Question 8.

Algorithms Assignment 1

Faaiq Bilal **23100104**

1 Part 1

This can be disproved with a counter-example. If we take f(n) = n and $g(n) = n^2$

Then the first condition is satisfied as $n < c \cdot n^2$ for all n > 0 and $c \ge 1$ However, the converse is not necessarily true, as n^2 grows faster than $c \cdot n$. Hence, in this case, $g(n) \notin f(n)$

2 Part 2

The proof of this statement can be expressed in two parts:

2.1 Proof part 1, Big O

For this, we can start off by supposing that f(n) > g(n). This supposition doesn't actually matter, it only helps us in denoting one function as being larger than the other. The roles could very easily have been swapped.

In such a case, the following must also be true for any constant $c_0 \ge 2$: $c \cdot f(n) \ge f(n) + g(n)$

This proves that $f(n) + g(n) \in max(f(n), g(n))$

2.2 Proof part 2, Big Omega

This part is much simpler to prove, as function f(n) and g(n) grow with increasing n.

This would mean that f(n) + g(n) must be larger than both f(n) and g(n) individually. It does not matter which of them is larger.

Hence, we can say that $f(n) + g(n) \ge max(f(n), g(n))$

 $f(n) + g(n) \epsilon \max(f(n), g(n))$

 $f(n) + g(n) \in \Omega(max(f(n), g(n)))$

2.3 Conclusion

As both statements above are true, then $f(n) + g(n) \epsilon \theta(\max(f(n) + g(n)))$

3 Part 3

This statement is true as shown below:

```
f(n) = O(g(n)) can also be written as: f(n) \leq M \cdot g(n), where M is some constant > 0.
```

This statement can be extended as follows: $lg(f(n)) \leq lg(M \cdot g(n))$

Which will further mean that:

 $lg(f(n)) \leq K \cdot (lgM + lg(g(n)))$ where K is some constant > 0