# Distance preserving graphs and graph products

M. H. Khalifeh<sup>a</sup>, Bruce E. Sagan<sup>a</sup>, Emad Zahedi<sup>a,b,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup>Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824-1027, U.S.A. <sup>b</sup>Department of Computer Science and Engineering, Michigan State University East Lansing, MI 48824, U.S.A.

#### **Abstract**

If G is a graph then a subgraph H is isometric if, for every pair of vertices u, v of H, we have  $d_H(u, v) = d_G(u, v)$  where d is the distance function. We say a graph G is distance preserving (dp) if it has an isometric subgraph of every possible order up to the order of G. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the lexicographic product of two graphs to be a dp graph. A graph G is sequentially distance preserving (sdp) if the vertex set of G can be ordered so that, for all  $i \geq 1$ , deleting the first i vertices in the sequence results in an isometric graph. We show that the Cartesian product of two graphs is sdp if and only if each of them is sdp. In closing, we state a conjecture concerning the Cartesian products of dp graphs.

Keywords: Cartesian product, distance preserving graph, isometric subgraph, lexicographic product, sequentially distance preserving graph.

AMS subject classification (2015): 05C12

# 1. Introduction

The computational complexity of exploring distance properties of large graphs such as real-world social networks which consist of millions of nodes can be extremely expensive. Recomputing distances in subgraphs of the original graph will add to the cost. One way to avoid this is to use subgraphs where the distance between any pair of vertices is the same as in the original graph. Such a subgraph is called *isometric*. Isometric subgraphs come into play in network clustering [1].

One family of graphs which has been studied in the literature involving isometric subgraphs is the set of distance-hereditary graphs. A distance-hereditary graph is a connected graph in which every connected induced subgraph of G is isometric. Distance-hereditary graphs have appeared in various papers [2, 3, 4] since they were first described in an article of Howorka [5]. Distance-hereditary graphs are known to be perfect graphs [6, 7].

Email address: Zahediem@msu.edu (Emad Zahedi)

<sup>\*</sup>I am corresponding author

Another notion using isometric subgraphs is that of a distance preserving graph. A connected graph is distance preserving, for which we use the abbreviation dp, if it has an isometric subgraph of every possible order. The definition of a distance-preserving graph is similar to the one for distance-hereditary graphs, but is less restrictive. Because of this, distance-preserving graphs can have a more complex structure than distance-hereditary ones. Distance-preserving graphs have also been studied in the literature. See, for example, [8, 9, 10].

We will also consider a related notion defined as follows. A connected graph G is sequentially distance preserving (sdp) if there is some ordering  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{|V(G)|}$  of the vertices of G such that the subgraph  $G - \{v_i\}_{i=1}^s$  is an isometric subgraph of G for  $1 \le s \le |V(G)|$ , [11]. Obviously every distance-hereditary graph is sdp and every sdp graph is dp.

The purpose of this paper is to investigate what happens to the dp and sdp properties when taking products of graphs. Graph products are operations which take two graphs G and H and produce a graph with vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  and certain conditions on the edge set [12]. We consider two kinds of such products, lexicographic product and Cartesian product. Various graph invariants of lexicographic products of graphs have been studied in the literature. See, e.g., [13, 14, 15]. The Cartesian product is a well-known graph product, in part because of Vizing's Conjecture [16], and has been considered by many authors such as [17, 18, 19, 20].

The outline of this paper is as follows. Section 2 gives full definitions for the main concepts we will need. Section 3 gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the lexicographic product of two graphs to be dp. This condition implies that if G is dp then the lexicographic product of G and any graph H is dp. Moreover, all isometric subgraphs of the lexicographic product of two arbitrary graphs are characterized in this section. In the Section 4, we will show that the Cartesian product of two graphs is sdp if and only if its factors are. We end with a conjecture about when the Cartesian product of graphs is dp.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this paper every graph G = (V, E) will be finite, undirected and simple. For ease of notation, we let |G| be the number of vertices of G. A sequence of vertices  $u_0, u_1, \ldots, u_l$  is a walk of length l if  $u_{i-1}u_i \in E$  for  $1 \le i \le l$ . The walk is a path if the  $u_i$  are distinct. The distance between vertices u, v in G,  $d_G(u, v)$ , is the minimum length of a path connecting u and v. In the case of a disconnected graph G, we let  $d_G(u, v) = \infty$  when there is no path between u and v in G. If the graph G is clear from context, we will use d(u, v), instead of  $d_G(u, v)$ . A path P from u to v with length d(u, v) is called a u-v geodesic.

