

Multi-material distributed recycling via Fused granular fabrication: rHDPE and rPET case of study

Catalina Suescun Bob Security Cat Memes Derek Zoolander

Abstract

The high volume of plastic waste and the extremely low recycling rate has created a serious challenge worldwide. Local distributed recycling coupled to additive manufacturing (DRAM) offers a solution by economically incentivizing local recycling. A new DRAM technology capable of processing large quantities of plastic waste quickly is fused granular fabrication (FGF), where solid shredded plastic waste can be reused directly as 3D printing feedstock. This study presents an experimental assessment of multi-material recycling printability, using two of the most common thermoplastics in the beverage industry polyethylene terephthalate (PET) and high-density polyethylene (HDPE) and the feasibility of mixing PET and HDPE to be used as a feedstock material for large-scale 3-D printing. After the material collection, shredding, and cleaning its characterization, and optimization of parameters for 3D printing was performed. Results showed the feasibility of printing a large object from rPET/rHDPE flakes reducing the production cost up to 88%. .

Introduction

The disposal of plastic waste is one of the most challenging current environmental concerns given its systemic complexity ([Evode et al., 2021](#)). The mass of micro- / meso- plastics in the oceans are expected to exceed the mass of the global stock of fish by 2050 ([MacArthur, 2017](#)). More critically, the global plastic annual production is expected to reach 1100 metric tons by the same year ([Geyer, 2020](#)). The societal awareness on plastic recycling have received substantial attention by scientific, policymaker and general public ([Soares et al., 2021](#)). Unfortunately, the statistical analysis on the centralized recycling process proves that it has been largely ineffective ([godswillImpactsPlasticPollution2019a?](#)) as only 9% of the plastic that has been produced has been recycled from the total stock produced since 1950 ([Geyer et al., 2017](#)). Therefore, it remains an open challenge to identify alternatives to valorize discarded plastic material.

Distributed recycling and additive manufacturing (DRAM), is an innovative technical approach to recycle plastic wastes ([Cruz Sanchez et al., 2020](#); [Dertinger et al., 2020](#)). DRAM was first practiced with recyclebots, which are waste plastic extruders that made filament

for conventional fused filament-based 3-D printers (Baechler et al., 2013; Woern et al., 2018; Zhong and Pearce, 2018). Past research demonstrated that using distributed recycling fits into the circular economy paradigm (Despeisse et al., 2017; Ford and Despeisse, 2016); where consumers directly recycle their own waste into consumer products from open source designs, from toys for children (Petersen et al., 2017) to adaptive aids for those with arthritis (Gallup et al., 2018). Distributed manufacturing is now in wide use (Pearce et al., 2022). In this way DRAM-based recycling is done in a closed loop supply chain network (Santander et al., 2020). This type of recycling aims to reduce the environmental impact by the reduction of the transportation from the waste source to recycling facilities (M. A. Kreiger et al., 2014). In that sense, it aims to propose innovative closed-loop strategies using waste materials as raw resources (Romani et al., 2021).

Fused filament fabrication (FFF, which is also known as Fused Deposition Modelling – FDM©-) is the most-widespread and established extrusion-based AM technology due to the open source proliferation from the self-replicating rapid prototyper (RepRap) project (Bowyer, 2014; Jones et al., 2011; Sells et al., 2009). This is due to its simplicity, versatility, low-cost, and ability in the construction of geometrically complex objects in the industrial and prosumer domains (romani2022?). Indeed, the open-source 3-D approach for 3-D printers has enabled the technology to evolve in a radical manner for manufacturing and prototyping adding value to the recycled material (Cruz Sanchez et al., 2020). There are large efforts to find sustainable feedstocks for 3-D printing Pakkanen et al. (2017). Several studies in the literature have increase the spectrum of recycled filament materials such as PLA (Anderson, 2017; Cruz Sanchez et al., 2017a), ABS (Mohammed et al., 2017b, 2017a), PET (Vaucher et al., 2022; Zander et al., 2018), HDPE (Baechler et al., 2013; Chong et al., 2017; Mohammed et al., 2017b) PC (Gaikwad et al., 2018). In fact, using a comparative life cycle assessment in a low density population case study of Michigan, USA, M. a. Kreiger et al. (2014) argued that about of 100 billion MJ of energy per year could be saved in a distributed approach, for the 984 million pounds of HDPE that are recycled in the U.S. There is thus considerable evidence that DRAM can reduce the energy consumption and greenhouse gases of the manufacturing processes.

