Zugfolge: 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 2, 8, 8

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Question | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5a | 5b |
| Move 1: | 1426 | 4680 | 1208 | 1665 | 671 | 1228 |
| Move 2: | 925 | 4680 | 771 | 1544 | 813 | 1419 |
| Move 3: | 654 | 4680 | 574 | 1535 | 595 | 1502 |
| Move 4: | 809 | 4623 | 745 | 1506 | 664 | 1563 |
| Move 5: | 1221 | 4622 | 1158 | 2208 | 421 | 1386 |
| Move 6: | 2459 | 4056 | 2007 | 2367 | 728 | 1692 |
| Move 7: | 909 | 4544 | 854 | 1572 | 679 | 1658 |
| Move 8: | 760 | 4256 | 736 | 1645 | 370 | 1391 |
| Move 9: | 901 | 4240 | 852 | 1936 | 593 | 929 |
| Move 10: | 724 | 3972 | 572 | 1577 | 791 | 1189 |

1. Original Version  
2. Without shallow pruning  
3. Shallow pruning with <= check  
4. Deep pruning  
5a. Reordering without pruning  
5b. Reordering with deep pruning

**2. Deactivate shallow alpha-beta-pruning**

**Code**The highlighted code was commented out by us. The same if statement has also been commented out in expandMinNode.

**Ein Bild, das Text enthält.

Automatisch generierte Beschreibung**

**Explain why the outcome has to be exactly this number**

When commenting the pruning mechanism out, the min-max-algorithm will consider every solution of the given depth. With the standard depth of 4 and 8 columns for the playing board, that is a total of 8 moves for the first depth, then 8\*8 moves for the second depth, 8\*8\*8 for the third depth and 8\*8\*8\*8 moves for the fourth depth. This adds up to 4680 moves when analyzing 4 moves ahead on an 8-column playing board. This was also measured when running the application.

So, the formula is 8^1 + 8^2 + 8^3 … + 8^n. The generic formula is (8^(n+1) – 8) / 7

This is a graphical representation of the search tree:

Ein Bild, das Text, Himmel enthält.

Automatisch generierte Beschreibung

**3. Fix condition of pruning**

**Code**

The highlighted condition has been adjusted. In the expandMinNode method, the condition has been adjusted to (strength <= parentMaximum).

Ein Bild, das Text enthält.

Automatisch generierte Beschreibung

**Explanation**

This change improves the efficiency, because if you find a solution that is as good as a previous solution, then you can stop the search. The reason is that this solution should not turn out better in a deeper analysis than the previous solution (given a perfect heuristic). So, you might as well take the first solution.

4. Deep alpha-beta-pruning

**5. Reordering of moves**

**Code**

The for-loop in expandMaxNode and expandMinNode have been adjusted. Now, the moves are ordered from moves in the middle to moves on the ousides.

Ein Bild, das Text enthält.

Automatisch generierte Beschreibung


**Explanations**

Moves in the middle are generally considered to be better, as there are more possible ways to connect four. Starting the search in the middle therefore reduces the average number of visited solutions with min-max-pruning.

For task 5.a) the pruning has been deactivated similar to task 2. As seen in the table, the number of visited solutions then increases to the same as in task 2. This happens because the order of the considered moves does not matter when pruning is disabled. Without pruning, all possible solutions are considered anyways, so there is no benefit.

6. Reduce number of columns to seven and explain the magic number

As described in the specification, we changed the constant from 8 to 7 and confirmed that the game is still playable. The right-most column is still visible, but not playable.



Regarding the magic number of 84, this is the code where it is used:





R = Anzahl der Reihen, C = Anzahl der Spalten

(R-3)\*C + (C-3)\*R + (R-3)\*(C-3)\*2

Horizontalen + Vertikalen + Diagonalen