Are we more civilized today?

Fabian Bergweiler

created 13 October 2021, updated t

```
t <- timestamp(stamp = date())

## ##----- Wed Sep 21 13:11:10 2022 -----##
```

Table of Content

- 1. The long-term trend in Homicides in Western Europe
- 2. Long-Term trends in homocides
- 3. Reign duration among Danish rulers through time

This exercise is based on the dataset provided by OurWorldInData project based at the Oxford University.

1

The long-term trend in Homicides in Western Europe

Understanding how homicide rates have changed prior to the modern era requires the help of historians and archivists. Manuel Eisner, a criminology professor at the University of Cambridge, and his colleagues published the Historical Violence Database: a compilation of data on long-term trends in homicide rates, in addition to qualitative information such as the cause of death, perpetrator and victim. This database is limited to countries with relatively complete historical records on violence and crime – mainly Western Europe and the US.

Starting in the second half of the nineteenth century, these European regions have consistent police records of those accused of murder or manslaughter and annual counts of homicide victims. To go back further in time, reaching as far back as the thirteenth century, Eisner collected estimates (from historical records of coroner reports, court trials, and the police) of homicide rates made in over ninety publications by scholars.

Homicide rates – measured as the number of homicides per 100,000 individuals – up to 1990 are sourced from Eisner's (2003) publication and the Historical Violence Database.

Are homicide rates in Europe today lower or higher than in the past? Using the provided dataset, display and describe the long-run homicide rates for the five European regions: Italy, England, Germany, Netherlands and Scandinavia.

library(tidyverse)

Load the available data from ourworldindata.org

You should always interrogate the source of your data. Who compiled it, from where, what is missing, how representative the data are? Check the data/Metadata.txt to learn about the data provenance.

```
Western_Europe <- read_csv("data/homicide-rates-across-western-europe.csv")</pre>
```

Inspect the data

How clean and analysis-ready is the dataset? Do you understand what the column names represent? What is the difference between rate and homicide number?

```
head(Western_Europe)
```

```
## # A tibble: 6 x 4
##
    Entity Code
                    Year Homicide rate in Europe over long-term (per 100,000) (ho~1
                                                                                <dbl>
##
     <chr>
             <chr> <dbl>
## 1 England <NA>
                    1300
                                                                                   23
                                                                                   7
## 2 England <NA>
                    1550
## 3 England <NA>
                    1625
                                                                                   6
## 4 England <NA>
                    1675
                                                                                    4
## 5 England <NA>
                    1725
                                                                                   2
## 6 England <NA>
                    1775
                                                                                    1
## # ... with abbreviated variable name
     1: 'Homicide rate in Europe over long-term (per 100,000) (homicides per 100,000 people)'
```

Ok, the data look good except for the column Homicide rate in Europe over long-term (per 100,000) which is not very easy to work with.

• Use the names() function and assignment key to relabel this column to homicides_per_100k

```
colnames(Western_Europe) [colnames(Western_Europe) == "Homicide rate in Europe over long-term (per 100,0
view(Western_Europe)
```

Now, that you have looked at what the data looks like and what it represents, and streamlined it, let's see what big picture it contains.


Let's see what the long-term trend is in homicides

- use ggplot() function and remember the+ at the end of the line
- chose a meaningful geom_....() for geometry (hint: points are not great)
- load Year on the x axis and homicides_per_100k column in y axis
- to color individual country entries consistently, assign the country column to the argument color.
- provide meaningful title and axis labels
- remember to change the eval flag so that the code chunk renders when knitted

```
ggplot(data = Western_Europe) +
aes(x = Year, y = homicides_per_100k, color = Entity) +
geom_line() +
labs(title = "Proportion of Homocides in Western Europe",
```

```
x = "Year",
y = "Number of homocides per 100.000 people",
color="Country")
```

Proportion of Homocides in Western Europe



Alright, the homicide rates should all be descending over time. What a comfort. But the viz is not super clear. Let's check the rates for individual countries.


Uncouple the homicides of individual countries for easier view

You can visualize each country's trend separately by adding an extra argument to the ggplot, the facet_wrap() and feeding it the country column. If in doubt, check your ggplot tutorial and your country column name for exact usage.

