Feedback Group 30

Con's:

Maybe use colored backgrounds for the different calculation steps (slides 9, 11).

You could also use animations to let the calculation steps appear in their order.

The calculation slides are a bit crowded, which can be resolved by the measures mentioned above.

Now, onto the typos:

Slide 5: Unsuccesfull -> unsuccessful. succesfull -> successful.

Slide 7: One space too many after „Let's". You used the wrong symbol for apostrophe. Dependent is written wrong.

Slide 8: Marginalize is written wrong. Values is written in capital letters. It's side notes not side nodes. You simply can plug the formula in later -> You can simply plug the formula in later. Additionaly -> Additionally. unsuccesfull -> unsuccessful. Autocorrect actually marks this, just have a look at the slides again.

Slide 10: Microphone -> microphone. Unsuccesfull -> unsuccessful. than -> then. dependend -> dependent. Only the 2 is underlined.

Slide 11: Probability -> probability. an malfunction -> a malfunction. "Micro" in English doesn't mean microphone as "Mikro" does in German.

Slide 12: One correct statements -> one correct statement. CPT was in capital letters when written out on slide 2, yet here it is not in capital letters.

Slide 14: No ":" at step 2, yet at all the other ones.

Pro's:

Nice use of animations in the first few slides.

Nice use of color coding (for example slide 3).

Good unification of he CPT's with the graphical model(slide4).