

Probability, 1

Frank Edwards

How often, on average, does an event occur?

Probability is a set of tools for describing randomness.

Probability helps us sort signal (patterns) from noise.

Frequentist: Probability is the proportion of times an event occurs if we repeat an experiment under the same conditions many times

Consider a simple experiment

What is the probability of obtaining a heads on a single fair coin flip?

```
flip_n_coins<-function(x){  
  flip<-rbinom(x, 1, 0.5)  
  flip<-ifelse(flip==1, "Heads", "Tails")  
  return(flip)  
}
```

Consider a simple experiment

What is the probability of obtaining a heads on a single fair coin flip?

```
flip_n_coins<-function(x){  
  flip<-rbinom(x, 1, 0.5)  
  flip<-ifelse(flip==1, "Heads", "Tails")  
  return(flip)  
}
```

```
flip_n_coins(1)
```

```
## [1] "Heads"
```

Consider a simple experiment

What is the probability of obtaining a heads on a single fair coin flip?

```
flip_n_coins<-function(x){  
  flip<-rbinom(x, 1, 0.5)  
  flip<-ifelse(flip==1, "Heads", "Tails")  
  return(flip)  
}
```

```
flip_n_coins(1)
```

```
## [1] "Heads"
```

```
flip_n_coins(1)
```

```
## [1] "Heads"
```

Consider a simple experiment

What is the probability of obtaining a heads on a single fair coin flip?

```
flip_n_coins<-function(x){  
  flip<-rbinom(x, 1, 0.5)  
  flip<-ifelse(flip==1, "Heads", "Tails")  
  return(flip)  
}
```

```
flip_n_coins(1)
```

```
## [1] "Heads"
```

```
flip_n_coins(1)
```

```
## [1] "Heads"
```

```
flip_n_coins(1)
```

```
## [1] "Heads"
```

Consider a simple experiment

What is the probability of obtaining a heads on a single fair coin flip?

```
flip_n_coins<-function(x){  
  flip<-rbinom(x, 1, 0.5)  
  flip<-ifelse(flip==1, "Heads", "Tails")  
  return(flip)  
}
```

```
flip_n_coins(1)
```

```
## [1] "Heads"
```

```
flip_n_coins(1)
```

```
## [1] "Heads"
```

```
flip_n_coins(1)
```

```
## [1] "Heads"
```

What is $\frac{\sum_1^n x_i}{n}$ where $x_i = 1$ when the coin is heads?

Probability as the average outcome under repeat experiments

```
sum((flip_n_coins(5)=="Heads")/5)
```

```
## [1] 0.2
```

Probability as the average outcome under repeat experiments

```
sum((flip_n_coins(5)== "Heads")/5)
```

```
## [1] 0.2
```

```
sum((flip_n_coins(20)== "Heads")/20)
```

```
## [1] 0.5
```

Probability as the average outcome under repeat experiments

```
sum((flip_n_coins(5)== "Heads")/5)
```

```
## [1] 0.2
```

```
sum((flip_n_coins(20)== "Heads")/20)
```

```
## [1] 0.5
```

```
sum((flip_n_coins(50)== "Heads")/50)
```

```
## [1] 0.6
```

Probability as the average outcome under repeat experiments

```
sum((flip_n_coins(5)== "Heads")/5)
```

```
## [1] 0.2
```

```
sum((flip_n_coins(20)== "Heads")/20)
```

```
## [1] 0.5
```

```
sum((flip_n_coins(50)== "Heads")/50)
```

```
## [1] 0.6
```

```
sum((flip_n_coins(1000)== "Heads")/1000)
```

```
## [1] 0.478
```

Probability as the average outcome under repeat experiments

```
sum((flip_n_coins(5)=="Heads")/5)
```

```
## [1] 0.2
```

```
sum((flip_n_coins(20)=="Heads")/20)
```

```
## [1] 0.5
```

```
sum((flip_n_coins(50)=="Heads")/50)
```

```
## [1] 0.6
```

```
sum((flip_n_coins(1000)=="Heads")/1000)
```

```
## [1] 0.478
```

```
sum((flip_n_coins(100000)=="Heads")/100000)
```

```
## [1] 0.4981
```

If n_x is the number of heads, and n_t is the number of coin flips, then the probability of heads is

$$P(x) \approx \frac{n_x}{n_t}$$

$$P(x) = \lim_{n_t \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n_x}{n_t}$$

Bayesian: Probability is a subjective judgment about the likelihood that an event occurs, with endpoints at 0 (never occurs) and 1 (always occurs). Repeat experiments are often nonsensical.