Most DRAM studies have been using mono-material for the fabrication of feedstock for FFF. There are, however, several examples of mixed materials including wood waste and recycled plastic (Löschke et al., 2019; Pringle et al., 2018) and textile fibers and recycled plastic (Carrete et al., 2021). Recently, (zander2019b?) reported the manufacturing of composite filament from recycled PET/PP and PS/PP blending through compatibilizer copolymer such as SEBS. Their results revealed the technical printability of polypropylene blend composite filaments from a thermo-mechanical characterization perspective. Increasing the performance window of blending materials by compatibilization which could be a relevant path for recycling plastics in a local level and isolated areas contexts (e.g. during humanitarian crises Corsini et al. (2022), supply chain disruptions Attaran (2020) and/or isolated off-grid situations using solar-powered 3-D printers (mohammed2018?)). Likewise, Vaucher et al. (2022) studied the evaluation of the microstructure, mechanical performance, and printing quality of filaments made from rPET and rHDPE varying the wt% of HDPE material from

0 to 10%. They confirmed the increase in the Young's modulus from 1.7 GPa of the pure PET to 2.1 GPa for all the HDPE concentrations. Additionally, the maximum stress of the bends were augmented with high HDPE concentrations. Values were lower than virgin PET filament, yet similar to commercial recycle ones. The addition of rHDPE at higher levels, however, helped to meet the brittle-ductile transition in 15% despite the low interfacial tension of both polymers, allowing the printing of quality parts. While former studies have proven been successful in FFF, a new approach to DRAM is fused granular fabrication (FGF) or fused particle fabrication (FPF), where the material-extrusion AM systems print directly from pellets, granules, flakes, shred or grinder material (Fontana et al., 2022; Woern et al., 2018). In the context of recycling, this could reduce the number of melt/extrusion cycles that degrade the material needed in the filament fabrication process (Cruz Sanchez et al., 2017b). The FGF technique opens up the potential of use recycle materials as well as print large-scale objects either with a conventional cartesian 3-D printer (Woern et al., 2018), delta 3-D printer (Grassi et al., 2019) or hangprinter Rattan et al. (2023). Research groups corroborate that plastic waste can be used as feedstock materials for FGF/FPF. Alexandre et al. (alexandre202?) assessed the technical and economical dimensions of virgin and shredded PLA printed in a self-modified FGF machine and compared with FFF. The investigation showed that the use of FGF reduced printing cost, time and its mechanical performance was comparable with the obtained using the traditional FFF technique. Likewise, Woern et al. (2018) found comparable properties between PLA, ABS, PP, and PET recycled and virgin materials. Later publications demonstrated the technical and economic feasibility through the printing of complex objects validating the possibility of recycle plastic with FGF in both conventional and common FFF materials (Byard et al., 2019), but also recycle PC (Reich et al., 2019) and rPET (Little et al., 2020,). Few researchers, however, have addressed the problem of the direct printing of recycled multi-materials, which might be a key step forward needed to facilitate the ease of sorting and recycling post-consumer plastic waste materials. This study explores the potential of direct 3-D printing two immiscible polymers commonly used in the beverage sector through a distributed recycling process for its easily implementation operation at the local level. To demonstrate the feasibility of the process, the bottled water plastic most used in France of roughly 90% of PET (body of the bottle) and 10% of HDPE (cap) now called rPET90//rHDPE10, is used as a test material. The experimental process of collection, characterization, and printing of the recycled material is described and the results are discussed in the context of widespread DRAM adoption at the community level.

