Then, by letting all this be known to the general public and UFOlogy dupes through the NICAP group...including the idea that the Condon Committee intended to cast the pall of neurosis, insanity or fraud upon all rational witnesses...who had been suckered into believing that, by filing their reports with Project Bluebook, that "our government" might be able to "figure out what UFOs are", only added to the induced paranoia of the UFOlogy dupes.

The fact that they designed this program to misinterpret sightings and witnesses, shows that they already knew everything about UFOs, especially since Project Bluebook was shut down in 1969. Shortly before that time, UFOs were sighted taking off from a military airport in the Ukraine, by American scientists, as they rode by in a train while on their way to a scientific conference in Kazakhstan. That no longer left any doubt that the Soviets also had the technology.

Consequently, four of the first five members selected for the Condon Committee were psychologists, while coordinator Robert Low had an M.B.A., with a B.S. in electrical engineering.

On Oct. 8, 1966, Condon was quoted by the New York Times as saying, "...It is improbable they (UFOs) exist..." "...The view

that UFOs are hallucinatory will be a subject of our investigation, to discover what it is that makes people imagine they see things." This statement, true to Low's memo of two months earlier, was intended to play into NICAP's agenda, and was published in the New York Times so it would reach the most people.

The Condon Committee and Maj. Donald Kehoe's NICAP organization represented two government "prongs" which are discussed later in more detail. Meanwhile, by its exclusive, official control over the gathering and concealment of rational UFO reports, Project Bluebook, headed by J. Allen Hynek, served both of these "prongs", by denial of information regarding rational reports to the public—thus supporting the government's irrational denials—while creating a vacuum of information which UFOlogy groups could fill with the begged question of an "alien presence".

NICAP and the Condon Committee's conduct was designed to create a dramatic conflict intended to polarize and divide the public between one or the other prong, leaving absolutely no "Most Rational Alternative" in the "middle"...the arena of logic and reason.

It was a nice touch to have a member of Kehoe's group 'discover' the allegedly misplaced "secret" memo, which was intended to expose the government's "official" agenda of manipulating the public. This was so the UFOlogists could scream "Censorship!" and was intended to add false credibility to the idea of a "...cover-up of a government-alien liaison." "Major" Donald Kehoe's military credentials added enhanced credibility to he and his group of counter-hucksters: The Quixotic "fighting marine", leading his platoon in a battle against insurmountable government secrecy concealing "alien" visits.

Kehoe was associated with J. Allen Hynek through something called "Project Lure"<sup>2</sup>, which was allegedly created to "lure" aliens into approaching certain sites prepared by them, to establish human contact with the "aliens", but the real object of this scam was to

---

<sup>2</sup>Major Donald E. Kehoe, Aliens from Space...The Real Story of Unidentified Flying Objects (1973).

sucker that segment of the interested public which did not agree with the government's pseudo-skeptical denials, into believing that aliens were actually visiting earth. This also showed that Hynek and Air Force Intelligence were definitely involved in spreading the begged question of alien visitations.

The closure of Project Bluebook in 1969 represented a shift in government strategy, probably resulting from the fact that Bluebook would no longer deter the intelligence interests of Russia, which clearly had the technology by that time. From that point on, the only remaining "enemy" was the public.

It is revealing to compare the prior agenda of Air Force contractors as stated in the leaked Low memo--to deflect the gist of the investigation from physical reality to psychological causes or aberrations--to statements by French UFOlogist, Jacques Vallee, in *Passport to Magonia* (1969), in which he built upon the Swiss psychologist C.G. Jung's "collective unconscious"/"archetypal images"/Tarot card hypothesis, to depict UFOs as a "sociological phenomenon"...."manifestations of the collective unconscious" (an theory on which Jung himself had already reversed his position in respect to UFOs, as disclosed by a newspaper interview given by him while visiting Alamogordo, New Mexico).

We can see that the agenda of the CIA/OSI UFOlogists had been boosted by Robert Low's "secret" memo—which had so conspicuously fallen into the public's hands through NICAP (as if the government couldn't have retrieved it)—which laid out the "official prong" parameters under which the Air Force and CIA required the Condon Committee to operate. Meanwhile, it can be seen that Vallee's work coincided with the "unofficial prong" parameters represented by UFOlogy and NICAP, except a little more toward the middle. Whether by coincidence or intent, the effect was the same as if he were operating under the OSI agenda.

Since my 1993 first edition of *Space Aliens From the Pentagon* was published, there have even been a few in the UFO community who have accused me of being a "CIA agent". I can only laugh at this, and my own mild irritation, since, according to my own analysis

of the UFO situation—extending for over 50 years—I should therefore be trying to convince you that UFOs are extraterrestrial in origin, or natural or paranormal phenomena, as covert CIA UFOlogists do, or espousing the "alien lizard-people" "party line". Instead, I'm exposing technology that our government conceals on behalf of the powers that be, to protect its cozy partnership with the powerful economic interests. As Hitler said, "One hand washes the other."

My lifelong research shows that UFOs are exclusively man-made electric flying machines, invented by Nikola Tesla before 1900. I don't expect anyone who has their head screwed on straight to believe that I am a CIA agent, even though President Kennedy was finally convinced it was true. This was after he questioned the CIA's veracity, by sending his brother-in-law, R. Sargent Shriver, to spend a few days hanging around with me in Puerto Rico, to try to determine whether or not the CIA was lying to him about me. Hindsight now shows that he should have heeded those suspicions, and rejected the CIA lies.

I do not think that I can sue for libel, slander or defamation for being called a CIA agent, and it is doubtful that the government's judges would consider such an accusation damaging or defamatory. Anyone foolish enough to believe I am a CIA agent, after reading my books, is tragically pathetic, laughable and stupid.

Even if a person is not a "card-carrying" member of the CIA, if that person does the CIA's covert work for it, by spreading what I call the "pseudo-skeptic" or "pseudo-paranormalist" party line, they are just as much an agent as if they are on the payroll. You could think of them as the "CIA Auxiliary". By "covert work", I mean someone who surreptitiously does the CIA's work, but conceals or denies employment by, or association with, the CIA. This does not apply to me, since, as a concerned citizen, I only reported what I knew to be strategic information, which had inadvertently fallen into my lap. Nothing I ever did in this respect was "for the government", only "for the people", and I was never paid a red cent for it.

On the other hand, if a CIA employee were to sabotage the work of the CIA, they could be subject to prosecution for sabotaging their "mission". As such, if I were a CIA employee, I could be accused of sabotaging the CIA's UFOlogy M.O., by publishing my books, but as a civilian, I am not subject to prosecution, only harassment and suppression, sometimes through lawsuits or threats of lawsuits, as well as interference with the distribution of my books, with my speaking engagements, and my radio interviews.

For example, on Feb. 19, 2001, I received a call from Art Bell, requesting a telephone interview, which he scheduled for Feb. 20, at 3:00 P.M. On Feb. 20, at 3:00 P.M., the phone service for the entire village of Lamy, New Mexico (where I live) was turned off at the main switchboard in Santa Fe. The service remained off until Feb. 21, 1:00 A.M., after Bell's night-time broadcast had begun, too late for me to call. The outage was reported on Feb. 20, but no work crew was dispatched, because the phone company knew that nothing was broken and that the government had turned off the service.

A phone company representative called the following morning, and acknowledged that the service had been interrupted at the main switchboard. When I asserted that I thought the FBI had done it, he stated, "I am prohibited from expressing an opinion on that matter." That, in effect, confirmed my allegation, since it showed that a secrecy order was in effect, which the phone company employee was required to obey, probably under the delusion that he was some sort of "patriot".