Bayesian: Probability is a subjective judgment about the likelihood that an event occurs, with endpoints at 0 (never occurs) and 1 (always occurs). Repeat experiments are often nonsensical.

I have strong prior information that a fair coin will be heads half of the time, and tails half of the time. If I flip coins and see different patterns, I may change my beliefs about the likelihood of a heads.

Deterministic processes do not include randomness. For example, if I drop a ball, it will fall (because gravity).

Deterministic processes do not include randomness. For example, if I drop a ball, it will fall (because gravity).

Stochastic events include randomness. For example, if I flip a fair coin, it will be heads half of the time.

Deterministic processes do not include randomness. For example, if I drop a ball, it will fall (because gravity).

Stochastic events include randomness. For example, if I flip a fair coin, it will be heads half of the time.

Nearly all social processes are have random components, and can be treated as *stochastic*.

- Experiment: an action that produces stochastic events

- Experiment: an action that produces stochastic events
- Sample space (Ω): a set of all possible outcomes of the experiment

- Experiment: an action that produces stochastic events
- Sample space (Ω): a set of all possible outcomes of the experiment
- Event: a subset of the sample space

Example: coin flips

- Experiment
 1. flip a coin
 2. roll a dice

Example: coin flips

- Experiment
 1. flip a coin
 2. roll a dice
- Sample space Ω
 1. {Heads, Tails}
 2. {1,2,3,4,5,6}

Example: coin flips

- Experiment
 1. flip a coin
 2. roll a dice
- Sample space Ω
 1. {Heads, Tails}
 2. {1,2,3,4,5,6}
- Event
 1. Heads, tails, not heads, heads or tails, heads and tails
 2. 3, even number, anything but 6

If all outcomes are equally likely, and n represents the number of elements in a given set, then probability P of event A is:

$$P(A) = \frac{n_A}{n_\Omega}$$

1. The probability of any event A is non-negative: $P(A) \geq 0$

1. The probability of any event A is non-negative: $P(A) \geq 0$
2. If an experiment is conducted, the probability that one of the outcomes in the sample space occurs is 1: $P(\Omega) = 1$

1. The probability of any event A is non-negative: $P(A) \geq 0$
2. If an experiment is conducted, the probability that one of the outcomes in the sample space occurs is 1: $P(\Omega) = 1$
3. Addition rule: If events A and B are mutually exclusive:

$$P(A \text{ or } B) = P(A) + P(B)$$

$$1 - P(\text{not } A) = P(A)$$

Probability that an event doesn't occur

$$1 - P(\text{not } A) = P(A)$$

If $P(\text{rolling a 6}) = \frac{1}{6}$ then $P(\text{not rolling a 6}) = 1 - \frac{1}{6} = \frac{5}{6}$

$$P(A) = P(A \text{ and } B) + P(A \text{ and not } B)$$

$$P(A) = P(A \text{ and } B) + P(A \text{ and not } B)$$

Assume the sample space for A is [eats pizza, does not eat pizza], and the sample space for B is [happy, unhappy].

$$P(A) = P(A \text{ and } B) + P(A \text{ and not } B)$$

Assume the sample space for A is [eats pizza, does not eat pizza], and the sample space for B is [happy, unhappy].

If $P(\text{eats pizza}) = 0.5$ and $P(\text{eats pizza, happy}) = 0.4$, then
 $P(\text{eats pizza, unhappy}) = 0.1$

$$P(A \text{ or } B) = P(A) + P(B) - P(A \text{ and } B)$$

If $P(\text{happy}) = 0.5$, then $P(\text{eats pizza or happy}) = 0.6$

Three kinds of probability

The joint probability of two events (A and B) occurring is expressed as

$$P(A \text{ and } B)$$

The marginal probability of an event B is

$$P(B)$$

The conditional probability of event A occurring given that event B occurred is the ratio of the joint probability of A and B divided by the marginal probability of B

