neil.c.c.brown@kcl.ac.uk King's College London Neil C. C. Brown

Amsterdam, The Netherlands Felienne Hermans f.f.j.hermans@vu.nl Vrije Universiteit

Lauren E. Margulieux Georgia State University Imargulieux@gsu.edu

Learning is a core part of being a software developer: new technologies and paradigms are forever being created. It is necessary to about human learning and memory are incorrect, such as memoof the strategies that we may have relied upon in school, such as cramming for an exam, are ineffective. In this article, we present ten constantly learn just to keep up. However, many people's intuitions rising facts is obsolete with access to the Internet. Further, many research-derived findings about learning that will enable software developers to learn, teach, and recruit more effectively.

INTRODUCTION

Learning is necessary for software developers. Change is perpetual: new technologies are frequently invented, and old technologies are once – over the course of their career they will learn many new repeatedly updated. Thus, developers do not learn to program just programming languages and frameworks.

Just because we learn does not mean we understand how we learn. One survey in the USA found that the majority of beliefs about memory were contrary to those of scientific consensus [70]: people do not intuitively understand how memory and learning As an example, consider learning styles. Advocates of learning styles claim that effective instruction matches learners' preferred styles – visual learners look, auditory learners listen, and kines-thetic learners do. A 2020 review found that 89% of people believe of visual or auditory learning styles, just like cooking class should use hands-on practice rather than reading, whether learners prefer that learners' preferred styles should dictate instruction, though researchers have known for several decades that this is inaccurate [50]. While learners have preferred styles, effective instruction matches the content, not learning styles. A science class should use graphs to present data rather than verbal descriptions, regardiess kinesthetic style or not [54].

programming education provide strong insights into how we learn. In the next ten sections, we will give research-backed findings Decades of research into cognitive psychology, education, and about learning that apply to software developers and discuss their practical implications. This information can help with learning by yourself, teaching junior staff, and recruiting staff.

1 HUMAN MEMORY IS NOT MADE OF BITS

[37] put it, "learning means that there has been a change made in one's long-term memory." Software developers are familiar with Human memory is central to learning: as Kirschner and Hendrick series of bits and later retrieve that exact series of bits. While human memory is similar, it is neither as precise nor as reliable.

is a complicated matter. With computer memory we use two fundamental operations: read and write. Reading computer memory ile: human memory seems to have a "read-and-update" operation, wherein fetching a memory can both strengthen it and modify it – a does not modify it, and it does not matter how much time passes between writes and reads. Human long-term memory is not as sterprocess known as reconsolidation [3, 12]. This modification is more likely on recently formed memories [72]. Because of this potential modification, a fact is not in a binary state of either definitively learned or unknown: it can exist in intermediate states. We can Due to the biological complexity of human memory, reliability forget things we previously knew, and knowledge can be unreliable, especially when recently learned.

tivation energy spreads to other connected pathways, like heat radiating from a hot water pipe. This spreading activation leaves related pathways primed for activation for hours [6]. pathways. When we try to remember something, we activate a pathway of neurons to access the targeted information. However, activation is not contained within one pathway. Some of the ac-Another curious feature of human memory is "spreading activation" [4]. Our memories are stored in interconnected neural

Spreading activation has a negative implication for memory [4, 61] and a positive implication for problem-solving [58]. Spreading moments". Because pathways stay primed for hours, sometimes stepping away from a problem to work on a different problem with in the middle. When two previously unrelated areas connect, crewalks, showers or otherwise spending time away from the problem can help you get unstuck in problem solving. activation means that related, but imprecise, information can beis also associated with insight-based problem-solving, or "ah-ha ative and unique solutions to problems can arise [71]. This is why come conflated with the target information, meaning our recall of information can be unreliable. However, spreading activation own spreading activation causes two unrelated areas to connect

and retrieving from a specific location like computer memory. Human memory is more fragile and more unreliable, but it can also connecting knowledge together. We will elaborate further on mary, human memory does not work by simply storing have great benefits in problem-solving and deep understanding this in later sections, especially on retrieving items from memory (section 2) and strengthening memories (section 5).