#### Vacillating Vallee

In the 1995 second edition of Space Aliens From the Pentagon, I stated that my two "Roswell" photos--one of which showed rhesus monkeys in G-Suits--were "...among the other things taken by a woman who (allegedly) associated..." "... and who had also lived with the French 'UFOlogist', Jacques Vallee, for two years." Vallee has disputed this woman's statements, so I have retracted them, and now apologize for them, since it is her word against Vallee's. Vallee (if the woman was speaking of the same Jacques Vallee, as there is

more than one), did not deserve the possible inferences which a reader might derive from reading *Pentagon Aliens*. I did not mean to imply that Vallee was an employee of the CIA or that he had a hand in stealing my photos. What Vallee deserves is a more in-depth analysis and critique, in the light of my "CIA M.O." criteria. None of Vallee's personal life is any of my business, but I continue to assert that everything I have said about his work, which is subject to fair criticism, is true to the best of my knowledge.

Sometimes Vallee talks like he wants to be a skeptic, a la Philip Klass, and sometimes he talks like he believes in aliens or strange beings or forces, and sometimes he even mentions "advanced secret government aircraft", but in my books, if someone parrots the paranormal party line, I object, and it doesn't matter whatever else they may pay lip service to.

In reviewing some of Jacques Vallee's UFOlogy statements through the years, it is obvious that he appears to vacillate between the two sides of what I call the CIA's "two-pronged attack" (pseudo-skeptical government denial, and UFOlogical paranormalism, respectively). These two "prongs" were designed to trap and crush reason—what I call the third, "Most Rational Alternative" ("MRA")—in the middle, the assertion that UFOs are exclusively man-made, and that there are no aliens present on earth. My additional assertion—supported by objective evidence and my own personal experiences—is that the CIA initially created and presently controls both sides of the debate—the two "prongs"—as well as the entire field of UFOlogy. The CIA seeks to control the "middle", anticipating the problems represented by my argument when it designed the program—in fact, the "why" for its creation of the program. Its total control of the field through its covert, paranormal-UFOlogists, and the conspicuous absence of my argument, prove this.

Vallee apparently tries to "occupy" the middle also, but doesn't belong there, because, instead of representing it as the arena of logic and reason which it naturally should be, as I do, he apparently depicts it--by his actions and statements—as an arena of vacillation,

in which confused or indecisive individuals are led to believe that the only option is a choice between the two irrational "prongs", as if the "MRA" does not even exist. Vallee is really a part of the paranormal prong, despite his attempts (sometimes) to separate himself from that designation. Logic is logic, and it can't be dodged even by evasiveness with oneself or others.

In early 2001, just after the announcement that I was to appear as a speaker in Santa Clara, California, at a two-day event entitled "Conspiracy.Con", I received a letter from David Alexander, an attorney representing Jacques Vallee, threatening to sue me for libel and defamation, for the statements I purportedly made about Vallee in *Pentagon Aliens* (third, renamed 1999 ed. of *Space Aliens From the Pentagon*). I had mentioned the name "Vallee" twice in the same context, and once in the index, so, without admitting guilt, I deleted the name, thereby mooting any claim. I also considered that, whatever important things I have to say can be concluded by anyone who reads and understands my books, without reference to a specific name, so it really isn't essential to mention any UFOlogist in a specific context, though it is often helpful.

Since the purpose of my book is to teach my method of analysis and understanding to my readers, so they can analyze UFOlogy for themselves, why not delete a name? After all, why should I allow the threat of a lawsuit to jeopardize my book? I therefore decided to write this chapter, to make myself clearer and to pursue particular issues more succinctly and in greater depth and detail, in regard to the work of Vallee and some others of that genre. I needed a more thorough treatment, in order to do justice to the public's needs.

I instinctively consider such threats to sue as attempts by the CIA to shut me up, since that type of strategy was used on me before, in relation to my energy R & D. Since it's possible that a court might conclude that I have overstepped certain boundaries, and that I might be hard put to justify what I said, I have retracted those particular statements in regard to Vallee, and will restate my accusation that the theft of my photos (and other things) was made on behalf of the CIA's Office of Scientific Intelligence, because they

were a "smoking gun" on the Roswell hoax, and leave it at that.

Vallee is a "public personality" and former public servant. I have never been a "public servant" as he was, when he was employed in Project Bluebook and perhaps some other government positions. Probably more than anyone else, Vallee established the "party line" of UFOlogical paranormalism (though he calls his theories "paraphysical", they are still a minor variant of "paranormal").

It would not only be an unreasonable violation of First Amendment rights, but also quite unrealistic to expect a court to grant Vallee a "King's X", giving him "royal immunity" and prohibiting anyone from mentioning, discussing or criticizing his ideas, since he has chosen to stick himself into the spotlight of scrutiny, and to continue to put in his "two cents" as the debate rolls along. If he can't stand the heat, he will have to disappear from the kitchen, perhaps in a similar way as his witness claimed the USS Eldridge disappeared (as you will read about below). Since he has made himself rather unavoidable, I decided to devote some time and energy to this chapter, to show what kinds of reactions I had to Vallee many years ago, as well as today.

By recently demanding my attention to his activities, through his threat to sue me—and to have all my books recalled and destroyed—Vallee has induced me to focus on his past, to get a more complete idea of what his work has been all about.

It appears to me to be Vallee's self-appointed "job"—or maybe it's just his personal quirk—to play the devil's advocate, a position which tends to keep the resolution of UFO/alien issues unresolved, the way he apparently likes it... hazy, nebulous, paranormal, and irrational...occasionally venturing forth to attack and "debunk" those with rational explanations for allegedly paranormal incidents, which leaves standing what I consider to be the irrational, CIA UFOlogical paranormalist status quo. He uses what I call "pseudo-skeptical" arguments against those who may offer realistic answers to paranormal-interpreted events, and as such, ambivalently appears to be a supporter of both the irrational official denials and of the

paranormal phenomena...and of the CIA's M.O., by default.

Vallee's conduct is consistent with the government's plan to control both sides of the debate, through its two-pronged attack, which means that the spooks and the government will promote his work, as well as some in the movie industry (i.e., Steven Spielberg's Close Encounters of the Third Kind). These two prongs are two sides of the same coin, since both the denial of the existence of UFOs, and the assertion of the presence of "alien" UFOs, are equally irrational and "paranormal"...a position which I consider to be dishonest...since many thousands of responsible, experienced aircraft observers with certifiable 20-20 eyesight—including myself—have seen them. Vallee appears to police the "middle", which has the effect of clearing out anyone offering rationality to the situation; get rid of the rational, leaving the irrational, so the two-pronged system will continue to work.

Whether or not this conduct by Vallee is by design or by statistically-challenging coincidence, is a matter of personal judgment, but I do think his work definitely coincides with the CIA's covert program. If Vallee has given this effect unconsciously, he has my sincere pity. If anyone thinks threats to sue will "clear me out", they have another think coming.

I have no personal animosity toward Vallee, and If I knew him personally, I would probably like him, as he appears to be a very intelligent, glib and charming person, with creativity and a writer's gift. My beef with him has to do with the ideas which he has spread in the past, which I think are damaging to the scientific understanding of UFOs, as well as to the rationality of the people who swallow his theories. Granted, those who believe what he says are already gullible, but I don't believe it is ethical to feed paranormal pulp to those who are gullible, and I will do whatever I can to convince them of what I believe to be the Most Rational Alternative.

I will argue against paranormal theories until hell freezes over, so to speak, and no one will stop me so long as I am breathing. I believe that the technology being concealed is important for the

natural progress of the human race, and that the paranormal theories serve the purpose of suppressing it, as well as in preparing the public mind for acceptance of far more dangerous mass-psychology Big Lies.

