Manuscript_rsfMRI

xx [1][2][3] xx [2]

1 Max-Planck-Institute for Human Cognitive and Brain Sciences, Leipzig 2 CRC 1052 "Obesity Mechanisms", Subproject A1, University of Leipzig 3 Day Clinic for Cognitive Neurology, University Clinic Leipzig

Contents

1	Abstract	2
2	Introduction	3
3	Methods	4
	3.1 Study design	
	3.2 Sample and study design	. 4
	3.3 fMRI data acquisition	. 4
	3.4 Data preprocessing	. 4
	3.5 Analysis	
4	Results	7
	4.1 Results for brain mask	. 7
	4.2 Results for grey matter mask	
5	Discussion	8

1 Abstract

2 Introduction

Besides metabolic dysfunctions, obesity has been consistently associated with reduced gray matter volume, less intact white matter structure and reduced cognitive function (Beyer et al. 2019; Zhang et al. 2018). On the other hand, dietary interventions such as caloric restriction and related metabolic improvements and weight loss have been suggested to improve neuronal plasticity and cognitive performance (Witte et al. 2009; Zhang et al. 2018). Bariatric surgery is one option to treat morbid obesity, as it rapidly improves weight status, metabolic dysfunctions and co-morbidities, such as diabetes. Yet, little is known about the potential beneficial effects of bariatric surgery on brain structure and function in this population, in particular with regard to hedonic motivations for food, which play a fundamental role in weight relapse. In lean participants, food valuation processes in decision-making have been linked to brain activity and activity within the reward network, including ventromedial prefrontal cortex (vmPFC), the striatum (STR) but also the anterior insula (antIns) (Bartra, McGuire, and Kable 2013; Hare, Malmaud, and Rangel 2011; Hutcherson et al. 2012; Schmidt et al. 2018; Wiemerslage et al. 2016).

In this study, we aim to investigate possible dynamics of within-reward network connectivity before and after bariatric surgery. Moreover, we will explore whether the changes in functional connectivity are associated with the amount of weight lost after bariatric surgery treatment in obesity. In addition, we will investigate potential changes in within-network functional connectivity after surgery in other resting-state networks such as default mode network (DMN). Functional connectivity of this network has been shown to be linked to obesity (Beyer et al. 2017) and altered after bariatric surgery (Olivo et al. 2016).

Furthermore, we aim to explore potential underlying mechanisms using blood- and stool-derived biomarkers of possible mediating metabolic pathways. For example, changes in leptin and insulin levels are hypothesized to mediate responses in brain motivation-reward areas (Jastreboff et al. 2014; Kullmann et al. 2017, 2011, 2017; Tiedemann et al. 2017). Therefore, we aim to investigate whether changes in central leptin or insulin sensitivity (measured using peripheral leptin, glucose and insulin levels in combination with metabolic status) or other metabolic parameters could mediate changes in functional connectivity within the brain reward network.

Table 1: BMI and mean FD of participants acquired in each condition and time point

	predictor	tp	group	n	mean	sd
5	BMI	bl	IG	21	43.8471429	4.1048327
6	BMI	bl	KG	16	43.2743750	4.9699684
3	BMI	fu	IG	24	37.0020833	5.4389897
4	BMI	fu	KG	15	42.7566667	4.0359486
1	BMI	fu2	IG	19	34.0189474	5.5814662
2	BMI	fu2	KG	11	42.4727273	4.4883095
11	logmFD	bl	IG	21	-0.6509314	0.2789442
12	logmFD	bl	KG	16	-0.5365323	0.2729940
9	logmFD	fu	IG	24	-0.7272417	0.2527875
10	logmFD	fu	KG	15	-0.4945277	0.2883749
7	logmFD	fu2	$_{\mathrm{IG}}$	19	-0.7037523	0.2369382
8	logmFD	fu2	KG	11	-0.5173411	0.2698739

3 Methods

3.1 Study design

3.2 Sample and study design

The ADIPOSITAS-Study conducted in the Charité Berlin was an observational, prospective and longitudinal design described in detail by Prehn et al. (2020). The sample is identical with subsample of this analysis that was replenished with participants taking part in a second follow-up fMRI session 12 months post-surgery/after baseline. Participants with at least one data point were included in the analysis. The analysis was done only on morbidly obese individuals with fMRI data (n=50, 37 female; mean age 44.3 years \pm SD 11.64, that either underwent surgery (n = 33, 26 female) or were waiting list control (n = 17, 11 female). Measures were taken at baseline (BL), 6 (FU1) and 12 (FU2) months post-surgery or after the baseline appointment but not all participants have complete data for all time points (see Table 1). 20 participants had complete data, 16 provided data for two time points and 14 for only one time point.

