## Safe unlink

## Linux heap exploitation pt. 1

#### **Intro**

The binary for this challenge is pretty much the same as the former one, except that it is linked with a difference, more secured version of glibc, and that allocating and editing a chunk are different options right now:

In the decompilation we can see the following:

```
if (2 < choice) break;</pre>
if (choice == 1) {
  if (index < 2) \{
     printf("size: ");
    choice = read_num();
if ((choice < 121) || (1000 < choice)) {
  puts("small chunks only - excluding fast sizes (120 < bytes <= 1000)");</pre>
    else {
       returned_ptr = (char *)malloc(choice);
       m_array[index].user_data = returned_ptr;
if (m_array[index].user_data == (char *)0x0) {
         puts("request failed");
       }
       else {
              /* m_array[index].request_size is being set to the user input */
         m_array[index].request_size = choice;
          index = index + 1;
     }
  else {
     puts("maximum requests reached");
```

```
if (choice != 2) break;
printf("index: ");
choice = read_num();
if (choice < index) {
    if (m_array[choice].user_data == (char *)0x0) {
        puts("cannot edit a free chunk");
    }
    else {
        printf("data: ");
        /* but when writin to that pointer, the heap is overflown with an extra 8-bytes
        */
        read(0,m_array[choice].user_data,m_array[choice].request_size + 8);
}
else {
    puts("invalid index");
}</pre>
```

The allocated chunk pointers are being stored in an array of structs that looks like that:

```
{
    uint64_t user_data; /* the returned pointer from malloc */
    uint64_t request_size; /* the requested user data size */
}
```

There's an heap overflow bug, so that we can override 8-bytes of heap memory. We'll use this primitive to take advantage of the backward consolidation process.

### Understanding the relevant internals of malloc

Let's look into the flow of backward consolidation in a macro perspective:

- 1. Free is being called to free chunk 'p'
- Malloc will check if the former chunk is also freed This is being by check the PREV\_INUSE flag in p->size member (prev\_in\_use = p->size & PREV\_INUSE).
- 3. Assuming that it is indeed freed. Malloc will want to consolidate the two chunks and in order to get the pointer to the former chunk it will do the following: p = p prev\_size(p) (where prev\_size is the first quadword of metadata in a chunk IN CASE of the former chunk is freed, otherwise, it is the last quadword of user data accessible by the former chunk).
  - When a chunk is being freed, the last quad word of user data is being set to that chunk size and it will be repurposed as the succeeding chunk's prev\_size member
- 4. Chunk p will now be pointed by the former chunk (ie. p = p prev\_size(p)) so that the two chunks are now one.
- 5. Next, as a mitigation, malloc will check that prev\_size is indeed equal to the size member of the former chunk.
- 6. If so, the next step taken is the unlink\_chunk(arena, p) malloc will:
  - A. Check that:  $p \rightarrow fd \rightarrow bk == p \&\& p \rightarrow bk \rightarrow fd == p$
  - B. Set p->fd->bk to p->bk
  - C. Set p->bk->fd to p->fd

```
/* consolidate backward */
if (!prev_inuse(p)) {
  prevsize = prev_size (p);
  size += prevsize;
  p = chunk_at_offset(p, -((long) prevsize));
  if (__glibc_unlikely (chunksize(p) != prevsize))
    malloc_printerr ("corrupted size vs. prev_size while consolidating");
  unlink_chunk (av, p);
}
```

```
/* Take a chunk off a bin list. */
static void
unlink_chunk (mstate av, mchunkptr p)
{
   if (chunksize (p) != prev_size (next_chunk (p)))
      malloc_printerr ("corrupted size vs. prev_size");

   mchunkptr fd = p->fd;
   mchunkptr bk = p->bk;

   if (__builtin_expect (fd->bk != p || bk->fd != p, 0))
      malloc_printerr ("corrupted double-linked list");

   fd->bk = bk;
   bk->fd = fd;
```

# **Exploiting**

Basically, if we can control our own last quadword (ie. The succeeding chunk's prev\_size member), and using the heap overflow, the size member of the succeeding chunk (and clear the PREV\_INUSE bit), we can successfully trick malloc into thinking that chunk\_A is freed. And then, by legally freeing chunk\_B, cause the backward consolidation and unlinking process to fire, while still having a write primitive the one of the chunk being consolidated (chunk\_A).

Even-though the meteorologic is pretty straight forward, there are some mitigations we have to take into an account:

- 1. When unlinking is perform, we have to ensure that p->fd->bk = p and that p->bk->fd = p.
  - 1. Solution: We can override the prev\_size of chunk\_B so that the consolidated chunk will not start at chunk\_A, but at chunk\_A's first quadword that is being pointed by m\_array
- 2. As a result of (1), the fake chunk's size will not be equal to the prev\_size
  - Solution: the size member of the fake chunk is actually the 2nd user data quadword of chunk\_A, so we can control it to satisfy this problem

To put it together, We'll trick malloc to consolidate chunk\_B with (chunk\_A + 0x10 - being pointed by m\_array), overriding the 2nd quadword with the value of prev\_size, the 3rd quadword with the pointer to m\_array-0x18 (so that &m\_array->bk will be overriden) and the 4th quadword with &m\_array-0x10 (so that m\_array->fd will be overriden).

By doing this, we control m\_array->user\_data address member and get a write primitive to wherever.

#### **Getting shell:**

We exploit this powerful primitive by:

- overriding m\_array->user\_data address with the address of \_\_free\_hook -0x08
- 2. Writing "/bin/sh\0" to the 1st quadword of that location
- 3. Writing the address of system(...) to the 2nd quadword of that address

4. Calling free with chunk\_A (that it is now pointed by \_\_free\_hook-0x08 - ie. A char pointer to "/bin/sh") so that system will be called with "/bin/sh\0" char ptr as it's first and only argument.

```
$ pwd
/home/ubuntu/Desktop/HeapLAB/safe_unlink
$ whoami
ubuntu
$
```