Superficially, Vallee's approach may appear to be rational to gullible people, until you look at his weird punch-lines, and tally up the numerous important things he has conspicuously seemed to avoid, ignore, or even appears to conceal. For example, the following article, with the title, Jacques Vallee Hoaxed Science & UFO Community With His "Anatomy!" UFO Sweden, sheds some light on Vallee's M.O. with respect to other paranormalists, when operating in what I call the "Pseudo-Skeptic" mode:

### Vallee and the Fraud Business

The controversy I discuss here was initially kicked up by various writers and alleged witnesses, surrounding the alleged "Philadelphia Experiment". I take no position on this alleged experiment—which I have no way of knowing actually occurred, and apparently neither does anyone else—since all information appears to be hearsay. But I do know that many frauds have been associated with the government's covert program to promulgate false stories surrounding it. I offer this information to show Vallee's involvement, according to a paper which I found on the Internet<sup>3</sup>. The Navy most certainly conducted some experiments related to German WW II submarine warfare, and in fact, also conducted some research related to German WW I submarine warfare, intended to develop and test technology to avoid German torpedoes, and for American sub-chasers to find German U-boats—such as sonar—so they could be sunk with depth charges. The idea that any so-called "invisibility" experiments were related to making American ships invisible to radar, or invisible to German magnetic torpedo sensors, seems more credible, and such technology was developed

---

<sup>3</sup>TRUE.X-FILES.NEWS, An Internet News Service/true.x-file.netw@2news.com, found by me on Jan. 11, 2001.

for our ships and submarines, but neither of these experiments or tests appears to have been competently proven insofar as the place and time they were performed, specifically linked to the alleged Philadelphia scenario.

In Jacques Vallee's Anatomy of a Fraud paper<sup>4</sup>, according to the article published on the Internet, he accused researchers who had asserted that the Philadelphia Experiment was one to make the U.S.S. Eldridge invisible to radar, rather than invisible to the eye—of fraud.

Vallee based this rather rash allegation on the idea that the Germans did not have radar in 1943, ignoring the probability that the Navy might have done such an experiment in anticipation of the time when the Germans would develop radar, had it not been for the documented fact—available to Vallee via Navy documents—that the Germans did have radar in 1941. This was two years prior to the alleged experiment, though there is no proof that they had outfitted their subs with it by 1943. In any case, this was not an essential element to the Navy's possible tests. Ironically, I entertained the "invisibility-to-radar" postulate in *Pentagon Aliens*, and Vallee is reported to have suggested the same possibility. Was this before or after he read my book?

The absence of German radar would have been a slim enough basis for alleging "fraud", even if true, and a rather drastic and defamatory allegation under the circumstances, yet what is at stake if a reasonable explanation for the alleged "Philadelphia Experiment" is allowed to prevail? It would discredit the obviously phony paranormal and ridiculous tale about the experiment being an attempt to make the Eldridge invisible to the eye, but which went wrong, causing the ship and its crew to get caught up in a so-called "space'time warp", resulting in its being transported to another time and place; or, the story about it becoming invisible to the eye.

By attacking the reasonable explanation—a radar/stealth experiment—Vallee's attack had the effect of supporting the

---

<sup>4</sup> Jacques Vallee, Anatomy of a Fraud, Journal of Scientific Exploration, Editor-in-Chief Bernard Haisch.

irrational "disappearing ship" garbage, by default, as well as to support his own weird "paraphysical" theories<sup>5</sup>.

In his attack on the reasonable explanation, it appeared that Vallee attempted to show that the proponents of the alternate theory had concealed their presumed knowledge of appropriate Navy documents which allegedly showed that the Germans had no operational radar in 1943. By his same standard, Vallee was also presumed to be aware of the Navy documents which proved that what he and his witness said was wrong, and put most or all of what they said into question.

After Vallee's paper was published, allegedly to "debunk" the alternate theory, "Special Civilian Investigator" Marshall Barnes responded, saying that Vallee had a presumed knowledge of Navy documents which proved that the Germans in fact had radar in 1941, two years before the alleged experiment. While this did not prove that the Germans had radar on their subs, the Navy would have been very short-sighted not to assume that the Germans soon would install radar on their subs, and would most likely have been held to blame for a failure to conduct experiments which might avoid the loss of several ships and many lives, a result which, if ameliorated by productive experiments, could more than pay for experiments which might have helped to develop appropriate new technology.

In his initial paper, Vallee's alleged "eye-witness", Edward Dudgeon, said he was present at the stated time, and that the Philadelphia experiment, per se, "never occurred", but added that the ship did in fact become invisible to the eye, due to the effects of "St. Elmo's fire". After the initial version of his paper was written, Vallee edited a portion of Dudgeon's statements out, to delete his comment regarding the actual optical invisibility of the ship due to St. Elmo's fire. The apparent reason Vallee did so, is that the allegation was so irrational that it tended to impeach the credibility of his own, so-called eye-witness. Such a deletion might be

---

<sup>5</sup>Parascope, Inc. (1996)

considered to be a fraudulent concealment in a court, by the way, if it was considered to be a "material" omission, but Barnes made no issue on it except to paranormally say that Vallee's omission was due to the Navy's classifying the use of St. Elmo's fire as a secret technology to make ships invisible to the eye!

In addition to showing the German possession of radar in 1941, Naval documents also proved, when compared to statements, dates and documents purportedly produced by Dudgeon, and contained in Vallee's paper, that Dudgeon was lying, and couldn't have been there or have witnessed the events he claimed to, at the time. Or so Barnes asserted. Big deal.

After being confronted with the evidence, Barnes asserted that Vallee did not retract his article, and by his subsequent conduct continued to promulgate his "debunking" paper, by his failure to disapprove of his witness's alleged fraud. Has Vallee become so old and inflexible as to be incapable of admitting he made an error, even when faced with the undisputed accusation of fraud? Did he publish his paper with the intent of promulgating fraudulent research, as alleged by Barnes, based on presumed knowledge of the naval documents referred to by both Vallee and Barnes, or did Barnes also promulgate a fraud by continuing to allege an "anti-radar" experiment which also was not proven by the evidence?

The answer, in the final analysis, is that we should not allow ourselves to be taken in by this alleged "argument"...between two proponents of the same paranormalist ilk...which has the effect of making them appear to be on opposing sides, when in fact, they both promote the same things, while projecting each other's "careers" into the public eye, through a meaningless "argument".

These labyrinthine arguments and controversies appear to me to have been intentionally created as red herrings for the purpose of pursuing the strategic objectives desired by the Occult Scientific Thought Control Dictatorship, by creating endless mazes and eddy currents of meaningless chatter which absorb and dissipate the energies of those who seek answers to obviously more important questions, the answers to which have been classified.

## Vallee and the Secrecy Business

Vallee was a close associate of Dr. J. Allen Hynek in his work for Project Bluebook, the Air Force/OSI project created allegedly to investigate the so-called "UFO phenomenon". My documentation shows that the Nazis came into possession of Tesla's UFO technology and did initial experimentation at Los Alamos, New Mexico, in 1934-38, prior to taking the technology to Germany. The U.S. came into possession of the German projects in 1945, under Operation Paperclip.

Documentation shows that Project Bluebook was initially created on contract for the U.S. Army Air Force Counter-intelligence Corps ("C.I.C") at Wright-Patterson AFB Ohio, beginning during WW II, by Charles Hundley and Edgar Cayce. Cayce was employed there ostensibly as a "technical writer". Hundley was associated with the O.N.I., having taught Russian to American Naval Intelligence officers. The project later fell under the jurisdiction of the Air Force Office of Special Investigation ("OSI"), but was covertly organized under the CIA's Office of Scientific Intelligence (A.K.A. "OSI", confusion intentional).