3.3 fMRI data acquisition

MRI images were retrieved with a 12-channel head coil of a 3 Tesla Siemens Trio system. T1-weighted anatomical images were acquired as described in Prehn et al. (2020) (with MPRAGE, TR = 1900 ms, TE = 2.52 ms, a = 9, voxel size = $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm³, 192 sagital slices). Resting state gradient echo were acquired in 34 slices at an 90° flip angel with a repetition time (TR) of 2.3s and echo time (TE) of 30 ms; image matrix = 64×64 voxel with in-plane resolution of 3 mm x 3 mm and a slice thickness of 4 mm, 150 volumes. The total acquisition time was 5.45 minutes.

3.4 Data preprocessing

Data preprocessing was conducted with FSL and Freesurfer. Preprocessing pipeline included removal of first four volumes and a transformation of the blood oxygen level dependent (BOLD) time series into the subject's anatomical space. This transform combines parameters from motion correction (FSL's MCFLIRT), fieldmap distortion correction (based on fieldmap and FSL's FUGUE) and coregistration to the subject's anatomical template space (using Freesurfer's bbregister and the transform from individual to longitudinal template space in Freesurfer's longitudinal processing stream). Volumes were further preprocessed with

ICA-AROMA and compCor (CC) and, optionally, with a subsequent global signal regression (GSR) (Ciric et al. 2017; Parkes et al. 2018) to reduce the effect of physiological noise and head motion on the connectivity estimates. As global signal regression is very efficient in removing the correlation of head motion and connectivity dependence, but is known to introduces spatial dependency and spurious correlations, we performed GSR after ICA-AROMA in a separate sensitivity analysis. Voxel-wise seed-based connectivity maps in the individual subject's space (which was coregistered to the Freesurfer longitudinally preprocessed timepoint) were calculated using Pearson's correlation between average seed time series from pre-defined ROIs within the reward network (Nucleus accumbens, NAcc) and the DMN (precuneus, PCC). Subsequently, r-to-Z transform was applied to the correlation (usin nilearn, nipype and python). Then, we will transform the connectivity maps into MNI space with a non-linear warp derived from anatomical preprocessing of the longitudinally preprocessed timepoints (using ANTS). During analyses, beyond an implicit mask, the MNI resampled brain mask of the MNI ICBM 2009 atlases was used as explicit mask.

3.4.1 Quality Assessment

Participants in which head motion artifacts affected the T1-weighted image preprocessing (e.g. caused Freesurfer to fail or perform very poorly, i.e. rated "Failed" according to Klapwijk et al. (2019)) were excluded because a subject's motion is highly similar across scans and we thus suspect the fMRI data to also suffer from motion artifacts in these subjects. As the expected average head motion is high (Hodgson et al. 2016) we did not exclude any other participants based on head motion estimates because it is difficult to determine a critical threshold in this sample. We therefore apply best-practice motion correction (ICA-AROMA and CC, plus optionally GSR) and monitor the reduction of motion-related artifact according to current recommendations (Ciric et al. 2018). As quality checks statistical analysis were performed on both with and without global signal regression, considering the controversial discussion about GSR introducing negative correlations and spatial bias into connectivity data (Ciric et al. 2017; Murphy and Fox 2017).

3.4.2 Outcomes

Main outcome measure was the aggregated functional connectivity (FC) values for the two ROI NAcc and PCC. Additionally, mean framewise displacement (FD) was extracted from 6 translational/rotational motion parameters of the whole time series according to Power et al. (2012) and log-transformed.

