### CV and TRACK RECORD

My track record is excellent, **distinct** and suited to the project.





# **Evaluation form**

### **Principal Investigator**

To what extent has the PI demonstrated the ability to propose and conduct groundbreaking research?

To what extent does the PI provide evidence of creative independent thinking?

To what extent have the achievement of PI typically gone beyond the state of the art?

To what extent does the PI demonstrate the level of commitment to the project necessary for its execution and the willingness to devote a significant amount of time to the project (min 50% for Starting and 40% for Consolidator of the total working time on it and min 50% in an EU Member State or Associated Country) (based on the full Scientific Proposal)? **Only in** 

step 2





# MAPPING EVALUATION QUESTIONS

	Groundbreakin g results	Independence	Beyond state- of-the-art	Commitment
CV		x		X
FUNDING ID		X		X
TRACK RECORD	x	X	X	х
RESOURCES (B2)				X









New template

# **CURRICULUM VITAE**









# Section b: Curriculum vitae (max. 2 pages)

- The CV should include the standard academic and research record.
   A suggested outline is available in the Part B1 downloadable
   template. The structure of the CV may be modified. Any research
   career gaps and/or unconventional paths should be clearly
   explained so that can be fairly assessed by the evaluation panels.
- The succinct 'funding ID' which must specify any current research grants and their subject, and any on-going application for work related to the proposal must follow the table format indicated in the Part B1 template. The funding ID will not count towards page limits and needs to be completed with the following information for on-going grants and applications:

Project Title, Funding source, Amount, Period, Role of the PI, Relation to ERC project





# Section b: Curriculum vitae (max. 2 pages)

[The template below is provided only for guidance. It may be modified as necessary and appropriate.]

#### PERSONAL INFORMATION

Family name, First name:

Researcher unique identifier(s) (such as ORCID,

Research ID, etc. ...):

Date of birth:

**URL** for web site:

Use it but feel free to adapt it to your needs New: ORCID, Researcher ID URL website: introduce all references you think can help you: your group website, HI website, postdoc website, ... (and not only here)





# **EDUCATION** and **POSITIONS**

#### **EDUCATION**

199? PhD

Name of Faculty/ Department, Name of University/ Institution, Country

CURRENT POSITION(S)

201? – 201? Current Position

Name of Faculty/ Department, Name of University/ Institution/ Country

#### **PREVIOUS POSITIONS**

200? – 200? Position held

Name of Faculty/ Department, Name of University/ Institution/ Country

#### **FELLOWSHIPS AND AWARDS**

200? Award received from Name of Institution/ Country

198? – 199? Scholarship, Name of Faculty/ Department/Centre, Name of



# MENTORING & TEACHING

#### SUPERVISION OF GRADUATE STUDENTS AND POSTDOCTORAL FELLOWS

200? – 200? Number of Postdocs/ PhD/ Master Students
Name of Faculty/ Department/ Centre, Name of University/ Institution/
Country

#### **TEACHING ACTIVITIES (if applicable)**

200? – Teaching position – Topic, Name of University/ Institution/ Country
 200? – 200? Teaching position – Topic, Name of University/ Institution/ Country





# PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

#### **ORGANISATION OF SCIENTIFIC MEETINGS (if applicable)**

200? Please specify type of event / number of participants / Country

#### **INSTITUTIONAL RESPONSIBILITIES (if applicable)**

201? – Faculty me	ember, Name of University/ Institution/ Country
201? – 201?	Graduate Student Advisor, Name of University/ Institution/ Country
200? – 200? Country	Member of the Faculty Committee, Name of University/ Institution/
200? – 200?	Organizer of the Internal Seminar, Name of University/ Institution/ Country
200? – 200?	Member of a Committee; role, Name of University/Institution/Country







### PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

#### **COMMISSIONS OF TRUST (if applicable)**

- 201? Scientific Advisory Board, Name of University/ Institution/ Country
- 201? Review Board, Name of University/Institution/Country
- 201? Review panel member, Name of University/Institution/Country
- 201? Editorial Board, Name of University/Institution/Country
- 200? Evaluator, Name of University/Institution/Country

#### MEMBERSHIPS OF SCIENTIFIC SOCIETIES (if applicable)

- 201? Member, Research Network "Name of Research Network"
- 200? Associated Member, Name of Faculty/ Department/Centre, Name of University/ Institution/ Country
- 200? Funding Member, Name of Faculty/ Department/Centre, Name of University/ Institution/ Country







# **OTHER INFO**

#### MAJOR COLLABORATIONS (if applicable)

Name of collaborators, Topic, Name of Faculty/ Department/Centre, Name of University/ Institution/ Country

#### **CAREER BREAKS (if applicable)**

**Exact dates** 

Please indicate the reason and the duration in months.

