## **USE CASE**

## Quick questions addressed in this analysis:

- As an RM, I want recommendations to build and grow an ESG portfolio for my client. This should consider:
- Providing recommendations for customers who are proactively keen on ESG products, (based on the history of products they have worked with in the past)
- Identifying new ones who are most likely to benefit from them. (Based on data from how bad there ESG scores are in current times)

- FPG Matrix shows the distribution of funds between Financial Performance (FP) and ESG Score (G)
- 75 funds are analyzed:
  - 0 funds are such that it is top of both the Financial Performance and the ESG Score
  - 1 fund is such that it is top of the Financial Performance and mid of the ESG Score
  - 3 funds are such that they are mid of the Financial Performance and top of the ESG Score
  - 12 funds are such that they are mid of both the Financial Performance and the ESG Score

|                      |           | Financial Performance |        |           |
|----------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------|-----------|
|                      |           | a. top                | b. mid | c. bottom |
| ESG Score<br>(Grade) | a. top    | 0                     | 3      | 1         |
|                      | b. mid    | 1                     | 12     | 18        |
|                      | c. bottom | 5                     | 9      | 26        |

### Financial performance:

- Top: If the fund was in top in top 20%ile financial performance for year 1 AND year 3 AND year 5
- Bottom: Else If the fund was in bottom 50%ile financial performance for year 1 OR year 3 OR year 5
- Mid: Everything else

#### ESG Score:

- Top: Fossil fuel ESG Score AND Deforestation ESG Score AND Gender equality ESG Score AND Civilian firearm ESG
   Score AND Military weapon ESG Score AND Tobacco ESG Score AND Prison industrial complex ESG Score are A or B
- Bottom: Fossil fuel ESG Score OR Deforestation ESG Score OR Gender equality ESG Score OR Civilian firearm ESG
   Score OR Military weapon ESG Score OR Tobacco ESG Score OR Prison industrial complex ESG Score are F
  - Mid: Everything else

## **Top Picks**

• First level pick - 1 fund is such that it is top of the Financial Performance and mid of the ESG Score

| Fund profile: Fund name | Fund profile: Category group | Fund profile: Fund net assets | ESG Score | Financial performance |
|-------------------------|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| New Alternatives Fund   | International Equity Funds   | 253,028,800                   | b. mid    | a. top                |

- Second level pick 3 funds are such that they are mid of the Financial Performance and top of the ESG Score
- Sorted by descending order of AUM
- Investment should be distributed based on the ratio of AUM

| Fund profile: Fund name                         | Fund profile: Category group | Fund profile: Fund net assets | ESG Score | Financial performance |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|
| Parnassus Core Equity Fund                      | U.S. Equity Fund             | 19,238,960,000                | a. top    | b. mid                |
| 1919 Socially Responsive Balanced Fund          | Allocation Funds             | 276,186,800                   | a. top    | b. mid                |
| Mirova International Sustainable<br>Equity Fund | International Equity Funds   | 18,404,540                    | a. top    | b. mid                |

- Please refer appendix for:
  - Slide 3: Input data and Data treatment
  - Slide 4: FPG Matrix calculations
  - Slide 5 and 6: Details of Top Picks

- There is no fund that is Top of Financial performance and Top of ESG Score
- The investor has to take a decision whether to go for funds that are top of Financial performance or Top of ESG Score
- Focus funds also recommended

Focus Funds

• Focus – Top Financial Performance

| Fund profile: Fund name                             | Fund profile: Category group | Fund profile: Fund net assets | ESG Score | Financial performance | Pick         |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|
| New Alternatives Fund                               | International Equity Funds   | 253,028,809                   | b. mid    | a. top                | First level  |
| Calvert Equity Fund                                 | U.S. Equity Fund             | 4,657,143,908                 | c. bottom | a. top                | Second level |
| Calvert US Large-Cap Core<br>Responsible Index Fund | U.S. Equity Fund             | 2,543,533,287                 | c. bottom | a. top                | Second level |
| Praxis Growth Index Fund                            | U.S. Equity Fund             | 357,350,451                   | c. bottom | a. top                | Second level |
| Calvert US Large-Cap Growth Responsible Index Fund  | U.S. Equity Fund             | 125,406,074                   | c. bottom | a. top                | Second level |
| ClearBridge Sustainability Leaders Fund             | U.S. Equity Fund             | 18,743,040                    | c. bottom | a. top                | Second level |

### • Focus – Top ESG Score

| Fund profile: Fund name                         | Fund profile: Category group | Fund profile: Fund net assets | ESG Score | Financial performance | Pick         |
|---|------------------------------|-------------------------------|-----------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Parnassus Core Equity Fund                      | U.S. Equity Fund             | 19,238,964,973                | a. top    | b. mid                | First level  |
| 1919 Socially Responsive Balanced Fund          | Allocation Funds             | 276,186,822                   | a. top    | b. mid                | First level  |
| Mirova International Sustainable<br>Equity Fund | International Equity Funds   | 18,404,542                    | a. top    | b. mid                | First level  |
| Parnassus Endeavor Fund                         | U.S. Equity Fund             | 2,817,952,778                 | a. top    | c. bottom             | Second level |

- As an RM, I want recommendations to build and grow an ESG portfolio for my client
  - Solutions: Present the client with FPG Matrix
  - Advantages: Easy to understand. Will help the client to pick the funds easily
  - Limitations: Cut-offs for top, mid and bottom can be improved based on further analysis
- Providing recommendations for customers who are proactively keen on ESG products, (based on the history of products they have worked with in the past)
  - Solutions: Present the client with Focus Funds
  - Advantages: Will help the client to pick the funds based on Top Financial Performance or Top ESG Score
  - Limitations: There is no fund that is Top of Financial performance and Top of ESG Score
- Identifying new ones who are most likely to benefit from them. (Based on data from how bad there ESG scores are in current times)
  - Solutions: Present the client with Top Picks
  - Advantages: Will help the client to pick the top funds. These funds provide best of both the Top of Financial performance or Top of ESG Score (bottom of financial performance and bottom of ESG Score are not considered).
  - Limitations: The investor has to take a decision whether to go for funds that are Top of Financial performance or Top of ESG Score

# **Thank You**

**Rohit Garg**