3.5 Analysis

Statistical analysis were performed in MATLAB using the sandwich estimator (SwE) toolbox 2.2.1 (Guillaume et al. 2014) as implemented in SPM12 and R version 3.6.1 (Team and others 2013).

Two models were examined. The first model was aimed at testing the interaction of time and group: FC = group + time + group * time. The second model on the influence of between-subject differences in average BMI and longitudinal within-subject change in BMI was specified as follows: FC = between - subjectBMI + within - subjectBMI. These two models were run for both, the PCC and the NAcc, resulting four different models. The marginal model set up with the SwE toolbox implicitly accounts for random effects without the need to specify them through the error term. It therefore accommodates any repeated measurement covariance structures. We used a modified SwE assuming different covariance structures for the intervention and the control group because of their unbalanced sample size and therefore accommodating for heteroscedasticity. For the first model, time was introduced as factor because did not assume strict linearity for the increase in connectivity and sought to increase power to detect specific trends. The resulting flexible factorial design contained one regressor for each time point per group. For the second and third model, we calculated the centered mean BMI and log mean FD per subject and the intra-subject-centered BMI as proposed by Guillaume et al. (2014). With a model containing average BMI and longitudinal change per subject, we investigated potential effects on the complete sample and subsequently in a exploratory fashion for the intervention group only as the effect of longitudinal change in BMI was expected to be driven by this

subsample. Age, sex, and mean framewise displacement (FD) were entered into the first and second model as nuisance variables. Mean FD was log-transformed and age was demeaned by subtracting the overall mean across subjects and time points. However, as FD seems to share variance with BMI (Beyer et al. 2019), its inclusion may be disputed. For that reason, we performed each analysis seperately one with mean FD and once without. Differences in results will be reported. For a better understanding of the unique contribution of average and longitudinal change in BMI and FD measures, we employed a third post hoc model to disentangle the effects: FC = between - subjectBMI + within - subjectBMI + between - subjectFD + within - subjectFD.

All parametric inferences on activation were drawn based on False Discovery Rate (FDR) adjusted p-values ≤ 0.05 . As collinearity may cause problems we calculated an approximation of the variance inflation factor (VIF) which was shown to be minimal for all models except the third exploratory. Here, there was minor variance inflation for longitudinal change in both BMI and FD was moderately positively correlated (MLM HERE r=0.5626848, VIF=1, 1.46, 1, 1.46). To ensure robustness of our results, we computed estimates with non-parametric test using wild bootstrap with an unrestricted SwE on all contrasts of interest for voxelwise inference. In contrast to the parametric estimation, bootstrap is adapted to use family-wise error correction, and unlike permutation based framework appropriate for longitudinal data.

4 Results

4.1 Results for brain mask

There was no interaction of group and time point regarding the resting state activity in neither the default mode network nor the reward center, nor was there a significant main effect for any of the covariates of interest in voxelwise inference with FDR correction.

Surprisingly,

4.2 Results for grey matter mask

We ran seperate one-sample t-test for each time point to check whether the assumed reward and default mode network was at all identifyable.

5 Discussion

A previous repeated measurement design with patients undergoing bariatric surgery and behavioral dietary intervention manipulating satiety showed a differential effect of satiety between intervention groups on the resting-state functional connectivity seeded in the precuneus. After the intervention, the functional connectivity was higher in bariatric patients. It decreased with after a meal while dietary patients showed an increase (Lepping et al. 2015).

Bartra, Oscar, Joseph T McGuire, and Joseph W Kable. 2013. "The Valuation System: A Coordinate-Based Meta-Analysis of Bold fMRI Experiments Examining Neural Correlates of Subjective Value." *Neuroimage* 76 (August): 412–27. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.02.063.

Beyer, Frauke, Isabel García-Garcí, Matthias Heinrich, Matthias L. Schroeter, Julia Sacher, Tobias Luck, Steffi G. Riedel-Heller, Michael Stumvoll, Arno Villringer, and A. Veronica Witte. 2019. "Neuroanatomical Correlates of Food Addiction Symptoms and Body Mass Index in the General Population." *Human Brain Mapping* 40 (9): 2747–58. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.24557.

