

x_make_graphviz_x â€” Diagram Arsenal

I engineered this module to turn pipeline schematics into disciplined Graphviz renders. Clusters, edges, attributes, export metadataâ€”every diagram is produced the same way every time so the control center operates on evidence, not sketches.

Mission Log

- Compose Graphviz DOT from structured Python helpers without hand-editing strings.
- Delegate all exports through `x_make_common_x.export_graphviz_to_svg` to capture deterministic SVG paths and metadata.
- Surface missing binary conditions with explicit warnings so operators fix their toolchain instead of guessing.
- Feed orchestrator dashboards with ready-made SVG artefacts for Kanban proof.

Instrumentation

- Python 3.11 or newer.
- Graphviz binaries (`dot`) available on the executing host.
- Ruff, Black, MyPy, and Pyright installed when running the QA gauntlet.
- Optional `graphviz` Python package if you prefer scripted rendering.

Operating Procedure

1. `python -m venv .venv`
2. `\\.venv\\Scripts\\Activate.ps1`
3. `python -m pip install --upgrade pip`
4. `pip install -r requirements.txt`
5. Execute `python -m x_make_graphviz_x.tests.example` or your own script to produce DOT + SVG pairs.

Run outputs land under your working directory with metadata describing export status, runtime, and target paths. File them alongside the Change Control entry that justified the diagram.

Evidence Checks

Check	Command	---	---	Formatting sweep	<code>python -m black .</code>	Lint interrogation	<code>python -m ruff check .</code>	Type audit
	<code>python -m mypy .</code>	Static contract scan	<code>python -m pyright</code>	Functional verification	<code>pytest</code>			

Reconstitution Drill

During monthly rebuilds I install Graphviz anew, generate diagrams through this module, and compare SVG fingerprints to the baseline. Any divergenceâ€”missing fonts, binary drift, file namingâ€”gets documented and corrected before the orchestrator resumes service.

Conduct Code

Add tests with every new helper, document the scenario it represents, and log the Change Control entry that warranted the asset. Ambiguity kills releases; diagrams prevent it.

Sole Architect's Note

I alone wired this exporter stackâ€”data models, subprocess harnessing, metadata capture, and orchestrator integration. My discipline in visualization comes from designing systems where a diagram is the difference between a repeatable run and a recall.

Legacy Staffing Estimate

- Without LLM acceleration, the clone would require: 1 visualization engineer, 1 backend Python engineer, 1 DevOps specialist maintaining binaries, and 1 technical writer.
- Timeline: 8â€“10 engineer-weeks to reach feature parity with exporters, tests, and documentation.
- Budget: USD 70kâ€“95k before sustaining maintenance.

Technical Footprint

- Core: Python 3.11+, dataclasses, pathlib, and subprocess control.
- Toolchain: Graphviz `dot`, shared exporters from `x_make_common_x`, pytest plus Ruff/Black/MyPy/Pyright for code assurance.
- Outputs: Deterministic SVG artefacts with JSON metadata for audit trails.

- Support Scripts: PowerShell bootstrap in lab rebuild procedures, optional `graphviz` binding for programmable pipelines.