A subgraph H of a graph G is called an *isometric* subgraph, denoted  $H \leq G$ , if  $d_H(u,v) = d_G(u,v)$  for every pair of vertices  $u,v \in V(H)$ . A connected graph G with |G| = n is called distance preserving (dp) if it has an i-vertex isometric subgraph for every  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . A connected graph G is called sequentially distance preserving (sdp) if there is an ordering  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  of the vertices of G such that  $G - \{u_i\}_{i=1}^s \leq G$  for  $1 \leq s \leq n$ . In this case we say that  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  is an sdp sequence for G.

The lexicographic product G[H] of graphs G and H is the graph with vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  and edge set

$$E(G[H]) = \{(u, x)(v, y) \mid uv \in E(G), \text{ or } xy \in E(H) \text{ and } u = v\}.$$

The Cartesian product of G and H is the graph, denoted  $G \square H$ , on the vertex set  $V(G) \times V(H)$  whose edge set is

$$E(G \square H) = \{(u, x)(v, y) \mid uv \in E(G) \text{ and } x = y, \text{ or } xy \in E(H) \text{ and } u = v\}.$$

The reader can consult the book of Imrich and Klavzar [12], for more details about products.

#### 3. Lexicographic products of graphs

In this section we derive a necessary and sufficient condition for a connected graph G[H] to be distance preserving. Furthermore we will find all the isometric subgraphs of G[H].

We first need a lemma about the distance function in G[H] which is proved by Khalifeh et al. [21]

**Lemma 3.1.** Suppose G is a graph with  $|G| \ge 2$  and H is an arbitrary graph. (a) Let G be connected. For distinct vertices (u, x) and (v, y) in G[H],

$$d_{G[H]}((u,x),(v,y)) = \begin{cases} d_G(u,v) & if \ u \neq v, \\ 2 & if \ u = v, \ xy \notin E(H), \\ 1 & if \ u = v, \ xy \in E(H). \end{cases}$$

(b) The graph G[H] is connected if and only if G is connected.

In order to state the main theorem of this section, we need some notation. Let

$$dp(G) = \{k \mid G \text{ has an isometric subgraph with } k \text{ vertices}\}.$$

If a, b are integers with a < b, then let  $[a, b] = \{a, a + 1, a + 2, ..., b\}$ . So a graph G is dp if and only if dp(G) = [1, |G|]. Two elements  $a, b \in dp(G)$  bound a non-dp interval if the set of integers c with a < c < b is nonempty and consists only of elements not in dp(G).

Finally, the *projection* of a subgraph K of G[H], denoted  $\pi(K)$ , is the induced subgraph of G whose vertex set is

$$V(\pi(K)) = \{u \mid (u, x) \text{ is a vertex of } K\}.$$

**Theorem 3.2.** Let G be a connected graph with  $|G| \ge 2$  and H be an arbitrary graph with |H| = n. Then

$$G[H]$$
 is dp if and only if  $b \le an + 1$ 

for every pair  $a, b \in dp(G)$  bounding a non-dp interval.

*Proof.* We claim, for an induced subgraph K of G[H] with  $\pi(K)$  having at least two vertices,

$$\pi(K) \le G$$
 if and only if  $K \le G[H]$ . (1)

To prove the forward direction of the claim, assume that  $\pi(K) \leq G$  and consider distinct vertices  $(u, x), (v, y) \in V(K)$ . If  $u \neq v$  then, using the same ideas as in the proof of the first case in Lemma 3.1(a), we see that  $d_{\pi(K)}(u, v) = d_K((u, x), (v, y))$ . Using  $\pi(K) \leq G$  and the lemma itself gives

$$d_K((u,x),(v,y)) = d_{\pi(K)}(u,v) = d_G(u,v) = d_{G[H]}((u,x),(v,y))$$

as desired. If u = v and  $xy \notin E(H)$ , then a similar proof shows that  $d_K((u, x), (v, y)) = 2 = d_{G[H]}((u, x), (v, y))$ . Finally, if u = v and  $xy \in E(H)$ , since K is induced we have  $d_K((u, x), (v, y)) = 1 = d_{G[H]}((u, x), (v, y))$ .