The last time I heard from Hundley, around 1961, he was teaching maritime law at the U.S. Coast Guard Academy at Carlsbad, California. Hundley had also been associated with the Ohio State University astronomy department, and said he was also associated with Jacques Vallee, due to the Bluebook connection. In spite of his "high credentials", Hundley made many paranormal claims, such as, "...being abducted by Venusians and taken to Venus and back in a flying saucer." Since I could write a small book about all this, I won't digress further here. His reasons for making such claims should be obvious to those who read my book, especially in view of his intelligence background.

An alleged CIA source told Timothy Good (as reported in his book, *Above Top Secret*, 1988) that in 1955, the leaders of the secret services of the USSR, USA, France and Britain met at Geneva and unanimously agreed to a policy of secrecy on the "UFO problem" as

far as the public was concerned. Good also said that Vallee told him in 1986 that in 1966, he was sent to the International Congress of Mathematics in Moscow, and managed to discuss the subject of UFOs with several Soviet scientists. Good also stated that an unevaluated CIA report, dated 18 Aug. 1967 (a few months after Vallee's trip), with the subject heading , "Report on Conversations with Soviet Scientists on Subject of Unidentified Flying Objects in the USSR", had the name of the CIA (or CIA'Sponsored) scientist who conducted the interview, along with the names of the Soviet scientists, deleted, together with the date(s) of the interviews.

From this sequence of events, it is reasonable to suspect that Vallee or any other scientist accompanying him, might have been the "CIA or CIA-sponsored" scientist who conducted the 1966 interviews mentioned in the 1967 report.

The ultimate purpose of U.S. UFOlogical forays into Russia in ca. 1966 appeared to have been to monitor Russian government compliance with its agreement to keep its UFO reports secret, under the 1955 Geneva agreement. It is also interesting to note here that there has been a conspicuous absence of any claim by any government that the utterance of a paranormal claim is a security breach, so these claims can be presumed to be both unclassified and untrue.

Since it is illegal under our constitution for an American citizen to negotiate directly with or to serve a foreign government without executive authority, especially its military or its scientists, and especially when that government is considered hostile to the U.S. at the time, we should suspect that ValleeVs work on the Russian trip was authorized by the national security apparatus of the U.S. government. It would probably also require Vallee to disclose to them the context of all his contacts with Soviet scientists after his return, just as Los Alamos National Laboratory required of its employee, scientist Wen Ho Lee, on his return to the U.S. from a similar mathematics conference in Communist China, as disclosed during the prosecution of Lee in 1999-2000. Good's "unevaluated" 1967 CIA report matches these criteria closely. The names of the

U.S. UFOlogist/scientist and the Soviet scientists he engaged in UFO discussions were deleted, making it an intelligence matter.

So we had Vallee, who probably had a government security clearance to authorize his access to all the secret UFO reports of Project Bluebook, and government security authorization for travel to an enemy country, going to Russia ostensibly for a "mathematics conference". Since the official policy of the U.S. government toward UFO reports is secrecy, we can assume that Vallee's discussions of UFOs with Soviet scientists was of an authorized, "government-to-government" nature. It can also be presumed that the "un-secret" ("public") reports of Project Bluebook, and of its former employee, Vallee, are all suspect, either completely concocted by the CIA/OSI, to maintain secrecy through misinformation, or carefully selected reports which favor a hazy, nondescript, "middle" paranormalist interpretation.

The ambiguous interpretations advocated by Vallee, have the effect of dumbing down the public, and of supporting the official denials of CSICOP and Phillip Klass, on the one hand, or the paranormal interpretations of Vallee on the other—or of supporting the similarly outrageous "space alien" claims of the slightly more extreme lunatic fringe—allowing them to prevail among various segments of the population. Naturally, the total effect of all these "fuzzy" effects is a sort of factual mud. Was Vallee's work promoted by the CIA through its contacts in the media and publishing, because his theories favored its two-pronged strategy? Was Vallee fed misinformation to excite a tendency toward paranormalism, or was that his own idea, after being shown the non-paranormal facts?

We know that Vallee, as a Bluebook functionary, was party to keeping UFO sighting reports secret. We also know that he had access to that secret data, so he had to have a clearance. In order to have access, he had to have a "need to know". Why would a mere civilian have a "need to know" secrets the rest of us have no access to? He also may have had discretion in deciding which reports could be made public, if any, and in fact, would have to know where to draw the line on what he himself could disclose, without violating

the national security oath he took when his clearance was granted. Vallee and his wife, Janine, compiled the first allegedly "comprehensive" database on UFO sightings, a database we can't trust, because it emphasized the paranormalist interpretation, and excluded the Most Rational Alternative, by what it included and excluded, whether true or untrue.

We mere civilians don't have access to the massive data collected by Bluebook, but Vallee does. Most of us don't know what has been concealed, but Vallee should. He cannot tell us what he is forbidden to tell us, so everything he tells us can be presumed to be of no "strategic value", and follows the security establishment guidelines designed by the OSI committees, which apply to him whether he likes it or not. Otherwise, he would have been prosecuted. Knowing what he knows, he must constantly have a "brake" on what he says, and may have to seek approval from the OSI prior to publication of anything. That means that his former boss Hynek, the Air Force, the OSI, the 'other' OSI, and the CIA, all had some say about what has been kept secret, and why.

We know that under the national security rules, NOTHING was intentionally disclosed in those Bluebook releases to the public which was of a classified, "national security" nature. Vallee cannot tell us what he may know, because the government won't let him, and we don't know that he would tell us even if he could. Ultimately, he tells us only what the government will let him tell us, unless what he wants to tell us just happens to be what they want him to tell us, but I find that to be a statistically-challenging coincidence. The CIA can't tell us what Vallee tells us, because that would be patently irrational, and it would be held accountable. Vallee's material easily passes all security tests.

We can assume that all of the Bluebook reports for public scrutiny are immaterial or false, since the "real" reports are classified, so the publicly disclosed reports were all created or chosen by the OSI to confuse the public, to conceal what it alleges to be "national security secrets". Given the mission of the national security establishment, operating under the same rules as Air Force

Intelligence, Project Bluebook was forbidden to publish a single photograph in their possession, taken by a civilian or military photographer, of any government aircraft which was of a classified nature. This basically discounts every single photograph of an alleged "UFO" made available to the public via Bluebook. They certainly never published the clear photos made by my boyhood friend, Ken.

Until and unless you personally observe something alleged to be "fantastic", you have to consider it hearsay. This is especially true where the alleged event or thing is a known government secret, and the information comes from a government source.

Everything that Vallee says in regard to alleged "aliens" or UFOs, is entirely consistent with my analysis. So what does he say?

Vallee says he thinks UFOs are the "modern manifestation of an age-old phenomenon", generally what he calls the "paraphysical theory of UFO origins" (Parascope, Inc, 1996). This theory includes the time-travel hypothesis—UFOs as time machines from our own future—and the angel/demon theory—UFOs as God's angels or Satan's demons—taken to be "visitors from space". I had to laugh when I heard the Satan's demons hypothesis; imagine, Satan having his own little air force, and God too! In *Forbidden Science*, Vallee stated that UFOs are probably "...windows to other dimensions...", "...manipulated by intelligent, often mischievous, always enigmatic beings we have yet to understand" (*60 Greatest Conspiracies of All Time*).

Philip Klass, CIA man and CSICOP director, whom I call the government's lead "pseudo-debunker", calls Vallee "...one of the more distinguished members of the pro-UFO community"...."one of the brighter physical scientists who believes in UFOs" (*Heretics Among Heretics*, *60 Greatest Conspiracies of All Time*, interview). So here Vallee is praised by the arch pseudo-debunker himself.