- reuse the ggplot from the chunk above
- insert facet wrap() after the specification of geometry to split countries in separate charts
- change the facet "layout" to two columns and three rows so that the trends are easier to see in horizontal layout.

```
facet_wrap(~ Entity, nrow = 3, ncol = 2) +
theme_bw() +
theme(text = element_text(size = 12), axis.text.x = element_text(angle = 45, hjust = 0.5, vjust = 0.5)
```

Proportion of Homocides in Western Europe



 $\mathbf{2}$

Compare the trends in homicide with the pattern of reign duration among Danish rulers through time.

- Load your Danish king dataset. Hopefully it is tidy and your years and duration of reign are all numeric.
- You need to have a consistent way of plotting the rulers' reign on the x axis, so I recommend you create a midyear column by calculating the middle of each monarch's rule (Hint: midyear = endyear (endyear-startyear)/2)
- Start a ggplot plotting midyear on x axis and duration on y axis
- Try geom_smooth() for geometry
- Provide meaningful labels and a title
- How would you characterize the trend compared to the homicides above?

```
Danish_Kings <- read_csv("data/DanishKings.csv")

colnames(Danish_Kings)[colnames(Danish_Kings) == "Start of Reign"] = "start_reign"

colnames(Danish_Kings)[colnames(Danish_Kings) == "End of Reign"] = "end_reign"</pre>
```

```
Danish_Kings <- Danish_Kings %>%
  mutate(midyear = end_reign - (end_reign - start_reign)/2) %>%
  mutate(duration = end_reign - start_reign)

Danish_Kings$midyear <- round(Danish_Kings$midyear)

Danish_Kings$end_reign[1] <-2022


view(Danish_Kings)</pre>
```

Warning: Removed 2 rows containing non-finite values (stat_smooth).

Warning: Width not defined. Set with 'position_dodge(width = ?)'

Warning: Removed 2 rows containing missing values (geom_point).

Danish Rulers Overview



```
## List of 2
##
    $ text
                  :List of 11
##
                       : NULL
     ..$ family
##
     ..$ face
                       : NULL
##
     ..$ colour
                       : NULL
##
                       : num 12
     ..$ size
                       : NULL
##
     ..$ hjust
##
     ..$ vjust
                       : NULL
##
     ..$ angle
                       : NULL
     ..$ lineheight
##
                       : NULL
                       : NULL
##
     ..$ margin
##
     ..$ debug
                       : NULL
##
     ..$ inherit.blank: logi FALSE
##
     ..- attr(*, "class")= chr [1:2] "element_text" "element"
##
    $ axis.text.x:List of 11
##
     ..$ family
                       : NULL
     ..$ face
##
                       : NULL
##
     ..$ colour
                       : NULL
                       : NULL
##
     ..$ size
##
     ..$ hjust
                       : num 0.5
     ..$ vjust
##
                       : num 0.5
                       : num 45
##
     ..$ angle
                       : NULL
##
     ..$ lineheight
     ..$ margin
                       : NULL
##
##
     ..$ debug
                       : NULL
##
     ..$ inherit.blank: logi FALSE
     ..- attr(*, "class")= chr [1:2] "element_text" "element"
##
    - attr(*, "class")= chr [1:2] "theme" "gg"
    - attr(*, "complete") = logi FALSE
##
   - attr(*, "validate")= logi TRUE
```

3

Are we more civilized today?

The trend in homicides is sharply declining in the observed time period. This is a sign for relief. At the same time, the duration of Danish Kings is largely spreading throughout history. I see no correlation between a Danish King's rule and homicide rates. In fact, I believe that better living conditions and more equal treatment between sexes have contributed to the declining trend in homicides. I have no proof for the latter, though. Do declining homicide rates are good indicator for a better civilization? I have doubts. Looking at wars in the past 200 years, I see a pattern of heavy arms race, and many dead in case of conflict (e. g. Ukraine-Russian war).

- 1) Plot: In the faceted plot above, move the legend from the current position on the side to below the facets, and label it "Country" instead of "Entity".
- 2) Rmarkdown:

- edit the author of the document, and convert 'Final Tasks' into heading #2 (like the other headings)
- add a floating table of contents to your Rmarkdown document,
- provide informative chunk-names and edit flags in your R chunks, and
- automatically generate a timestamp to show when the document was last updated. (Hint: check the Rmarkdown episode in our Data Carpentry tutorial)
- 3) Question: In <250 words articulate your answer on the basis of the data visualizations to the following question: are we more civilized today?