BSc Al Thesis - Project Plan

Title: Solving math word problems with controlled language

Author: Fabian Afatsawo - 12105155

Supervisor: Giovanni Sileno

Literature review

Since the invention of the calculator, computers do an excellent job in calculating math problems. Typically, the language used in math problems is a substrate of natural language technical terms and grammatical conventions. The language is machine-understandable such that a system could perform calculations. A controlled natural language (CNL) is a subset of NL that is human and machine-understandable. But, when math is expanded to NL computers have a hard time understanding it. A controlled natural language for mathematics (CLM) is developed [1] to solve this. This CLM is used as a step towards automatic formalisation and verification of textbook mathematical text. However, the coverage of CLM facilitates common reasoning patterns but is limited. The task of converting NL to First-Order Logic (FOL) remains an area of research. Direct approaches have been tried. Neural models showed difficulties in modelling NL to FOL [2]. The use of CNL could help form a bridge in this task. Research supports this approach wherein simplified English texts are converted from NL to Logic using CNL as an intermediate step [3]. Natural Language Processing (NLP) techniques make this conversion from NL to CNL possible. Further research supports this, wherein the conversion of legal text to CNL is attempted using NLP techniques [4]. To this day, simple middle school word math problems are difficult to solve for a computer. Attempts have been made by using autoregressive language models like GPT-3 [5]. A significant amount of research is focussing on converting NL straight into logic. Unfortunately, the results are still unsatisfiable. To tackle this, targeting primarily a conversion of NL to CNL might improve performance in this task [6]. Because CNL has a direct logical interpretation, it allows solving simple math problems given in textual form by using logic. Therefore, the core step of this method is the machine translation task from NL to CNL. The task requires a CL that is suited for making calculations. Attempto Controlled English (ACE) is a possible CL that is able to make this conversion [7]. It has a countable property and thus could be used to derive simple calculations.

Research question

The task of solving simple math word problems using computers remains unsolved. The conversion from NL to CNL seems a promising approach to tackle this task. This is because CNL has a direct logical interpretation, at which calculations could be done. Hence, can simple math word problems be solved by utilizing a CNL?

Method and approach

A proof-of-concept will be pursued. First, running experiments with the ACE parser and some machine translation methods will be performed. Once a proof of concept is reached, the focus will shift towards machine translation. This may require the identification and or generation of training data. A cycle of experiments will be performed on the machine translation module. Experiments will be evaluated, reported and finetuned.

Evaluation

APE will be used as a validator for CL. In this way, text converted from NL to CL will be evaluated. The respective answers to the word problems are fixed and known. Thus, these answers will be used to evaluate the calculated answers.

Plan

Gantt-chart at end of the file.

Report and presentations

The report will be written throughout the project. Towards the end of the project, more time will be assigned to this task. For this 2/3 weeks are in mind at the moment. Time is also set aside for preparing presentations, this will be one week. The choice on how to carry out the presentation is open. This can be either physical or through a pre-recorded video.

Bibliography

- [1] Humayoun, M., & Rafalli, C. (2008). MathNat-Mathematical Text in a Controlled Natural Language. Special issue: Natural Language Processing and its Applications. *Journal on Research in Computing Science*, 46.
- [2] Singh, H., Aggrawal, M., & Krishnamurthy, B. (2020). Exploring neural models for parsing natural language into First-Order Logic. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2002.06544*.
- [3] Safwat, H., Zarrouk, M., & Davis, B. (2018). Rewriting simplified text into a controlled natural language.

- [4] Dragoni, M., Villata, S., Rizzi, W., & Governatori, G. (2016). Combining NLP Approaches for Rule Extraction from Legal Documents. In *Proceedings of the workshop on "Mining and Reasoning with Legal Texts" collocated at the 29th International Conference on Legal Knowledge and Information Systems*.
- [5] Cobbe, K., Kosaraju, V., Bavarian, M., Hilton, J., Nakano, R., Hesse, C., & Schulman, J. (2021). Training Verifiers to Solve Math Word Problems. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2110.14168*.
- [6] Hales, T. (2019). An Argument for Controlled Natural Languages in Mathematics.
- [7] Fuchs, N. E., & Schwitter, R. (1996). Attempto controlled english (ace). *arXiv* preprint cmp-lg/9603003.

BSc Al Thesis Project Start: 11/12/2021 Fabian Afatsawo Display Week: 11/15/2021 11/22/2021 12/13/2021 12/20/2021 12/27/2021 1/3/2022 1/24/2022 2/7/2022 11/29/2021 12/6/2021 1/10/2022 1/17/2022 1/31/2022 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 TASK START END M T W T F S S M Proof-of-concept 11/15/2021 12/8/2021 24 Literature review 11/15/2021 12/8/2021 11/15/2021 24 12/8/2021 First architecture Preliminary running modules 11/15/2021 24 12/8/2021 11/15/2021 12/8/2021 Write findings 24 -- If complete, move to Machine Translation Midterm presentation 12/9/2021 12/22/2021 Prepare Midterm presentation 12/9/2021 12/12/2021 12/13/2021 12/13/2021 Submit Midterm presentation Reflect on Midterm 12/14/2021 12/21/2021 Midterm discussion 12/22/2021 12/22/2021 Machine Translation (MT) 12/23/2021 1/18/2022 12/23/2021 1/18/2022 Experiments 27 Experiments: 1. Train MT module 2. Test/refine MT architecture 3. ACE 4. Run against baseline (5. Restart from step 1) 1/14/2022 Write findings 12/23/2021 23 1/15/2022 1/18/2022 Finalize writing 1/19/2022 1/26/2022 P2P-Presentation Prepare P2P-Presentation 1/19/2022 7 1/25/2022 1/26/2022 P2P-Presentation 1/26/2022 **Finish Thesis** 1/27/2022 2/4/2022 Final touches writing 1/27/2022 2/3/2022

2/4/2022

2/4/2022

Submit Thesis