**CAREER STAGE?!** 







# Appendix: All ongoing and submitted grants and funding of the PI (Funding ID)

<u>Mandatory information</u> (does not count towards page limits)

#### On going grants

Project Title	Funding source	Amount (Euros)	Period	R	ole of the PI	Relation to current ERC proposal
					Funding ID: To avoid double funding, but also to check you leadership.	

#### **Applications**

	Project Title	Funding source	Amount (Euros)	Period	Role of the PI	Relation to current ERC proposal
COBIE DE ESP	AÑA DE ECONOMI Y COMPETITIO	FECYT	FUNDACIÓN ESPAÑOLA PARA LA CIENCIA Y LA TECNOLOGÍA		na opea	

Quality vs Quantity

# **TRACK RECORD**









# Section c: Early achievements trackrecord (max. 2 pages)

(see 'Information for Applicants to the Starting and Consolidator Grant 2014 Calls' instructions for completing 'Part B' of the proposal)

The Principal Investigator (PI) must provide a list of achievements reflecting their track record.

#### The PI should list his/her activity as regards:

 Publications in major international peer-reviewed multi-disciplinary scientific journals and/or in the leading international peer-reviewed journals, peer-reviewed conferences proceedings and/or monographs of their respective research fields, highlighting ten representative publications, those without the presence as co-author of their PhD supervisor,

and the number of citations (excluding self-citations) they have attracted (if applicable).

- 2. Granted patent(s) (if applicable).
- 3. Invited presentations to peer-reviewed, internationally established conferences and/or international advanced schools (if applicable).
- 4. Prizes and Awards (if applicable).







# Track Record TEMPLATE

- **SELECTION** of CONTRIBUTIONS (Up to 5)
- Papers WITH and WITHOUT PhD and/or Postdoc supervisors
- Whenever necessary, explain why paper A is relevant both for your career and the ERC proposal
- Choose the format that fits you better
- Give numbers whenever helpful.







TRACK RECORD

### **SOME EXAMPLES**







# TRACK RECORD 1 (PE)

- PUBLICATION OVERVIEW (numbers)
- Highlighted publications (5)
- Other selected publication
- Invited talks
- Awards and fellowships

Other (international collaboration, access to int. facilities)







# TRACK RECORD 2 (PE)

- 10 selected publication (with link for the rest)
- Granted patents 11
- Invited presentations: many
- Prizes and awards: best papers, prizes, etc...
   (5-10)





# TRACK RECORD 3 (LS)

- All publications, PhD supervisor identified, 5 selected in bold characters.
- Invited presentations
- Prizes and awards

Very short, **to the facts** track record. But very impressive record publication also.







# TRACK RECORD 5 (SH)

- Summary of main figures
- Selection of publications (7) No citation information. All without PhD supervisor
- Other publications
- Monographs and book chapters
- Invited presentations
- Prizes and awards...





# TRACK RECORD 6 (SH)

- Publications (20)
- Editorials and letters
- Recognition & diffusion (mass media)
- Collaboration with policies and agencies
- Grants
- Initiative and Independence paragraph.





**COMMENTS and COMMON ERRORS** 

### **LESSONS LEARNED**







# Curriculum Vitae

#### Hard-to-defend CV

- No publication without PhD supervisor and same line of research proposed
- Most cited articles: you are not 1st author, minor contribution
- Very few short stays abroad: no real separation from your original group.

#### Winning CV

- At least 2-3 yrs of postdoctoral stay in a well reputed group/institution
- Productive in each and every position
- Important articles as first author
- Distinct track record: there are few people with your same expertise





# PANEL COMMENTS

- Evaluators say:
  - Independence
  - CLARITY: Vague information won't help you.
  - QUALITY vs QUANTITY
  - The track record must be in line with the proposed research
  - Numbers are ok but explain your contribution
  - EXPLAIN: The panel members may not know if your merits are relevant or not (prizes, grants, journals...)
  - Pos-doctoral stays: CLARITY
  - Contribution at each career step: explain gaps





### Most common errors in CVs

- Excessive repetition: RyC fellow as position, fellowship, grant, and awards (if needed ok, but be clear)
- Mobility: Confusion between funding body/ host centre.
   Exact duration of stays
- Production: list of all publications without any selection.
   Difficult to see which ones are without your supervisor.
- Relation with the B1 proposal: Lack of expertise in one key methodology proposed. Not very specific track record
- **Merits**: Not relevant or not explained- merits. Local prizes. Not-research related information.
- Numbers: 0 cites, low h index
- Hidden information: Panel will check