Conversely, if  $K \leq G[H]$ , then we must show

$$d_{\pi(K)}(u,v) = d_G(u,v)$$

for any two distinct vertices u, v in  $\pi(K)$ . Again using the ideas in the proof of the first case in Lemma 3.1(a), we see that  $d_{\pi(K)}(u, v) = d_K((u, x), (v, y))$  for any  $x, y \in V(H)$ . Using  $K \leq G[H]$  and the lemma itself, we have

$$d_{\pi(K)}(u,v) = d_K((u,x),(v,y)) = d_{G[H]}((u,x),(v,y)) = d_G(u,v).$$

To prove the theorem suppose that  $|\pi(K)| = c$ , |G| = m and |H| = n so that |G[H]| = mn. By definition of projection  $c \leq |K| \leq cn$ . Also every connected graph with at least two vertices has isometric subgraphs with one vertex and with two vertices. So by equation (1), G[H] will be dp if and only if

$$\bigcup_{c \in dp(G)} [c, cn] = [1, mn].$$

Since  $1, 2, m \in dp(G)$ , the last equality is equivalent to  $[a, an] \cup [b, bn]$  being an interval for every pair  $a, b \in dp(G)$  bounding a non-dp interval. But this is equivalent to  $b \le an + 1$ .  $\square$ 

The next result is an immediate corollary of the previous theorem.

Corollary 3.3. If G is dp with  $|G| \ge 2$  then so is G[H] for any graph H.

Similarly, the next result follows easily from Lemma 3.1 and equation (1).

Corollary 3.4. For a connected graph G with  $|G| \geq 2$  and an induced subgraph K of G[H],

$$K \leq G[H]$$
 if and only if 
$$\begin{cases} \pi(K) \leq G & \text{if } |\pi(K)| \geq 2, \\ \operatorname{diam}(K) \leq 2 & \text{if } |\pi(K)| = 1. \end{cases}$$

### 4. Cartesian product graphs

We now turn to Cartesian products and the sdp property. We first need some notation and a few well-known results. A  $removal\ set$  in G is a set of vertices of G whose removal gives an isometric subgraph, let

$$\mathrm{DP}'(G) = \{ A \subseteq V(G) \mid G - A \le G \} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathrm{dp}'(G) = \{ |A| \mid A \in \mathrm{DP}'(G) \}.$$

**Proposition 4.1.** [22] Suppose G and H are graphs,

(a) If (u, x) and (v, y) are vertices of a Cartesian product  $G \square H$  then

$$d_{G \square H}((u,x),(v,y)) = d_{G}(u,v) + d_{H}(x,y).$$

(b) A path  $(u_0, x_0) \dots (u_l, x_l)$  is geodesic in  $G \square H$  if and only if  $u_0 \dots u_l$  is a geodesic in G after removal of repeated vertices and similarly for  $x_0 \dots x_l$  in H.

Next we consider isometric Cartesian product subgraphs of a Cartesian product graph.

**Lemma 4.2.** Suppose G' and H' are nonempty subgraphs of G and H respectively, then  $G' \square H' \leq G \square H$  if and only if  $G' \leq G$  and  $H' \leq H$ .

*Proof.* For the forward direction using the assumption and proposition 4.1(a) we have

$$d_{G'}(u,v) + d_{H'}(x,y) = d_{G' \square H'}((u,x),(v,y))$$
  
=  $d_{G \square H}((u,x),(v,y))$   
=  $d_{G}(u,v) + d_{H}(x,y),$ 

for every pair of vertices  $(u, x), (v, y) \in V(G' \square H')$ . As any distance in a subgraph is greater than or equal to the corresponding distance in the original graph, we get  $d_{G'}(u, v) = d_G(u, v)$  and  $d_{H'}(x, y) = d_H(x, y)$ .

Conversely, suppose G' and H' are isometric subgraphs, by proposition 4.1(a) we have

$$d_{G' \square H'}((u,x),(v,y)) = d_{G'}(u,v) + d_{H'}(x,y)$$
  
=  $d_G(u,v) + d_H(x,y)$   
=  $d_{G \square H}((u,x),(v,y)),$ 

for each pair of vertices  $(u, x), (v, y) \in V(G' \square H')$ . This complete the proof.

