Projekt lab notes by Ezeddin Al Hakim 2016

Project

Classifier for identification of signal peptides.

19 December 2016

We have described the classification problem by a Hidden Markov Model. In the next days, we will focus to implement HMM, whether we have time we will implement RNN.

20 December 2016

We have installed *hmmlearn* module, it is a set of algorithms for inference of Hidden Markov Models for Python. Then we implemented the first version of the classifier using hmmlearn. The classifier works as follows:

- Training a model λ_p using only positive data
- Training a model λ_n using only negative data
- Given a sequence of amino acids $X_{1:n}$, we will calculate the probability for both models (i.e. $P(X_{1:n}|\lambda_p)$ and $P(X_{1:n}|\lambda_n)$) and choosing the model with highest probability.

But classifier was not so good, we got 61 % accuracy, 100 % recal and 58 % precission.

21 december 2016

Today we have tried another method. We have trained a model λ_a using all data, positive and negative data. To classify signal peptides, we calculate/find the most likely sequence of hidden states $Z_{1:n}$ (n-, h-, c-, C- and other-regions), i.e. maximizes the conditional distribution $P(Z_{1:n}|X_{1:n},\lambda_a)$. To classify if the sequence is a signal peptide, we finding the n-, h-, c-, and C-regions in the hidden states.

The classifier was much better, we got 92 % accuracy, 92 % recal and 91 % precission.

22 December 2016

Today we tried to evaluate our classifier on two proteom, human and mouse. But we had a few bugs in our classifier, e.g. it was unknown characters in the sequences of the two proteom.

27 December 2016

Today we succeeded to evaluate our classifier on the two proteom, and we got the follows results:

HUMAN

All 215929

All true 12931

All positive 17181

True positive 10626

True negative 196443

Precission o.618473895582

Recal o.821746191323

Accuracy on test data: 95.9%

MOUSE

All 124168

All true 7756

All positive 9966

True positive 6246

True negative 112692

Precission o.626730885009

Recal 0.805312016503

Accuracy on test data: 95.79%

28 December 2016

Today we tested our classifier with different length of random sequences and $92\,\%$ of them classified as non-signal peptides. Here are some outputs from Controls:

Evaluated on random data with length 2:

All true o

All positive o

True positive o

True negative 1000

Accuracy on test data: 100.0%

Evaluated on random data with length 100:

All true o All positive 110 True positive o True negative 890

Accuracy on test data: 89.0%

Evaluated on random data with length 10000:

All true o All positive 118 True positive o True negative 882

Accuracy on test data: 88.2%