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(A \text{ and } B)}{P(B)}$$

Working with some real data

Voter files

```
data("FLVoters")  
voters<-na.omit(FLVoters)  
head(voters)
```

##	surname	county	VTD	age	gender	race
## 1	PIEDRA	115	66	58	f	white
## 2	LYNCH	115	13	51	m	white
## 4	LATHROP	115	80	54	m	white
## 5	HUMMEL	115	8	77	f	white
## 6	CHRISTISON	115	55	49	m	white
## 7	HOMAN	115	84	77	f	white

Marginal probability

What is the probability that a randomly sampled voter in the population is Black: $P(\text{Black}) = ?$

```
voters %>%  
  count(race, name = "voters") %>%  
  mutate(p = voters/sum(voters))
```

```
##      race voters      p  
## 1   asian    175 0.01920336  
## 2   black   1194 0.131021617  
## 3 hispanic  1192 0.130802151  
## 4   native    29 0.003182267  
## 5   other    310 0.034017338  
## 6   white   6213 0.681773291
```

Is a woman: $P(\text{Woman}) = ?$

```
voters %>%  
  count(gender) %>%  
  mutate(n = n/sum(n))
```

```
##   gender      n  
## 1      f 0.5358279  
## 2      m 0.4641721
```

Joint probability

What is the probability that a voter is a Black woman:

$P(\text{Black and woman}) = ?$

```
voters %>%  
  count(gender, race) %>%  
  mutate(n = n/sum(n)) %>%  
  pivot_wider(names_from = gender, values_from = n)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 3  
##   race      f      m  
##   <chr>    <dbl> <dbl>  
## 1 asian    0.00911 0.0101  
## 2 black    0.0744  0.0566  
## 3 hispanic 0.0731  0.0577  
## 4 native   0.00187 0.00132  
## 5 other    0.0173  0.0167  
## 6 white    0.360   0.322
```

What is the probability that a voter is a woman?

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 3
##   race      f      m
##   <chr>    <dbl> <dbl>
## 1 asian    0.00911 0.0101
## 2 black    0.0744  0.0566
## 3 hispanic 0.0731  0.0577
## 4 native   0.00187 0.00132
## 5 other    0.0173  0.0167
## 6 white    0.360   0.322
```

Use the law of total probability:

$$P(A) = P(A \text{ and } B) + P(A \text{ and not } B)$$

put differently, for all categories of B i :

$$P(A) = \sum_{i=1}^n P(A \text{ and } B_i)$$

Conditional probability

If a voter is a man, what is the probability that he is Asian:

$$P(\text{Asian}|\text{man}) = ?$$

```
voters %>%  
  filter(gender=="m") %>%  
  count(race) %>%  
  mutate(n=n/sum(n))
```

```
##      race      n  
## 1   asian 0.021749409  
## 2   black 0.121985816  
## 3 hispanic 0.124349882  
## 4   native 0.002836879  
## 5    other 0.035933806  
## 6    white 0.693144208
```

Conditional probability

Alternatively, we can use the definition of conditional probability as the ratio of the joint probability to the marginal probability:

$$P(\text{Asian}|\text{man}) = \frac{P(\text{Asian and man})}{P(\text{man})}$$

```
voters %>%  
  count(gender, race) %>%  
  mutate(n = n/sum(n))%>%  
  pivot_wider(names_from = gender, values_from = n)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 3  
##   race      f      m  
##   <chr>    <dbl> <dbl>  
## 1 asian    0.00911 0.0101  
## 2 black    0.0744  0.0566  
## 3 hispanic 0.0731  0.0577  
## 4 native   0.00187 0.00132  
## 5 other    0.0173  0.0167  
## 6 white    0.360   0.322
```

Conditioning on more than one variable

What is the probability that a male voter over age 60 is white?

$$P(\text{white} | \text{male and over 60})$$

```
voters %>%  
  mutate(over60=age>60) %>%  
  count(over60, gender, race) %>%  
  mutate(n=n/sum(n)) %>%  
  pivot_wider(names_from = gender, values_from = n)
```

