Verified Move

Zekun wang

March 5, 2023

Abstract

This is supposed to be a more reable version of the Coq formalization.

1 Definitions

1.1 Identifiers

ModuleName

StructName

 $f \ \in \ \mathrm{FieldName}$

 $x \in VarName$

1.2 Types and Kinds

Kind = resource |unrestricted

 $\begin{array}{lll} \text{ModuleId} & = & \text{AccountAddr} \times \text{ModuleName} \\ \text{StructID} & = & \text{ModuleID} \times \text{StructName} \\ \end{array}$

StructType = StructID

 $\label{eq:primitiveType} \text{PrimitiveType} \quad = \quad \text{AccountAddr} \cup \text{Bool} \cup \text{Unsigned} \ 64 \cup \text{Bytes}$

 $a \in AccountAddr$

 $b \in Bool$

 $n \in \text{Unsigned } 64$

 $\vec{b} \in \text{Bytes}$

 $\tau \in \text{NonRefType} = \text{StructType} \times \text{Primitive}$

Type = $\tau \mid \& \operatorname{mut} \tau \mid \& \tau$

1.3 Values

 \in $StructID \times Tag \times Value^*$ Resource Struct $StructID \times UnrestrictedValue^*$ **PrimitiveValue** $= a |b| n |\vec{b}|$ $Struct \cup Primitive Value$ UnrestrictedValue u \in Value $Resource \cup Unrestricted Value$ vReference $Root \times Path \times Qualifier$ rRoot GlobalResourceKey $AccountAddr \times StructID$ \in g \in Path pQualifier mut |immut qRuntimeValue $v \mid r$

1.4 Memory

We write M(l) to mean the value stored at l (if any) in memory M, where l is a local variable or a reference. We write $M[l \mapsto v]$ to mean the memory with l updated to have value v, and otherwise identical with M. We use $M \setminus x$ to mean the memory with x removed, and otherwise identical with M.

1.5 Local Evaluation State

 $\begin{array}{lll} \sigma & \in & \operatorname{LocalState} & = & \langle M, S \rangle \\ S & \in & \operatorname{LocalStack} & = & \operatorname{RuntimeValue}^* \\ l & \in & \operatorname{Location} & = & x.p \mid s.p \mid n.p \\ & \operatorname{We \ write} \ \sigma(l) = v \ \text{if \ value} \ v \ \text{is \ stored at} \ l. \end{array}$

2 Judgements

JudgementMeaningrqreference r has mutability q $M \rhd t$ Freshtag t is fresh in M $M \rhd \kappa \tau \{f_1 : \tau_1, \ldots, f_n : \tau_n\}$ In memory M struct type τ has kind κ , field name f_i and field types τ_i $\langle M, S \rangle \xrightarrow{i} \langle M', S' \rangle$ state $\langle M, S \rangle$ steps to $\langle M, S \rangle$ after executing instruction i $\sigma \to \sigma'$ $\sigma \xrightarrow{i} \sigma'$ for some instruction i $l: t \in \sigma$ $\sigma(l) = v$ and tag(v) = t for some value v

Table 1:

3 Operational Semantics

3.1 Local Instructions

4 Resource Safety

Definition 1 A local state σ is well-formed iff p_1p_2 : $t \in \sigma$ implies $p_1 = p_2$. That is, resource tags are unique; a tag can appear at most once in σ .

Proposition 1 Small step evaluation preserves well-formedness. That is, if σ is well-formed and $\sigma \to \sigma'$, then σ' is well-formed.

We would always assume that states are well-formed.

Theorem 1 (Local resource safety) If $\sigma \to \sigma'$, then $\operatorname{tag}(\sigma) = \operatorname{tag}(\sigma') \vee \operatorname{tag}(\sigma) \cup \{t\} = \operatorname{tag}(\sigma') \vee \operatorname{tag}(\sigma) = \operatorname{tag}(\sigma') \cup \{t\}$. Further, the second case happens iff $\sigma = \langle M, S \rangle \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pack}} \langle M, (v, t) : S \rangle = \sigma'$, and the third case happens iff $\sigma = \langle M, (v, t) : S \rangle \xrightarrow{\operatorname{unpack}} \langle M, S \rangle = \sigma'$.