Beyer, Frauke, Sharzhad Kharabian Masouleh, Julia M Huntenburg, Leonie Lampe, Tobias Luck, Steffi G Riedel-Heller, Markus Loeffler, et al. 2017. "Higher Body Mass Index Is Associated with Reduced Posterior Default Mode Connectivity in Older Adults." *Human Brain Mapping* 38 (7): 3502–15. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.23605.

Ciric, Rastko, Adon F. G. Rosen, Guray Erus, Matthew Cieslak, Azeez Adebimpe, Philip A. Cook, Danielle S. Bassett, Christos Davatzikos, Daniel H. Wolf, and Theodore D. Satterthwaite. 2018. "Mitigating Head Motion Artifact in Functional Connectivity MRI." *Nature Protocols* 13 (12): 2801–26. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41596-018-0065-y.

Ciric, Rastko, Daniel H Wolf, Jonathan D Power, David R Roalf, Graham L Baum, Kosha Ruparel, Russell T Shinohara, et al. 2017. "Benchmarking of Participant-Level Confound Regression Strategies for the Control of Motion Artifact in Studies of Functional Connectivity." *Neuroimage* 154 (July): 174–87. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.03.020.

Guillaume, Bryan, Xue Hua, Paul Thomson, Lourens Waldorp, and Thomas Nichols. 2014. "The Swe Toolbox: A Toolbox for the Analysis of Longitudinal and Repeated Measures Neuroimaging Data."

Hare, Todd A, Jonathan Malmaud, and Antonio Rangel. 2011. "Focusing Attention on the Health Aspects of Foods Changes Value Signals in vmPFC and Improves Dietary Choice." *Journal of Neuroscience* 31 (30): 11077–87. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6383-10.2011.

Hodgson, Karen, Russell A Poldrack, Joanne E Curran, Emma E Knowles, Samuel Mathias, Harald HH Göring, Nailin Yao, et al. 2016. "Shared Genetic Factors Influence Head Motion During MRI and Body Mass Index." Cerebral Cortex, October. https://doi.org/10.1093/cercor/bhw321.

Hutcherson, Cendri A, Hilke Plassmann, James J Gross, and Antonio Rangel. 2012. "Cognitive Regulation During Decision Making Shifts Behavioral Control Between Ventromedial and Dorsolateral Prefrontal Value Systems." *Journal of Neuroscience* 32 (39): 13543–54. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.6387-11.2012.

Jastreboff, Ania M., Cheryl Lacadie, Dongju Seo, Jessica Kubat, Michelle A. Van Name, Cosimo Giannini, Mary Savoye, et al. 2014. "Leptin Is Associated with Exaggerated Brain Reward and Emotion Responses to Food Images in Adolescent Obesity." *Diabetes Care* 37 (11): 3061–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.21268.

Klapwijk, Eduard T., Ferdi van de Kamp, Mara van der Meulen, Sabine Peters, and Lara M. Wierenga. 2019. "Qoala-T: A Supervised-Learning Tool for Quality Control of FreeSurfer Segmented MRI Data." *NeuroImage* 189 (April): 116–29. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2019.01.014.

Kullmann, Stephanie, Martin Heni, Ralf Veit, Caroline Ketterer, Fritz Schick, Hans-Ulrich Häring, Andreas Fritsche, and Hubert Preissl. 2011. "The Obese Brain: Association of Body Mass Index and Insulin Sensitivity with Resting State Network Functional Connectivity." *Human Brain Mapping* 33 (5): 1052–61. https://doi.org/10.1002/hbm.21268.

Kullmann, Stephanie, Martin Heni, Ralf Veit, Klaus Scheffler, Jürgen Machann, Hans-Ulrich Häring, Andreas Fritsche, and Hubert Preissl. 2017. "Intranasal Insulin Enhances Brain Functional Connectivity Mediating the Relationship Between Adiposity and Subjective Feeling of Hunger." Scientific Reports 7 (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-01907-w.

Lepping, Rebecca J., Amanda S. Bruce, Alex Francisco, Hung-Wen Yeh, Laura E. Martin, Joshua N. Powell, Laura Hancock, et al. 2015. "Resting-State Brain Connectivity After Surgical and Behavioral Weight Loss." *Obesity* 23 (7): 1422–8. https://doi.org/10.1002/oby.21119.