```
## # A tibble: 12 x 4  
##   over60 race      f      m  
##   <lg1> <chr>    <dbl>  <dbl>  
## 1 FALSE asian    0.00691 0.00823  
## 2 FALSE black    0.0555  0.0435  
## 3 FALSE hispanic 0.0549  0.0436  
## 4 FALSE native  0.00121 0.000768  
## 5 FALSE other   0.0124  0.0129  
## 6 FALSE white   0.212   0.198  
## 7 TRUE  asian    0.00219 0.00187  
## 8 TRUE  black    0.0189  0.0132  
## 9 TRUE  hispanic 0.0182  0.0142  
## 10 TRUE native  0.000658 0.000549  
## 11 TRUE other   0.00494 0.00373  
## 12 TRUE white   0.148   0.124
```

Conditioning on more than one variable

In general:

$$P(A \text{ and } B|C) = \frac{P(A \text{ and } B \text{ and } C)}{P(C)}$$

and

$$P(A|B \text{ and } C) = \frac{P(A \text{ and } B \text{ and } C)}{P(B \text{ and } C)}$$

Independence

Two events are independent if knowledge of one event gives us no information about the other event.

$$P(A|B) = P(A) \text{ and } P(B|A) = P(B)$$

$$A \perp B$$

if and only if

$$P(A \text{ and } B) = P(A)P(B)$$

Recall that a Bayesian perspective treats probability as a subjective opinion about how likely an event is. How should we change our beliefs after we make observations about the world?

Recall that a Bayesian perspective treats probability as a subjective opinion about how likely an event is. How should we change our beliefs after we make observations about the world?

Bayes' rule formalizes how we should update our beliefs based on evidence:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

Recall that a Bayesian perspective treats probability as a subjective opinion about how likely an event is. How should we change our beliefs after we make observations about the world?

Bayes' rule formalizes how we should update our beliefs based on evidence:

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

If we have a *prior* belief that event A has $P(A)$ chance of occurring, then we observe some data, represented as event B , we update our beliefs and obtain a *posterior probability* $P(A|B)$.

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

Example: Detecting breast cancer

How good is a mammogram at detecting breast cancer?

What we know: One percent of women have breast cancer. 80 percent of people who have cancer and take a mammogram test positive. 9.6 percent of people who take a mammogram get a positive result when they do not have breast cancer.

Example: Detecting breast cancer

How good is a mammogram at detecting breast cancer?

What we know: One percent of women have breast cancer. 80 percent of people who have cancer and take a mammogram test positive. 9.6 percent of people who take a mammogram get a positive result when they do not have breast cancer.

If you take a mammogram and get a positive result, what is the probability that you have breast cancer?

Rewriting as probabilities

One percent of women have breast cancer

$$P(\text{Cancer}) = 0.01$$

Rewriting as probabilities

One percent of women have breast cancer

$$P(\text{Cancer}) = 0.01$$

80 percent of people who have cancer and take a mammogram test positive

$$P(\text{Test positive}|\text{Cancer}) = 0.8$$

Rewriting as probabilities

One percent of women have breast cancer

$$P(\text{Cancer}) = 0.01$$

80 percent of people who have cancer and take a mammogram test positive

$$P(\text{Test positive}|\text{Cancer}) = 0.8$$

9.6 percent of people who take a mammogram get a positive result when they do not have breast cancer

$$P(\text{Test positive}|\text{No cancer}) = 0.096$$

The prior probability of having cancer is 0.01. How should we update our belief that someone has cancer based on a positive test?

$$P(A|B) = \frac{P(B|A)P(A)}{P(B)}$$

Using the law of total probability, we can rewrite the denominator as:

$$P(B) = P(B|A)P(A) + P(B|\text{not } A)P(\text{not } A)$$

Using Bayes' rule

We can apply Bayes' rule for A = Cancer, B = positive test:

$$P(\text{Cancer}|\text{Test positive}) =$$

$$\frac{P(\text{Test positive}|\text{Cancer})P(\text{Cancer})}{P(\text{Test positive})}$$

$$P(\text{Cancer}|\text{Test positive}) = \frac{0.8 \times 0.01}{0.8 \times 0.01 + 0.096 \times 0.99}$$

```
(0.8 * 0.01)/(0.8 * 0.01 + 0.096 * 0.99)
```

```
## [1] 0.07763975
```

The probability that someone has cancer given a prior probability of one percent and a positive test is about 0.078