

Code Review: 3D-Druck (16.03.2022)

1. About the code:

Associated user stories:

073_3DDruck_Upload,

074_3DDruck_Parameter_Angeben,

072_3DDruck_Preview,

075_3DDruck_Bestellung_Aufgeben

Iteration reviewed: 7

Code authors: Jannik

Reviewed by: Jannik, Simon

Date: 16.03.2022

Language: Python, Javascript, HTML

Files: api/views.py, client/static/produktauswahl/3ddruck/3ddruck.js,
client/templates/produktauswahl/produktauswahl_3ddruck.html

2. Automated inspections:

- ☒ Code has no static type checking errors
- ☒ The code starts without errors
- ☒ The code starts without warnings
- ☒ The code is kept under source control

Testing:

- ☐ Tests cover edge cases
- ☒ Test have been peer reviewed
- ☒ All inputs are sanitised and validated
- ☐ There are unit tests
 - ☐ They are sufficient
 - ☐ The code passes them
- ☒ There are manual tests
 - ☒ The code passes them

3. Design

- ☒ The code is complete (according to its associated user stories)
- ☒ Code performance is acceptable
- ☒ Any missing functionality is marked clearly in the code

Maintainability:

- ☒ The code is easy to read
- ☒ The code method/class is not too long
- ☒ The code is well structured
- ☐ There is design documentation
 - ☐ The code matches the documentation

Best practices:

- ☒ There is a reasonable amount of comments (only relevant comments)
- ☒ No magic values (at least no undocumented ones)

4. General code comments:

Style:

- ☒ The code layout is clear
- ☒ It follows project style guidelines
- ☒ There is a good choice of names

Defensive programming:

- ☒ There is a correct choice of types/datastructures
- ☒ All input is validated

Error handling:

- ☒ Error conditions are routinely handled

Structure:

- ☒ There is no redundant code
- ☒ There is no copy paste programming

5. Statement-level review

File	Line	Issue	Rating

6. Follow-up

Conclusion:

☒ Code OK

☐ Rework and verify

☐ Rework and re-review

Code Review: Detailansicht (15.03.2022)