Monti, Martin. 2011. "Statistical Analysis of fMRI Time-Series: A Critical Review of the GLM Approach." Frontiers in Human Neuroscience 5. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2011.00028.

Murphy, Kevin, and Michael D. Fox. 2017. "Towards a Consensus Regarding Global Signal Regression for Resting State Functional Connectivity MRI." *NeuroImage* 154 (July): 169–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2016.11.052.

Olivo, Gaia, Lyle Wiemerslage, Emil K. Nilsson, Linda Solstrand Dahlberg, Anna L. Larsen, Marcela Olaya Búcaro, Veronica P. Gustafsson, et al. 2016. "Resting-State Brain and the FTO Obesity Risk Allele: Default Mode, Sensorimotor, and Salience Network Connectivity Underlying Different Somatosensory Integration and Reward Processing Between Genotypes." Frontiers in Human Neuroscience 10 (February). https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2016.00052.

Parkes, Linden, Ben Fulcher, Murat Yücel, and Alex Fornito. 2018. "An Evaluation of the Efficacy, Reliability, and Sensitivity of Motion Correction Strategies for Resting-State Functional MRI." *NeuroImage* 171 (May): 415–36. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2017.12.073.

Power, Jonathan D., Kelly A. Barnes, Abraham Z. Snyder, Bradley L. Schlaggar, and Steven E. Petersen. 2012. "Spurious but Systematic Correlations in Functional Connectivity MRI Networks Arise from Subject Motion." NeuroImage 59 (3): 2142–54. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2011.10.018.

Prehn, Kristin, Thorge Profitlich, Ida Rangus, Sebastian Heßler, A. Veronica Witte, Ulrike Grittner, Jürgen Ordemann, and Agnes Flöel. 2020. "Bariatric Surgery and Brain HealthA Longitudinal Observational Study Investigating the Effect of Surgery on Cognitive Function and Gray Matter Volume." *Nutrients* 12 (1): 127. https://doi.org/10.3390/nu12010127.

Schmidt, Liane, Anita Tusche, Nicolas Manoharan, Cendri Hutcherson, Todd Hare, and Hilke Plassmann. 2018. "Neuroanatomy of the vmPFC and dlPFC Predicts Individual Differences in Cognitive Regulation During Dietary Self-Control Across Regulation Strategies." *The Journal of Neuroscience* 38 (25): 5799–5806. https://doi.org/10.1523/JNEUROSCI.3402-17.2018.

Team, R Core, and others. 2013. "R: A Language and Environment for Statistical Computing." Vienna, Austria.

Tiedemann, Lena J., Sebastian M. Schmid, Judith Hettel, Katrin Giesen, Paul Francke, Christian Büchel, and Stefanie Brassen. 2017. "Central Insulin Modulates Food Valuation via Mesolimbic Pathways." *Nature Communications* 8 (1). https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms16052.

Wiemerslage, Lyle, Wei Zhou, Gaia Olivo, Julia Stark, Pleunie S. Hogenkamp, Elna-Marie Larsson, Magnus Sundbom, and Helgi B. Schiöth. 2016. "A Resting-State fMRI Study of Obese Females Between Preand Postprandial States Before and After Bariatric Surgery." Edited by John Foxe. *European Journal of Neuroscience* 45 (3): 333–41. https://doi.org/10.1111/ejn.13428.

Witte, AV, M Fobker, R Gellner, S Knecht, and A Flöel. 2009. "Caloric Restriction Improves Memory in Elderly Humans." *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 106 (4): 1255–60. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0808587106.

Zhang, Rui, Frauke Beyer, Leonie Lampe, Tobias Luck, Steffi G Riedel-Heller, Markus Loeffler, Matthias L Schroeter, Michael Stumvoll, Arno Villringer, and A Veronica Witte. 2018. "White Matter Microstructural Variability Mediates the Relation Between Obesity and Cognition in Healthy Adults." Neuroimage~172~(May):~239-49.~https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2018.01.028.