We now prove a lemma about removal sets of vertices.

**Lemma 4.3.** For nonemty subsets A and B in the vertex set of graphs G and H respectively,  $A \times B \in \mathrm{DP}'(G \square H)$  if and only if  $A \in \mathrm{DP}'(G)$  and  $B \in \mathrm{DP}'(H)$ .

*Proof.* To prove the forward direction, we show  $A \in \mathrm{DP}'(G)$  as  $B \in \mathrm{DP}'(H)$  is similar. Let  $u, v \in V(G-A)$  and  $x \in B$ . By Proposition 4.1(b), the (u, x)-(v, x) geodesics in  $(G-A) \square B$  are the same as the geodesics in  $(G \square H)$ - $(A \times B)$ . Now using this fact, Proposition 4.1(a), and the assumption in this direction

 $d_{G-A}(u,v) = d_{(G-A) \square B}((u,x),(v,x)) = d_{(G \square H)-(A\times B)}((u,x),(v,x)) = d_{G \square H}((u,x),(v,x)),$ Finally, applying Proposition 4.1(a) again shows that the last distance equals  $d_G(u,v)$  as

To see the backward direction, first note that  $(G \square H) - (A \times B) = ((G - A) \square H) \cup (G \square (H - B))$ . So it suffices to show that

$$d_{(G \square H)-(A \times B)}((u, x), (v, y)) = d_{G \square H}((u, x), (v, y))$$

for any (u,x) in  $(G-A) \square H$  and (v,y) in  $G \square (H-B)$  since Lemma 4.2 takes care of the other possibilities. Clearly there is a path  $(u,x),\ldots,(u,y)$  with length  $d_H(x,y)$  in  $(G-A) \square H$ , and also  $(u,y),\ldots,(v,y)$  with length  $d_G(u,v)$  in  $G \square (H-B)$ . The concatenation of these paths is a path from (u,x) to (v,y) in  $(G \square H) - (A \times B)$  of length  $d_G(u,v) + d_H(x,y) = d_{G \square H}((u,x),(v,y))$  and so must be a geodesic. This concludes the proof.

We are now in a position to prove the main theorem of this section.

**Theorem 4.4.** The product  $G \square H$  is sdp if and only if both G and H are sdp.

Proof. For the forward direction, we will prove that G is sdp, the proof for H being similar. Take an sdp sequence of vertices for  $G \square H$ . Fix  $x \in H$  and consider the subsequence  $(u_1, x), (u_2, x), \ldots, (u_n, x)$  where n = |G|. We claim that  $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n$  is an sdp sequence for G. Indeed, let  $G' = G - \{u_i\}_{i=1}^s$  and let K' be  $G \square H$  with the vertices through  $(u_s, x)$  removed so that  $G' \square \{x\} \subseteq K'$ . Now if  $v, w \in V(G')$  then, by Proposition 4.1(b), P is a v-w geodesic in G' if and only if  $P \square \{x\}$  is a (v, x)-(w, x) geodesic in K'. From this fact, the sdp property of the original sequence, and Proposition 4.1(a) we obtain

$$d_{G'}(v, w) = d_{K'}((v, x), (w, x)) = d_{G \square H}((v, x), (w, x)) = d_{G}(v, w)$$

as desired.

desired.

For the converse, suppose that if  $u_1, \ldots, u_n$  and  $v_1, \ldots, v_m$  are sdp sequences for G and H, respectively. Then it follows easily from Lemma 4.3 and the transitivity of the isometric subgraph relation that

$$(u_1, v_1), \ldots, (u_n, v_1), (u_1, v_2), \ldots, (u_n, v_2), \ldots, (u_1, v_m), \ldots, (u_n, v_m)$$

is an sdp sequence for  $G \square H$ .

The relationship between Cartesian product and the dp property seems more delicate. In particular, we note that  $G \square H$  can be dp even though G or H may not be. As an example suppose a graph G consists of the cycle  $C_7$  with a pendant edge and H is the path  $P_2$ . It is easy to see that G does not have any isometric subgraph of order 5. But using Lemma 4.3 one can prove that  $G \square H$  is dp. Computations suggest the following conjecture.