Vallee believes that "...the intelligence guiding UFOs is a kind of control mechanism, an invisible hand shaping the development of human consciousness over a period of eons.." (*60 GCAT*). He tried to cover his rear by acknowledging that governments "..sometimes

manipulate public opinion through UFO mythology, sometimes constructing elaborate hoaxes for propagandistic purposes." This could be said of him, couldn't it? This sounds like a quote from my books. If Vallee sincerely believes this, why hasn't he written about it more?

Vallee says "...we don't know" where UFOs come from, that they are possibly a "...natural phenomenon", or "...an undiscovered form or energy in the atmosphere..", possibly "artificial", and if so, "...it could come from another form of consciousness, which may or may not be extraterrestrial."

In other words, Vallee wants it a multiplicity of ways, except as a real, man-made flying machine powered by electricity. Fuzzy, so-called "declassified" or "unclassified" photos are chosen to support this theory, because they are hazy, nebulous, and vague, nothing like the saucer I saw on a clear, end-of-summer day, from about 300 feet, in 1953, and nothing like the photos and negatives the OSI purchased from my boyhood friend.

Incidentally, photos of real saucers may appear to be hazy, because of their rapid precession during certain flight modes, as I describe in *Pentagon Aliens*. This is especially the case where the lens or film speed is too slow to catch the image in a "still" photo.

One of the first statements I make in *Pentagon Aliens*, is:

"Have neither an open nor closed mind, but rather a self-controlled mind, which weighs facts, accepts truth and knowledge, and filters out lies and trash."

This reflects my disgust with such bromides as the one I saw on a bumper sticker: "Minds are like parachutes; they only work when open." The same thing applies to a toilet, but a toilet is for the disposal of human excrement, not an input port for valuable knowledge. The mind is a delicate instrument. Downloading someone's mental excrement could turn your mind into a mental toilet, so you would be a good little consumer and unquestioningly do what Big Brother says.

Vallee is a proponent of what I consider to be a rather indiscriminate "open-mindedness", maybe as a result of too much Sartre or Camus. As a supposed computer expert, what he advocates is tantamount to a computer with no virus protections. In my opinion, if you accept and "download" his kind of ideas, it could do to your mind something similar to what a virus does to a computer. That is just my opinion, based on my own analysis, so you may apply my standard, and decide for yourself, or if you wish, you can accept everything Vallee says, and reject everything I say. In the end, it is up to you.

The exasperating thing about the term "UFO" is that it creates the opportunity for the government's "catch-22". Since the term refers to "Unidentified Flying Objects", it can always permit the plausible denial of the existence of an "unidentified" object. If the government provides a photo of an alleged "unidentified flying object", no one can say it has committed a fraud, so long as it cannot be proven what the object is, or that the government fabricated it with the prior knowledge of what the object is.

Since the government knows that there are no aliens on planet Earth...just as I say...it can always plausibly deny the existence of "alien UFOs", or a "government cover-up of alien UFOs", but cannot plausibly deny the existence of "exclusively man-made, electrodynamic flying craft...thought by the public to be alien UFOs". That is the reason that—of all the questions put to the government by so-called "UFO lawyers", in frivolous FOIA lawsuits—that this question is always excluded, and that is the way that you can know that the lawsuits are filed by the CIA. The questions are always designed by the OSI, to beg the question that UFOs are extraterrestrial—which is also a lie—so that their covert UFOlogists can ask them, and their official spokesmen can answer them without lying. The government has created and controlled the situation so that it can deceive the public by denying these falsities, and telling the truth in a covertly-limited and fraudulent context.

So the government has always avoided the particular question—conspicuously never asked by its covert "UFOlogists"—as

to whether it has knowledge of exclusively man-made, electrodynamic flying craft, which the public generally knows as UFOs, Government spokesmen could not truthfully or plausibly deny their existence, if this question were asked, because the security regulations prohibit them from "...either confirming or denying..." the existence of any classified aircraft.

Vallee seems to have foreclosed the possibility of us ever knowing the answer to the "UFO enigma" in our time. His conclusions are paranormal, seemingly intended to create a perpetual, unanswerable mystery around the UFO, which to me is just a man-made electrical machine, which, in my estimation, is man's greatest invention. Who benefits from concealment? The large corporations with vested interests, who would be decimated by public access to the technology involved. They are definitely at the head of the line of those who would be out of business if we all had the technology. That is the "motive" behind the corporate-state crime of UFO fraud.

The government's involvement in the UFO situation is also a red herring, since the real impetus for the secrecy comes not from the government, but from the corporations and power elite who control and use our government, to insure that the power structure they created prevails. All the major governments of the world are involved in suppressing this great invention, for fear that public access will destroy their inordinate coercive monopoly powers to control or profit illicitly. I realize that the facts suggest that there is a secret corporate "world-state" government of some kind, otherwise, there would be no international conspiracy between the world's major governments to conceal UFO technology.

There are plenty of people out there who absolutely know the truth, because they have been part of the elite, or part of its concealment system, all along. They have been privy to the ships themselves or the secret photos showing real ships with military markings, and FAA-compliant red and green position beacons, etc., which can mean only one thing: They are bound by illegitimate self-interests, or national security orders, but I am not.

Some UFOlogists have been "speaking with a forked tongue"—contradicting themselves at every turn—offering a multiplicity of "wild cards" or bluffs, dealing from the bottom of the deck, slipping cards out of their sleeves, or playing with "daisy decks" in the game of UFO poker, as a sort of "insurance", in case the direction of the field reverses, in which case they can point at whatever option they choose, and say, "See.. I said that back when." This strategy is a built-in CIA "contingency plan", intended for it to maintain control through opportunism, as a "last ditch" scenario. They shouldn't be accepted with open arms. I always liked that statement of Ghengis Khan, "Once a traitor, always a traitor; hang 'em". You certainly don't accept such traitors as the leaders of your army.

Don't be surprised if some of these opportunists start to come forward, trying to play their "wild" cards, saying the same things that I have been saying since I was a boy, never fooled even once by the false propaganda. They are no more than opportunists, who have built their deceitful "careers" with ease, with official government sponsorship, and now want to switch sides, with their unearned, government-bought "name-recognition", when they see that their side is losing. If successful, they would only turn things back the other way again, a complete lie.

The next time you are confronted with a higher gasoline, heating or electric bill, or contrived power blackout—not to mention an empty billfold—remember these traitors, and recognize that our government uses paranormalist propaganda to conceal technology which would alleviate or eliminate most if not all of these energy problems, as well as to stop the political control of our military being directed toward globalist objectives, and to stop the exploitation of Americans through global control and manipulation of petroleum. We Americans have much more to live for than a feudal fealty to global oil corporations. We have been weakened domestically in order to extort our support of these global objectives, by continually limiting and jeopardizing our energy resources, jacking up prices, and making us fight and pay for the oil companies' private interests.

## CHAPTER VIII - LA REVOLUCION TECHNOLOGIA: Turning Things Around Through Technology

In 1997, Chapter VI of Occult Ether Physics was entitled "Free Energy Massacre: The Atomic Hydrogen Process ©1996". The rest of the book traced the discovery of electrodynamic space propulsion by Nikola Tesla, within the scientific milieu of the late 19<sup>th</sup> century. This was the world of "aether physics", just approaching the "wrong turn" which physics took after the 1905 publishing of Einstein's Theory of Relativity. The crooked road for that wrong turn had already been laid by some of the ether physicists themselves.

Beginning with my 1993 Space Aliens From the Pentagon, I dared to attack Relativist theory and concepts, up through my 1999 Pentagon Aliens, in an ever increasing number and quality of ways. One of the concepts I developed was that free-energy physics is exclusively a non-Relativistic ether concept. That is the reason Tesla was so opposed to Relativism. One cannot discuss Teslian concepts in the same breath as Relativist ones. Relativism forbids "free-energy".