References

- Anderson, I., 2017. Mechanical Properties of Specimens 3D Printed with Virgin and Recycled Polylactic Acid. 3D Printing and Additive Manufacturing 4, 110–115. <https://doi.org/10.1089/3dp.2016.0054>
- Attaran, M., 2020. 3D Printing Role in Filling the Critical Gap in the Medical Supply Chain during COVID-19 Pandemic. American Journal of Industrial and Business Management

- 10, 988–1001. <https://doi.org/10.4236/ajibm.2020.105066>
- Baechler, C., DeVuono, M., Pearce, J.M., 2013. Distributed recycling of waste polymer into RepRap feedstock. *Rapid Prototyping Journal* 19, 118–125. <https://doi.org/10.1108/13552541311302978>
- Bowyer, A., 2014. 3D Printing and Humanity’s First Imperfect Replicator. *3D Printing and Additive Manufacturing* 1, 4–5. <https://doi.org/10.1089/3dp.2013.0003>
- Byard, D.J., Woern, A.L., Oakley, R.B., Fiedler, M.J., Snabes, S.L., Pearce, J.M., 2019. Green fab lab applications of large-area waste polymer-based additive manufacturing. *Additive Manufacturing* 27, 515–525. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2019.03.006>
- Carrete, I.A., Quiñonez, P.A., Bermudez, D., Roberson, D.A., 2021. Incorporating Textile-Derived Cellulose Fibers for the Strengthening of Recycled Polyethylene Terephthalate for 3D Printing Feedstock Materials. *Journal of polymers and the environment*.
- Chong, S., Pan, G.-T., Khalid, M., Yang, T.C.-K., Hung, S.-T., Huang, C.-M., 2017. Physical Characterization and Pre-assessment of Recycled High-Density Polyethylene as 3D Printing Material. *Journal of Polymers and the Environment* 25, 136–145. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10924-016-0793-4>
- Corsini, L., Aranda-Jan, C.B., Moultrie, J., 2022. The impact of 3D printing on the humanitarian supply chain. <https://doi.org/10.17863/CAM.51226>
- Cruz Sanchez, F.A., Boudaoud, H., Camargo, M., Pearce, J.M., 2020. Plastic recycling in additive manufacturing: A systematic literature review and opportunities for the circular economy. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 264, 121602. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2020.121602>
- Cruz Sanchez, F.A., Boudaoud, H., Hoppe, S., Camargo, M., 2017a. Polymer recycling in an open-source additive manufacturing context: Mechanical issues. *Additive Manufacturing* 17, 87–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2017.05.013>
- Cruz Sanchez, F.A., Boudaoud, H., Hoppe, S., Camargo, M., 2017b. Polymer recycling in an open-source additive manufacturing context: Mechanical issues. *Additive Manufacturing* 17, 87–105. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2017.05.013>
- Dertinger, S.C., Gallup, N., Tanikella, N.G., Grasso, M., Vahid, S., Foot, P.J.S., Pearce, J.M., 2020. Technical pathways for distributed recycling of polymer composites for distributed manufacturing: Windshield wiper blades. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 157, 104810. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2020.104810>
- Despeisse, M., Baumers, M., Brown, P., Charnley, F., Ford, S.J., Garmulewicz, A., Knowles, S., Minshall, T.H.W., Mortara, L., Reed-Tsochas, F.P., Rowley, J., 2017. Unlocking value for a circular economy through 3D printing: A research agenda. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change* 115, 75–84. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2016.09.021>
- Evode, N., Qamar, S.A., Bilal, M., Barceló, D., Iqbal, H.M.N., 2021. Plastic waste and its management strategies for environmental sustainability. *Case Studies in Chemical and Environmental Engineering* 4, 100142. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cscee.2021.100142>
- Fontana, M., Iori, M., Leone Sciabolazza, V., Souza, D., 2022. The interdisciplinarity dilemma: Public versus private interests. *Research Policy* 51, 104553. <https://doi.org/10.1016/J.RESPOL.2022.104553>