HUMAN MEMORY IS COMPOSED OF ONE LIMITED AND ONE UNLIMITED SYSTEM

Human memory has two main components that are relevant to learning: long-term memory and working memory. Long-term memlimitless [6]; in that sense it functions somewhat like a computer's disk storage. Working memory, in contrast, is used to consciously

compared id name role plays_for number text(32) id

text, the id should be numeric. The name should have a maximum length, which is S. Three are host poptyers a player should have an id which. like teams, should be numeric), a name (that is text, but unlimited in length), and role (although the role can be missing), and a plays, for which has the numeric id of their team. This link to the team can be missing. A team should have an id, and a name. The name should be a

Veil C. C. Brown, Felienne Hermans, and Lauren E. Margulieux

Figure 1: Two ways of presenting the same database schema description with differing extraneous cognitive load. The left-hand dashed red box contains exactly the same information as the awkward textual description in the right-hand dashed red box. But if a developer only received one of the two to create an SQL database, they are likely to find the diagram easier than the text. We say that the text here has a higher extraneous cognitive load.

reason about information to solve problems [7]; it functions like a CPU's registers, storing a limited amount of information in real to allow access and manipulation.

at birth [7]. While higher working memory capacity is related to higher general intelligence, working memory capacity is not the be-all and end-all for performance [40]. Higher capacity enables Working memory is limited, and its capacity is roughly fixed faster learning, but our unlimited long-term memory removes limitations on how much we could ultimately learn in total [6]. Expert programmers may have low or high working memory capacity but it is the contents of their long-term memory that make them experts.

As people learn more about a topic, they relate information together into $chunks^1$. Chunking allows the multiple pieces of inforgether into $chunks^1$. For example, when learning an email address, a familiar domain, like gmail.com, is treated as one piece of information instead of a random string of characters, like xyjki.wmt. Thus, the more information that is chunked, the larger working memory is functionregisters may only let us store five pointers to chunks in long-term memory/disk, but there is no limit on the size of the chunks, so the mation to act as one piece of information in working memory [41] ally [74]. Using our computer analogy, our working memory/CPU optimal strategy is to increase the size of the chunks.

the cognitive load, or amount of working memory capacity, demanded by the task. Cognitive load has two parts [73]: intrinsic load and extraneous load. Intrinsic load is how many pieces of information or chunks are inherently necessary to achieve the task, and it cannot be changed except by changing the task. In contrast, extraneous cognitive load is unnecessary information that, nevertheless, is part of performing the task. Presentation format is an example of When learning new tools or skills, it is important to understand how extraneous cognitive load can vary. If you are implementing a database schema, it is easier to use a diagram with tables and attributes than a plain English description – the latter has higher extraneous load because you must mentally transform the descrip into a schema, whereas the diagram can be mapped directly (see Figure 1 for an example). Extraneous load is generally higher

is important to recognize that this can be changed by reorganising When faced with a task that seems beyond a person's abilities, it

'This is not an informal description: the technical term is actually "chunks".

complex problems. This principle should be applied to your own practice when facing problems at the edge of or beyond your curthe task. Decomposing the problem into smaller pieces that can be processed and chunked will ultimately allow the person to solve rent skills, but it is especially relevant when working with junior developers and recruits.

3 EXPERTS RECOGNISE, BEGINNERS REASON

have seen it all before. Research into chess experts has shown that the primary advantage of experts is that they remember and recognise the state of the board. This allows them to decide how to One key difference between beginners and experts is that experts espond more quickly and with less effort [29]. Kahneman [35] 2 describes cognition as being split into "system 1" and "system 2" (thus proving that it's not only developers who struggle with naming things). System 1 is fast and driven by recognition, relying upon pattern recognition in long-term memory, while system 2 is slower and focused on reasoning, requiring more processing in working memory. This is part of a general idea known as dual-process theories Robins [60].

program code, which frees up their cognition [11]. One such instance of this is "design patterns" in programming, similar to chunks Expert developers can reason at a higher-level by having memorised (usually implicitly, from experience) common patterns in from section 2. An expert may immediately recognise that a particular piece of code is carrying out a sorting algorithm, while a beginner might read line-by-line to try to understand the workings of the code without recognising the bigger picture.

library of patterns that let them read and write code more easily in future. Seeing purely-imperative C code may only partially apply A corollary to this is that beginners can become experts by reading and understanding a lot of code. Experts build up a mental to functional Haskell code, so seeing a variety of programming paradigms will help further. Overall, this pattern matching is the eason that reading and working with more code, and more types of code, will increase proficiency at programming

 $^{^2}$ Parts of Kahneman's book were undermined by psychology's "replication crisis", which affected some of its findings, but not the idea of system 1 and 2.