Today, suddenly, masquerading as "free-energy researchers", there appears a plethora of Relativists. Relativists are like broken records, and cannot get out of the same old Relativist "groove". If you look at their websites, and read their material, all you see is the 'same old same old' contorted Relativist "theories". They theorize, and theorize, and theorize, but what do they really do? They theorize...curved space...dilated time...and ruminating through all the other aspects of the shaky 'house of cards' built by St. Albert. And out of these insane ruminations, they attempt to solve the riddle of "gravity", "anti-gravity" and "fluctuations in the quantum vacuum field", but no solutions are in sight now, just as none were in sight in 1905.

And now, when it appears that ether physics may really have something, they are invading the free-energy field, even pretending to be the discoverers of this or that—actually ideas stolen from those without "credentials"—and have found a haven in which to build a

"new" nest, lined with the feathers of contorted mathematics, Platonist epistemology and circuitous reasoning. History will eventually undo them, showing them to be intellectual thieves.

It is often said that "an idea cannot be patented". There is something wrong with that, but even if true under the law, it is still intellectually dishonest to claim the discovery of someone else's idea in a patent application, without the slightest attribution, when it is known that priority was with the victim of that theft.

Scientists like Michael Faraday and many of his colleagues wouldn't have thought of taking the ideas of others, without saying where they got them. The "rush to the patent office" to claim such unpatented discoveries made by others is not only illegal, but unethical, because such applications require the swearing of an oath declaring that the claimant knows of no priority by anyone.

Relativism does not recognize free-energy, ether, ZPR or electromagnetic radiation which travels through space. Their theory was based on "corpuscular photons", which required no luminiferous ether to transport their force through space. The concept of the "photon" implies a "light particle", not electromagnetic wave radiation, because without an ether, there would be nothing for a wave to "wave" (wave action requires a "medium" to wave, similar to a wave on a pond of water).

Relativists hang out on the Internet constantly, looking for ideas to steal and claim as their own. Why? Because Relativism does not produce any results, will sabotage your brain and cripple your creativity and reason. Those who practice this religion spend all their time praying at the Holy Shrine of Einstein, but their 'god' gives them nothing. Was it all Einstein's sick joke on them?

Relativism recognizes no "quantum vacuum field" or ether in "empty space". Einstein's theory of gravity was wrong, because he believed in no ether. So the Relativists invented a "quantum vacuum field" with "fluctuations" (i.e., oscillations), in contradiction to their religion.

The Relativist is a mathematical creature, who confuses himself with his equations, getting high on them but getting

(109 kcal/gram mole) "has" to be equal to the dissociation energy. Consequently, they never measured it directly, only extrapolated it retroactively as "equal", based on this erroneous theory.

I found a reference from a reputable source which gave the dissociation energy as 103 cal/gram mole<sup>1</sup>, rather than the 109 kcal/gram mole of the Relativists. I preferred that figure, since it was published by a major house, and had gone through five editions without challenge. It differed from the "norm", which was controlled by Relativist theory. Maybe, I thought, Van Nostrand was independent, since they had published some Tesla books in the early 20<sup>th</sup> century, after Relativism had begun to take over.

It is also said by Relativist theory that all that is required to dissociate diatomic hydrogen to monoatomic hydrogen, is heat energy, which is supposed to be equal "exactly" to the recombination energy. This dissociation/recombination energy is also supposed to be equal to the "bond energy" which has been broken by heat, which is then supposed to be stored in the monoatomic hydrogen atoms until released again in recombination, whereupon the broken bonds are "restored". This would have to mean that the bond energy of a diatomic molecule would have to be equal to the energy "stored" in the monoatomic atoms, minus the energy released by recombination.

My hypothesis was that the dissociation energy required to separate the diatomic atoms could not be greater than the energy of combustion of H<sub>2</sub> with oxygen, which produces H<sub>2</sub>O, with a bond which is supposed to be stronger, due to the electromotive difference between oxygen and hydrogen. Using the Relativists' own standard, this means that the energy of dissociation/recombination of hydrogen is 3.76 times that for hydrogen hydrolysis/combustion. This is yet another internal contradiction in Relativist theory.

According to Langmuir, atomic hydrogen is produced directly in hydrolysis using a lead amalgam cathode. Yet, since Langmuir was a Relativist, he never measured it directly, but computed the

---

<sup>1</sup>Encyclopedia of Science, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company (1976), fifth edition

dissociation energy backward on paper as the same as the recombination energy, assuming that the electrical wattage required to produce a gram mole of atomic hydrogen was equal to the recombination energy per gram mole of monoatomic hydrogen.

If the energy required to break the diatomic bonds is supposed to be equal to the 'stored' heat in dissociated atoms, and the bonds are 'restored' when the atoms recombine, the 'bonds' would still have to contain the same energy as that which had already been released during the 'restoration', according to Relativism.

Either the diatomic bond energy is "replaced" by heat on dissociation, and converted back to heat on recombination—and therefore taken away—or the heat stored "in" the mono atomic atoms only dissociates the atoms when it places enough strain on the bonds to break them. Since the bonds are restored when the heat is released, the force maintaining the bonds is neither heat nor another force converted from heat, but would still have to exist somewhere. My theory is that IR radiation ("heat") can be stored in the space between nuclei and electron clouds, as well as in intermolecular space, when dissociation occurs. The radiation remains in its original electromagnetic wave form as it bounces around inside, 'force free', reflected between the nuclei and electron clouds. Since IR radiation is of lower frequency and voltage than UV radiation, it lacks sufficient penetrating power or force to break out of this space until the recombination of the monoatomic atoms, at which time it is able to exit when the electron clouds open up, as the new diatomic electrostatic bonds re-attach.

I think the "bonds" are electrostatic ones, which can be broken by heat, by expanding or stressing the space between nuclei and electron clouds to the bonds' elastic limits, or by other radiation, or by electrostatic force. This is merely mechanical. A more efficient means of breaking the bonds should be by electrostatics. Even more effectively, they should be broken more easily by higher frequency radiation, such as UV. In addition, UV radiation transmutes hydrogen and all other elements below atomic number 19. This should yield additional energy on reversal. This appears to involve

bringing in excess charges from the ether, and holding them in the bonding spaces, as indicated by microphotos of diatomic hydrogen atoms which show extra electric charges in the inter-molecular space. This violates Relativism, representing sub-electron charges. If electrostatics can break the bonds, the proper "arc" should be an electrostatic one, rather than a conventional AC or DC arc current, usually used to dissociate atomic hydrogen. Since it is also recognized that the process by ordinary arc discharge/heat is incomplete, perhaps electrostatics can also make it more complete. The dissociation of hydrogen by heat appears to be a mechanical one, related to the kinetic energy of the heat-induced increase in vibrations of the diatomic nuclei and electrons, caused by IR radiation. That being the case, it should also be subject to the rule that the electromagnetic interaction is  $10^{40}$  times greater than the gravitational one.<sup>2</sup> This should therefore be related to the hydrogen nucleus' gravitational mass, versus the use of electrostatic force to break the bonds more efficiently, since the electromagnetic interaction should do more work on the nucleus during the same time, and should therefore improve the process.

Langmuir first noticed the atomic hydrogen reaction after hydrogen gas was passed around heated tungsten wires, believing that heat transferred to the hydrogen broke the bonds. However, tungsten may react electrostatically or catalytically to break the diatomic bonds. This 1912 experiment was originally done in Socorro, New Mexico, in a desert well-known for its high electrostatic activity. In this region it is very difficult to avoid electrostatic charges.