- Ford, S., Despeisse, M., 2016. Additive manufacturing and sustainability: An exploratory study of the advantages and challenges. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 137, 1573–1587. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2016.04.150>
- Gaikwad, V., Ghose, A., Cholake, S., Rawal, A., Iwato, M., Sahajwalla, V., 2018. Transformation of E-Waste Plastics into Sustainable Filaments for 3D Printing. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering* 6, 14432–14440. <https://doi.org/10.1021/acssuschemeng.8b03105>
- Gallup, N., Bow, J.K., Pearce, J.M., 2018. Economic Potential for Distributed Manufacturing of Adaptive Aids for Arthritis Patients in the U.S. *Geriatrics* 3, 89. <https://doi.org/10.3390/geriatrics3040089>
- Geyer, R., 2020. Chapter 2 - Production, use, and fate of synthetic polymers, in: Letcher, T.M. (Ed.), *Plastic Waste and Recycling*. Academic Press, pp. 13–32. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-12-817880-5.00002-5>
- Geyer, R., Jambeck, J.R., Law, K.L., 2017. Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Science Advances* 3, e1700782. <https://doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1700782>
- Grassi, G., Spagnolo, S.L., Paoletti, I., 2019. Fabrication and durability testing of a 3D printed façade for desert climates. *Additive Manufacturing* 28, 439.
- Jones, R., Haufe, P., Sells, E., Iravani, P., Olliver, V., Palmer, C., Bowyer, A., 2011. RepRap – the replicating rapid prototyper. *Robotica* 29, 177–191. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S026357471000069X>
- King, D., Babasola, A., Rozario, J., Pearce, J., 2014. Mobile Open-Source Solar-Powered 3-D Printers for Distributed Manufacturing in Off-Grid Communities. *Challenges in Sustainability* 2. <https://doi.org/10.12924/cis2014.02010018>
- Kreiger, M.A., Mulder, M.L., Glover, A.G., Pearce, J.M., 2014. Life cycle analysis of distributed recycling of post-consumer high density polyethylene for 3-D printing filament. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 70, 90–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.02.009>
- Kreiger, M.a., Mulder, M.L., Glover, A.G., Pearce, J.M., 2014. Life cycle analysis of distributed recycling of post-consumer high density polyethylene for 3-D printing filament. *Journal of Cleaner Production* 70, 90–96. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2014.02.009>
- Little, H.A., Tanikella, N.G., J. Reich, M., Fiedler, M.J., Snabes, S.L., Pearce, J.M., 2020. Towards Distributed Recycling with Additive Manufacturing of PET Flake Feedstocks. *Materials* 13, 4273. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma13194273>
- Löschke, S.K., Mai, J., Proust, G., Brambilla, A., 2019. Microtimber: The Development of a 3D Printed Composite Panel Made from Waste Wood and Recycled Plastics. *Digital Wood Design* 24, 827–848. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-03676-8_33
- MacArthur, E., 2017. Beyond plastic waste. *Science* 358, 843–843. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.aao6749>
- Mohammed, M.I., Das, A., Gomez-Kervin, E., Wilson, D., Gibson, I., 2017a. EcoPrinting: Investigating the Use of 100% Recycled Acrylonitrile Butadiene Styrene (ABS) for Additive Manufacturing. University of Texas at Austin.
- Mohammed, M.I., Mohan, M., Das, A., Johnson, M.D., Badwal, P.S., McLean, D., Gibson, I., 2017b. A low carbon footprint approach to the reconstitution of plastics into 3D-printer filament for enhanced waste reduction. *KnE Engineering* 234–241. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.kne.2017.04.001>

[org/10.18502/keg.v2i2.621](https://doi.org/10.18502/keg.v2i2.621)

