6Computer Architectures 02LSEOV Delivery date:

By 2:00 AM on October, 16 2024

Laboratory 2

The expected delivery of lab\_02.zip must include:
- program 1.s

- This file, filled with information and possibly compiled in a pdf format.

Please configure the WinMIPS64 simulator with the *Initial Configuration* provided below c):

Integer ALU: 1 clock cycle Data memory: 1 clock cycle

Code address bus: 12Data address bus: 12

FP arithmetic unit: pipelined, 4 clock cycles
FP multiplier unit: pipelined, 6 clock cycles
FP divider unit: not pipelined, 30 clock cycles

1) Write an assembly program (**program\_1.s**) for the *WinMIPS64* architecture described before being able to implement the following high-level code:

```
for (i = 31; i >= 0; i--){
	v4[i] = v1[i]*v1[i] - v2[i];
	v5[i] = v4[i]/v3[i] - v2[i];
	v6[i] = (v4[i]-v1[i])*v5[i];
}
```

Assume that the vectors v1[], v2[], and v3[] have been previously allocated in memory and contain 32 double-precision **floating-point values**; also assume that v3[] does not contain 0 values. Additionally, the vectors v4[], v5[], v6[] are empty vectors also allocated in memory.

**Calculate** the data memory footprint of your program:

Data	Number of bytes
V1	256
V2	256
V3	256
V4	256
V5	256
V6	256
Total	1536

Are there any issues? Yes, where and why? No? Do you need to change something?

Your answer: No, there are no issues because the data address bus is 12 bits. I am using 6 vectors, each 256 bits long, totaling 1536 bits, and we can allocate a total of 2^12 bits.

**ATTENTION**: WinMIPS64 has a limitation regarding the maximum length of the string when declaring a vector. It is therefore recommended to split the elements of the vectors into multiple lines: this also increases readability.

• Calculate the CPU performance equation (CPU time) of the above program by assuming a clock frequency of 15 MHz:

CPU time = 
$$(\sum_{i=1}^{n} CPI_i \times IC_i) \times Clock$$
 cycle period

By definition:

- CPI is equal to the number of clock cycles required by the related functional unit to execute the instruction (EX stage).
- IC<sub>i</sub> is the number of times an instruction is repeated in the referenced source code.
- Recalculate the CPU performance equation assuming that you can triple the speed by just one unit of your choice between the FP multiplier or the FP divider:
  - FP multiplier unit:  $6 \rightarrow 2$  clock cycles or
  - FP divider unit:  $30 \rightarrow 10$  clock cycles

Table 1: CPU time by hand

	Initial CPU	CPU time	CPU time
	time (a)	(b – MUL speeded up)	(b – DIV speeded up)
program_1.s	=	= (1+54*32+1) / (1.5)	= (1+42*32+1) / (1.5)
	(1+62*32+1)	* 10^7) = 1730 / (1.5	* 10^7) = 1346 / (1.5
	/(1.5 * 10^7)	* 10^7) = 1.153 * 10^-	* 10^7) = 8.973 *
	= 1986 / (1.5	4 s	10^-5 s
	* 10^7) =		
	1.324 * 10^-		
	4 s		

• Using the simulator, calculate the CPU time again and fill in the following table:

Table 2: CPU time using the simulator

S

	Initial CPU	CPU time	CPU time
	time (a)	(b – MUL speeded up)	(b – DIV speeded up)
program_1.s	= 2247 / (1.5	= 1991 / (1.5 * 10^7) =	= 1607 / (1.5 * 10^7)
	* 10^7) =	1.327 * 10^-4 s	= 1.071 * 10^-4 s
	1.498 * 10^-		
	4 s		

Are there any differences? If so, where and why? If not, please provide some comments in the box below:

Your answer: Yes, the hand calculations are more approximate because I did not account for variable and structural stalls, but I just considered the execution stage. As a result, my calculations have fewer cycles than the simulator, which makes the latter more precise.

• Using the simulator and the *Initial Configuration*, enable the Forwarding option and compute how many clock cycles the program takes to execute.

Table 3: forwarding enabled

THE TOTAL CONTROLL					
	Number	of	IPC	(Instructions	Per
	clock cycles		Clock	)	
program_1.s	1861		= 450	/ 1861 = <b>0.24</b>	

Enable one at a time the *optimization features* that were initially disabled and collect statistics to fill the following table (fill all required data in the table before exporting this file to pdf format to be delivered).

Table 4: Program performance for different processor configurations

Program			Branch Target Buffer		Delay Slot		Forward Branch Buffer	ding + Target
	IPC	CC	IPC	CC	IPC	CC	IPC	CC
program_1.s	0.24	1861	0.20	2220	0.21	77	0.25	1834

2) Using the WinMIPS64 simulator, validate experimentally the Amdahl's law, defined as follows:

speedup overall = 
$$\frac{\text{execution time}_{\text{old}}}{\text{execution time}_{\text{new}}} = \frac{1}{(1 - \text{fraction}_{\text{enhanced}}) + \frac{\text{fraction}_{\text{enhanced}}}{\text{speedup}_{\text{enhanced}}}}$$

- a. Using the program developed before: **program\_1.s**
- b. Modify the processor architectural parameters related to multicycle instructions (Menu-Configure-Architecture) in the following way:
  - 1) Configuration 1
    - Starting from the *Initial Configuration*, change the FP addition latency to 3
  - 2) Configuration 2
    - Starting from the *Initial Configuration*, change the FP multiplier latency to 4
  - 3) Configuration 3
    - Starting from the *Initial Configuration*, change the FP division latency to 10

Compute both manually (using the Amdahl's Law) and with the simulator the speed-up for any one of the previous processor configurations. Compare the obtained results and complete the following table.

Table 5: program\_1.s speed-up computed by hand and by simulation

Proc. Config.	Initial config.	Config. 1	Config. 2	Config. 3
	[c.c.]			
Speed-up comp.				
By hand	1986	1 / ((1 - 96 /	1 / ((1 - 64 /	1 / ((1 - 32 /
		450) + ((96 /	450) +((64 /	450) +((32 /
		450) / (4 / 3))) =	450) / (6 / 4))) =	450) / (30 / 10)))
		1,06	1,05	= 1,05
By simulation	2247	2247 / 2183 =	2247 / 2119 =	2247 / 1607 =
		1,03	1,06	1,40