Neither my name nor my books are cited by some researchers who were kicked into high gear by my "cold fusion" research, my books, my thoughts and my generosity. They do not cite my work because I am not obsessed with Relativism and its orgiastic mathematics, the very things standing in their way,

I now say to them: You are welcome to use whatever I disclose

---

<sup>2</sup>George Gamow, Gravity

in my books, but at least have the common decency to say where you got it. It is bigger to say that someone influenced you, than to pretend that you had no help when you did. And by all means, please don't try to describe things in reality with Relativist terminology.

I find it ironic that those high and mighty Relativist physicists, who looked down on me because I refused to speak their erroneous "math-ese", now appropriate my energy ideas without any attribution whatsoever, yet fail to see the available energy in reactions they have observed for years, because it has been concealed by their revered Relativism. Sooner or later, the disparity between theory and practice will become so obvious that they should be exposed as the hypocrites and idea-thieves they are. We are on the verge of a radical change in physics, and it hasn't been the result of the physics establishment itself.

"We are living in a time when history is holding its breath, and the present is detaching itself from the past like an iceberg that has broken from its icy moorings to sail across the boundless sea.'

Arthur C. Clarke  
The Children of Icarus

If the forces which militate against individual human creativity are held in check, humanity will not only survive, but will flourish. The Revolution begins with you, and should make things better for all, in the building of a "Creative Utopia" ..... a "CREATOPIA"™.

## BIBLIOGRAPHY

- Alfven, Hannes - Existence of Electromagnetic Hydrodynamic Waves, Nature, vol. 150 (Oct. 3, 1942) pp. 405-6
- Angebtert, Jean Michel - The Occult and the Third Reich, McGraw-Hill Book Company
- Armstrong, R.L., King, J.D. - The Electromagnetic Interaction, Prentiss-Hall, Inc., Englewood Cliffs, NJ.
- Bondi, H. - Cosmology, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed., Cambridge U. Press, London/NY (1961)
- Boscovich, R.G. - Theoria Philosophiae Naturalis, Venice (1763)
- Bush, President George W. - Press Interview, Feb. 11, 2001 (regarding FBI Russian mole Richard Hanssen's arrest)
- Cantrell, William - Commentary on Maxwell's Equations and Special Relativity Theory, Infinite Energy Magazine, Vol. 7, Issue 38, July/Aug. 2001
- Clarke, Sir Arthur C. - Unidentified Aerial Phenomena, Lecture at Keesler AFB, Miss., ca. Dec, 1956
- Cornu, M.A. - Comptes Rendus xcix (1884) p. 1045; Exp. Res., §3075
- Cornu, M.A. - Comptes Rendus xcii (1881) p. 1368
- Einstein, Albert, Theory of Relativity (1905)
- Einstein Reconsidered - Myths and Realities of Space, Time, and Ether, Infinite Energy Magazine, Eugene Mallove, Ed. (Vol. 7, Issue 38, 2001)
- Encyclopedia of Science, Van Nostrand Reinhold Company (1976), 5<sup>th</sup> ed.
- Faraday, Michael - Thoughts on Ray Vibrations, Phil. Mag. (3) xxviii (1846)
- FBI Documents on Nikola Tesla (232 pages released under FOIA)
- Fitzgerald, G.F. - Letter (Fitzgerald to Sir Oliver Heaviside, 8 June 1846)
- Fuller, John G. - Flying Saucer Fiasco, LOOK Magazine (May 14, 1968)
- Gamow, George - Gravity, Doubleday & Company, Inc., N.Y.
- Gamow, George - Thirty Years That Shook Physics, Doubleday & Company, Inc., Garden City, N.Y. (1966)
- Gifis, Steven H. - Law Dictionary
- Good, Timothy - Above Top Secret (1988)
- Griffin, G. Edward - World Without Cancer, American Media (1974)
- Hitler, Adolf- Mein Kampf Houghton-Mifflin, Boston
- Hubble, E.P. - The Realm of the Nubulae, Yale U. Press, New Haven, Conn. (1936)
- Huygens, C. - Traite de la lumiere
- Janes, Fighting Aircraft of World War Two (ca. 1946)
- O'Neill, John J. - Prodigal Genius, the Life of Nikola Tesla (1944)
- Jung, C.G. - (collective unconscious/collective conscious theories of)
- Kehoe, Maj. Donald E. - Aliens From Space...The Real Story of Unidentified Flying Objects (1973)
- Langmuir, Physik. Z., 14, 1273 (1913)
- Lapp, R.E., Andrews, H.L. - Nuclear Radiation Physics, Prentiss-Hall, Inc., N.Y. (1950)
- Lerner, Eric - The Big Bang Never Happened, Random House (1991)
- LeSage, George Louis - Mem de Berlin for 1782
- Lyne, William R. - Free Energy Surprise (1997), Creatopia Productions
- Lyne, William R. - No Aliens, Just Assholes (article), Paranoia Magazine
- Lyne, William R. - Occult Ether Physics, 1<sup>st</sup> ed. (1997) Creatopia Productions

Lyne, William R. - Occult Ether Physics, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. (1998), Creatopia Productions  
Lyne, William R. - Pentagon Aliens, (renamed), 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. (1999), Creatopia Productions  
Lyne, William R. - Space Aliens From the Pentagon, 1<sup>st</sup>ed. (1993), Creatopia Productions.  
Lyne, William R. -Space Aliens From the Pentagon, 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. (1996)  
Lyne, William R. - The Mystical Roots of Totalitarianism (unpublished manuscript, ©1979)  
Manual of Toxicology (ca. 1957, publisher unknown)  
Mallove, Eugene, Editor - Infinite Energy Magazine  
Martin, T.C. - The Inventions, Researches and Writings of Nikola Tesla, The Electrical  
Engineer, N.Y. (1894)  
Nernst, W. - Theorem (on thermodynamics)  
New York Times, July 11,1937, p. 13, col. 2 - Announcement (Tesla's radium transmutation  
technology, interstellar energy beam development)  
New York Times, Oct. 8, 1966 (article on Condon Committee)  
Newton, Sir Isaac-Memoir, Phil. Trans, vii (1762); Royal Society, 9 Dec, 1676 (Birch iii)  
Orwell, George - 1984 (1949)  
Parascope, Inc. (1996)  
Patton, Phil - Dreamland (1998)  
Pauwels, Louis and Bergier, Jacques - Morning of the Magicians, Stein & Day, Publishers,  
N.Y.  
Plato - Dialogues  
Priestley, J. - History  
Psychology Today (ca. 1977) Narcolepsy  
Rand, Ayn - Philosophy: Who Needs It?  
Rand, Ayn - Rule By Consensus, The New Fascism, (lecture), ca. 1968  
Ratzlaff, John T. - Tesla Said (a compilation of Tesla statements), Tesla Book Co., Chula  
Vista, Ca.  
Santa Fe New Mexican (from AP), 7/30/2001, NASA probe on mission to catch some rays  
("Genesis" probe)  
Siegel, Dr. Jerome - Narcolepsy, Scientific American (2000)  
Simpson, Christopher - Blowback, Weidenfeld & Nicolson, N.Y.  
Skeptical Opinion (magazine)  
Szasz, Thomas - Manifesto (summary statement) (1998)  
Szasz, Thomas - The Myth of Mental Disease (1960)  
Tesla, Nikola - Colorado Springs Notes - 1899-1900, Nolit, Beograd, Terasije 27,  
Yugoslavia (1978)  
Tesla, Nikola - Experiments with Alternate Currents of High Potential and High Frequency  
(lecture before the Institution of Electrical Engineers, London, 1892)  
Tesla, Nikola - German Cosmic Ray Theory Questioned, New York Herald Tribune (Mar.  
3,1935)  
Tesla, Nikola - Lecture Before theA.I.E.E., Columbia College (1891)  
Tesla, Nikola - Nikola Tesla Papers (Apr. 15, 1932) Rare Books and Manuscripts Library,  
Columbia U., N.Y.  
Tesla, Nikola - Prepared Statement, New York Times, July 10, 1937, p. 13, col. 2  
Tesla, Nikola - Radio Power Will Revolutionize the World (interview by Alfred Albelli),  
Modern Mechanics and Invention (July, 1934)  
The Skeptical Inquirer (magazine)