- Novak, J.I., Loy, J., 2020. A critical review of initial 3D printed products responding to COVID-19 health and supply chain challenges. *Emerald Open Research* 2, 24. <https://doi.org/10.35241/emeraldopenres.13697.1>
- Pakkanen, J., Manfredi, D., Minetola, P., Iuliano, L., 2017. About the Use of Recycled or Biodegradable Filaments for Sustainability of 3D Printing, in: Campana, G., Howlett, R.J., Setchi, R., Cimatti, B. (Eds.),. Springer International Publishing, Cham, pp. 776–785. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-57078-5_73
- Pearce, J.M., Pascaris, A.S., Schelly, C., 2022. Professors want to share: Preliminary survey results on establishing open-source-endowed professorships. *SN Social Sciences* 2, 203. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s43545-022-00524-3>
- Petersen, E., Kidd, R., Pearce, J., 2017. Impact of DIY Home Manufacturing with 3D Printing on the Toy and Game Market. *Technologies* 5, 45. <https://doi.org/10.3390/technologies5030045>
- Petsiuk, A., Lavu, B., Dick, R., Pearce, J.M., 2022. Waste Plastic Direct Extrusion Hangprinter. *Inventions* 7, 70. <https://doi.org/10.3390/inventions7030070>
- Pringle, A.M., Rudnicki, M., Pearce, J.M., 2018. Wood Furniture Waste-Based Recycled 3-D Printing Filament. *Forest Products Journal* 68, 86–95. <https://doi.org/10.13073/FPJ-D-17-00042>
- Rattan, R.S., Nauta, N., Romani, A., Pearce, J.M., 2023. Hangprinter for large scale additive manufacturing using fused particle fabrication with recycled plastic and continuous feeding. *HardwareX* 13, e00401. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2023.e00401>
- Reich, M.J., Woern, A.L., Tanikella, N.G., Pearce, J.M., 2019. Mechanical Properties and Applications of Recycled Polycarbonate Particle Material Extrusion-Based Additive Manufacturing. *Materials* 12, 1642. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ma12101642>
- Rett, J.P., Traore, Y.L., Ho, E.A., 2021. Sustainable Materials for Fused Deposition Modeling 3D Printing Applications. *Advanced Engineering Materials* 23, 2001472. <https://doi.org/10.1002/adem.202001472>
- Romani, A., Rognoli, V., Levi, M., 2021. Design, Materials, and Extrusion-Based Additive Manufacturing in Circular Economy Contexts: From Waste to New Products. *Sustainability* 13, 7269. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13137269>
- Santander, P., Cruz Sanchez, F.A., Boudaoud, H., Camargo, M., 2020. Closed loop supply chain network for local and distributed plastic recycling for 3D printing: A MILP-based optimization approach. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 154, 104531. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2019.104531>
- Savonen, B.L., Mahan, T.J., Curtis, M.W., Schreier, J.W., Gershenson, J.K., Pearce, J.M., 2018. Development of a Resilient 3-D Printer for Humanitarian Crisis Response. *Technologies* 6, 30. <https://doi.org/10.3390/technologies6010030>
- Sells, E., Smith, Z., Bailard, S., Bowyer, A., Olliver, V., 2009. RepRap: The Replicating Rapid Prototyper - maximizing customizability by breeding the means of production, in: Piller, F.T., Tseng, M.M. (Eds.), *Handbook of Research in Mass Customization and Personalization*. World Scientific, pp. 568–580.
- Soares, J., Miguel, I., Venâncio, C., Lopes, I., Oliveira, M., 2021. Public views on plastic

- pollution: Knowledge, perceived impacts, and pro-environmental behaviours. *Journal of Hazardous Materials* 412, 125227. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhazmat.2021.125227>
- Vaucher, J., Demongeot, A., Michaud, V., Leterrier, Y., 2022. Recycling of Bottle Grade PET: Influence of HDPE Contamination on the Microstructure and Mechanical Performance of 3D Printed Parts. *Polymers* 14, 5507. <https://doi.org/10.3390/polym14245507>
- Woern, A.L., McCaslin, J.R., Pringle, A.M., Pearce, J.M., 2018. RepRapable Recyclebot: Open source 3-D printable extruder for converting plastic to 3-D printing filament. *HardwareX* 4, e00026. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ohx.2018.e00026>
- Wong, J.Y., 2015. Ultra-Portable Solar-Powered 3D Printers for Onsite Manufacturing of Medical Resources. *Aerospace Medicine and Human Performance* 86, 830–834. <https://doi.org/10.3357/AMHP.4308.2015>
- Zander, N.E., Gillan, M., Lambeth, R.H., 2018. Recycled polyethylene terephthalate as a new FFF feedstock material. *Additive Manufacturing* 21, 174–182. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addma.2018.03.007>
- Zhong, S., Pearce, J.M., 2018. Tightening the loop on the circular economy: Coupled distributed recycling and manufacturing with recyclebot and RepRap 3-D printing. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling* 128, 48–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resconrec.2017.09.023>