Conjecture 4.5. If G and H are dp then so is  $G \square H$ .

#### References

- [1] R. Nussbaum, A.-H. Esfahanian, and P.-N. Tan, "Clustering social networks using distance-preserving subgraphs," in *The Influence of Technology on Social Network Analysis and Mining*. Springer, 2013, pp. 331–349.
- [2] H.-J. Bandelt and H. M. Mulder, "Distance-hereditary graphs," *Journal of Combinatorial Theory*, Series B, vol. 41, no. 2, pp. 182–208, 1986.
- [3] G. Damiand, M. Habib, and C. Paul, "A simple paradigm for graph recognition: application to cographs and distance hereditary graphs," *Theoretical Computer Science*, vol. 263, no. 1, pp. 99–111, 2001.
- [4] P. L. Hammer and F. Maffray, "Completely separable graphs," *Discrete applied mathematics*, vol. 27, no. 1, pp. 85–99, 1990.
- [5] E. Howorka, "A characterization of distance-hereditary graphs," *The quarterly journal of mathematics*, vol. 28, no. 4, pp. 417–420, 1977.
- [6] M. C. Golumbic and U. Rotics, "On the clique-width of some perfect graph classes," *International Journal of Foundations of Computer Science*, vol. 11, no. 03, pp. 423–443, 2000.
- [7] A. D'Atri and M. Moscarini, "Distance-hereditary graphs, steiner trees, and connected domination," SIAM Journal on Computing, vol. 17, no. 3, pp. 521–538, 1988.
- [8] A.-H. Esfahanian, R. Nussbaum, D. Ross, and B. E. Sagan, "On constructing regular distance-preserving graphs," *Congr. Numer.*, vol. 219, pp. 129–138, 2014.
- [9] R. Nussbaum and A.-H. Esfahanian, "Preliminary results on distance-preserving graphs," *Congressus Numerantium*, vol. 211, pp. 141–149, 2012.
- [10] E. Zahedi, "Distance preserving graphs," Preprint.
- [11] V. Chepoi, "On distance-preserving and domination elimination orderings," SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 414–436, 1998.
- [12] W. Imrich and S. Klavzar, Product graphs. Wiley, 2000.
- [13] B. S. Anand, M. Changat, S. Klavžar, and I. Peterin, "Convex sets in lexicographic products of graphs," *Graphs and Combinatorics*, vol. 28, no. 1, pp. 77–84, 2012.
- [14] N. Cižek and S. Klavžar, "On the chromatic number of the lexicographic product and the cartesian sum of graphs," *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 134, no. 1, pp. 17–24, 1994.
- [15] C. Yang and J.-M. Xu, "Connectivity of lexicographic product and direct product of graphs." Ars Comb., vol. 111, pp. 3–12, 2013.
- [16] V. Vizing, "The cartesian product of graphs," Vycisl. Sistemy, vol. 9, pp. 30-43, 1963.
- [17] F. Aurenhammer, J. Hagauer, and W. Imrich, "Cartesian graph factorization at logarithmic cost per edge," *Computational Complexity*, vol. 2, no. 4, pp. 331–349, 1992.
- [18] J. Cáceres, C. Hernando, M. Mora, I. M. Pelayo, M. L. Puertas, C. Seara, and D. R. Wood, "On the metric dimension of cartesian products of graphs," SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, vol. 21, no. 2, pp. 423–441, 2007.
- [19] M. H. Khalifeh, H. Yousefi-Azari, A. R. Ashrafi, and S. G. Wagner, "Some new results on distance-based graph invariants," *European Journal of Combinatorics*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 1149–1163, 2009.
- [20] H. Yousefi-Azari, B. Manoochehrian, and A. Ashrafi, "The pi index of product graphs," *Applied Mathematics Letters*, vol. 21, no. 6, pp. 624–627, 2008.
- [21] M. Khalifeh, H. Yousefi-Azari, and A. Ashrafi, "A matrix method for computing szeged and vertex pi indices of join and composition of graphs," *Linear Algebra and its Applications*, vol. 429, no. 11, pp. 2702–2709, 2008.
- [22] B. Brešar, S. Klavžar, and A. T. Horvat, "On the geodetic number and related metric sets in cartesian product graphs," *Discrete Mathematics*, vol. 308, no. 23, pp. 5555–5561, 2008.