The Skeptic (magazine)  
Thomson, Sir William - Baltimore Lectures  
Thomson, J. J. - Recent Researches in Electricity and Magnetism (1893) p. 13  
TRUE X-FILES.NEWS (an Internet news service)  
Vallee, Jacques - Anatomy of a Fraud, Journal of Scientific Exploration  
Vallee, Jacques - Forbidden Science  
Vallee, Jacques - Passport to Magonia  
Valmiki - Ramayana (pan-Indian legend, purportedly the first novel ever written)  
Wheeler, J.A. - Geometrodynamics, Academic Press (1962)  
Whittaker, Sir Edmund - A History of the Theories of Aether and Electricity, Revised and enlarged ed. (1951), Thomas Nelson and Sons, Edinburgh  
Yanigasawa, Dr. Masashi - Mouse Studies Link Feeding-Behaviour Gene with Narcolepsy, Research News, Howard Hughes Medical Institute (2000).

## VITA

William Lyne was born in Big Spring, Texas, and was raised in West Texas cattle and oilfield boom towns, with two years in the San Francisco Bay area during WWII, as a Navy brat. He enjoyed several sports, his favorites being long distance running, swimming and football. He played solo baritone horn in Waco High School Band, Texas' best high school band, in 1956, led by the immortal Lyle Skinner, in its 25<sup>th</sup> consecutive Dist. IV-A Texas Sweepstakes victory performance, and won a gold medal for his solo.

In 1956, Lyne entered the Air Force. He was removed from an Air Traffic Control School (GCA), placed in Air Force Intelligence, and granted a Top Secret Clearance, which, unknown to him at the time, was primarily to prevent his public disclosure of a Soviet spy ring he had discovered at the age of 18, while in basic training at Lackland AFB, Texas.

In 1965, Lyne acquired a B.S., with a double major (Fine Arts and Industrial Arts), Sam Houston State University, Huntsville, Texas. In 1969, he acquired an MFA in Studio Arts from the University of Texas. When he arrived in New Mexico in 1970, he was a professional artist (painting and sculpture), a profession abandoned years ago due to constant harassment orchestrated by the CIA (he refused an executive position they offered him).

Lyne has lived in New Mexico for the past thirty-one years, where he engages in energy R & D, writes, publishes, and pursues very broad interests, ranging from art to music, cinema, acting, photography, science, epigraphy and petroglyphs, archaeology, ancient history, geography, inventing, interpretation of current events and enjoying the beautiful Southwestern scenery and culture.

Lyne has three previous books presently in print: PENTAGON ALIENS (1999)(revised, expanded and renamed 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. Of his 1993 book, Space Aliens From the Pentagon); OCCULT ETHER PHYSICS (1997), revised 2<sup>nd</sup> ed. (1998); FREE ENERGY SURPRISE (1997), a technical report, involving a free-energy discovery. Lyne's next book is THE GALISTEA TANOAN-EGYPTIAN DJED FESTIVAL STONE: Thothmes III's Expedition to New Mexico, ca. 1625 B.C., isbn 0-9637467-3-1.

Lyne presently resides in Lamy, New Mexico, and is currently single.

# PENTAGON ALIENS

THE BOOK WHICH TELLS IT ALL: WHAT FLYING SAUCERS AND UFOS ARE, WHO INVENTED THEM, HOW THEY WORK, AND WHO IS BEHIND THE CONCEALMENT OF THIS HIGH TECHNOLOGY, CARRIED OUT THROUGH DOUBLE-TALK AND FALSE "ALIEN" PROPAGANDA, AND WHY.

HAVE A MAJORITY OF PEOPLE SWALLOWED A BIG LIE DESIGNED BY A POWERFUL ELITE TO CONCEAL THINGS WHICH ARE CRUCIAL TO FUTURE AMERICAN ECONOMY, INDEPENDENCE AND SURVIVAL AS A GREAT NATION?

WAS THIS FANTASTIC TECHNOLOGY INVENTED IN THE 19TH CENTURY BY NIKOLA TESLA, AND IS THE PRESENT TOP PHYSICS' A COVER-UP OF THE REAL ETHER PHYSICS' UPON WHICH THESE SHIPS ARE BASED?

IF YOU THINK UFOS DON'T EXIST OR ARE FROM OUTER SPACE, THINK AGAIN. THIS BOOK WILL BLOW YOUR MIND.

William Lyne was born in West Texas and raised in oil boom towns. He had a Top Secret clearance in Air Force Intelligence. In 1975, he rejected a high-paying and prestigious executive position offered by director George Bush, because he believes the National Security Act of 1947 is an illegal betrayal of American sovereignty and liberty, and that the flying saucer, a man's greatest invention, should be enjoyed by all. He began research leading to this book over 50 years ago. Contains much never before published information, including photos of a celestial guidance system from a WW II-vintage Nazi "Kreisel Teller" ("Gyrating Saucer").

\$25.00 - ISBN 0-9637467-7-4. To order, send \$25. plus \$3.00 shipping and handling to Wm. Lyne, Creatopia™, Cerro Circle 31-B, Lamy, New Mexico 87540. For more information, call or fax (505) 466-3022.

# OCCULT ETHER PHYSICS: Tesla's Hidden Space Propulsion System and the Conspiracy to Conceal It

Is there a "Secret Physics" with a different set of rules—hidden away from us earlier in this century, by a powerful elite who fear the technology based on it will strip away their power and wealth, and liberate us from their grip? Dynamite comes in small packages, and this little stick of scientific dynamite blows apart pseudo-scientific myths and instills the truth.

OCCULT ETHER PHYSICS © 1997, Wm. R. Lyne  
CREATOPIA PRODUCTIONS™

ISBN 0-9637467-6-6 - \$10.00

To order this book send \$ 10. plus \$2. shipping and handling to Wm. Lyne, Creatopia™, Cerro Circle 31-B, Lamy, New Mexico 87540

# FREE ENERGY SURPRISE

What did Nikola Tesla mean when he said he had found a new (environmental, free-energy) use for iron? He stated at one point, that for every 200 pounds of iron, another horsepower was added to one of his electrical generators. Why? How? In the 1890s, he spoke of using "cosmic radiation" (which he also called "Primary Solar Rays") for the generation of energy, and said this radiation—which today we call the ZPR ("Zero Point Radiation")—interacted with the ether and matter, to produce useful electrical energy in great amounts. When Relativism was promoted in 1919, Tesla continued to assert that the theory was wrong, and challenged the proponents of the theory to find fault with his theories, and they declined to do so. Tesla claimed that the radiation produced by "radioactive elements" was actually a reaction with the ZPR. Was he right? The author of this paper, William R. Lyne, in collaboration with a reader of his books, engaged in some unusual and innovative research, and have evolved some inventions which appear to completely refute the Relativist theory of "nuclear energy" as we think we know it, showing that " $E = (\text{much more than}) MC^2$ ".

FREE ENERGY SURPRISE - ©1997, Wm. R. Lyne

\$10.00 - ISBN 0-9637467-5-8

C R E A T O P I A PRODUCTIONS™

To order this technical report send \$10.00 plus \$2. shipping and handling to Wm. Lyne, Creatopia™, Cerro Circle 31 -B, Lamy, New Mexico 87540. For more information call or fax (505)466-3022.