Projekt lab notes 2016

Project

Exploration and implementation of algorithm for classification of signal-peptides.

19 december 2016

We have decided to mainly focus on implementing a classifier using some sort of HMM approach. If there is time we will also try to do something using an RNN. The first step will be writing code to handle and import the data.

20 december 2016

Today we implemented the first attempt at using an HMM. We used the hiden states from the data to train two models. One on the positive data and one on the negative data. We then used these to score the data points in the test set, chosing the model that had the highes probability score.

```
Number of positive samples labeled positive 528, total number of positive 528 Number of negative samples labeled negative 118, total number of negative 534 precission: 55,932203389830505 recal: 100.0 accuracy: 60.8286252354049 avrg positive neg prob: -4.320928254863987 avrg positive neg prob: -4.3209283753646 avrg positive pos prob: -3.72393241005475 avrg positive pos prob: -3.72393241005475 avrg positive pos prob: -3.1031834877775832
```

Figure 1: Results from first run.

This approach did not fare so well. It seems that the mean probability of the negative model is much lower, creating a classifier that is overly prone to positive classification.

We will now try a different approach, were we instead train a model on all the samples, and have it predict a hiden state sequence for the given protein sequence. We then use the hiden state sequence to predict the class of the data by looking for "C".

I have also played around with an RNN but not quite fully grasped how to use it



Figure 2: Results from first run.

21 december 2016

Today we finished an single HMM approach to the problem. In this we use the hidden-state data to create a markow model for all the peptides in the training data. Then to classify new sequences we use the model to predict the the hiden state of the sequence, and then look at the produced hiden-state to decide if the sequence is a signal peptide. To decide if it is an signal peptide we simply look for a C in the state sequence.

```
Evaluated on full data set:
Predicting.
Done.

All true 127
All positive 128
True positive 117
True negative 128
Precission 0.9140625
Recal 0.92125984252
Accuracy on test data: 92.118

Evaluated on non-tm:
Predicting.
Done.

All true 120
All positive 118
True positive 110
True negative 106
Precission 0.932203389831
Recal 0.91666666667
Accuracy on test data: 92.318

Evaluated on tm:
Predicting.
Done.

All true 7
All positive 10
True negative 106
True positive 7
True negative 22
Precission 0.7
Recal 1.0
Accuracy on test data: 90.628
```

Figure 3: Stats from the second run

22 december 2016

We are now trying to use our model to analyze the proteome. We realized that our model had no way of handleing errors in the data, or '*' and had to adjust for this.

I also continued playing with the rnn. I have realized that the first attempt was implemented badly. It would be interesting to try it but i would have to preprocess the data by first adding beginnig and end of sentence markers and then concatinating all the sequences. Im still unclear of weather i should send the data in as onehot vectors or as integers. For now i will put this on ice. Concentrating on the hmm.

27 december 2016

We have made some runs on the proteom and the result seems satisfactory

```
human_prediction = evaluate(model, encoded_data_human, human_data_labels, states_map)

Predicting.
Done.

All 215929
All true 12931
All positive 17181
True positive 10626
True negative 196443
Precission 0.618473895582
Recal 0.821746191323
Accuracy on test data: 95.9%

MOUSE

### MOUSE
```

Figure 4: Stats from the run on human and mouse proteom

28 december 2016

Today we implemented controlls for our experiment to ensure the validity of our results. We did this by generating random codon sequences of different length and assuming these should be negative and by adding noice at the end of positve and negative sequences. In these test we found that the classifier was overly prone to classify long random sequences as possitive. Looking at the state sequences that the classifier created we identified that when the sequences were getting longer we were occasionally predicting "C" states in non signal peptide sequences of states. To adjust for this we changed the final step of the classifier to look for a valid sequence of states instead of just looking for a "C". This made accuracy on randomly created squences of length 10,000 go from about 10 % to about 90 %. It also sligthly improved the stats on the test data and proteoms. The other test did not find any anomalies.