Code Review: Controlling (06.02.2022)

1. About the code: Associated user stories: 002_Abrechnung-Screen-Übersicht 03_Abrechnung-Screen-Filterung 004_Abrechnung-Screen-Sortierung 005_Abrechnung-Screen-Ausdruck 012 Abrechnung-Screen-Auftrag-anzeigen 041_Abrechnung-Screen_Automatische-Abrechnung Iteration reviewed: 4 Code authors: Jonas Milkovits Reviewed by: Jonas Milkovits, Laurenz Kammeyer Date: 06.02.2022 Language: Python, Javascript, HTML Files: client/templates/controlling/controlling.html, client/static/controlling/, client/views.py, api/views.py, client/templates/include/controlling 2. Automated inspections: Code has no static type checking errors The code starts without errors The code starts without warnings The code is kept under source control Testing: ☐ Tests cover edge cases ☐ Test have been peer reviewed ☐ All inputs are sanitised and validated

☐ There are unit tests

☐ They are sufficient

☐ The code passes them
✓ There are manual tests
▼ The code passes them
3. Design
☐ The code is complete (according to its associated user stories)
✓ Code performance is acceptable
Any missing functionality is marked clearly in the code
Maintainability:
✓ The code is easy to read
▼ The code method/class is not too long
▼ The code is well-structured
☐ There is design documentation
☐ The code matches the documentation
Best practices:
▼ There is a reasonable amount of comments (only relevant comments)
✓ No magic values (at least no undocumented ones)
4. General code comments:
Style:
▼ The code layout is clear
✓ It follows project style guidelines
✓ There is a good choice of names
Defensive programming:
▼ There is a correct choice of types/datastructures
☐ All input is validated
Error handling:

Structure:

- There is no redundant code
- ☐ There is no copy-paste programming

5. Statement-level review

File	Line	Issue	Rating
controlling.js	29	too complicated, make it easier to understand	
controlling.js	72	add error message if there's no orders selected	
controlling.js	162	this should be a float instead of an integer	

6. Follow-up

Conclusion:

- ☐ Code OK
- Rework and verify
- Rework and re-review
- entry_print needs to be completed
- error that is only fixed by refreshing if trying to bill with no orders selected

Record the outcome of the review here:

Complete work by: Jonas Milkovits