Using data for TOC Monitoring and Reviews

For the full TOPS TOC curriculum

go to http://www.fsnnetwork.org/theory-change-training-curriculum

Use of M&E Data in reviewing TOC

M&E system/Data Source to measure progress		
M&E System		**Frequency**
RMTS	Routine Monitoring Tracking System	Quarterly
BBSS	Beneficiary Base Sample Survey	Annual
UP MSS	Management Score Sheet for UP	Annual
VDC MSS	Management Score Sheet for VDC	Annual
FLAIRb	Fostering Learning Adaptation in Resilience Building	Semi Annaul
PMTS	Program Monitoring Tracking System	Monthly
BL, FE	Base Line, Final Evaluation	Two times
MTE	Mid Term Evaluation	One time
End Use Monitoring	End Use Monitoring of ration participants	Monthly
DIP	Detail Implementation Plan	Monthly

Legend

Progress Category

- Good progress
- On track progress
- Behind progress
- MoV

TOC statement

- Intermediate result
- Outcome
- Output

Data utilization example 1: Consistent progress from one level to another

Progress mapping
- Good (green)
- On track (yellow)
- Behind (red)
- Progress detail (orange)

3.2. Local Government Institutions' (Union Parishad) Capacity and implementation of DCRM activities increased

Indicator: UP developed UDMP
Progress: T-115 UP, A- 115 UP
Data Source: RMTS

3.2.1 Increased resources for disaster and risk management actions at union and upazila level

Indicator: UP incorporated DRR/ CCA activities in UDPM from CAP
Progress: FY17- T 15 UP- A 56 UP
Data Source: BBSS

3.2.1.2: Union Parishad lobbies for increased DCRM resources from Upazila/District

3.2.1.3: Union Disaster Management Plan Developed

Indicator: UP adopting UDMP
Progress: T-115 UP, A- 115 UP
Data Source: RMTS

Need to determine an indicator to measure

Union and Upazilla DMC committees trained on DCRM and resource mobilization

Indicator: people trained in disaster preparedness
Progress: T-13963, A-9565
Data Source: RMTS

Comments:
- **Union Parishad lobbies for increased DCRM resources from Upazila/District:** There is no direct indicator to measure the progress. Program will consider a indicator/data source to measure this.

Example 2: Lower level progresses are "behind" but upper level "on track"

Progress mapping
- ☐ Good (green)
- ☐ On track (yellow)
- ☐ Behind (red)
- ⬭ Progress detail (orange)

1.4. Increased utilization of financial services by PEP (Female and Male)

Indicator: PEP use of savings money
Progress: 1st round 50% 2nd round-65%
Data Source: FLAIRb

1.4.1: Increased savings of PEP

1.4.2: PEP's access to financial institutions

Indicator: VSLA members average savings increased.
Progress: FY17- 200 Tk, FY18 Qt3- 1400 Tk
Data Source: RMTS

Indicator: PEP receiving agricultural credit
Progress: FY18- T 7893- A 967 (Q3)
Data Source: RMTS

1.4.1.1: PEP financial management skill increased

Indicator: PEP trained on Financial Literacy and Management.
Progress: FY18- T 144,070- A 25,081 (Q3)
Data Source: RMTS

PEP trained on financial management skills and life skills

VSLA linked to MFIs and banks

Indicator: PEP trained on Financial Literacy and Management.
Progress: FY18- T 144,070- A 25,081 (Q3)
Data Source: RMTS

Indicator: Bank account open by VSLA
**Progress:** FY18- T 151- A 139
Data Source: RMTS

Comments :
- VSLA participants not taking loans but only used this for saving – participants should be able to take out loans from VSLA without feeling the fear that they will not be paid back.
- At upper level , there might be influence of other factors which program should explore

Example 3: Lower level progresses are "On track" but upper level "behind"

Progress mapping
- Good (green)
- On track (yellow)
- Behind (red)
- Progress detail (orange ellipse)

3.1. Increased preparedness of PEP households and communities to mitigate and respond to shocks [Behind]

Indicator: People using climate information or implementing risk-reducing actions
Progress: FY17- T 33704- A 20508
Data Source: BBSS

3.1.1: Communities' capacity to prepare for shocks increased [On track]

Indicator: Communities deveoped CRA
Progress: T-947, A-947
Data Source:

3.1.1.1: Weather forecast and flood early warning disseminated to communities [Good]

3.1.2.2: Community level contingency and climate change adaptation plans developed [On track]

Indicator: community level contingency plan developed **Progress:** T 947, A-947
Data Source: RMTS

Indicator: PEP receiving risk and early warning information
Progress: FY17- T 35%- A 56%
Data Source: BBSS

UISC entrepreneurs trained to access flood warning and weather forecast/information [On track]

Men and women disaster volunteers trained on DCRM [On track]

Indicator: people trained in disaster preparedness
Progress: T-13963, A-9565
Data Source: RMTS

Indicator: Facilitate UISC Entrepreneur to provide Early Warning
Progress: FY18, T-115, A-147
Data Source: RMTS

Comments :
- Access to early warning information still lacking in many areas
- Very few respondents mentioning about idiosyncratic shocks despite the fact that these greatly affect them
- Participants not aware of contingency planning

Summary : ToC progress mapping based on M&E results